


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Gender with marital status, cultural differences, and vulnerability to hypertension: Findings from the national survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS in Bhutan

Hiromi Kohori Segawa^{1,2}, Hironori Uematsu¹, Nidup Dorji³, Ugyen Wangdi³, Chencho Dorjee³, Pemba Yangchen⁴, Susumu Kunisawa¹, Ryota Sakamoto⁵, Yuichi Imanaka^{1*}

1 Department of Healthcare Economics and Quality Management, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto City, Kyoto, Japan, **2** Kokoro Research Center, Kyoto University, Kyoto City, Kyoto, Japan, **3** Faculty of Nursing and Public Health, Khesar Gyalpo University of Medical Sciences of Bhutan, Thimphu, Kingdom of Bhutan, **4** Department of Non-Communicable Disease, Ministry of Health in Bhutan, Thimphu, Kingdom of Bhutan, **5** Centre for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto City, Kyoto, Japan

* imanaka-y@umin.net



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Segawa HK, Uematsu H, Dorji N, Wangdi U, Dorjee C, Yangchen P, et al. (2021) Gender with marital status, cultural differences, and vulnerability to hypertension: Findings from the national survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS in Bhutan. *PLoS ONE* 16(8): e0256811. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256811>

Editor: Akihiro Nishi, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, UNITED STATES

Received: March 15, 2021

Accepted: August 17, 2021

Published: August 31, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256811>

Copyright: © 2021 Segawa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Since the Ministry of Health, Bhutan is the authority for the data, the

Abstract

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death in the Kingdom of Bhutan. Thus, the early detection and prevention of hypertension is critical for reducing cardiovascular disease. However, the influence of sociocultural factors on vulnerability to hypertension needs further investigation. This study performed secondary data analysis on 1,909 individuals in a cross-sectional study (the National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using World Health Organization (WHO) STEPS approach in Bhutan–2014). Multivariate logistic regression demonstrated a significant association between gender with marital status and hypertension. Women had a higher odds ratio than men (Ref) when married (AOR: 1.27, 95% confidence intervals (CI): 1.23–1.31), and when separated, divorced, or widowed (AOR: 1.18, 95% CI: 1.12–1.26). People who speak the Tshanglakha language scored the highest odds (AOR: 1.24, 95% CI: 1.20–1.27), followed by Lhotshamkha (AOR: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.06–1.12) and Dzongkha (Ref) after adjusting for various social and biomedical factors. Additionally, tobacco use displayed decreased odds for hypertension. To promote the early detection and prevention of hypertension, these cultural factors should be considered even within small geographic areas, such as Bhutan. It is necessary to strengthen hypertension preventive strategies for people who speak Tshanglakha and Lhotshamkha. Furthermore, careful consideration should be given to preventing hypertension among adults aged 40 years or more, women who are married, separated, divorced, or widowed, and men who never married in Bhutan.

authors were not able to make it available with this manuscript. However, the original report (World Health Organization and Ministry of Health in Bhutan. National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan—2014) is available from <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/204659>, ISBN 9789290225003. Requests to access the raw data can be directed to the Research Ethics Board of Health at the Royal Government of Bhutan or the Ministry of Health, Bhutan. Contact: P.O. Box: 726, Kawajangsa, Thimphu, Bhutan; Phone No: +975-2-328095, 321842, 322602, 328091.

Funding: This study was supported by 1) Hiromi Kohori-Segawa, K. MATSUSHITA FOUNDATION, Grant Numbers 19-048 <http://matsushita-konosuke-zaidan.or.jp/en/> 2) Yuichi Imanaka, Program of Collaborative Research at the Centre for Southeast Asian Studies (IPCR-CSEAS), Kyoto University 2018 type4 <https://ipcr.cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp/> 3) Hiromi Kohori-Segawa, Program of Collaborative Research at the Centre for Southeast Asian Studies (IPCR-CSEAS), Kyoto University 2020 type7 <https://ipcr.cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp/> 4) Yuichi Imanaka, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19H01075 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science <https://www.jspss.go.jp/english/> The funders played no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no potential financial conflict of interest related to the manuscript. The funders played no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 38 million people die from noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) annually. Approximately three-quarters of these deaths (28 million) occur in low- and middle-income countries [1]. The increase in NCDs has led to an economic burden on individuals, families, society, and the global community [2]. Hypertension is the most critical global risk factor for morbidity and mortality, being one of the strongest risk factors for nearly all forms of cardiovascular disease acquired during one's lifetime. In addition, asymptomatic changes in several organs associated with hypertension are a vital indicator of the continued progression of cardiovascular disease [3]. Strategies such as screening, early detection, counseling, and continued follow-up of people with hypertension are required to prevent cardiovascular-related diseases [4]. At the same time, nonmodifiable factors, such as age, sex, menopause, and genetics can predispose individuals to hypertension [5]. Several studies have reported that hypertension is significantly related to chronic stress, race, place of residence, and social factors [4–9].

Similarly, in the Kingdom of Bhutan (hereafter referred to as Bhutan), mortality due to NCDs has increased from 53% (2008) to 69% (2016) [10, 11]. In particular, cardiovascular disease was the leading cause of mortality in 2016 [11]. Therefore, the Royal Government of Bhutan began developing measures against NCDs. Studies in Bhutan have concluded that primary prevention strategies, such as eating a healthy diet, avoiding alcohol and tobacco, and regular exercise, are necessary to prevent NCDs [12–14]. The results warrant further verification to promote practical knowledge and skills regarding disease prevention [15]. Furthermore, people in Bhutan want to remain healthy and happy, and they perceived that health is a major factor for happiness. However, people were not aware of how to protect their health in their daily lives [15]. Concerningly, some of the participants had never had their blood pressure taken despite having hypertension. Moreover, some were unaware why they needed to have their blood pressure assessed periodically [15]. Therefore, further prevention strategies should be developed, in addition to the promotion of primary prevention, with considerable attention given to vulnerable people in Bhutan. However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been few studies in Bhutan investigating issues related to preventing hypertension, such as examining social and cultural factors. Specifically, investigations using objective variables (such as blood pressure measurement) in surveys or biomarkers are scarce in Bhutan.

Thus, the current study focused on the prevention of hypertension in Bhutan, especially relating to identifying the sociocultural factors correlated with hypertension. The findings will contribute to the field and lead to developing measures for the prevention of hypertension.

Objectives

This study aimed to investigate the sociocultural factors associated with hypertension in Bhutan.

Materials and methods

Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted using data from the “National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan– 2014” [12].

Study setting

Bhutan is located in the eastern Himalayas between India in the east, west, and south, and China in the north. It spans 38,394 km² with a population of 748,931 in 2020. The estimated

gross domestic product per capita was \$3,411.94 (US) in 2019 [16]. Bhutan bases its national development strategy on the philosophy of gross national happiness, which aspires for sustainable development and happiness for all citizens [17, 18].

Data source

Data were derived from the “National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan—2014” conducted by the WHO and the government of Bhutan [12]. The target sample size was 2,912, which was considered sufficient to represent the target population (adults aged 18–69 years) in Bhutan (S1). Multistage cluster sampling combined with probability proportional to size and systematic random sampling were used to ensure a representative sample. The urbanicity strata level was considered (rural:urban = 7:3); an area block was designated as the cluster level ($n = 182$), selected from gewogs (group of villages). The Kish method was applied to select participants from each household using age and gender as variables and the sampling framework from the “Population and Housing Census of Bhutan 2005” [19–21]. Trained staff collected data through face-to-face interviews. There were 2,822 valid respondents, a response rate of 97% (S1). Details of the survey procedure have been previously reported [12], and we quoted some additional points from the “National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan– 2014” [12] (S1).

Definition of variable

Dependent variable. Hypertension was defined by the following criteria: (1) systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg, measured as the average of three measurements taken by health staff in the survey; (2) a previous diagnosis of hypertension by healthcare workers; (3) currently taking medication for hypertension [19].

Explanatory variables. Explanatory variables (i.e., sociocultural, lifestyle, biological, and mental health) were selected and categorized following the WHO guidelines [19] and previous literature [22–35]. Sociocultural variables included gender and marital status (hereafter gender-marital status), which we combined due to an interaction effect on hypertension (S1 and S2 Tables, S1–S3 Figs) (men-married or cohabitant, men never-married, men-separated or divorced or widowed, women-married or cohabitant, women never-married, women-separated or divorced or widowed). Furthermore, age, education, work status, place of residence (urbanicity), income, and language were also considered. Level of education was categorized as no formal education, elementary (1–10 years of education), high school (11–12 years of education), or tertiary (more than 12 years of education); a promotion test is given in the 10th and 12th years in Bhutan. Work status was defined as employed, self-employed, or nonworking. Residential area was considered as either urban or rural. Income was allocated into quartiles. Survey languages included Dzongkha, Tshanglakha, Lhotshamkha, and English.

Lifestyle variables included tobacco use, alcohol consumption, and fruit and vegetable intake. Tobacco use was rated as never, currently using tobacco, or any prior use of tobacco (not only smoking but also chewing tobacco) [19, 35]. Alcohol consumption was categorized as never, a light or moderate drinker, or a heavy drinker [19, 29]. The consumption of fruits and vegetables was rated as less than five serves per day or five or more serves per day. One serve of fruit was defined as a medium-sized banana or apple or equivalent, and one serve of vegetables was equal to one cup of green leafy vegetables or half a cup of cooked vegetables [19]. Other lifestyle factors included physical activity (≥ 150 min of moderate activity per week and less than 150 min of moderate activity per week) [19] and estimated salt intake (less than or more than 5 g per day: this cut-off point was determined according to the WHO guidelines).

The Tanaka formula was used to estimate salt intake [22, 30–34]: Salt Intake per day(g) = $(21.98 * ((\text{Spot Urinary Sodium}(\text{mmol/l})/(\text{Spot Urinary Creatinine}(\text{mg/dl}) * 10/0.0884)) * (((14.89 * \text{Weight}(\text{Kg})) + (16.14 * \text{height}(\text{m})) * (2.04 * \text{age})) - 2244.45)) * 0.392)/17.1)$.

Biomedical and mental health variables included blood glucose, total cholesterol, body mass index, family history, cardiovascular disease, and suicide ideation. Blood glucose was categorized as normal (fasting blood glucose <110 mg/dl), abnormal (fasting blood glucose $\geq$ 110 mg/dl, under medication or previously diagnosed with diabetes) [19]. Total cholesterol was categorized as <240 mg/dl, $\geq$ 240 mg/dl, or under medication [19]. Levels of BMI included <18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9, and $\geq$ 30 kg/m² [19]. Family history was assessed as either yes (positive) or no (negative) to the question, “Have any of your blood family members been diagnosed with the following diseases: elevated blood pressure?” The incidence of cardiovascular disease (yes/positive, no/negative) was assessed by asking, “Have you ever had a heart attack or chest pain from heart disease or a stroke?” Suicide ideation was assessed as yes (positive) or no (negative) by asking, “During the past 12 months, have you seriously considered attempting suicide?” All variables were measured using the WHO guidelines.

Statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were reported to describe the characteristics of the study sample. Bivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to evaluate the associations between the dependent variable and each explanatory variable. Lastly, multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to adjust for all explanatory variables.

We performed complete case analysis. In other words, participants with missing data were excluded from the analysis. In addition, pregnant women were excluded due to their differences in behavior and biological characteristics. All estimates were presented with 95% confidence intervals. The analysis was adjusted for sampling weight to ensure representativeness; these data were also generated from the STEPS Survey. Sampling weight was back-calculated using the demographic proportions of sample units by gender, age, and cluster, and the weighting for each sample from the original 2,822 respondents was further calculated. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

In addition, subgroup and sensitivity analyses were conducted. Subgroup analyses were performed with people over 40 years of age, considering the effects of aging and hormonal changes noted in previous studies [36, 37]. Hypertension in subgroup analyses was defined by the following criteria: (1) systolic blood pressure (SBP) $\geq$ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) $\geq$ 90 mmHg, measured as the average of three measurements taken by health staff in the survey; (2) a previous diagnosis of hypertension by healthcare workers; (3) currently taking medication for hypertension [19].

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to consider differences in vulnerability by hypertension severity. Alternate definitions of hypertension according to severity category were analyzed, including mean SBP $\geq$ 180 mmHg or DBP $\geq$ 120 mmHg and SBP $\geq$ 160 mmHg or DBP $\geq$ 100 mmHg [19].

Research ethics. The Research Ethics Boards of Health, Ministry of Health of the Royal Government of Bhutan (No. REBH/Approval/2018/089), and the Ethics Committee of the Kyoto University Hospital and Graduate School of Medicine (No. R1796) approved the study protocol. The above ethical committees waived the requirement for individual informed consent as this secondary analysis only used de-identified data. We have submitted a written pledge of confidentiality to the Ministry of Health of the Royal Government of Bhutan.

Results

There were 1,909 participants with complete data (Fig 1). Table 1 displays the sociodemographic characteristics of all participants, including both non-weighted and weighted data.




Fig 1. Target population.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256811.g001>

While the trend remained the same between the non-weighted and weighted data, a difference in statistical power was observed.

The participants were distributed as follows: men (746, 39.1%) and women (1163, 60.9%) without weight adjustment. The majority were married or cohabitating (80.9%). The mean age was 40.2 years (SD: 12.3). More than half of the participants (50.7%) had no formal education, and 48.7% were self-employed. Lastly, 45% were diagnosed with hypertension. Table 2 displays the results of bivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension, and Table 3 presents the results of multivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension.

In terms of the sociocultural variables, hypertension was significantly associated with age (30–39 years [AOR: 2.14; 95% CI: 1.56–2.94], 40–49 years [AOR: 3.60; 95% CI: 2.57–5.04], 50–59 years [AOR: 4.78; 95% CI: 3.27–7.00], and 60–69 years [OR: 7.50; 95% CI: 4.75–11.85]) and survey language (Tshanglakha [AOR: 1.72, 95% CI: 1.31–2.25], Lhotshamkha [AOR: 1.37, 95% CI: 1.05–1.79], and English [AOR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.10–1.00]). Level of education, working status, income level, and residential area were not significantly related to hypertension.

Regarding gender with marital status, never-married men (AOR: 2.22; 95% CI: 1.23–4.01) displayed increased odds of acquiring hypertension compared to married or cohabitant men [Reference: AOR:1]. Multivariable analysis of the weighted data revealed that married or cohabiting women (AOR: 1.27; 95% CI: 1.23–1.31) or separated, divorced, or widowed women (AOR: 1.18; 95% CI: 1.12–1.25) exhibited increased odds of having hypertension compared with married men [Reference: AOR:1] or never-married women (AOR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.40–0.49). Moreover, separated, divorced, or widowed men (AOR: 0.66; 95% CI: 0.60–0.71) displayed lower odds of hypertension compared to separated, divorced, or widowed women (AOR: 1.18; 95% CI: 1.12–1.25).

In terms of lifestyle variables (Table 3), light or moderate drinkers (AOR: 1.27; 95% CI: 1.00–1.62) and heavy drinkers (AOR: 1.55; 95% CI: 1.18–2.04) had increased odds of

Table 1. Distribution of sociodemographic variables.

		Total(n)	Hypertension ¹⁾		
			Non-weighted(n)	Non-weighted	Weighted
Total		1909	1268	45.0%	40.9%
Gender-Marital Status	Men-Married or cohabitant	630	286	45.4%	41.2%
	Men-Never married	77	27	35.1%	32.0%
	Men-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	39	19	48.7%	44.4%
	Women-Married or cohabitant	915	424	46.3%	45.2%
	Women-Never married	71	10	14.1%	10.5%
	Women-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	177	101	57.1%	54.9%
Age	18–29 years	428	92	21.5%	20.7%
	30–39 years	560	235	42.0%	41.6%
	40–49 years	464	255	55.0%	52.8%
	50–59 years	297	179	60.3%	62.1%
	60–69 years	160	106	66.3%	68.8%
Education-years	No formal education	1175	596	50.7%	47.3%
	1–10 years	583	234	40.1%	37.5%
	11–12 years	96	16	16.7%	18.4%
	More than 12 years	55	21	38.2%	38.9%
Working Status	Employee	328	134	40.9%	38.6%
	Self-employed	1059	516	48.7%	44.6%
	Non-working	522	217	41.6%	38.1%
Residential area	Rural	1320	613	46.4%	42.0%
	Urban	589	254	43.1%	41.0%
Income	Nu.0–9,000	707	327	46.3%	44.6%
	Nu.9,001–30,000	741	357	48.2%	42.4%
	Nu.30,001–60,000	555	230	41.4%	33.8%
	Nu.60,001–	732	316	43.2%	42.0%
Survey language	Dzongkha	679	269	39.6%	40.4%
	Tshanglakha	667	362	54.3%	47.8%
	Lhotshamkha	531	232	43.7%	39.2%
	English	32	4	12.5%	12.0%
Tobacco use	Never use	1518	713	47.0%	43.3%
	Currently use	391	154	39.4%	36.9%
Alcohol consumption	Never drink	962	388	40.3%	37.6%
	Light or moderate drinking	536	252	47.0%	43.5%
	Heavy drinking	411	227	55.2%	47.9%
Fruit and vegetable consumption	More than 5 serves per day	648	316	48.8%	44.0%
	Five or fewer serves per day	1261	551	43.7%	40.5%
Physical Activity	150 min or more per week	1760	805	45.7%	41.9%
	Less than 150 min per week	149	62	41.6%	38.8%
Salt intake	Below 5g per day	21	9	42.9%	38.9%
	Above or 5g per day	1888	858	45.4%	41.7%
Blood glucose	Normal	1758	761	43.3%	39.8%
	Abnormal	151	106	70.2%	65.1%
Total cholesterol	Lower than 240 mg/dl	1870	843	45.1%	41.3%
	240 mg/dl or higher	39	24	61.5%	60.9%

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

		Total(n)	Hypertension ¹⁾		
			Non-weighted(n)	Non-weighted	Weighted
BMI	<18.5	67	19	28.4%	23.1%
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	1118	435	38.9%	36.4%
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	568	311	54.8%	51.6%
	≥30.0	156	102	65.4%	65.2%
Family history of hypertension	Negative	1277	561	43.9%	41.1%
	Positive	632	306	48.4%	42.8%
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	1893	859	45.4%	41.7%
	Positive	16	8	50.0%	42.7%
Considering suicide	Negative	1867	853	45.7%	41.7%
	Positive	42	14	33.3%	38.8%

¹⁾ Hypertension was defined by the following criteria: (1) systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg, measured as the average of three measurements taken by health staff in the survey; (2) a previous diagnosis of hypertension by healthcare workers; (3) currently taking medication for hypertension [19].

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256811.t001>

hypertension. There was no significant association with salt intake in the non-weighted data; however, multivariable analysis using weighted data showed that more than 5 g of salt intake per day (AOR: 1.41; 95% CI: 1.24–1.60) displayed increased odds of having hypertension. In contrast, current tobacco use (AOR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.57–0.98) was associated with lower odds of having hypertension. Furthermore, hypertension was not significantly associated with fruit and vegetable consumption or physical activity in the multivariable analysis of the non-weighted data. Multivariable analysis of the weighted data revealed that consumption of five serves of fruit and vegetables or fewer per day (AOR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.94–0.99) and less than 150 min of physical activity per week (AOR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.78–0.86) decreased the odds of having hypertension.

Table 4 displays the results of subgroup analysis (only for adults 40 years of age or more). The correlation coefficient between marital status and age was not too high ($r = 0.34$). Married or cohabitating women (AOR: 1.58; 95% CI: 1.11–2.26) and separated, divorced, or widowed women (AOR: 1.71; 95% CI: 1.07–2.73) displayed increased odds of hypertension compared with men. The subgroup analysis with weighted data displayed similar trends to the multivariable analysis using weighted data, excluding fruit and vegetable consumption.

In contrast to the whole sample, multivariable analysis of the weighted data from adults aged 40 years or more (Table 4) revealed five servings of fruit and vegetables or less per day (AOR: 1.21; 95% CI: 1.16–1.27) increased the odds of having hypertension.

Regarding the biomedical variables (Table 3), abnormal blood glucose (AOR: 2.13; 95% CI: 1.43–3.17), BMI (25.0–29.9 kg/m² [AOR: 2.61; 95% CI: 1.42–4.78] and ≥30.0 kg/m² [AOR: 3.64; 95% CI: 1.84–7.21]), and a positive family history (AOR: 1.35; 95% CI: 1.09–1.69) increased the odds of having hypertension. Total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dl (AOR: 1.66; 95% CI: 1.52–1.81) displayed increased odds of having hypertension in the multivariable analysis of the weighted data. In contrast, cardiovascular disease (AOR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.56–0.76) exhibited decreased odds of having hypertension in the multivariable analysis of the weighted data.

There was no significant association between mental health variables and having hypertension in the multivariable analysis of the non-weighted data (Table 3). However, the multivariable analysis using the weighted data revealed increased odds of having hypertension with positive suicide ideation (AOR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.14–1.35).

Table 2. Bivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension with sociocultural, lifestyle, biomedical, and mental health variables (n = 1,909).

n = 1909		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		OR	95%CI	p-value	AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value
Gender-Marital Status	Men-Married or cohabitant	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Men-Never married	0.54	(0.35–0.83)	0.005	0.53	(0.51–0.55)	<0.001
	Men-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	1.02	(0.60–1.74)	0.942	0.92	(0.87–0.99)	0.017
	Women-Married or cohabitant	1.01	(0.85–1.21)	0.872	1.10	(1.08–1.12)	<0.001
	Women-Never married	0.29	(0.18–0.47)	<0.001	0.28	(0.26–0.30)	<0.001
	Women-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	1.56	(1.17–2.08)	0.003	1.75	(1.68–1.83)	<0.001
Age	18–29 years	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	30–39 years	2.23	(1.76–2.83)	<0.001	2.26	(2.21–2.32)	<0.001
	40–49 years	4.25	(3.32–5.43)	<0.001	4.06	(3.94–4.18)	<0.001
	50–59 years	4.67	(3.56–6.11)	<0.001	5.39	(5.22–5.57)	<0.001
	60–69 years	6.03	(4.35–8.37)	<0.001	6.58	(6.32–6.85)	<0.001
Education-years	No formal education	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	1–10 years	0.63	(0.53–0.75)	<0.001	0.64	(0.63–0.65)	<0.001
	11–12 years	0.23	(0.15–0.35)	<0.001	0.28	(0.27–0.30)	<0.001
	More than 12 years	0.67	(0.43–1.05)	0.080	0.75	(0.72–0.79)	<0.001
Working Status	Employee	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Self-employed	1.33	(1.08–1.64)	0.008	1.28	(1.25–1.31)	<0.001
	Non-working	0.95	(0.75–1.20)	0.650	0.92	(0.89–0.94)	<0.001
Residential area	Rural	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Urban	0.93	(0.79–1.09)	0.365	1.03	(1.01–1.04)	0.012
Income	Nu.0–9,000	Ref	(-)	0.077	Ref	(-)	
	Nu.9,001–30,000	1.03	(0.84–1.27)	0.771	0.88	(0.86–0.91)	<0.001
	Nu.30,001–60,000	0.80	(0.64–1.01)	0.055	0.62	(0.60–0.64)	<0.001
	Nu.60,001–	0.86	(0.70–1.06)	0.165	0.88	(0.86–0.90)	<0.001
Survey language	Dzongkha	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Tshanglakha	1.69	(1.41–2.03)	<0.001	1.34	(1.32–1.37)	<0.001
	Lhotshamkha	1.24	(1.02–1.52)	0.032	1.09	(1.07–1.12)	<0.001
	English	0.43	(0.21–0.89)	0.022	0.47	(0.44–0.51)	<0.001
Tobacco use	Never use	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Currently use	0.79	(0.65–0.96)	0.016	0.84	(0.82–0.85)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption	Never drink	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Light or moderate drinking	1.29	(1.06–1.58)	0.012	1.21	(1.18–1.23)	<0.001
	Heavy drinking	1.78	(1.43–2.22)	<0.001	1.43	(1.40–1.47)	<0.001
Fruit and vegetable consumption	More than 5 serves per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Five or fewer serves per day	0.81	(0.69–0.96)	0.012	0.84	(0.82–0.85)	<0.001
Physical Activity	150 min or more per week	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Less than 150 min per week	1.00	(0.75–1.33)	0.987	1.01	(0.98–1.05)	0.463
Salt intake	Less than 5 g per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 g or more per day	1.05	(0.49–2.25)	0.905	1.35	(1.22–1.50)	<0.001
Blood glucose	Normal	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Abnormal	2.92	(2.15–3.98)	<0.001	2.52	(2.43–2.61)	<0.001
Total cholesterol	Lower than 240 mg/dl	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	240 mg/dl or higher	2.66	(1.47–4.83)	0.001	3.14	(2.93–3.37)	<0.001
BMI	<18.5	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	1.36	(0.86–2.17)	0.194	1.71	(1.62–1.80)	<0.001
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	2.73	(1.70–4.39)	<0.001	3.27	(3.10–3.46)	<0.001
	≥30.0	5.21	(3.03–8.94)	<0.001	7.34	(6.88–7.84)	<0.001

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

n = 1909		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		OR	95%CI	p-value	AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value
Family history of hypertension	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.14	(0.97–1.34)	0.110	1.09	(1.07–1.11)	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.47	(0.61–3.55)	0.397	2.24	(2.04–2.47)	<0.001
Considering Suicide	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.69	(0.40–1.17)	0.163	0.73	(0.69–0.78)	<0.001

¹⁾ Weight-Adjusted Odds Ratio.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256811.t002>

For sensitivity analysis (S3 Table), hypertension was redefined as a mean SBP ≥ 180 mmHg or DBP ≥ 120 mmHg and SBP ≥ 160 mmHg or DBP ≥ 100 mmHg. These trends were similar; however, the odds increased for separated, divorced, or widowed men.

Discussion

The incidence of hypertension was significantly related to sociocultural factors in Bhutan, including survey language and gender with marital status. Similar to other countries, hypertension was also significantly related to biomedical and lifestyle factors, except tobacco use.

Survey language

The odds of hypertension varied with the language in which the survey was administered. The highest odds of hypertension were observed for the Tshanglakha group, followed by the Lhotshamkha and Dzongkha groups, even after adjusting for other risk factors. The differences between the languages may be due to underlying cultural differences, such as dietary habits. Culture is deeply related to a person's daily life, cognition, and habits [38], incorporating differences in diet and social norms [15, 39]. The survey languages used in the study were not necessarily, but quite likely, to be identical to the respondent's native language. Language categories also correspond to regional or racial differences [40]. Such differences may influence access to vegetables and water, as well as disparities in altitude and climate [41]. Moreover, differences may exist between the language groups regarding access to hospitals and health information, or genetic difference due to race or ethnicity [18].

These findings indicate that it is necessary to strengthen the strategies for early detection and screening of hypertension, particularly in Tshanglakha and Lhotshamkha language groups, because there is a potentially hidden risk of hypertension. The survey's cross-sectional design did not enable identification of the reasons underlying this disparity, which warrants further investigation. Even within small areas such as Bhutan, careful attention should be given to cultural factors, such as regional characteristics, customs, and the environment, to enhance hypertension prevention strategies.

Gender with marital status

The prevalence of hypertension varied by gender with marital status. Married or cohabitating women and separated, divorced, or widowed women had a higher prevalence than men, even after adjusting for biological risk factors. Other factors, such as chronic stress or unmeasured cultural factors, may also influence this observation [8, 42]. In Bhutan, being happy is

Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension with sociocultural, lifestyle, biomedical, and mental health variables (n = 1,909).

n = 1909		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value	AOR ²⁾	95%CI	p-value
Gender-Marital Status	Men-Married or cohabitant	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Men-Never married	2.22	(1.23–4.01)	0.008	1.97	(1.87–2.08)	<0.001
	Men-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	0.80	(0.40–1.62)	0.543	0.66	(0.60–0.71)	<0.001
	Women-Married or cohabitant	1.19	(0.92–1.54)	0.185	1.27	(1.23–1.31)	<0.001
	Women-Never married	0.57	(0.26–1.22)	0.146	0.44	(0.40–0.49)	<0.001
	Women-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	1.35	(0.92–1.97)	0.123	1.18	(1.12–1.25)	<0.001
Age	18–29 years	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	30–39 years	2.14	(1.56–2.94)	<0.001	2.52	(2.43–2.60)	<0.001
	40–49 years	3.60	(2.57–5.04)	<0.001	3.48	(3.35–3.62)	<0.001
	50–59 years	4.78	(3.27–7.00)	<0.001	5.57	(5.33–5.82)	<0.001
	60–69 years	7.50	(4.75–11.85)	<0.001	8.56	(8.10–9.05)	<0.001
Education-years	No formal education	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	1–10 years	0.92	(0.72–1.18)	0.516	0.96	(0.93–0.99)	0.003
	11–12 years	0.52	(0.27–1.00)	0.048	0.60	(0.57–0.64)	<0.001
	More than 12 years	0.95	(0.46–1.95)	0.886	0.95	(0.88–1.03)	0.212
Working Status	Employee	Ref	(-)	0.606	Ref	(-)	
	Self-employed	0.93	(0.67–1.30)	0.673	1.03	(0.99–1.06)	0.159
	Non-working	0.84	(0.59–1.21)	0.355	0.89	(0.86–0.93)	<0.001
Residential area	Rural	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Urban	0.97	(0.74–1.27)	0.805	1.11	(1.07–1.14)	<0.001
Income	Nu.0–9,000	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Nu.9,001–30,000	1.11	(0.85–1.46)	0.457	0.90	(0.88–0.93)	<0.001
	Nu.30,001–60,000	1.07	(0.78–1.48)	0.671	0.73	(0.70–0.76)	<0.001
	Nu.60,001–	1.18	(0.85–1.65)	0.327	1.05	(1.01–1.09)	0.015
Survey language	Dzongkha	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Tshanglakha	1.72	(1.32–2.25)	<0.001	1.24	(1.20–1.27)	<0.001
	Lhotshamkha	1.37	(1.05–1.79)	0.019	1.09	(1.06–1.12)	<0.001
	English	0.31	(0.10–1.00)	0.05	0.29	(0.26–0.32)	<0.001
Tobacco use	Never use	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Currently use	0.75	(0.57–0.98)	0.037	0.82	(0.79–0.84)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption	Never drink	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Light or moderate drinking	1.27	(1.00–1.62)	0.054	1.24	(1.20–1.27)	<0.001
	Heavy drinking	1.55	(1.18–2.04)	0.002	1.32	(1.28–1.36)	<0.001
Fruit and vegetable consumption	More than 5 serves per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Five or fewer serves per day	1.09	(0.87–1.37)	0.472	0.96	(0.94–0.99)	0.003
Physical Activity	150 min or more per week	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Less than 150 min per week	0.80	(0.54–1.19)	0.272	0.82	(0.78–0.86)	<0.001
Salt intake	Below 5 g per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 g or more per day	1.40	(0.55–3.58)	0.479	1.41	(1.24–1.60)	<0.001
Blood glucose	Normal	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Abnormal	2.13	(1.43–3.17)	<0.001	2.27	(2.16–2.37)	<0.001
Total cholesterol	Lower than 240 mg/dl	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	240 mg/dl or higher	1.31	(0.64–2.70)	0.456	1.66	(1.52–1.81)	<0.001

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

n = 1909		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value	AOR ²⁾	95%CI	p-value
BMI	<18.5	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	1.53	(0.85–2.76)	0.156	1.79	(1.68–1.92)	<0.001
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	2.61	(1.42–4.78)	0.002	2.95	(2.75–3.16)	<0.001
	≥30.0	3.64	(1.84–7.21)	<0.001	4.23	(3.91–4.57)	<0.001
Family history of hypertension	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.35	(1.09–1.69)	0.007	1.13	(1.11–1.16)	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.88	(0.29–2.66)	0.826	0.65	(0.56–0.76)	<0.001
Considering Suicide	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.79	(0.38–1.66)	0.528	1.24	(1.14–1.35)	<0.001

¹⁾ Adjusted with all explanatory variables.

²⁾ Weight adjusted and adjusted with all explanatory variables.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256811.t003>

considered a virtue [15], which from a social background perspective might make it more difficult to verbalize negative emotions. However, verbalizing negative emotions can help prevent people from being stressed [43]. Therefore, strategies that enable vulnerable people to reduce stress and negative emotions may be necessary.

Previous studies in other countries indicate that divorced individuals or those who have lost a partner have higher health risks [44]. Furthermore, divorced men or those who have lost a partner have poorer mental health than women, and marital status satisfaction and social roles influence health [45, 46]. Specifically, hypertension is linked to chronic stress [47], whereas unmeasured factors related to gender with marital status, such as sociocultural vulnerability and gender issues, may also influence health [47, 48]. Further research is necessary to confirm whether women have a sociocultural vulnerability towards hypertension compared to men in Bhutan. When promoting the early detection and screening of hypertension, careful attention should be given to married or cohabitating women and separated, divorced, or widowed women in Bhutan.

Biomedical and lifestyle factors

Biological factors, such as aging, non-standard BMI, impaired fasting blood glucose, and high levels of total blood cholesterol, were risk factors of hypertension that are common across countries [19]. In contrast, tobacco use was not identified as a risk factor in the present study. These risk factors also influence the incidence of cardiovascular diseases [3]. Periodic screening and the early detection of hypertension may help prevent cardiovascular disease. As a mental health factor, suicide ideation was also significantly associated with hypertension, similar to findings in other countries. As such, periodic blood pressures assessments are recommended when monitoring mental health [1, 2]. Although people might hesitate to ask for help concerning mental health issues (such as suicide attempts), a healthcare worker may identify concerns about a patient's mental health when monitoring their blood pressure and may serve as gatekeepers for detection and prevention. Conversely, no consistent significant association was found between hypertension and low levels of education or working status. This finding may indicate that other biological or sociocultural factors have a strong influence on hypertension.

In terms of behavior, reducing alcohol consumption and avoiding excessive salt intake have been identified as important primary prevention strategies by previous studies in Bhutan [13,

Table 4. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension with sociocultural, lifestyle, biomedical, and mental health variables for participants aged 40 years or more.

n = 921		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value	AOR ²⁾	95%CI	p-value
Gender-Marital Status	Men-Married or cohabitant	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Men-Never married	1.06	(0.18–6.10)	0.952	0.48	(0.39–0.59)	<0.001
	Men-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	0.90	(0.41–1.97)	0.785	0.62	(0.57–0.69)	<0.001
	Women-Married or cohabitant	1.58	(1.11–2.26)	0.012	1.88	(1.79–1.97)	<0.001
	Women-Never married	1.29	(0.32–5.13)	0.721	0.81	(0.62–1.04)	0.098
	Women-Separated or Divorced or Widowed	1.71	(1.07–2.73)	0.024	1.81	(1.68–1.95)	<0.001
Age	40–49 years	Ref	(-)	<0.001	Ref	(-)	
	50–59 years	1.45	(1.04–2.01)	0.028	1.76	(1.68–1.84)	<0.001
	60–69 years	2.39	(1.56–3.66)	<0.001	3.08	(2.90–3.27)	<0.001
Education-years	No formal education	Ref	(-)	0.276	Ref	(-)	
	1–10 years	1.07	(0.72–1.60)	0.729	1.29	(1.22–1.36)	<0.001
	11–12 years	3.94	(0.36–42.63)	0.259	4.53	(3.43–5.97)	<0.001
	More than 12 years	3.75	(0.80–17.70)	0.095	7.01	(5.53–8.89)	<0.001
Working Status	Employee	Ref	(-)	0.793	Ref	(-)	
	Self-employed	0.87	(0.52–1.47)	0.609	1.01	(0.94–1.07)	0.883
	Non-working	0.82	(0.46–1.45)	0.496	0.90	(0.83–0.97)	0.005
Residential area	Rural	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Urban	0.86	(0.56–1.30)	0.472	1.01	(0.96–1.07)	0.659
Income	Nu.0–9,000	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Nu.9,001–30,000	1.19	(0.83–1.71)	0.349	1.13	(1.07–1.18)	<0.001
	Nu.30,001–60,000	1.51	(0.96–2.37)	0.073	1.16	(1.09–1.24)	<0.001
	Nu.60,001–	1.19	(0.74–1.93)	0.47	1.33	(1.24–1.42)	<0.001
Survey language	Dzongkha	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Tshanglakha	1.80	(1.22–2.66)	0.003	1.41	(1.33–1.49)	<0.001
	Lhotshamkha	1.45	(0.99–2.12)	0.056	1.13	(1.07–1.19)	<0.001
	English	0.51	(0.10–2.80)	0.442	0.27	(0.22–0.35)	<0.001
Tobacco use	Never use	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Currently use	0.68	(0.46–1.00)	0.049	0.62	(0.59–0.65)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption	Never drink	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Light or moderate drinking	1.40	(0.98–1.98)	0.063	1.43	(1.36–1.49)	<0.001
	Heavy drinking	1.91	(1.29–2.83)	0.001	2.25	(2.13–2.37)	<0.001
Fruit and vegetable consumption	More than 5 serves per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 or fewer serves per day	1.15	(0.82–1.60)	0.418	1.21	(1.16–1.27)	<0.001
Physical Activity	150 min or more per week	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Less than 150 min per week	0.91	(0.50–1.68)	0.772	0.89	(0.82–0.97)	0.008
Salt intake	Less than 5 g per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 g per day or more	6.02	(1.20–30.18)	0.029	14.93	(10.95–20.36)	<0.001
Blood glucose	Normal	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Abnormal	2.28	(1.37–3.81)	0.002	2.88	(2.67–3.11)	<0.001
Total cholesterol	Lower than 240 mg/dl	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	240 mg/dl or higher	0.74	(0.30–1.85)	0.524	0.95	(0.84–1.08)	0.455
BMI	<18.5	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	1.26	(0.59–2.70)	0.548	0.88	(0.79–0.97)	0.009
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	2.19	(0.99–4.86)	0.054	1.44	(1.29–1.60)	<0.001
	≥30.0	3.48	(1.38–8.81)	0.008	2.05	(1.82–2.32)	<0.001

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued)

n = 921		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value	AOR ²⁾	95%CI	p-value
Family history of hypertension	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.36	(0.98–1.89)	0.064	1.38	(1.32–1.44)	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	3.13	(0.60–16.42)	0.177	1.12	(0.92–1.36)	0.255
Considering Suicide	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.16	(0.35–3.87)	0.81	3.32	(2.74–4.03)	<0.001

¹⁾ Adjusted with all explanatory variables.

²⁾ Weight adjusted and adjusted with all explanatory variables.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256811.t004>

[15]. Similar to other countries [19], alcohol consumption and excess salt intake are risk factors for hypertension in Bhutan [1, 2]. In urban areas, people have moved from various regions, resulting in communities of mixed languages. However, in rural areas, each village has its own native language. In Bhutan, chili peppers, oil, and salt are usually served as side dishes, a practice which may be due to environmental factors, such as the high altitude, which prevents the growth of fruits and vegetables, and the need to preserve food for a long time. People may find it difficult to adjust to rapid social change in modern society. It is also possible that people may miss the foods they ate in their childhood, even after moving to urban areas and changing their lifestyle. The supplementary materials (S5 Table) report salt intake by survey language.

In the present study, the odds of hypertension significantly decreased with any current use of tobacco. Although tobacco is widely known to be harmful to health [19], tobacco use in Bhutan may protect from hypertension as people tend to smoke in a social context, i.e., when chatting together, which symbolizes friendship in terms of social exchange [15]. As Buddhists comprise the majority of Bhutan's population, religious or public places remain tobacco-free [49]. In 2004, the Government of Bhutan banned the sale of tobacco products in the country or made them available with 100% tax [50]. Tobacco consumption may be suppressed due to these inhibitory measures, and the health-promoting effects of social interaction may outweigh the harm from tobacco use.

Strengths and limitations

This study had several strengths and limitations. The study design was cross-sectional, which renders it difficult to establish a cause-and-effect relationship. The dataset from the “National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan-2014” is representative of the entire population of Bhutan with a valid response rate of 97%. However, there is the possibility of non-response bias. Accordingly, we also conducted multiple imputation analysis (S4 Table), which found the same trends. In addition, some self-reported variables are exposed to the possibility of bias. For example, socially desirable answers may have influenced the results of face-to-face interviews. However, the bias was minimized, because trained health professional staff did the survey under supervision. Interviews were the only suitable method for collecting data from this target population with low literacy rates.

In terms of feasibility, the survey utilized the best means possible to conduct such an extensive survey in Bhutan, which included specific biomarkers. An advantage of the study method was that it collected many objective variables, such as blood pressure, blood glucose, total cholesterol, and spot urine. Ideally, 24-hour urine analysis would have been more accurate for salt

intake than spot urine, and triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, and low-density lipoprotein levels would have been more accurate than total cholesterol as a risk factor for hypertension. Nonetheless, the study is one of few in Bhutan that has focused on social and cultural factors related to hypertension after adjusting for all possible and available confounders.

Conclusion

This study indicates that the prevalence of hypertension in Bhutan was associated with cultural factors such as regional characteristics, customs, environment, and gender with and marital status. The screening and early detection of hypertension should be strongly emphasized, particularly among people who speak Tshanglakha, Lhotshamkha, and women who are married, separated, divorced, or widowed. The mechanism by which cultural differences influence hypertension in Bhutan should be further investigated.

Supporting information

S1 File. Sample size and survey procedures quoted from the original report of the World Health Organization and Ministry of Health in Bhutan (National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan—2014).

(PDF)

S1 Fig. Interaction effect between gender and marital status (Hypertension*Gender).

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Interaction effect between gender and marital status (Hypertension*Marital Status).

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Interaction effect between gender and marital status (Hypertension*Gender*Marital Status).

(TIF)

S1 Table. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension with sociocultural, lifestyle, biomedical, and mental health variables: Without considering interaction effects (n = 1,909).

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension with sociocultural, lifestyle, biomedical, and mental health variables: Including interaction effects (n = 1,909).

(DOCX)

S3 Table. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for Hypertension with Sociocultural, Lifestyle, Biomedical, and mental health variables: High blood pressure was defined as being diagnosed or under medication for hypertension, or a mean SBP ≥ 180 mmHg or DBP ≥ 120 mmHg, and SBP ≥ 160 mmHg or DBP ≥ 100 mmHg, according to the severity of hypertension categories.

(DOCX)

S4 Table. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for hypertension with sociocultural, lifestyle, biomedical, and mental health variables: Multiple imputation.

(DOCX)

S5 Table. Estimated salt intake by survey language category. Estimated Salt Intake per day (g) by Survey language.
(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We appreciate all participants in Bhutan. We would like to thank Cambridge English Correction Service for the English language review. We appreciate the Khesar Gyalpo University of Medical Sciences of Bhutan and the Ministry of Health of Bhutan as well as all the support extended by the Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Japan.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Hiromi Kohori Segawa, Hironori Uematsu, Nidup Dorji, Ugyen Wangdi, Pemba Yangchen, Ryota Sakamoto, Yuichi Imanaka.

Data curation: Hiromi Kohori Segawa, Pemba Yangchen.

Formal analysis: Hiromi Kohori Segawa.

Funding acquisition: Hiromi Kohori Segawa, Yuichi Imanaka.

Investigation: Hiromi Kohori Segawa.

Methodology: Hiromi Kohori Segawa, Hironori Uematsu, Susumu Kunisawa.

Project administration: Hiromi Kohori Segawa, Nidup Dorji, Ugyen Wangdi, Chench Dorjee.

Supervision: Chench Dorjee, Yuichi Imanaka.

Visualization: Hiromi Kohori Segawa.

Writing – original draft: Hiromi Kohori Segawa.

Writing – review & editing: Hiromi Kohori Segawa, Hironori Uematsu, Nidup Dorji, Susumu Kunisawa, Ryota Sakamoto, Yuichi Imanaka.

References

1. World Health Organization. Global action plan for the prevention and control of non-communicable disease 2013–2020. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241506236>.
2. World Health Organization. Non-communicable diseases and their risk factors. Major NCDs and their Risk factors. 2017. <https://www.who.int/ncds/introduction/en/>.
3. Kjeldsen SE. Hypertension and cardiovascular risk: General aspects. *Pharmacol Res.* 2018; 129:95–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2017.11.003> PMID: 29127059
4. Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *Bmj.* 2009; 338:b1665. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b1665> PMID: 19454737
5. Ramirez LA, Sullivan JC. Sex Differences in Hypertension: Where We Have Been and Where We Are Going. *American Journal of Hypertension.* 2018; 31(12):1247–54. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpy148> PMID: 30299518
6. Krieger N. Racial and gender discrimination: Risk factors for high blood pressure? *Social Science & Medicine.* 1990; 30(12):1273–81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536\(90\)90307-e](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(90)90307-e) PMID: 2367873
7. Sparrenberger F, Cicheler FT, Ascoli AM, Fonseca FP, Weiss G, Berwanger O, et al. Does psychosocial stress cause hypertension? A systematic review of observational studies. *Journal of Human Hypertension.* 2009; 23(1):12–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jhh.2008.74> PMID: 18615099

8. Pickering TG, Devereux RB, James GD, Gerin W, Landsbergis P, Schnall PL, et al. Environmental influences on blood pressure and the role of job strain. *J Hypertens Suppl.* 1996; 14(5):S179–85. PMID: [9120676](#)
9. Foulds HJA, Bredin SSD, Warburton DER. Ethnic differences in vascular function and factors contributing to blood pressure. *Can J Public Health.* 2018; 109(3):316–26. <https://doi.org/10.17269/s41997-018-0076-z> PMID: [29981097](#)
10. World Health Organization. NCD country profile; 2011. https://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd_profiles2011/en/.
11. World Health Organization. NCD country profile; 2018. https://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd_profiles-2018/en/.
12. World Health Organization and Ministry of Health in Bhutan. National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan— 2014. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/204659>.
13. Pelzom D, Isaakidis P, Oo MM, Gurung MS, Yangchen P. Alarming prevalence and clustering of modifiable noncommunicable disease risk factors among adults in Bhutan: a nationwide cross-sectional community survey. *BMC Public Health.* 2017; 17(1):975. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4989-x> PMID: [29268747](#)
14. Ministry of Health in Bhutan. Non-communicable Disease Division, Department of Public Health. Package of Essential NCD (PEN) protocol for BHUs. 2017.
15. Kohori-Segawa H, Dorji C, Dorji K, Wangdi U, Dema C, Dorji Y, et al. A qualitative study on knowledge, perception, and practice related to non-communicable diseases in relation to happiness among rural and urban residents in Bhutan. *PLoS One.* 2020; 15(6):e0234257. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234257> PMID: [32598347](#)
16. National Statistics Bureau in Bhutan. STATISTICAL YEARBOOK 2020.
17. The constitution of Bhutan 2008.
18. Centre for Bhutan Studies & GNH Research. 2015 GNH survey report a Compass towards a Just and Harmonious Society 2016.
19. World Health Organization. WHO STEPS Surveillance Manual 2017. https://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/steps/STEPS_Manual.pdf.
20. National Statistics Bureau in Bhutan. Population and Housing Census of Bhutan 2005.
21. Kish L. A Procedure for Objective Respondent Selection within the Household. *Journal of the American Statistical Association.* 1949; 44(247):380–7. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2280236>
22. World Health Organization. A global brief on hypertension. Silent killer, global public health crisis. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 https://www.who.int/cardiovascular_diseases/publications/global_brief_hypertension/en/.
23. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet.* 2016; 388(10053):1659–724. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)31679-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31679-8) PMID: [27733284](#)
24. Wang X, Ouyang Y, Liu J, Zhu M, Zhao G, Bao W, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Bmj.* 2014; 349:g4490. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g4490> PMID: [25073782](#)
25. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020; 54(24):1451–62. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955> PMID: [33239350](#)
26. Holmes MV, Dale CE, Zuccolo L, Silverwood RJ, Guo Y, Ye Z, et al. Association between alcohol and cardiovascular disease: Mendelian randomisation analysis based on individual participant data. *Bmj.* 2014; 349:g4164. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g4164> PMID: [25011450](#)
27. Aburto NJ, Ziolkovska A, Hooper L, Elliott P, Cappuccino FP, Meerpohl JJ. Effect of lower sodium intake on health: systematic review and meta-analyses. *Bmj.* 2013; 346:f1326. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f1326> PMID: [23558163](#)
28. Tanaka T, Okamura T, Miura K, Kadowaki T, Ueshima H, Nakagawa H, et al. A simple method to estimate population 24-h urinary sodium and potassium excretion using a casual urine specimen. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2002; 16(2):97–103. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jhh.1001307> PMID: [11850766](#)
29. Zhang Y, Peng Y, Li K, Peng X. Assessing whether a spot urine specimen can predict 24-h urinary sodium excretion accurately: a validation study. *J Hypertens.* 2019; 37(1):99–108. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000001879> PMID: [30063643](#)

30. Dong J, Yan Y, Fan H, Zhao X, Mi J. Accuracy Validation of 8 Equations to Estimate 24-Hour Sodium by Spot Urine in Young Adolescents. *Am J Hypertens*. 2019; 32(3):257–64. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpy178> PMID: 30517605
31. Mozaffarian D, Fahimi S, Singh GM, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Engell RE, et al. Global sodium consumption and death from cardiovascular causes. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 371(7):624–34. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1304127> PMID: 25119608
32. Perreault L, Pan Q, Mather KJ, Watson KE, Hamman RF, Kahn SE. Effect of regression from prediabetes to normal glucose regulation on long-term reduction in diabetes risk: results from the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet*. 2012; 379(9833):2243–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)60525-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60525-X) PMID: 22683134
33. Huang Y, Cai X, Mai W, Li M, Hu Y. Association between prediabetes and risk of cardiovascular disease and all cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj*. 2016; 355:i5953. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i5953> PMID: 27881363
34. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet*. 2014; 384(9945):766–81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60460-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60460-8) PMID: 24880830
35. Shimada S, Hasegawa K, Wada H, Terashima S, Satoh-Asahara N, Yamakage H, et al. High blood viscosity is closely associated with cigarette smoking and markedly reduced by smoking cessation. *Circ J*. 2011; 75(1):185–9. <https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.cj-10-0335> PMID: 21071876
36. Manosroi W, Williams GH. Genetics of Human Primary Hypertension: Focus on Hormonal Mechanisms. *Endocr Rev*. 2019; 40(3):825–56. <https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00071> PMID: 30590482
37. Japanese Society for Hypertension. Guideline for the management of hypertension 2019.
38. Kramsch C, editor Language and Culture 1998. Oxford university press.
39. Sobal J. Cultural Comparison Research Designs in Food, Eating, and Nutrition. *Food Quality and Preference*. 1998; 9(6):385–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-3293\(98\)00029-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-3293(98)00029-9)
40. Dorjee K. Linguistic landscape of Bhutan: An overview of number of languages, language policy, language education, and language use in Bhutan. *Bhutan Journal of Research & Development*. 2014; 3(1):79–101.
41. Aryal N, Weatherall M, Bhatta Y, Mann S. Blood pressure and hypertension in people living at high altitude in Nepal. *Hypertension Research*. 2018; 42:284–91. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-018-0138-x> PMID: 30459461
42. Cifkova R, Pitth J, Lejskova M, Lanska V, Zecova S. Blood pressure around the menopause: a population study. *Journal of Hypertension*. 2008; 26(10). https://journals.lww.com/jhypertension/Fulltext/2008/10000/Blood_pressure_around_the_menopause_a_population.11.aspx. PMID: 18806621
43. Choi E, Chentsova-Dutton Y, Parrott WG. The Effectiveness of Somatization in Communicating Distress in Korean and American Cultural Contexts. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2016; 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00383> PMID: 27047414
44. Willitts M, Benzeval M, Stansfeld S. Partnership history and mental health over time. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2004; 58(1):53–8. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.58.1.53> PMID: 14684727
45. Chung W, Kim R. Are Married Men Healthier than Single Women? A Gender Comparison of the Health Effects of Marriage and Marital Satisfaction in East Asia. *PLoS One*. 2015; 10(7). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134260> PMID: 26230841
46. Ren XS. Marital status and quality of relationships: the impact on health perception. *Soc Sci Med*. 1997; 44(2):241–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536\(96\)00158-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(96)00158-x) PMID: 9015876
47. Spruill TM. Chronic psychosocial stress and hypertension. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2010; 12(1):10–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-009-0084-8> PMID: 20425153
48. Hamano T, Fujisawa Y, Yamasaki M, Ito K, Nabika T, Shiwa K. Contributions of social context to blood pressure: findings from a multilevel analysis of social capital and systolic blood pressure. *Am J Hypertens*. 2011; 24(6):643–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ajh.2011.37> PMID: 21415843
49. Ugen S. Bhutan: the world's most advanced tobacco control nation? *Tobacco Control*. 2003; 12(4):431–3. <https://doi.org/10.1136/tc.12.4.431> PMID: 14660782
50. World Health Organization. The Big Ban Bhutan's journey towards a tobacco-free society. 2019. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332194>.

S1 File. Sample size and survey procedures quoted from the original report of the World Health Organization and Ministry of Health in Bhutan (National survey for noncommunicable disease risk factors and mental health using WHO STEPS approach in Bhutan – 2014).

“The Sample size estimate of the number of households to be surveyed with 95% confidence was calculated using the following formula and assumptions.

$$n = \frac{Z^2_{1-\alpha} P(1 - P)}{d^2}$$

Where:

Z = level of confidence measure; this represents the number of standard errors away from the mean and describes the uncertainty in the sample mean or prevalence as an estimate of the population mean (normal deviation if alpha equals 0.05, then Z = 1.96 for 95% confidence level). P = baseline level of the indicators. This is the estimated proportion of one of the indicators related to the risk factors currently being measured. The prevalence of overweight and obesity was 52.8% from the last STEPS survey carried out in Thimphu which was the closest value to 50%. d = margin of error. The expected half width of the confidence interval was taken as 0.05 for this study

$$n = \frac{1.96 * 1.96 \{0.528(1 - 0.528)\}}{0.05 * 0.05}$$
$$n = 382.9552$$

Four domains were chosen based on men and women and two age groups: younger (18–39 years) and older (40–69 years), providing four age/sex estimates. Taking into account the number of domains and ensuring enough representation by either age-sex groups or urban-rural groups in men and women, and with a design effect of 1.5 to address the issue of cluster sampling, the expected sample size was as follows:

$$n = 382.9552 * 1.5 * 4 = 2297.7316$$

Assuming an expected 80% response rate, the final required sample size was 2912.

$$n = 2297.7316/0.8 = 2872.1646\sim \text{(rounded to 2912 for logistical ease)}$$

Out of the targeted 2912 respondents, 2822 (96.9%) participated in STEP I (behavioral measurement), and 2816 (96.7%) participated in STEP 2 (physical measurements). For STEP 3 (biochemical measurement), the response rate for the fasting blood glucose test, excluding non-fasting respondents, was 93.5% (2724 respondents), that for total cholesterol levels was 94.8% (2761 respondents), and urine collection for salt estimation was 89.9% (2618 respondents).”

S1 Table. Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis for Hypertension with Sociocultural, Lifestyle, Biomedical, and Mental Health Variables: without considering interaction effects (n = 1,909)

n=1909		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value	AOR ²⁾	95%CI	p-value
Gender	Men	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Women	1.08	(0.85 - 1.38)	0.511	1.14	(1.11 - 1.17)	<0.001
Marital Status	Married or cohabitant	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Never married	0.90	(0.56 - 1.43)	0.641	0.80	(0.76 - 0.84)	<0.001
	Separated or Divorced or Widow	0.97	(0.55 - 1.69)	0.906	0.69	(0.65 - 0.74)	<0.001
Age	18-29 years	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	30-39 years	2.13	(1.56 - 2.92)	<0.001	2.47	(2.39 - 2.56)	<0.001
	40-49 years	3.52	(2.52 - 4.91)	<0.001	3.41	(3.29 - 3.55)	<0.001
	50-59 years	4.70	(3.22 - 6.86)	<0.001	5.45	(5.21 - 5.69)	<0.001
	60-69 years	7.46	(4.73 - 11.76)	<0.001	8.35	(7.90 - 8.82)	<0.001
Education-years	No formal education	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	1-10 years	0.92	(0.71 - 1.18)	0.489	0.94	(0.92 - 0.97)	<0.001
	11-12 years	0.59	(0.31 - 1.12)	0.105	0.65	(0.61 - 0.69)	<0.001
	More than 12 years	0.96	(0.47 - 1.95)	0.911	0.95	(0.88 - 1.02)	0.164
Working Status	Employee	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Self-employed	0.96	(0.69 - 1.35)	0.823	1.07	(1.03 - 1.11)	<0.001
	Non-working	0.88	(0.61 - 1.25)	0.465	0.92	(0.88 - 0.96)	<0.001
Residential area	Rural	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Urban	0.98	(0.75 - 1.28)	0.869	1.12	(1.09 - 1.16)	<0.001
Income	Nu.0-9,000	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Nu.9,001-30,000	1.12	(0.85 - 1.47)	0.415	0.90	(0.88 - 0.93)	<0.001
	Nu.30,001-60,000	1.08	(0.78 - 1.48)	0.652	0.73	(0.71 - 0.76)	<0.001
	Nu.60,001-	1.17	(0.84 - 1.62)	0.364	1.03	(0.99 - 1.07)	0.160
Survey language	Dzongkha	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Tshanglakha	0.00	(1.29 - 2.20)	1.687	1.21	(1.18 - 1.25)	<0.001
	Lhotshamkha	0.03	(1.04 - 1.76)	1.348	1.08	(1.05 - 1.11)	<0.001
	English	0.05	(0.10 - 0.99)	0.308	0.28	(0.25 - 0.32)	<0.001
Tobacco use	Never use	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Currently use	0.04	(0.58 - 0.99)	0.753	0.82	(0.80 - 0.84)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption	Never drink	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Light or moderate drinking	1.25	(0.98 - 1.59)	0.075	1.22	(1.19 - 1.26)	<0.001
	Heavy drinking	1.55	(1.18 - 2.04)	0.001	1.33	(1.29 - 1.37)	<0.001
Fruit and vegetable consumption	More than 5 serves per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 or fewer serves per day	1.09	(0.87 - 1.37)	0.455	0.96	(0.94 - 0.99)	0.003
Physical Activity	150 min or more per week	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Less than 150 mins per week	0.83	(0.56 - 1.23)	0.352	0.85	(0.81 - 0.90)	<0.001
Salt intake	Less than 5 g per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 g or more per day	1.45	(0.57 - 3.69)	0.440	1.41	(1.24 - 1.60)	<0.001
Blood glucose	Normal	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Abnormal	2.15	(1.45 - 3.19)	<0.001	2.25	(2.15 - 2.36)	<0.001
Total cholesterol	Less than 240 mg/dl	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	240 mg/dl or higher	1.33	(0.65 - 2.72)	0.440	1.68	(1.54 - 1.84)	<0.001
BMI	<18.5	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	1.54	(0.85 - 2.79)	0.152	1.77	(1.66 - 1.89)	<0.001
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	2.65	(1.44 - 4.87)	0.002	2.96	(2.76 - 3.17)	<0.001
	≥30.0	3.64	(1.84 - 7.22)	<0.001	4.25	(3.93 - 4.60)	<0.001
Family history of hypertension	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.34	(1.08 - 1.67)	0.009	1.12	(1.09 - 1.15)	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.86	(0.29 - 2.58)	0.792	0.59	(0.51 - 0.68)	<0.001
Considering Suicide	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.77	(0.37 - 1.61)	0.495	1.21	(1.12 - 1.32)	<0.001

1) Adjusted with all explanatory variables

2) Weight adjusted and adjusted with all explanatory variables

S2 Table. Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis for Hypertension with Sociocultural, Lifestyle, Biomedical, and Mental Health Variables: including interaction effects (n = 1,909)

n=1909		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value	AOR ²⁾	95%CI	p-value
Gender	Men	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Women	0.26	(0.11 - 0.62)	0.003	0.22	(0.20 - 0.25)	<0.001
Marital Status	Married or cohabitant	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Never married	0.46	(0.26 - 0.84)	0.011	0.51	(0.49 - 0.54)	<0.001
	Separated or Divorced or Widow	0.37	(0.15 - 0.90)	0.028	0.33	(0.30 - 0.37)	<0.001
Interaction Effects	maritalcat3v * sexv_	(-)		0.003	Ref	(-)	
	maritalcat3v(1) by sexv(1)_	4.61	(1.83 - 11.57)	0.001	5.69	(5.08 - 6.37)	<0.001
	marital cat3v(2) by sexv(1)	6.57	(2.05 - 21.04)	0.002	8.14	(7.04 - 9.42)	<0.001
Age	18-29 years	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	30-39 years	2.15	(1.57 - 2.96)	<0.001	2.53	(2.44 - 2.61)	<0.001
	40-49 years	3.64	(2.60 - 5.09)	<0.001	3.50	(3.37 - 3.64)	<0.001
	50-59 years	4.90	(3.35 - 7.17)	<0.001	5.71	(5.46 - 5.97)	<0.001
	60-69 years	7.79	(4.93 - 12.33)	<0.001	8.78	(8.30 - 9.28)	<0.001
Education-years	No formal education	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	1-10 years	0.93	(0.73 - 1.20)	0.591	0.96	(0.94 - 0.99)	0.010
	11-12 years	0.57	(0.30 - 1.08)	0.086	0.64	(0.60 - 0.68)	<0.001
	More than 12 years	0.96	(0.47 - 1.96)	0.904	0.95	(0.88 - 1.03)	0.239
Working Status	Employee	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Self-employed	0.92	(0.66 - 1.29)	0.644	1.02	(0.98 - 1.06)	0.331
	Non-working	0.84	(0.59 - 1.21)	0.347	0.89	(0.85 - 0.92)	<0.001
Residential area	Rural	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Urban	0.97	(0.74 - 1.26)	0.797	1.10	(1.07 - 1.14)	<0.001
Income	Nu.0-9,000	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Nu.9,001-30,000	1.12	(0.85 - 1.47)	0.419	0.91	(0.88 - 0.94)	<0.001
	Nu.30,001-60,000	1.07	(0.78 - 1.47)	0.683	0.73	(0.70 - 0.75)	<0.001
	Nu.60,001-	1.19	(0.85 - 1.66)	0.315	1.06	(1.02 - 1.10)	0.007
Survey language	Dzongkha	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Tshanglakha	1.71	(1.31 - 2.23)	<0.001	1.23	(1.19 - 1.27)	<0.001
	Lhotshamkha	1.36	(1.04 - 1.77)	0.024	1.08	(1.05 - 1.11)	<0.001
	English	0.30	(0.09 - 0.97)	0.044	0.28	(0.25 - 0.32)	<0.001
Tobacco use	Never use	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Currently use	0.75	(0.57 - 0.98)	0.033	0.81	(0.79 - 0.84)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption	Never drink	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Light or moderate drinking	1.26	(0.99 - 1.60)	0.066	1.23	(1.19 - 1.26)	<0.001
	Heavy drinking	1.54	(1.17 - 2.02)	0.002	1.31	(1.27 - 1.35)	<0.001
Fruit and vegetable	More than 5 serves per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 or fewer serves per day	1.09	(0.87 - 1.38)	0.445	0.97	(0.94 - 0.99)	0.008
Physical Activity	150 min or more per week	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Less than 150 min per week	0.83	(0.56 - 1.22)	0.336	0.84	(0.80 - 0.88)	<0.001
Salt intake	Less than 5 g per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 g ore more per day	1.42	(0.55 - 3.61)	0.468	1.42	(1.25 - 1.62)	<0.001
Blood glucose	Normal	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Abnormal	2.13	(1.43 - 3.17)	<0.001	2.27	(2.17 - 2.38)	<0.001
Total cholesterol	Less than 240 mg/dl	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	240 mg/dl or more	1.32	(0.64 - 2.70)	0.455	1.66	(1.52 - 1.81)	<0.001
BMI	<18.5	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	1.54	(0.86 - 2.78)	0.149	1.80	(1.68 - 1.92)	<0.001
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	2.61	(1.42 - 4.79)	0.002	2.94	(2.74 - 3.15)	<0.001
	≥30.0	3.64	(1.84 - 7.21)	<0.001	4.21	(3.89 - 4.56)	<0.001
Family history of	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.34	(1.08 - 1.67)	0.009	1.13	(1.10 - 1.16)	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.88	(0.29 - 2.65)	0.820	0.65	(0.55 - 0.76)	<0.001
Considering Suicide	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.79	(0.38 - 1.66)	0.532	1.24	(1.14 - 1.35)	<0.001

1) Adjusted with all explanatory variables

2) Weight adjusted and adjusted with all explanatory variables

S3 Sensitivity analysis

S3 Table. Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis for Hypertension with Sociocultural, Lifestyle, Biomedical, and Mental Health Variables: High blood pressure was defined as being diagnosed or under medication for hypertension, or a mean SBP ≥ 180 mmHg or DBP ≥ 120 mmHg, and SBP ≥ 160 mmHg or DBP ≥ 100 mmHg, according to the severity of hypertension categories

n=909	160 mmHg/100 mmHg ^a			180 mmHg/120 mmHg ^a		
	Non-weighted		Weighted	Non-weighted		Weighted
	AOR ^b	95%CI	AOR ^b	AOR ^b	95%CI	AOR ^b
Gender-Marital Status	Ref ^c	()	()	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.96	(0.42 - 2.21)	0.73	0.77	(0.28 - 2.11)	0.42
	1.32	(0.64 - 2.74)	1.32	1.62	(0.74 - 3.55)	1.82
	1.54	(1.16 - 2.05)	1.58	1.52	(1.11 - 2.07)	1.70
	0.23	(0.05 - 0.98)	0.06	0.00	()	0.00
	1.75	(1.18 - 2.59)	1.46	1.72	(1.13 - 2.63)	1.54
Age	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	2.06	(1.39 - 3.08)	2.42	1.86	(1.20 - 2.90)	2.13
	3.69	(2.45 - 5.56)	3.86	3.17	(2.02 - 4.97)	3.91
	5.49	(3.52 - 8.56)	6.57	4.78	(2.94 - 7.75)	5.91
	7.72	(4.67 - 12.75)	9.75	5.86	(3.41 - 10.05)	8.82
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
Education-years	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.97	(0.73 - 1.29)	1.07	0.97	(0.71 - 1.33)	1.02
	0.79	(0.34 - 1.84)	1.11	0.64	(0.23 - 1.78)	0.87
	1.08	(0.46 - 2.55)	0.77	1.17	(0.46 - 2.97)	1.06
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
Working Status	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.88	(0.60 - 1.29)	1.12	0.90	(0.59 - 1.38)	0.97
	0.92	(0.61 - 1.38)	1.10	1.03	(0.66 - 1.60)	1.18
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
Residential area	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.95	(0.71 - 1.28)	0.92	1.13	(0.82 - 1.56)	1.14
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.38	(1.03 - 1.87)	1.33	1.26	(0.91 - 1.74)	1.11
	1.32	(0.93 - 1.89)	1.27	1.18	(0.81 - 1.74)	1.20
	1.11	(0.76 - 1.61)	1.18	0.84	(0.56 - 1.27)	0.79
Survey language	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.60	(1.20 - 2.15)	1.26	1.29	(0.94 - 1.78)	1.06
	1.61	(1.19 - 2.17)	1.21	1.47	(1.06 - 2.03)	1.08
	0.38	(0.08 - 1.78)	0.17	0.55	(0.12 - 2.58)	0.24
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.61	(0.44 - 0.84)	0.69	0.57	(0.40 - 0.81)	0.67
Tobacco use	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.08	(0.83 - 1.42)	1.02	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.75	(1.30 - 2.34)	1.48	1.39	(1.02 - 1.91)	1.37
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.30	(1.01 - 1.68)	1.19	1.42	(1.07 - 1.87)	1.49
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
Alcohol consumption	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.08	(0.83 - 1.42)	1.02	0.89	(0.66 - 1.20)	0.88
	1.75	(1.30 - 2.34)	1.48	1.39	(1.02 - 1.91)	1.37
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.30	(1.01 - 1.68)	1.19	1.42	(1.07 - 1.87)	1.49
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
Fruit and vegetable consumption	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.30	(1.01 - 1.68)	1.19	1.42	(1.07 - 1.87)	1.49
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.74	(0.48 - 1.14)	0.68	0.71	(0.45 - 1.14)	0.63
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.16	(0.42 - 3.23)	2.22	0.76	(0.27 - 2.14)	1.31
Physical Activity	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.30	(1.01 - 1.68)	1.19	1.42	(1.07 - 1.87)	1.49
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.74	(0.48 - 1.14)	0.68	0.71	(0.45 - 1.14)	0.63
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.16	(0.42 - 3.23)	2.22	0.76	(0.27 - 2.14)	1.31
Silt intake	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.30	(1.01 - 1.68)	1.19	1.42	(1.07 - 1.87)	1.49
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	0.74	(0.48 - 1.14)	0.68	0.71	(0.45 - 1.14)	0.63
	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c	Ref ^c	()	Ref ^c
	1.16	(0.42 - 3.23)	2.22	0.76	(0.27 - 2.14)	1.31

Blood glucose	Normal	Ref 1.55	(	1.06	-	2.27	)	Ref 1.59	(	1.51	-	1.66	)	Ref 1.47	(	1.00	-	2.18	)	Ref 1.55	(	1.47	-	1.63	)
	Abnormal	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)
Total cholesterol	Less than 240 mg/dl	1.57	(	0.77	-	3.20	)	1.77	(	1.62	-	1.93	)	1.62	(	0.78	-	3.36	)	2.35	(	2.14	-	2.57	)
	240 mg/dl or more	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)
BMI	<18.5	1.11	(	0.57	-	2.17	)	1.14	(	1.05	-	1.23	)	1.15	(	0.54	-	2.45	)	1.03	(	0.94	-	1.12	)
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	2.05	(	1.03	-	4.05	)	1.95	(	1.80	-	2.11	)	2.51	(	1.16	-	5.45	)	2.11	(	1.93	-	2.30	)
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	2.38	(	1.13	-	5.02	)	2.98	(	2.73	-	3.25	)	2.74	(	1.19	-	6.32	)	3.10	(	2.81	-	3.42	)
	≥30.0	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)
Family history of hypertension	Negative	1.50	(	1.18	-	1.90	)	1.47	(	1.43	-	1.51	)	1.78	(	1.38	-	2.30	)	1.85	(	1.80	-	1.91	)
	Positive	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	1.12	(	0.36	-	3.47	)	0.91	(	0.77	-	1.06	)	1.42	(	0.46	-	4.36	)	1.16	(	0.99	-	1.36	)
	Positive	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)	Ref	(		-		)
Considering Suicide	Negative	0.72	(	0.30	-	1.73	)	0.74	(	0.67	-	0.83	)	0.87	(	0.35	-	2.18	)	0.97	(	0.86	-	1.09	)
	Positive		(		-		)		(		-		)		(		-		)		(		-		)

1) Adjusted with all explanatory variables

2) Weight adjusted and adjusted with all explanatory variables

3) High blood pressure: defined as either a mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥160 mmHg or a mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥100 mmHg or previously diagnosed by healthcare workers or currently under medication for raised blood pressure

4) High blood pressure: defined as either a mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥180 mmHg or a mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥120 mmHg or previously diagnosed by healthcare workers or currently under medication for raised blood pressure

S4 Multiple imputation analysis

S4 Table. Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis for Hypertension with Sociocultural, Lifestyle, Biomedical, and Mental Health Variables: Multiple imputation

		Non-weighted			Weighted		
		AOR ¹⁾	95%CI	p-value	AOR ²⁾	95%CI	p-value
Gender-Marital Status	Men-Married or cohabitant	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Men-Never married	1.79	(1.03 - 3.10)	0.038	1.49	(1.41 - 1.59)	<0.001
	Men-Separated or Divorced or Widow	0.66	(0.35 - 1.24)	0.197	0.62	(0.57 - 0.67)	<0.001
	Women-Married or cohabitant	1.16	(0.92 - 1.47)	0.217	1.14	(1.11 - 1.17)	<0.001
	Women-Never married	0.65	(0.36 - 1.20)	0.168	0.59	(0.54 - 0.65)	<0.001
	Women-Separated or Divorced or Widow	1.26	(0.89 - 1.79)	0.196	1.28	(1.21 - 1.35)	<0.001
Age	18-29 years	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	30-39 years	1.96	(1.46 - 2.63)	<0.001	2.33	(2.26 - 2.41)	<0.001
	40-49 years	3.53	(2.58 - 4.82)	<0.001	3.38	(3.26 - 3.51)	<0.001
	50-59 years	4.64	(3.28 - 6.58)	<0.001	4.68	(4.48 - 4.88)	<0.001
	60-69 years	6.67	(4.40 - 10.09)	<0.001	6.91	(6.56 - 7.27)	<0.001
Education-years	No formal education	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	1-10 years	0.95	(0.75 - 1.21)	0.674	0.96	(0.94 - 0.99)	0.006
	11-12 years	0.55	(0.30 - 1.00)	0.051	0.61	(0.57 - 0.65)	<0.001
	More than 12 years	1.31	(0.68 - 2.52)	0.413	1.17	(1.08 - 1.27)	<0.001
Working Status	Employee	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Self-employed	1.00	(0.73 - 1.37)	0.997	1.16	(1.11 - 1.20)	<0.001
	Non-working	0.88	(0.63 - 1.24)	0.470	1.02	(0.98 - 1.06)	0.433
Residential area	Rural	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Urban	1.04	(0.80 - 1.34)	0.784	1.19	(1.15 - 1.23)	<0.001
Income	Nu.0-9,000	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Nu.9,001-30,000	1.13	(0.88 - 1.46)	0.330	0.91	(0.85 - 0.98)	0.010
	Nu.30,001-60,000	1.04	(0.76 - 1.41)	0.825	0.73	(0.67 - 0.78)	<0.001
	Nu.60,001-	1.12	(0.82 - 1.53)	0.467	1.04	(0.99 - 1.10)	0.156
Survey language	Dzongkha	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Tshanglakha	1.61	(1.26 - 2.06)	<0.001	1.15	(1.11 - 1.20)	<0.001
	Lhotshamkha	1.30	(1.02 - 1.67)	0.035	1.01	(0.98 - 1.04)	0.729
	English	0.42	(0.16 - 1.09)	0.075	0.40	(0.36 - 0.44)	<0.001
Tobacco use	Never use	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Currently use	0.76	(0.59 - 0.97)	0.030	0.84	(0.81 - 0.86)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption	Never drink	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Light or moderate drinking	1.21	(0.97 - 1.52)	0.097	1.14	(1.11 - 1.17)	<0.001
	Heavy drinking	1.55	(1.20 - 1.99)	0.001	1.24	(1.20 - 1.28)	<0.001
Fruit and vegetable consumption	More than 5 serves per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 for fewer serves per day	1.09	(0.88 - 1.37)	0.435	0.99	(0.91 - 1.06)	0.691
Physical Activity	150 min or more per week	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Less than 150 min per week	0.77	(0.53 - 1.12)	0.170	0.79	(0.75 - 0.83)	<0.001
Salt intake	Less than 5 g per day	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	5 g or more per day	1.38	(0.57 - 3.33)	0.478	1.49	(0.84 - 2.65)	0.158
Blood glucose	Normal	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Abnormal	1.98	(1.37 - 2.86)	<0.001	2.08	(1.88 - 2.31)	<0.001
Total cholesterol	Less than 240 mg/dl	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	240 mg/dl or more	1.49	(0.75 - 2.93)	0.253	1.83	(1.35 - 2.48)	<0.001
BMI	<18.5	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	18.5 ≤ BMI < 25.0	1.47	(0.87 - 2.49)	0.149	1.69	(1.58 - 1.81)	<0.001
	25.0 ≤ BMI < 30.0	2.51	(1.46 - 4.32)	0.001	2.85	(2.66 - 3.05)	<0.001
	≥30.0	3.55	(1.90 - 6.62)	<0.001	4.09	(3.79 - 4.42)	<0.001
Family history of hypertension	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	1.32	(1.07 - 1.62)	0.008	1.12	(1.09 - 1.14)	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.96	(0.33 - 2.77)	0.938	0.71	(0.61 - 0.82)	<0.001
Considering Suicide	Negative	Ref	(-)		Ref	(-)	
	Positive	0.90	(0.45 - 1.79)	0.756	1.08	(0.98 - 1.18)	0.104

1) Adjusted with all explanatory variables

2) Weight adjusted and adjusted with all explanatory variables


The missing value analysis did not show any obvious bias. However, we would like to show the results of multiple imputation. The number of imputations was 20 times, including all variables which we used in the multivariable logistic regression models. The multiple imputation method was regression-based imputation, using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

S5 Estimated salt intake by survey language category


S5 Table. Estimated Salt Intake per day(g) by Survey language

	N	Mean	SD
Dzongkha	679	13.09	9.911
Tshanglakha	667	16.98	17.154
Lhotshamkha	531	13.23	9.715
English	32	12.34	5.154
Total	1909	14.47	12.948

S1 Fig. Interaction effect between gender and marital status (Hypertension*Gender)



S2 Fig. Interaction effect between gender and marital status (Hypertension*Marital Status).



S3 Fig. Interaction effect between gender and marital status (Hypertension*Gender*Marital Status).

