

FINITE GROUPS POSSESSING SMITH EQUIVALENT, NONISOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS

九州大学大学院芸術工学研究院 角 俊雄 (Toshio Sumi)
Faculty of Design
Kyushu University

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we assume that groups are always finite groups, group actions are smooth and representations mean real representations.

In 1960, Paul A. Smith [63] posted the following problem:

Problem. *Let G be a finite group which acts on a homotopy sphere with just two fixed points. Then are the tangential spaces over the fixed points isomorphic as representations or not?*

We call two representations which are obtained as the tangential spaces over fixed points from a finite group action on a sphere with just two fixed points are Smith equivalent.

Atiyah-Bott [1] proved that Smith equivalent representations are always isomorphic for a cyclic group of prime order. According to Sanchez [60], they are always isomorphic for a cyclic group of odd prime power order. By character theory, we obtain they are also always isomorphic for the symmetric group on three letters and a cyclic group of order 2, 4, 6. On the other hand, Cappell-Shaneson proved that there exist Smith equivalent representations which are not isomorphic for a cyclic group of order $4q$ for $q \geq 2$ ([6, 7, 8]). For different classes of finite groups, many related results about this problem were obtained by Petrie, Dovermann, Suh, etc. [37, 57, 58, 59, 17, 19, 21, 64, 9, 10, 22] before 1990. After that, Laitinen and Pawałowski [36] obtained that there exists a pair of Smith equivalent nonisomorphic representations for a perfect group whose Laitinen number is greater than or equal to 2. Here a real conjugacy class means $(g)^\pm := (g) \cup (g^{-1})$ and the Laitinen number a_G of G is a number of all real conjugacy classes of G represented by elements not of prime power order. We assume that the identity is of prime power order. Pawałowski and Solomon [54] showed there exists a pair of Smith equivalent,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S17, 20C15.

Key words and phrases. representation, Smith equivalent.

The author was partially supported by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (2) (No. 17540084) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

nonisomorphic representations for more groups. Most recently, Morimoto [42, 43] presented the concerning results for groups including $\text{Aut}(A_6)$ and $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$.

We show that there exists a pair of Smith equivalent, nonisomorphic representations for groups of the other classes. This report is including a joint work with Krzysztof Pawałowski.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that G is a nonsolvable group with $a_G \geq 2$. If two Smith equivalent representations are always isomorphic, then G is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(A_6)$.*

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a solvable Oliver group G with $a_G \geq 2$ which possesses a pair of two Smith equivalent, nonisomorphic representations.*

2. REPRESENTATIONS AND REAL CONJUGACY CLASSES

In this section, we recall a necessary condition for which two representations become Smith equivalent.

Let G be a finite group and let $RO(G)$ be the real representation ring of G . For convenience, we define subgroups of $RO(G)$. We denote by $PO(G)$ the subgroup of $RO(G)$ of G consisting of the differences $U - V$ of representations U and V such that $\dim U^G = \dim V^G$ and $\text{Res}_P^G(U) \cong \text{Res}_P^G(V)$ for any subgroup P of G of prime power order. We note that in [54], $PO(G)$ is denoted by $IO(G, G)$. Similarly, we denote by $\overline{PO}(G)$ the subgroup of $RO(G)$ of G consisting of the differences $U - V$ of representations U and V such that $\dim U^G = \dim V^G$ and $\text{Res}_P^G(U) \cong \text{Res}_P^G(V)$ for any subgroup P of G of odd prime power order and order 2, 4. By a theorem of Sanchez [60], the difference of two Smith equivalent representations lies in $\overline{PO}(G)$ and the difference of two 2-proper Smith equivalent representations lies in $PO(G)$. The concept of 2-proper is considered by Petrie. We will write the definition of 2-proper Smith equivalence in the section 3.

The rank of $PO(G)$ is equal to maximum of 0 and the Laitinen number a_G minus 1. Moreover the rank of $\overline{PO}(G)$ is equal to the rank of $PO(G)$ plus the number of all real conjugacy classes represented by 2-elements of order ≥ 8 . Now, let H be a normal subgroup of G . We denote by $PO(G, H)$ the subgroup of $RO(G)$ consisting of the differences $U - V$ of representations U and V such that $U^H \cong V^H$ as representations over G/H , and $\text{Res}_P^G(U) \cong \text{Res}_P^G(V)$ for any subgroup P of prime power order. Again, we note that in [54], $PO(G, H)$ is denoted by $IO(G, H)$. It holds that $PO(G) = PO(G, G)$. Let b_G be the number of all real conjugacy classes in G/H which are sent from real conjugacy classes of G represented by elements not of prime power order by the surjection $G \rightarrow G/H$. Then the rank of $PO(G, H)$ is equal to $a_G - b_{G/H}$ (See [54]).

For each prime p , let $O^p(G)$ be the minimal subgroup among normal subgroups N of G with index a power of p . Let $\mathcal{L}(G)$ be the set of subgroups L of G containing $O^p(G)$ for some prime p . A representation U is said to be $\mathcal{L}(G)$ -free if $\dim U^L = 0$

for any $L \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. We denote by $LO(G)$ the subgroup of $PO(G)$ consisting of the differences $U - V$ of representations U and V which are both $\mathcal{L}(G)$ -free. Then it holds that

$$LO(G) \leq PO(G) \leq \overline{PO}(G) \leq RO(G)$$

and Pawałowski and Solomon showed

$$PO(G, G^{nil}) \leq LO(G),$$

where G^{nil} is the minimal subgroup among normal subgroups N of G such that G/N is nilpotent. Note that $G^{nil} = \cap_p O^p(G)$.

We denote by $QO(G)$ the subgroup of $PO(G)$ consisting of the differences $U - V$ of representations U and V such that $\text{Res}_H^G U \cong \text{Res}_H^G V$ for any proper subgroup H of G .

Lemma 2.1. *$PO(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is spanned by elements of $\text{Ind}_C^G QO(C)$ for all cyclic subgroups C of G not of prime power order.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let C_1 and C_2 be cyclic subgroups of G not of prime power order. If C_1 and C_2 are not conjugate then*

$$\text{Ind}_{C_1}^G QO(C_1) \cap \text{Ind}_{C_2}^G QO(C_2) = \{0\}.$$

3. FINITE GROUP ACTIONS ON SPHERES WITH EXACTLY TWO FIXED POINTS

We denote by $Sm(G)$ the subset of $RO(G)$ consisting the differences of two Smith equivalent representations. A group action of a sphere Σ is 2-proper, if $\Sigma^{(g)}$ is connected for any 2-element g of G of order ≥ 8 . In accordance with Petrie's definition, two representations U and V are 2-proper Smith equivalent if there exists a 2-proper action of G on a sphere with exactly two fixed points at which tangential spaces are isomorphic to U and V respectively. We denote by $LSm(G)$ the subset of $Sm(G)$ consisting the differences of two 2-proper Smith equivalent representations. Since $LSm(G) \subset PO(G)$, $a_G \leq 1$ implies $LSm(G) = 0$.

Pawałowski and Solomon showed that if G is a gap Oliver group then $LO(G) \subseteq LSm(G)$, and moreover, if G is a gap nonsolvable group with $a_G \geq 2$ and $G \not\cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$, $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$ then $PO(G, G^{nil}) \neq 0$ and thus $LSm(G) \neq 0$. Recent works by Morimoto gave us that $Sm(\text{Aut}(A_6)) = 0$ and $LSm(P\Sigma L(2, 27)) \neq 0$.

Now we recall the weak gap condition ([41]). A representation V satisfies the *weak gap condition* if it satisfies the following properties.

- (1) If $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ and $H > P$, then $2 \dim V^H \leq \dim V^P$.
- (2) If $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, $H > P$ and $2 \dim V^H = \dim V^P$, then $[H : P] = 2$, $\dim V^H > \dim V^K + 1$ for any $K > H$.
- (3) If $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, $[H : P] = 2$ and $2 \dim V^H = \dim V^P$, then V^H is orientable so that $g: V^H \rightarrow V^H$ is orientation preserving for any $g \in N_G(H)$.

- (4) If $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, $H > P$, $K > P$ and $2 \dim V^H = 2 \dim V^K = \dim V^P$, then the smallest subgroup $\langle H, K \rangle$ including H and K does not belong to $\mathcal{L}(G)$.

Here, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is the set of all subgroups of G of prime power order.

We denote by $WLO(G)$ the subgroup of $LO(G)$ consisting of the differences $U - V$ of representations U and V such that both $U \oplus W$ and $V \oplus W$ are $\mathcal{L}(G)$ -free and satisfy the weak gap condition. Note that $WLO(G) = LO(G)$ if G is a gap group.

Lemma 3.1. *It holds $WLO(G) \subseteq LSm(G)$ for an Oliver group G .*

From now on, we investigate conditions for which Oliver groups G satisfy that $WLO(G) \neq 0$.

4. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION

We introduce a sufficient condition for Oliver groups G to hold $WLO(G) \neq 0$ by using elements of the groups.

A pair (x, y) of elements $x, y \in G$ is called *basic* if the following two condition hold.

- (1) x and y are not of prime power order, and x and y are not real conjugate in G (and thus $a_G \geq 2$).
- (2) x and y are in some gap subgroup of G , or the orders $|x|$ and $|y|$ are even and the involutions of $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$ are conjugate in G .

Moreover, we say that (x, y) is an H -pair for a subgroup H of G , if $xH = yH$.

Theorem 4.1. *If an Oliver group G has a basic G^{nil} -pair, then $WLO(G) \neq 0$ and thus $LSm(G) \neq 0$.*

It is easy to see that G has a basic G^{nil} -pair in some assumptions. The next theorem is obtained by combining Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 4.2. *If an Oliver group G has an element of the center whose order is divisible by at least 3 distinct primes then G has a basic G^{nil} -pair.*

In the case when G has nontrivial center, if G has no basic G^{nil} -pair then the structure of G is almost determined. In this paper we omit it.

5. OUTLINE OF A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We introduce outline of a proof of Theorem 1.1. The following result is one of keys.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be an Oliver group with $a_G \geq 2$. If G/G^{nil} is isomorphic to none of the following groups then $WLO(G) \neq 0$.*

- (1) a p -group for a prime p
- (2) $C_2 \times P$ for an odd prime p and a p -group P

(3) $P \times C_3$ for a 2-group P such that any element of P is self-conjugate

Conversely, we obtain

Proposition 5.2. *Let N be a nilpotent group with $LO(N) = 0$. Then N is isomorphic to (1), (2) or (3) in Theorem 5.1.*

Let G be a nonsolvable group with $a_G \geq 2$. We point out again that Morimoto obtained $Sm(\text{Aut}(A_6)) = 0$ and $Sm(P\Sigma L(2, 27)) \neq 0$. So, suppose that $G \not\cong \text{Aut}(A_6), P\Sigma L(2, 27)$.

Pawalowski and Solomon obtained that $a_G > b_{G/G^{nil}}$ and $LO(G) \supset PO(G, G^{nil}) \neq 0$. Clearly the existence of a basic G^{nil} -pair yields $a_G > b_{G/G^{nil}}$.

If G is isomorphic to (1), (2) or (3) in Theorem 5.1, then we can show that there exists a basic G^{nil} -pair by the similar argument of the section 2 in [54] and then $LSm(G) \neq 0$ follows.

Remark 5.3. *For a nonsolvable group with $a_G \geq 2$, $LO(G) = 0$ implies that G is isomorphic to either $\text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$.*

6. COMPUTATION BY GAP

We computed solvable Oliver groups G with $LO(G) = 0$ and $a_G \geq 2$ of order up to 2000 by using a software GAP [23] and found twelve groups of which ten groups are gap groups and the others are not.

Proposition 6.1. *If G is an Oliver group then the order of G is divisible by at least 3 distinct primes.*

We obtain 4 counterexamples to Laitinen's Conjecture:

Laitinen's Conjecture. *It might hold $LSm(G) \neq 0$ for an Oliver group G with $a_G \geq 2$.*

A counterexample is found first by Morimoto for $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$. The key point is next.

Lemma 6.2 ([42]). *If U and V are Smith equivalent representations then U^N and V^N are isomorphic for each subgroup N of G with index 1 or 2.*

This means that

$$Sm(G) \leq \bigcap_N \overline{PO}(G, N) \quad \text{and} \quad LSm(G) \leq \bigcap_N PO(G, N)$$

where N runs over subgroups of G with index 2.

Proposition 6.3. *If G/G^{nil} is an elementary abelian 2-group then $LSm(G) \subseteq LO(G)$. In addition if G is a gap Oliver group, it holds the equality $LSm(G) = LO(G)$.*

$SG(72, 44)$, $SG(288, 1025)$, $SG(432, 734)$, $SG(576, 8654)$ are our counterexamples. Here $SG(ord, type)$ is denoted the group `SmallGroup(ord, type)` in the software GAP of order ord . Note that $SG(72, 44)$ and $SG(288, 1025)$ are gap groups and the others are not.

G	a_G	$LSm(G) = 0$	8 condition	$Sm(G) = 0$
$SG(72, 44)$	2	True	Hold	True
$SG(288, 1025)$	2	True	Hold	True
$SG(432, 734)$	2	True	Not hold	True
$SG(576, 8654)$	3	True	Hold	True

TABLE 1. Counterexamples to Laitinen's Conjecture

For a subset S of $RO(G)$, we define rank S by

$$\text{rank } S = \max\{ \text{rank } A \mid A \text{ is a subgroup and } A \subseteq S \}.$$

By definition it holds $\text{rank } WLO(G) \leq \text{rank } LSm(G) \leq \text{rank } PO(G, O^p(G))$ for each prime p .

Morimoto shows $LSm(G) \neq 0$ for $G = SG(864, 2666)$, $SG(864, 4666)$ as well as $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$ and then it is unknown whether $LSm(G) = 0$ or not for the following six gap groups G .

G	a_G	$\text{rank } LSm(G)$	G/G^{nil}	$LSm(G) = Sm(G)$
$SG(864, 4663)$	3	0, 1, 2	C_8	False
$SG(864, 4672)$	5	0, 1	$Q_8 \times C_3$	True
$SG(1176, 220)$	2	0, 1	C_3	True
$SG(1176, 221)$	2	0, 1	C_3	True
$SG(1152, 155470)$	3	0, 1	C_6	True
$SG(1152, 157859)$	3	0, 1	C_6	True

7. PROBLEM

In the section we post a problem with respect to an approach to show $LO(G) \subseteq LSm(G)$.

Problem 7.1. *Let G be an Oliver group which is not a gap group and let K be a subgroup of G with $K > O^2(G)$. Is either $C_K(x)$ or $C_K(y)$ a 2-group for involutions x and y of K outside of $O^2(K)$ which are not conjugate in G ?*

The author confirmed that this problem is affirmative for all groups of order less than 2000.

Theorem 7.2. *Let G be an Oliver group which is not a gap group. Suppose that the problem is affirmative for each K . Then it holds $2LO(G) \subseteq WLO(G) \subseteq LO(G)$. In particular, it holds that $\text{rank } LO(G) \leq \text{rank } LSm(G)$.*

Note that $LO(G) = WLO(G)$ if G is a gap group.

Putting together with Proposition 6.3, we obtain

Corollary 7.3. *Let G be an Oliver group which is not a gap group. Suppose that the problem is affirmative for each K . If G/G^{nil} is an elementary abelian 2-group then it holds $WLO(G) = LO(G) = LSm(G)$. In particular, $LSm(G)$ is a group.*

Finally we point out that the problem is affirmative if and only if there exists $U - V \in LO(G)$ such that both two representations $U \oplus W$ and $V \oplus W$ satisfy (1) of the weak gap condition for any representation W . The author hope the problem will be solved affirmative.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah, M.F., Bott, R., *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes: II. Applications*, Ann. of Math. 88 (1968), 451–491.
- [2] Bak, A., Morimoto, M., *K-theoretic groups with positioning map and equivariant surgery*, Proc. Japan Acad. 70 (1994), 6–11.
- [3] Bak, A., Morimoto, M., *Equivariant surgery with middle dimensional singular sets. I*, Forum Math. 8 (1996), 267–302.
- [4] Bannuscher, W., Tiedt, G., *On a theorem of Deaconescu*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq. 47 (1994), 23–26.
- [5] Bredon, G.E., *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 46, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
- [6] Cappell, S.E., Shaneson, J.L., *Fixed points of periodic maps*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 77 (1980), 5052–5054.
- [7] Cappell, S.E., Shaneson, J.L., *Fixed points of periodic differentiable maps*, Invent. Math. 68 (1982), 1–19.
- [8] Cappell, S.E., Shaneson, J.L., *Representations at fixed points*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. 36 (1985), 151–158.
- [9] Cho, E.C., *Smith equivalent representations of generalized quaternion groups*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. 36 (1985), 317–322.
- [10] Cho, E.C., *s-Smith equivalent representations of dihedral group*, Pacific J. Math. 135, 1988, 17–28.

- [11] Cho, E.C., Suh, D.Y., *Induction in equivariant K-theory and s-Smith equivalence of representations*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. 36 (1985), 311–315.
- [12] Conway, J.H., Curtis, R.T., Norton, S.P., Parker, R.A., Wilson, R.A., *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [13] Curtis, C.W., Reiner, I., *Methods of Representation Theory, Vol. I*, Pure and Applied Math., Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981.
- [14] Curtis, C.W., Reiner, I., *Methods of Representation Theory, Vol. II*, Pure and Applied Math., Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987.
- [15] Delgado, A., Wu, Y.-F. *On locally finite groups in which every element has prime power order*, Preprint, 2000.
- [16] tom Dieck, T., *Transformation Groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 8, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin · New York, 1987.
- [17] Dovermann, K.H., *Even-dimensional s-Smith equivalent representations*, Algebraic topology, Aarhus 1982 (Berlin), Springer, 1984, 587–602. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1051.
- [18] Dovermann, K.H., Herzog, M., *Gap conditions for representations of symmetric groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 119 (1987), 113–137.
- [19] Dovermann, K.H., Petrie, T., *Smith equivalence of representations for odd order cyclic groups*, Topology 24 (1985), 283–305.
- [20] Dovermann, K.H., Petrie, T., Schultz, R., *Transformation groups and fixed point data*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. 36 (1985), 159–189.
- [21] Dovermann, K.H., Suh, D.Y., *Smith equivalence for finite abelian groups*, Pacific J. Math. 152 (1992), 41–78.
- [22] Dovermann, K.H., Washington, L.D., *Relations between cyclotomic units and Smith equivalence of representations*, Topology 28 (1989), 81–89.
- [23] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming. Version 4.4*; 2006, (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [24] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., Solomon, R., *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 1*, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 40, 1994.
- [25] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., Solomon, R., *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 2*, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 40, 1996.
- [26] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., Solomon, R., *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 3*, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 40, 1998.
- [27] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., Solomon, R., *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 4*, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 40, 1999.
- [28] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., Solomon, R., *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 5*, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 40, 2002.
- [29] Higman, G., *Finite groups in which every element has prime power order*, J. London Math. Soc. 32 (1957), 335–342.
- [30] Huppert, B., Blackburn N., *Finite Groups II*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982.
- [31] Illman, S., *Representations at fixed points of actions of finite groups on spheres*, Current Trends in Algebraic Topology (ed. M. Kane, S.O. Kochman, P.S. Selick, V.P. Snaith), CMS Conference Proc. Vol. 2, Part 2 (1982), 135–155.

- [32] James, G., Liebeck, M., *Representations and Characters of Groups*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [33] Kawakubo, K., *The Theory of Transformation Groups*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [34] Laitinen, E., Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *Deleting-Inserting Theorem for smooth actions of finite nonsolvable groups on spheres*, Comment. Math. Helv. 70 (1995), 10–38.
- [35] Laitinen, E., Morimoto, M., *Finite groups with smooth one fixed point actions on spheres*, Forum Math. 10 (1998), 479–520.
- [36] Laitinen, E., Pawałowski, K., *Smith equivalence of representations for finite perfect groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 297–307.
- [37] Masuda, M., Petrie, T., *Lectures on transformation groups and Smith equivalence*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. 36 (1985), 191–242.
- [38] Milnor, J.W., *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 358–426.
- [39] Morimoto, M., *Bak groups and equivariant surgery*, K-Theory 2 (1989), 465–483.
- [40] Morimoto, M., *Bak groups and equivariant surgery II*, K-Theory 3 (1990), 505–521.
- [41] Morimoto, M., *Equivariant surgery theory: Deleting-Inserting Theorem of fixed point manifolds on spheres and disks*, K-Theory 15 (1998), 13–32.
- [42] Morimoto, M., *Smith equivalent $\text{Aut}(A_6)$ -representations are isomorphic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [43] Morimoto, M., *Construction of smooth actions on spheres for Smith equivalent representations*, The theory of transformation groups and its applications, RIMS Kokyuroku, Kyoto University, 2007.
- [44] Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *Equivariant wedge sum construction of finite contractible G -CW complexes with G -vector bundles*, Osaka J. Math. 36 (1999), 767–781.
- [45] Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *The Equivariant Bundle Subtraction Theorem and its applications*, Fund. Math. 161 (1999), 279–303.
- [46] Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *Smooth actions of finite Oliver groups on spheres*, to appear in Topology (2002).
- [47] Morimoto, M., Sumi, T., Yanagihara, M., *Finite groups possessing gap modules*, Geometry and Topology: Aarhus (ed. K. Grove, I.H. Madsen, E.K. Pedersen), Contemp. Math. 258 (2000), 329–342.
- [48] Oliver, R., *Fixed point sets of group actions on finite acyclic complexes*, Comment. Math. Helv. 50 (1975), 155–177.
- [49] Oliver, R., *Smooth compact Lie group actions on disks*, Math. Z. 149 (1976), 79–96.
- [50] Oliver, B., *Fixed point sets and tangent bundles of actions on disks and Euclidean spaces*, Topology 35 (1996), 583–615.
- [51] Pawałowski, K., *Group actions with inequivalent representations at fixed points*, Math. Z. 187 (1984), 29–47.
- [52] Pawałowski, K., *Fixed point sets of smooth group actions on disks and Euclidean spaces*, Topology 28 (1989), 273–289. Corrections: ibid. 35 (1996), 749–750.
- [53] Pawałowski, K., *Smith equivalence of group modules and the Laitinen conjecture*, Geometry and Topology: Aarhus (ed. K. Grove, I.H. Madsen, E.K. Pedersen), Contemp. Math. 258 (2000), 343–350.

- [54] Pawałowski, K., Solomon, R., *Smith equivalence and finite Oliver groups with Laitinen number 0 or 1*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology 2 (2002), 843–895.
- [55] Petrie, T., *Pseudoequivalences of G -manifolds*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 32 (1978), 169–210.
- [56] Petrie, T., *Three theorems in transformation groups*, Algebraic Topology, Aarhus 1978 (ed. J.L. Dupont and I.H. Madsen), Lecture Notes in Math. 763 (1979), 549–572.
- [57] Petrie, T., *The equivariant J homomorphism and Smith equivalence of representations*, Current Trends in Algebraic Topology (ed. M. Kane, S.O. Kochman, P.S. Selick, V.P. Snaith), CMS Conference Proc. Vol. 2, Part 2 (1982), 223–233.
- [58] Petrie, T., *Smith equivalence of representations*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 94 (1983), 61–99.
- [59] Petrie, T., Randall, J., *Spherical isotropy representations*, Publ. Math. IHES 62 (1985), 5–40.
- [60] Sanchez, C.U., *Actions of groups of odd order on compact orientable manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1976), 445–448.
- [61] Schultz, R., *Problems submitted to the A.M.S. Summer Research Conference on Group Actions. Collected and edited by R. Schultz*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. 36 (1985), 513–568.
- [62] Serre, J.P. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, GTM 42 (1977), Springer-Verlag.
- [63] Smith, P.A., *New results and old problems in finite transformation groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1960), 401–415.
- [64] Suh, D.Y., *s -Smith equivalent representations of finite abelian groups*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. 36 (1985), 323–329.
- [65] Sumi, T., *Gap modules for direct product groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 53 (2001), 975–990.
- [66] Sumi, T., *Gap modules for semidirect product groups*, Kyushu Jour. Math. 58, 2004, 33–58.
- [67] Suzuki, M., *On a class of doubly transitive groups*, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 105–145.

FACULTY OF DESIGN, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, SHIOBARU 4-9-1, FUKUOKA, 815-8540, JAPAN
 E-mail address: sumi@design.kyushu-u.ac.jp