

On superstable generic structures

池田宏一郎 (Koichiro IKEDA) *

法政大学経営学部

(Faculty of Business Administration, Hosei University)

This manuscript is an expansion of my talk at Kirishima meeting. In this talk, we mainly gave a counter-example of Baldwin's question. Proofs of our results can be found in [18]. So we do not explain all of those details here.

1 Baldwin's question

Many papers [5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 19, 21, 25] have laid out the basics of generic structures in various situations. In particular, this manuscript was influenced by papers of Wagner [25] and Baldwin-Shi [8].

Generic structures Let L be a countable relational language. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a class of finite L -structures that is closed under substructures. Let $\leq$ be a reflexive and transitive relation on $\mathbf{K}$ satisfying the following:

- (C1) $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$ implies $A \subset B$;
- (C2) $A \leq B \leq C \in \mathbf{K}$ implies $A \leq C$;
- (C3) $A, B \leq C \in \mathbf{K}$ implies $A \cap B \leq C$;
- (C4) $A \in \mathbf{K}$ implies $\emptyset \leq A$.

Then, for each A, B with $A \subset B$ there is the smallest set $C \leq B$ containing A . We call such a C the *closure* of A in B , and denoted by $\text{cl}_B(A)$. $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ has the *amalgamation property* (for short AP), if whenever $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$ and $A \leq C \in \mathbf{K}$ then there is a $D \in \mathbf{K}$ such that B and C are closely embedded in D over A .

*The author is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 19540150.

Definition 1.1 A countable L -structure M is said to be $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic, if it satisfies the following:

1. Any finite $A \subset M$ belongs to $\mathbf{K}$;
2. M is *rich*, i.e., For any $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$ with $A \leq M$ there is $B' \cong_A B$ with $B' \leq M$;
3. M has *finite closures*, i.e., for any finite $A \subset M$, $|\text{cl}_M(A)|$ is finite.

If $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ has AP, then there exists a $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic M . By the back-and-forth argument, if M, N are $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic then $M \cong N$. It can be seen also that the generic M is *ultra-homogeneous over closed sets*, i.e., if $B, B' \leq M$ and $B \cong B'$ then $\text{tp}(B) = \text{tp}(B')$.

Ab initio generic structures Let L be a countable relational language, where each $R \in L$ is symmetric and irreflexive, i.e., if $\models R(\bar{a})$ then the elements of $\bar{a}$ are without repetition and $\models R(\sigma(\bar{a}))$ for any permutation σ . Thus, for an L -structure A and $R \in L$ with arity n , R^A can be thought of as a set of n -element subsets of A . For a finite L -structure A , a *predimension* of A is defined by

$$\delta(A) = |A| - \sum_{R \in L} \alpha_R |R^A|$$

where $0 < \alpha_R \leq 1$ for $R \in L$. Write $\delta(B/A) = \delta(BA) - \delta(A)$.

Let $\mathbf{K}^*$ denote the class of all finite L -structures A with $\delta(B) \geq 0$ for every $B \subset A$. For $A \subset B \in \mathbf{K}^*$, define $A \leq B$ to have $\delta(X/A \cap X) \geq 0$ for any finite $X \subset B$. Note that $(\mathbf{K}^*, \leq)$ satisfies (C1)-(C4). Take any $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{K}^*$ closed under substructures. Clearly $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ also satisfies (C1)-(C4). So, if $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ has AP, then there exists the generic M . M is a generic structure derived from the predimension δ . Such a M is called *ab initio generic*.

Theories having finite closures By definition, an *ab initio* generic structure M has finite closures, however each model of $\text{Th}(M)$ does not always have finite closures. We say that a theory T has *finite closures*, if any model of T has finite closures.

Let M be an *ab initio* generic structure such that $\text{Th}(M)$ has finite closures, and $\mathcal{M}$ a big model of $\text{Th}(M)$. For a finite $A \subset \mathcal{M}$, a *dimension* of A is defined by $d(A) = \delta(\text{cl}_{\mathcal{M}}(A))$. For finite $A, B \subset \mathcal{M}$, put

$d(A/B) = d(A \cup B) - d(B)$. For an infinite B , let $d(A/B) = \inf\{d(A/B_0) : B_0 \text{ is a finite subset of } B\}$. For $A, B, C \subset \mathcal{M}$ with $B \cap C \subset A$, we say that B and C are *free* over A (write $B \perp_A C$), if $R^{ABC} = R^{AB} \cup R^{AC}$ for each $R \in L$. The *free amalgamation* of B and C over A , denoted by $B \oplus_A C$, is the structure $B \cup C$ with $B \perp_A C$.

Examples and Question The following are examples of *ab initio* generic structures:

- L is finite, and the generic is saturated: An $\aleph_0$ -categorical stable pseudoplane (Hrushovski [13]), A strongly minimal structure with a new geometry (Hrushovski [14]), An $\aleph_1$ -categorical non-Desarguesian projective plane (Baldwin [4]), An almost strongly minimal generalized n -gon (Debonis-Nesin [9], Tent [23]), A minimal but not strongly minimal structure with arbitrary finite dimension (Ikeda [15]).
- L is finite, and the generic is not saturated: A sparse random graph (Shelah-Spencer [22], Baldwin-Shelah [7], Laskowski [20]).
- L is infinite, and the generic is saturated: A stable small structure with infinite weight (Herwig [12]).

All known examples are either strictly stable or ω -stable. Therefore the following question arises naturally.

Question 1.2 (Baldwin [3, 6]) Is there an *ab initio* generic structure which is superstable but not ω -stable?

2 Results

Here we deal with an *ab initio* generic graph M with coefficient 1: Let $L = \{R(*, *)\}$ and $\delta(A) = |A| - |R^A|$.

Proposition 2.1 Let M be an *ab initio* generic graph with coefficient 1. Then $\text{Th}(M)$ is λ -stable for each $\lambda \geq |S(\text{Th}(M))|$.

Sketch of Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a big model. Take any $N \prec \mathcal{M}$ with $|N| = \lambda$, and take any $p \in S(N)$. For $b \models p$, there is a finite $A \subset N$ with $d(b/N) = d(b/A)$. Let $B = \text{cl}(bA)$. We can assume that $B \oplus_A N \leq \mathcal{M}$. Note that $\text{Th}(M)$ is not always ultra-homogeneous over closed sets. As $\alpha = 1$, $\text{tp}(B/N)$ is determined by $\text{tp}(B/A)$. Hence $|S(N)| \leq |N|^{<\omega} \cdot |S(\text{Th}(M))| = \lambda$.

Remark 2.2 The case of $\alpha = 1$ is particular. When α is rational with $\alpha < 1$, the above statement does not necessarily hold. However, if M is saturated, it can be shown that $\text{Th}(M)$ is ω -stable.

First Example Here we construct an *ab initio* generic graph which has coefficient 1 and is not saturated.

A graph $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ is called a *line*, if the relations of A are $R(a_0, a_1), \dots, R(a_{k-1}, a_k)$. A graph $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ is called a *cycle*, if the relations of A are $R(a_0, a_1), \dots, R(a_{k-1}, a_k), R(a_k, a_0)$. A connected acyclic graph is called a *tree*.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the class of all finite trees. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the class of all cycles. Let $\mathbf{K}_1 = \{A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n : A_0, \dots, A_n \in \mathbf{T} \cup \mathbf{C}, n \in \omega\}$. Clearly $\mathbf{K}_1$ is closed under substructures. Moreover, the following lemma can be seen easily.

Lemma 2.3 $\mathbf{K}_1$ has the *free amalgamation property*, i.e., if $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}_1$, $A \leq C \in \mathbf{K}_1$ and $B \perp_A C$, then $B \oplus_A C \in \mathbf{K}_1$.

By Lemma 2.3, we can take the $(\mathbf{K}_1, \leq)$ -generic M_1 . Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ be a big model. By compactness, $\mathcal{M}_1$ has infinite lines without endpoints as connected components. So we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 M_1 is not saturated.

It is seen that any connected component of $\mathcal{M}_1$ is isomorphic to either a cycle, an infinite line without endpoints, or a tree with $\text{deg} = \infty$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 $\text{Th}(M_1)$ is small.

By Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, 2.5, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 ([18]) There is an *ab initio* generic graph which has coefficient 1 and is not saturated. Moreover, the theory is ω -stable.

Second Example As an answer to Question 1.2, we construct an *ab initio* generic graph with coefficient 1 such that the theory is superstable but not ω -stable.

The construction is as follows. Let $F_0 = \{a_0\}$ and $F_1 = \{a_1, b_1\}$ be graphs with no relations. For $n \in \omega$ and $\eta \in {}^n 2$, a graph $E_\eta = (E_\eta, R^{E_\eta})$ is defined as follows:

- $F_{\eta(k)}^k \cong F_{\eta(k)}$ for each k with $0 \leq k \leq n$;
- $E_\eta = \{e_k : -n \leq k \leq n\} \cup \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n} F_{\eta(k)}^k$;
- $R^{E_\eta} = \{(e_k, e_{k+1}) : -n \leq k \leq n-1\} \cup \{(e_k, a) : a \in F_{\eta(k)}^k, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$.




Figure 1: The graph E_η where $n = 4$ and $\eta = (01101)$

Take a 1-1 onto map $f : {}^\omega > 2 \rightarrow \omega - \{0, 1, 2\}$. Using f and E_η , a graph $D_\eta = (D_\eta, R^{D_\eta})$ is defined as follows:

- $e_{-n}^i E_\eta^i \cong e_{-n} E_\eta$ for each i with $0 \leq i < f(\eta)$;
- $D_\eta = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < f(\eta)} E_\eta^i$;
- $R^{D_\eta} = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < f(\eta)} R^{E_\eta^i} \cup \{(e_{-n}^0, e_{-n}^1), \dots, (e_{-n}^{f(\eta)-2}, e_{-n}^{f(\eta)-1}), (e_{-n}^{f(\eta)-1}, e_{-n}^0)\}$.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the class of all finite trees. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the class of all finite substructures of D_η for every $n \in \omega$ and $\eta \in {}^n 2$. Let $\mathbf{K}_2 = \{A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n : A_0, \dots, A_n \in \mathbf{T} \cup \mathbf{D}, n \in \omega\}$.

Lemma 2.7 $\mathbf{K}_2$ has the amalgamation property.




Figure 2: The graph D_η where $f(\eta) = 6$

Sketch of Proof. Suppose that $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}_2$ and $A \leq C \in \mathbf{K}_2$. We can assume that B and C are connected, $B \perp_A C$ and $A \neq \emptyset$. If both B and C have no cycles, then we have $D \in \mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{K}_2$. So we can assume that either B or C has a cycle. Then any cycle in B or C must be contained in A . Moreover it has the unique n -cycle for some $n \in \omega$. Let $\eta = f^{-1}(n)$. We can assume that $A \leq D_\eta$. Then both of B and C can be closely embedded over A in $D_\eta \in \mathbf{K}_2$. Hence B and C are amalgamated over A .




Figure 3: B and C can be closely embedded over A in $D_{f^{-1}(5)}$.

By Lemma 2.7, we can take the $(\mathbf{K}_2, \leq)$ -generic M_2 . Let $\mathcal{M}_2$ be a big model. For $\beta \in {}^\omega 2$, a graph E_β is defined as the following figure:




Figure 4: The graph E_β where $\beta = (01101 \dots)$

By compactness, in a big model $\mathcal{M}_2$, there are continuously many E_β 's as connected components. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 $|S(\text{Th}(M_2))| = 2^{\aleph_0}$

By Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.11, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9 ([18]) There is an *ab initio* generic structure which is superstable but not ω -stable.

In Kirishima meeting, Baldwin suggested to me that the following question should arise naturally.

Question 2.10 Is there an *ab initio* generic structure which is *small* and superstable but not ω -stable?

This question is still open.

Saturated Generic Structures We have a negative answer to Question 1.2 under the assumption that L is finite and the generic is saturated. To get this result, we need the following lemma. The proof of the lemma is similar to that of Lemma 2.4 in [1].

Lemma 2.11 Let M be an *ab initio* generic structure and $\mathcal{M}$ a big model of $\text{Th}(M)$. Suppose that M is saturated. If $A \leq B \leq \mathcal{M}$ and $B \cap \text{acl}(A) = A$, then $B \cup \text{acl}(A) \leq \mathcal{M}$.

The following theorem is a generalization of that of [17], and the proof is a modification of [1].

Theorem 2.12 ([18]) Let M be an *ab initio* generic L -structure. If L is finite and M is saturated, then $\text{Th}(M)$ is strictly stable or ω -stable.

Question 2.13 Let M be an *ab initio* generic structure in a countable relational language. If M is saturated, then is the theory strictly stable or ω -stable?

References

- [1] Y. Anbo and K. Ikeda, A note on stability spectrum of generic structures, to appear in *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*
- [2] Roman Aref'ev, J. T. Baldwin and M. Mazzucco, δ -invariant amalgamation classes, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* 64 (1999) 1743–1750
- [3] J. T. Baldwin, Problems on pathological structures, In Helmut Wolter Martin Weese, editor, *Proceedings of 10th Easter Conference in Model Theory* (1993) 1–9
- [4] J. T. Baldwin, An almost strongly minimal non-Desarguesian projective plane, *Transactions of American Mathematical Society* 342 (1994) 695–711
- [5] J. T. Baldwin, Rank and homogeneous structures, In *Tits Buildings and the Theory of Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002) 215–233
- [6] J. T. Baldwin, A field guide to Hrushovski's constructions, <http://www.math.uic.edu/~jbaldwin/pub/hrutrav.pdf>, 2009
- [7] J. T. Baldwin and S. Shelah, Randomness and semigenericity, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 349 (1997) 1359–1376
- [8] J. T. Baldwin and N. Shi, Stable generic structures, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 79 (1996) 1–35
- [9] A. Neshin and M. J. De Bonis, There are $2^{\aleph_0}$ many almost strongly minimal generalized n -gons that do not interpret an infinite group, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 63 (1998), no.2, 485–508

- [10] D. Evans, $\aleph_0$ -categorical structures with a predimension, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 116 (2002) 157–186
- [11] J. Goode, Hrushovski's geometries, In Helmut Wolter Bernd Dahn, editor, *Proceedings of 7th Easter Conference on Model Theory* (1989) 106–118
- [12] B. Herwig, Weight ω in stable theories with few types, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 60 (1995) 353–373
- [13] E. Hrushovski, A stable $\aleph_0$ -categorical pseudoplane, preprint, 1988
- [14] E. Hrushovski, A new strongly minimal set, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 62 (1993) 147–166
- [15] K. Ikeda, Minimal but not strongly minimal structures with arbitrary finite dimension, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 66 (2001) 117–126
- [16] K. Ikeda, A note on generic projective planes, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 43 (2002) 249–254
- [17] K. Ikeda, A remark on the stability of saturated generic graphs, *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan* 57 (2005) 1229–1234
- [18] K. Ikeda, The stability spectrum of ab initio generic structures, submitted
- [19] D. W. Kueker and C. Laskowski, On generic structures, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 33(1992) 147–166
- [20] C. Laskowski, A simpler axiomatization of the Shelah-Spencer almost sure theory, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 161(2007) 157–186
- [21] B. Poizat, Amalgames de hrushovski, In *Tits Buildings and the Theory of Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002) 195–214
- [22] S. Shelah and J. Spencer, Zero-one laws for sparse random graphs, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 1 (1988) 97–115
- [23] K. Tent, Very homogeneous generalized n -gons of finite Morley rank, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 62 (2000) 1–15

- [24] V. V. Verbovskiy and I. Yoneda, Cm-triviality and relational structures, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 122 (2003) 175–194
- [25] F. O. Wagner, Relational structures and dimensions, In *Automorphisms of first-order structures*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1994) 153–181