

***Dynamical rigidity of stochastic Coulomb systems
in infinite-dimensions***

Hirofumi Osada (Kyushu University)

2013/12/18/Wed
at Research Institute of Mathematical Sciences (Kyoto University)

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University
Fukuoka, 819-0395, JAPAN
email:osada@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

This paper is based on the talk in “Probability Symposium” at Research Institute of Mathematical Sciences (Kyoto University), and gives an announcement of some parts of the results in [8, 11, 10, 1].

We consider an infinite-dimensional stochastic dynamics $\mathbf{X} = (X^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ describing infinite-many Brownian particles moving in $\mathbb{R}^d$ interacting through γ -dimensional Coulomb potentials Ψ_γ with inverse temperature β . Here in our definition

$$\nabla \Psi_\gamma(x) = -\frac{x}{|x|^\gamma} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (1)$$

Thus Ψ_γ is a special case of Riesz potentials. We will later give a generalization of Ψ_γ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$ in (12); we will take Ψ_γ as a Riesz potential with $d \leq \gamma \leq d+2$, which is excluded in the classical theory of Gibbs measures based on DLR equations.

If the stochastic dynamics $\mathbf{X}$ is translation invariant, then $\mathbf{X} = \{(X_t^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is given by the solution of the infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equation (ISDE):

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \frac{\beta}{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^\gamma} dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (2)$$

and equivalently

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i - \frac{\beta}{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \nabla \Psi_\gamma(X_t^i - X_t^j) dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3)$$

We consider ISDEs equipped with free potentials Φ too. Then the ISDEs become

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i - \frac{\beta}{2} \nabla \Phi(X_t^i) dt - \frac{\beta}{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \nabla \Psi_\gamma(X_t^i - X_t^j) dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (4)$$

Definition 1. A solution $\mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the ISDE (4) is called a Coulomb interacting Brownian motion if $d \leq \gamma \leq d + 2$, and a strict Coulomb interacting Brownian motion if $\gamma = d$.

Since $\gamma \leq d + 2$, Coulomb interaction potentials are not of Ruelle's class, and one can not apply the classical theory to these potentials. The construction of Coulomb interaction Brownian motions is a difficult problem. Indeed, at present, the only translation invariant strict Coulomb interacting Brownian motion successfully constructed is Ginibre interacting Brownian motion; there exist no other examples rigorously established. In this case, we have

$$(\beta, \gamma, d) = (2, 2, 2).$$

As for (non-strict) Coulomb interacting Brownian motions, we have examples of Coulomb interacting Brownian motions such that Dyson's model infinite-dimensions ($\beta = 1, 2, 4$), Airy interacting Brownian motions ($\beta = 1, 2, 4$), and Bessel interacting Brownian motion ($\beta = 2$) (see [5, 4, 6, 1, 11, 10]). These except Airy are the solutions of ISDEs (4) with $d = 1$, $\gamma = 2$, and a suitably chosen Φ . The ISDEs in the case of Airy interacting Brownian motions are more complicated than (4). We refer to [11, 12] for the exact shape of the ISDE.

To solve these ISDEs, we introduced the notions of logarithmic derivative, quasi-Gibbs measures, and the natural coupling among countably many Dirichlet forms describing k -labeled processes for all $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ in [4, 5, 3]. The resulting solutions were (weak) solutions of ISDEs and the uniqueness of the solutions were left open.

We now find several novel ideas and refine our method to obtain a unique, strong solution for these ISDEs. Namely, we construct strong solutions of ISDEs and prove their strong uniqueness [10, 11].

We next introduce the notion of (resp. strict) Coulomb random point fields. These random point fields are equilibrium states associated with the unlabeled stochastic dynamics of (resp. strict) Coulomb interacting Brownian motions.

Definition 2. A random point field μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called a Coulomb random point field μ if its logarithmic derivative d^μ is given by ($\mathbf{s} = \sum_i \delta_{s_i}$)

$$d^\mu(x, \mathbf{s}) = -\beta \left\{ \nabla \Phi(x) + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|x-s_i| < r} \nabla \Psi_\gamma(x - s_i) \right\} \quad \text{locally in } L^1(\mu^{[1]}) \quad (5)$$

and $d \leq \gamma \leq d + 2$. If in addition $\gamma = d$, then μ is called a strict Coulomb random point field. Here $\mu^{[1]}$ is the 1-Campbell measure of μ and Φ is a free potential and Ψ_γ is a Coulomb potential defined by (12).

Remark 0.1. (1) See [4] for the definition of the logarithmic derivative of μ .

(2) A Coulomb random point field is also called a Coulomb point process.

(3) The convergence in (5) is a conditional convergence in general. Hence we need $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty}$ in front of the sum in (5).

Though one can no longer use the DLR equation to define Coulomb random point fields, we can still define such random point fields through logarithmic derivatives introduced in [4]. As in the case of the stochastic dynamics, an only strict Coulomb random point field at present is Ginibre random point field, namely the case $(\beta, \gamma, d) = (2, 2, 2)$. It is known that Ginibre random point field is a thermodynamic limit of the distributions of the eigenvalues of non-hermitian Gaussian random matrices.

Coulomb interaction potentials have quite strong effect at infinity. Hence the feature of associated stochastic dynamics are very different that of the interacting Brownian motions with Ruelle's class potentials. As an instance, we present the dynamical rigidity of the Ginibre interacting Brownian motions.

The first dynamical rigidity of Ginibre interacting Brownian motion is as follows.

Theorem 1 ([4, 10]). Ginibre interacting Brownian motion $\mathbf{X}$ is a *strong* solution of the plural ISDEs:

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|X_t^i - X_t^j| < r, i \neq j} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} \quad (6)$$

and

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i - X_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|X_t^j| < r, i \neq j} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2}. \quad (7)$$

This result was obtained in [4] at the level of the (weak) solution. In [10] we refine this result at the level of the unique strong solution. This result means that the *real support* of the Ginibre random point field is a very thin set in the configuration space, and the configuration $\mathbf{X}_t = \sum_i \delta_{X_t^i}$ consisting of infinitely many particles $\{X_t^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ only move this thin set *randomly* and *rigidly*.

Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the configuration space over $\mathbb{R}^2$, and let μ be the Ginibre random point field. Let $\ell = (\ell_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a label. Let μ_a be the reduced Palm measure of μ conditioned at a . We assume:

$$\mu(\cdot | \ell^i(\mathbf{s}) = a, \mathbf{s}(\{a\}) \geq 1) \prec \mu(\cdot | \mathbf{s}(\{a\}) \geq 1) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Here $\mu_1 \prec \mu_2$ means that μ_1 is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_2 .

Let $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ denote the distribution of the solution $\mathbf{X} = (X^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (6) starting at $\ell(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{s} = (s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

We next proceed to the second dynamical rigidity obtained in [8].

Theorem 2 ([8]). For μ -a.s. $\mathbf{s}$, and each $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon X_{t/\epsilon^2}^i = 0 \quad \text{weakly in } C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^2) \text{ } P_{\ell(\mathbf{s})}\text{-a.s.} \quad (8)$$

Remark (1) In the case of Ruelle's class potentials (with convex cores), the limit self-diffusion matrices are always strictly positive definite if $d \geq 2$ (see [2]). Hence the result in Theorem 2 are very different from the result of such a standard class.

(2) The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the results of geometric rigidity of Ginibre random point field obtained in [7, 8, 9].

(3) Our argument may be regarded as an infinite-dimensional counter part of the Nash's result of the diagonal estimate of the heat kernel, that deduces the diffusivity/sub-diffusivity of the particles. We use various kinds of the geometric rigidity of Ginibre random point fields obtained in [7, 9] instead of Nash's inequalities in finite dimensions.

We generalize the notion of Coulomb potential as follows:

Let G_γ be the fundamental solution of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta$ on $\mathbb{R}^\gamma$. Then by definition

$$G_\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sigma_\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma-2} |x|^{2-\gamma} & (\gamma \neq 2) \\ -\frac{2}{\sigma_\gamma} \log |x| & (\gamma = 2). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Here $\sigma_\gamma = 2\pi^{\gamma/2}/\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{2})$ is the surface volume of the $(\gamma-1)$ -dimensional surface: $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^\gamma; |x| = 1\}$. Its gradient is then given by

$$\nabla G_\gamma(x) = -\frac{2}{\sigma_\gamma} \frac{x}{|x|^\gamma}. \quad (10)$$

Note that $\sigma_1 = 2$ and $\sigma_2 = 2\pi$. Hence we deduce that

$$\nabla G_1(x) = -\frac{x}{|x|} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla G_2(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{|x|^2}. \quad (11)$$

We now set

$$\Psi_\gamma(x) = \frac{\sigma_\gamma}{2} G_\gamma(x). \quad (12)$$

Then we see by definition that

$$\nabla \Psi_\gamma(x) = -\frac{x}{|x|^\gamma}. \quad (13)$$

Since Ψ_γ gives an electrostatic potential in $\mathbb{R}^\gamma$ ($\gamma = 3$), we call it a Coulomb potential. The sign of Ψ_γ is chosen in such a way that the potential describes the system of one component plasma.

We thus call a random point field $\mu_{\beta,\gamma,d}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ a Coulomb random point field if its logarithmic derivative d^μ is given by (5) with γ -dimensional Coulomb potential Ψ_γ such that $d \leq \gamma \leq d+2$ and inverse temperature $\beta > 0$. We call $\mu_{\beta,\gamma,d}$ a strict Coulomb random point field if $\gamma = d$ in addition.

We remark again that Ginibre random point field is an only example of translation invariant, strict Coulomb random point fields rigorously constructed, and is the case of $(\beta, \gamma, d) = (2, 2, 2)$.

We finally note that one can generalize $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ to any positive numbers and define Ψ_γ by $\nabla \Psi_\gamma = -x/|x|^\gamma$. If $d + 2 < \gamma$, then Ψ_γ is a potential in the regime to which the classical theory can be applied. In the case of $d \leq \gamma \leq d + 2$, this is not the case, and Ψ_γ is interesting enough to study.

References

- [1] Honda. R., Osada, H., *Infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations related to Bessel random point fields*, , preprint, arxiv:1405.0523.
- [2] Osada, H., *Positivity of the self-diffusion matrix of interacting Brownian particles with hard core*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **112**, (1998), 53-90.
- [3] Osada, H., *Tagged particle processes and their non-explosion criteria*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **62**, No. **3** (2010), 867-894.
- [4] Osada, H., *Infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations related to random matrices*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, Vol **153**, (2012) pp 471-509.
- [5] Osada, H., *Interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions with logarithmic interaction potentials*, Annals of Probability, Vol **41**, (2013) pp 1-49.
- [6] Osada, H., *Interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions with logarithmic interaction potentials II: Airy random point field*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, Vol **123**, (2013) pp 813-838.
- [7] Osada, H., *Palm decomposition and restore density formulae of the Ginibre point process*, (preprint/draft).
- [8] Osada, H., *Sub-diffusivity of tagged particles of Ginibre interacting Brownian motions*, (preprint/draft).
- [9] Osada, H., Shirai, T., *Absolute continuity and singularity of Palm measures of the Ginibre point process*, (preprint).
- [10] Osada, H., Tanemura, H., *Strong solutions of infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations and tail theorems*, (preprint).
- [11] Osada, H., Tanemura, H., *Infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations arising from Airy random point fields*, (preprint).
- [12] Osada, H., Tanemura, H., *Cores of Dirichlet forms related to Random Matrix Theory*, (preprint) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4304>.

Acknowledgement: H.O. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KIBAN-A, No. 24244010) and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KIBAN-B, No. 21340031)