

On Inherited Properties for Set-Valued Maps and Existence Theorems for Generalized Vector Equilibrium Problems*

新潟大学大学院自然科学研究科 西澤正悟 (Nishizawa, Shogo)[†]

Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University

新潟大学大学院自然科学研究科 田中 環 (Tanaka, Tamaki)[‡]

Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with a generalization of an existence theorem for the generalized vector equilibrium problem in [1], in which Ansari and Yao proved an existence result by using Fan-Browder type fixed point theorem. It is relative to a vector-valued Fan's inequality for set-valued maps in [4, 5].

In this paper, we consider the following two kinds of generalized vector equilibrium problems:

$$\text{find } \bar{x} \in K \text{ such that } F(\bar{x}, y) \not\subset -\text{int } C(\bar{x}) \text{ for every } y \in K \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\text{find } \bar{x} \in K \text{ such that } F(\bar{x}, y) \cap (-\text{int } C(\bar{x})) = \emptyset \text{ for every } y \in K \quad (1.2)$$

where E and Y are two topological vector spaces, K is a nonempty convex subset of E , $F : K \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a multifunction, $C : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a multifunction such that for each $x \in K$, $C(x)$ is a closed convex cone with $\text{int } C(x) \neq \emptyset$. We show existence theorems of these problems by using Fan's inequality. Our proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are quite different from that in [1] and in the proofs we use a result of Georgiev and Tanaka [4, Theorem 2.3] which follows from a two-function result of Simons [11, Theorem 1.2].

By applying the two-function result for special scalarizing functions possessing quasiconvexity and semicontinuity, we establish the proofs of the main theorems. For such a reason, it is necessary for those scalarizing functions to have such convexity and semicontinuity. It is, therefore, important and useful to study what kind of scalarizing functions can inherit properties of such kind of convexity and semicontinuity from multifunctions.

*This work is based on research 13640097 supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science of Japan.

[†]E-mail: shogo@ekeland.gs.niigata-u.ac.jp

[‡]E-mail: tamaki@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

To show some results on the inherited properties, we consider certain generalizations and modifications of convexity and semicontinuity for multifunctions in a topological vector space with respect to a cone preorder in the target space, which have motivated by [6, 7] and studied in [4] for generalizing the classical Fan's inequality. Convexity and semicontinuity for multifunctions are inherited by the following scalarizing functions;

$$\inf\{h_C(x, y; k) \mid y \in F(x)\} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\sup\{h_C(x, y; k) \mid y \in F(x)\} \quad (1.4)$$

where $h_C(x, y; k) = \inf\{t \mid y \in tk - C(x)\}$, $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a multifunction, $C(x)$ a closed convex cone with $\text{int } C(x) \neq \emptyset$, x and y are vectors in two topological vector spaces E and Y , respectively, and $k \in \text{int } C(x)$. Note that $h_C(x, \cdot; k)$ is positively homogeneous and subadditive for every fixed $x \in E$ and $k \in \text{int } C(x)$, and that $h_C(x, y; k) \leq 0$ for $y \in -C(x)$, remark that $-h_C(x, -y; k) = \sup\{t \mid y \in tk + C(x)\}$. This function $h_C(x, y; k)$ has been treated in some papers. Essentially, $h_C(x, y; k)$ is equivalent to the smallest strictly monotonic function defined by Luc [8]. For each $y \in Y$, $h_C(x, y; k) \cdot k$ corresponds the minimum vector of upper bounds of y with respect to the cone $C(x)$ restricted to the direction k . Similarly, $-h_C(x, -y; k) \cdot k$ corresponds the maximum vector of lower bounds of y with respect to the cone $C(x)$ restricted to the direction k .

2. Inherited Properties of Set-Valued Maps

Further let E and Y be topological vector spaces and F and $C : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ two multifunctions. Denote $B(x) = \text{co}((\text{int } C(x)) \cap (2S \setminus \bar{S}))$ (which plays a role of base for $\text{int } C(x)$ without uniqueness), where S is a neighborhood of 0 in Y . We observe the following four types of scalarizing functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_C^F(x; k) &:= \sup_{y \in F(x)} h_C(x, y; k), & \varphi_C^F(x; k) &:= \inf_{y \in F(x)} h_C(x, y; k); \\ -\varphi_C^{-F}(x; k) &= \sup_{y \in F(x)} -h_C(x, -y; k), & -\psi_C^{-F}(x; k) &= \inf_{y \in F(x)} -h_C(x, -y; k). \end{aligned}$$

The first and fourth functions have symmetric properties and then results for the fourth function $-\psi_C^{-F}(x; k)$ can be easily proved by those for the first function $\psi_C^F(x; k)$. Similarly, the results for the third function $-\varphi_C^{-F}(x; k)$ can be deduced by those for the second function $\varphi_C^F(x; k)$. By using these four functions we measure each image of multifunction F with respect to its 4-tuple of scalars, which can be regarded as standpoints for the evaluation of the image. To avoid confusion for properties of convexity, we consider the constant case of $C(x) = C$ (a convex cone) and $B(x) = B$ (a convex set), and $h_C(x, y; k) = h_C(y; k) := \inf\{t \mid y \in tk - C\}$.

To begin with, we recall some kinds of convexity for multifunctions.

Definition 2.1. A multifunction $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ is called *C-quasiconvex*, if the set $\{x \in E \mid F(x) \cap (a - C) \neq \emptyset\}$ is convex or empty for every $a \in Y$. If $-F$ is *C-quasiconvex*, then F is said to be *C-quasiconcave*, which is equivalent to a $(-C)$ -quasiconvex mapping.

Remark 2.1. The above definition is exactly that of *Ferro type* (-1) -quasiconvex mapping in [7, Definition 3.5].

Definition 2.2. A multifunction $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ is called (in the sense of [7, Definition 3.7])

- (a) *type-(iii) C -naturally quasiconvex* if for every two points $x_1, x_2 \in E$ and every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\mu \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\mu F(x_1) + (1 - \mu)F(x_2) \subset F(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + C;$$

- (b) *type-(v) C -naturally quasiconvex*, if for every two points $x_1, x_2 \in E$ and every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\mu \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$F(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \subset \mu F(x_1) + (1 - \mu)F(x_2) - C.$$

If $-F$ is type-(iii) [resp., type-(v)] C -naturally quasiconvex, then F is said to be *type-(iii) [resp., type-(v)] C -naturally quasiconcave*, which is equivalent to a type-(iii) [resp., type-(v)] $(-C)$ -naturally quasiconvex mapping.

However, there is no relationship between those for types (iii) and (v) in general.

Proposition 2.1. See [7, Theorem 3.1]. *For a multifunction $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$, type-(iii) C -naturally quasiconvexity implies C -quasiconvexity.*

Proposition 2.2. *For each $x \in E$ and a multifunction $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$,*

- (i) $\psi_C^F(x; k)$ is convex with respect to variable $k \in \text{int } C$;
- (ii) $\varphi_C^F(x; k)$ is convex with respect to variable $k \in \text{int } C$, if $F(x)$ is a convex set.

Now, we show some inherited properties of convexity for multifunctions.

Lemma 2.1. *If $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ is type-(v) C -naturally quasiconvex, then $\psi^F(x) := \inf_{k \in B} \psi_C^F(x; k)$ is quasiconvex, and especially $\psi_C^F(x; k)$ is quasiconvex with respect to variable x where $k \in \text{int } C$.*

Lemma 2.2. *If $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ is convex-valued and C -quasiconvex, then $\varphi^F(x) := \inf_{k \in B} \varphi_C^F(x; k)$ is quasiconvex, and especially $\varphi_C^F(x; k)$ is quasiconvex with respect to variable x where $k \in \text{int } C$.*

Remark 2.2. When we replace F by $-F$ in the two lemmas above, it leads to the quasiconcavity of scalarizing functions $-\psi^{-F}$ and $-\varphi^{-F}$. By Proposition 2.1, if $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ is convex-valued and type-(iii) C -naturally quasiconvex, then $\varphi^F(x)$ is quasiconvex.

Next we show some inherited properties from some kinds of semicontinuity. We introduce two types of cone-semicontinuity for multifunctions, which are regarded as extensions of the ordinary lower semicontinuity for real-valued functions; see [6].

Definition 2.3. Let $\hat{x} \in E$. A multifunction F is called $C(\hat{x})$ -upper semicontinuous at x_0 , if for every $y \in C(\hat{x}) \cup (-C(\hat{x}))$ satisfying with $F(x_0) \subset y + \text{int } C(\hat{x})$, there exists an open $U \ni x_0$ such that $F(x) \subset y + \text{int } C(\hat{x})$ for every $x \in U$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\hat{x} \in E$. A multifunction F is called $C(\hat{x})$ -lower semicontinuous at x_0 , if for every open V such that $F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open $U \ni x_0$ such that $F(x) \cap (V + \text{int } C(\hat{x})) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in U$.

Remark 2.3. In the two definitions above, the notions for single-valued functions are equivalent to the ordinary notion of lower semicontinuity of real-valued ones, whenever $Y = \mathbb{R}$ and $C(x) = [0, \infty)$. Usual upper semicontinuous multifunction is also (cone-) upper semicontinuous. When the cone $C(\hat{x})$ consists only of the zero of the space, the notion in Definition 2.4 coincides with that of lower semicontinuous multifunction. Moreover, it is equivalent to the cone-lower semicontinuity defined in [6], based on the fact that $V + \text{int } C(\hat{x}) = V + C(\hat{x})$; see [13, Theorem 2.2].

Proposition 2.3. See [10, Proposition 2]. Assume that there exists a compact subset $D \subset Y$ satisfying (i) $A \subset \text{cone } D$ where $\text{cone } D := \{\lambda x | \lambda \geq 0, x \in D\}$ and (ii) $D \subset \text{int } C(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in E$. If $W(\cdot) := Y \setminus \{\text{int } C(\cdot)\}$ has a closed graph, then there exists an open set $U \ni x_0$ such that $A \subset C(x)$ for every $x \in U$. In particular C is lower semicontinuous.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $W : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ defined as $W(x) = Y \setminus \text{int } C(x)$ has a closed graph. If F is $(-C(x))$ -upper semicontinuous at x for each $x \in E$ and there exists a compact-valued multifunction $D : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfying for each $x_0 \in E$, (i) $D(x_0) \subset \text{int } C(x_0)$ and (ii) for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in B(x_0)$ and $x \in E$ satisfying with $tk - F(x) \subset \text{int } C(x_0)$, $tk - F(x) \subset \text{cone } D(x_0)$, then

$$\psi^F(x) := \inf_{k \in B(x)} \sup_{y \in F(x)} h_C(x, y; k)$$

is upper semicontinuous. If the mapping C is constant-valued, then ψ^F is upper semicontinuous.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $W : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ defined as $W(x) = Y \setminus \text{int } C(x)$ has a closed graph. If F is $(-C(x))$ -lower semicontinuous for each $x \in E$ and there exists a compact-valued multifunction $D : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfying for each $x_0 \in E$, (i) $D(x_0) \subset \text{int } C(x_0)$ and (ii) for every $t < t^* \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in B(x_0)$, $x \in E$ and $y \in F(x_0)$ satisfying with $F(x) \cap [y + tk - \text{int } C(x_0)] \neq \emptyset$, $F(x) \cap [y + t^*k - \text{cone } D(x_0)] \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\varphi^F(x) := \inf_{k \in B(x)} \inf_{y \in F(x)} h_C(x, y; k)$$

is upper semicontinuous. If the mapping C is constant-valued, then φ^F is upper semicontinuous.

Remark 2.4. When we replace F by $-F$ in the two lemmas above, it leads to the lower semicontinuity of scalarizing functions $-\psi^{-F}$ and $-\varphi^{-F}$.

3. Existence Results

Firstly, we introduce our main tool, which is presented in [4, Theorem 2.3], for proving the main results in this paper.

Lemma 3.1. See [4, Theorem 2.3]. *Let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space, $a : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ lower semicontinuous in its second variable, $b : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ quasiconvex in its second variable, and*

$$x, y \in X \text{ and } a(x, y) > 0 \Rightarrow b(y, x) < 0.$$

If $\inf_{x \in X} b(x, x) \geq 0$, then there exists $z \in X$ such that $a(x, z) \leq 0$ for every $x \in X$.

Now we present two existence results for generalized vector equilibrium problems.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space E , Y a topological vector space. Let $F : K \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a multifunction. Assume that*

- (i) $C : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a multifunction such that for every $x \in K$, $C(x)$ is a closed convex cone in Y with $\text{int } C(x) \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) $W : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a multifunction defined as $W(x) = Y \setminus (-\text{int } C(x))$, and the graph of W is closed in $K \times Y$;
- (iii) for every $x, y \in K$, $F(\cdot, y)$ is $(-C(x))$ -upper semicontinuous at x ;
- (iv) there exists a multifunction $G : K \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$ such that
 - (a) for every $x \in K$, $G(x, x) \not\subset -\text{int } C(x)$,
 - (b) for every $x, y \in K$, $F(x, y) \subset -\text{int } C(x)$ implies $G(x, y) \subset -\text{int } C(x)$,
 - (c) $G(x, \cdot)$ is type-(v) $C(x)$ -naturally quasiconvex on K for every $x \in K$,
 - (d) $G(x, y)$ is compact, if $G(x, y) \subset -\text{int } C(x)$;
- (v) there exists a nonempty compact convex subset P of K such that for every $x \in K \setminus P$, there exists $y \in P$ with $F(x, y) \subset -\text{int } C(x)$;
- (vi) there exists a compact-valued multifunction $D : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ such that for each $x_0 \in E$,
 - (a) $D(x_0) \subset \text{int } C(x_0)$,
 - (b) for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $k \in B(x_0)$ and $x \in E$ satisfying with $tk - F(x) \subset \text{int } C(x_0)$, $tk - F(x) \subset \text{cone } D(x_0)$.

Then, the solutions set

$$S = \{x \in K \mid F(x, y) \not\subset -\text{int } C(x), \text{ for every } y \in K\}$$

is a nonempty and compact subset of P .

Proof. Put

$$a(x, y) := - \inf_{k \in B(y)} \sup_{z \in F(y, x)} h_C(y, z; k), \quad b(x, y) := \inf_{k \in B(x)} \sup_{z \in G(x, y)} h_C(x, z; k).$$

It is easy to check that

$$a(x, y) > 0 \text{ if and only if } F(y, x) \subset -\text{int } C(y)$$

by using condition (vi), and also

$$b(y, x) < 0 \text{ if and only if } G(y, x) \subset -\text{int } C(y)$$

by using (d) of the condition (iv), and then $a(x, x) \leq 0$ and $b(x, x) \geq 0$.

Denote

$$S_y := \{x \in P \mid F(x, y) \not\subset -\text{int } C(x)\} = \{x \in P \mid a(y, x) \leq 0\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Since $a(y, \cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous (by Lemma 2.3), the set S_y is closed. Let Y_0 be a finite subset of K . Denote by Z the closed convex hull of $Y_0 \cup P$. Obviously Z is compact and convex. Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and (b) of the condition (iv) show that the conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied.

Now we apply Lemma 3.1 and obtain a point $z \in Z$ such that $a(y, z) \leq 0$ for every $y \in Z$, which means

$$F(z, y) \not\subset -\text{int } C(z) \text{ for every } y \in Z. \quad (3.2)$$

The conditions (v) and (3.2) imply that $z \in P$. Relation (3.1) implies that

$$\bigcap \{S_y \mid y \in Y_0\} \neq \emptyset.$$

So we proved that the family $\{S_y \mid y \in K\}$ has finite intersection property. Since P is compact,

$$\bigcap \{S_y \mid y \in K\} \neq \emptyset,$$

which means that there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that

$$F(x_0, y) \not\subset -\text{int } C(x_0) \text{ for every } y \in K.$$

So we proved that S is nonempty, and since S is a closed subset of P , the proof is completed. ■

Remark 3.1. The above theorem is a generalization of the theorem that it is replaced F and G in [4, Theorem 4.1] by $-F$ and $-G$, respectively. The main difference between our result and [4, Theorem 4.1] is (c) of the condition (iv), which is more generalized with respect to convexity.

Theorem 3.2. Let K be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space E , Y a topological vector space. Let $F : K \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a multifunction. Assume that

- (i) $C : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a multifunction such that for every $x \in K$, $C(x)$ is a closed convex cone in Y with $\text{int } C(x) \neq \emptyset$;

- (ii) $W : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a multifunction defined as $W(x) = Y \setminus (-\text{int } C(x))$, and the graph of W is closed in $K \times Y$;
- (iii) for every $x, y \in K$, $F(\cdot, y)$ is $(-C(x))$ -lower semicontinuous at x ;
- (iv) there exists a multifunction $G : K \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$ such that
 - (a) for every $x \in K$, $G(x, x) \cap (-\text{int } C(x)) = \emptyset$,
 - (b) for every $x, y \in K$, $F(x, y) \cap (-\text{int } C(x)) \neq \emptyset$ implies $G(x, y) \cap (-\text{int } C(x)) \neq \emptyset$,
 - (c) $G(x, \cdot)$ is $C(x)$ -quasiconvex on K for every $x \in K$,
 - (d) G is convex-valued;
- (v) there exists a nonempty compact convex subset P of K such that for every $x \in K \setminus P$, there exists $y \in P$ with $F(x, y) \cap (-\text{int } C(x)) \neq \emptyset$;
- (vi) there exists a compact-valued multifunction $D : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ such that for each $x_0 \in E$,
 - (a) $D(x_0) \subset \text{int } C(x_0)$,
 - (b) for every $t < t^* \in \mathbf{R}$, $k \in B(x_0)$, $x \in E$ and $y \in F(x_0)$ satisfying with $F(x) \cap [y + tk - \text{int } C(x_0)] \neq \emptyset$, $F(x) \cap [y + t^*k - \text{cone } D(x_0)] \neq \emptyset$.

Then, the solutions set

$$S = \{x \in K \mid F(x, y) \cap (-\text{int } C(x)) = \emptyset, \text{ for every } y \in K\}$$

is a nonempty and compact subset of P .

Proof. Put

$$a(x, y) := - \inf_{k \in B(y)} \inf_{z \in F(y, x)} h_C(y, z; k), \quad b(x, y) := \inf_{k \in B(x)} \inf_{z \in G(x, y)} h_C(x, z; k).$$

It is easy to check that

$$a(x, y) > 0 \text{ if and only if } F(y, x) \cap (-\text{int } C(y)) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$b(y, x) < 0 \text{ if and only if } G(y, x) \cap (-\text{int } C(y)) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$a(x, x) \leq 0, \quad b(x, x) \geq 0.$$

Lemmas 2.2, 2.4 and (b) of the condition (iv) show that the conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied. Further the proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.1, but in this case $S_y := \{x \in P \mid F(x, y) \cap (-\text{int } C(x)) = \emptyset\}$. ■

Remark 3.2. The above theorem is a improvement of the theorem that it is replaced F and G in [4, Theorem 4.2] by $-F$ and $-G$, respectively. However, (d) of the condition (iv) is added in comparison with [4, Theorem 4.2], because we want to use Lemma 2.2 in the proof directly.

4. Conclusions

We have established new inherited properties of convexity for set-valued maps. By using one of those new inherited properties and applying to set-valued Fan's inequality in [4, 5], we have generalized the existence theorem in [1]. We have also presented an existence theorem for a different type of the generalized vector equilibrium problem in [1].

References

- [1] Q. H. Ansari and J.-C. Yao, *An existence result for the generalized vector equilibrium problem*, Applied Mathematics Letters, **12**(8), pp.53–56, 1999.
- [2] J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1984.
- [3] J.-P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, *Set-Valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.
- [4] P. Gr. Georgiev and T. Tanaka, *Vector-valued set-valued variants of Ky Fan's inequality*, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, **1**(3), pp.245–254, 2000.
- [5] P. Gr. Georgiev and T. Tanaka, *Fan's inequality for set-valued maps*, Nonlinear Analysis Theory, Methods and Applications, **47**(1), pp.607–618, 2001.
- [6] Y. Kimura, K. Tanaka, and T. Tanaka, *On semicontinuity of set-valued maps and marginal functions*, pp.181–188 in Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis —Proceedings of the International Conference (W. Takahashi and T. Tanaka, eds.), World Scientific, 1999.
- [7] D. Kuroiwa, T. Tanaka, and T.X.D. Ha, *On cone convexity of set-valued maps*, Nonlinear Analysis Theory, Methods and Applications, **30**(3), pp.1487–1496, 1997.
- [8] D. T. Luc, *Theory of Vector Optimization*, Lecture Note in Economics and Mathematical Systems, **319**, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] S. Nishizawa, T. Tanaka and P. Gr. Georgiev, *On Inherited Properties of Set-Valued Maps*, pp.341–350 in Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis —Proceedings of the International Conference (W. Takahashi and T. Tanaka, eds.), Yokohama Publishers, 2003.
- [10] S. Nishizawa, T. Tanaka and P. Gr. Georgiev, *On Inherited Properties for Vector-Valued Multifunctions*, pp.215–220 in Multi-Objective Programming and Goal-Programming — Proceedings of the International Conference (T. Tanino, T. Tanaka and M. Inuiguchi, eds.), Springer, 2003.
- [11] S. Simons, *Two-function minimax theorems and variational inequalities for functions on compact and noncompact sets, with some comments on fixed-point theorems*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **45** (2), pp.377–392, 1986.
- [12] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear variational inequalities and fixed point theorems*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, **28** (1), pp.168–181, 1976.
- [13] T. Tanaka and D. Kuroiwa, *Another observation on conditions assuring $\text{int } A + B = \text{int } (A + B)$* , Applied Mathematics Letters, **7** (1), pp.19–22, 1994.