

Operational calculus for holonomic distributions in the framework of D -module theory

Dedicated to Professor H. Komatsu and Professor T. Kawai

By

Toshinori OAKU*

Abstract

Let f be a real polynomial of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and φ be a locally integrable function of x which satisfies a holonomic system of linear differential equations. We study the distribution $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ with a meromorphic parameter λ , especially its Laurent expansion and integration, from an algorithmic viewpoint in the framework of D -module theory.

§ 1. Introduction

Let f be a non-constant real polynomial in $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and φ be a locally integrable function on an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^n . Then φ can be regarded as a distribution (generalized function in the sense of L. Schwartz) on U . We assume that there exists a left ideal I of the ring D_n of differential operators with polynomial coefficients in x which annihilates φ on $U_f := \{x \in U \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$, i.e., $P\varphi$ vanishes on U_f for any $P \in I$. Moreover, we assume that $M := D_n/I$ is a holonomic D_n -module. In this situation, φ is called a (locally integrable) holonomic function or a holonomic distribution.

Let us consider the distribution $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ on U with a holomorphic parameter λ . This distribution can be analytically extended to a distribution-valued meromorphic function of λ on the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Such a distribution was systematically studied by Kashiwara and Kawai in [2] with f being, more generally, a real-valued real analytic function. Their investigation was focused on a special case where M has regular singularities but most of the arguments work without this assumption.

Received March 31, 2016. Accepted April 25, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 14F10, 32W50, 46F20.

Key Words: D -module, holonomic system, distribution, algorithm.

Supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 26400123.

*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Woman's Christian University, Tokyo, 167-8585, Japan.

e-mail: oaku@lab.twcu.ac.jp

The main purpose of this article is to give algorithms to compute

1. A holonomic system for the distribution $f_+^{\lambda_0}\varphi$ with λ_0 not being a pole of $f_+^\lambda\varphi$.
2. A holonomic system for each coefficient of the Laurent series of $f_+^\lambda\varphi$ about an arbitrary point.
3. Difference equations for the local zeta function $Z(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+^\lambda\varphi dx$.

As was pointed out in [2], an answer to the first problem provides us with an algorithm to compute a holonomic system for the product of two locally L^2 holonomic functions. Note that the product does not necessarily satisfies the tensor product of the two holonomic systems for both functions.

In Section 2, we review the theoretical properties of $f_+^\lambda\varphi$ mostly following Kashiwara [1] and Kashiwara and Kawai [2] in the analytic category; i.e, under a weaker assumption that f is a real-valued real analytic function and that φ satisfies a holonomic system of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients.

In Section 3, we give algorithms to compute holonomic systems considered in Section 2. As a byproduct, we obtain an algorithm to compute difference equations for the local zeta function, which was outlined in [4].

§ 2. Theoretical background

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ be the sheaf on \mathbb{C}^n of linear partial differential operators with holomorphic coefficients, which is generated by the derivations $\partial_j = \partial_{x_j} = \partial/\partial x_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) over the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ of rings of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n , with the coordinate system $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathbb{C}^n .

We denote by $\mathcal{D}b$ the sheaf on \mathbb{R}^n of the Schwartz distributions. Assume that $f = f(x)$ is a nonzero real-valued real analytic function defined on an open connected set U of \mathbb{R}^n . Let φ be a locally integrable function on U . Then $f_+^\lambda\varphi$ is also locally integrable on U for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$, where $f_+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module defined on an open set Ω of \mathbb{C}^n such that $U \subset \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^n$. We also assume that f is holomorphic on Ω . We say that a distribution φ is a solution of \mathcal{M} on U if there exist a section u of \mathcal{M} on U and a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -linear homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}u \rightarrow \mathcal{D}b$ defined on U such that $\Phi(u) = \varphi$. As a matter of fact, we have only to assume that φ is a solution of \mathcal{M} on $U_f := \{x \in U \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ and that \mathcal{M} is holonomic on $\Omega_f := \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$.

§ 2.1. Fundamental lemmas

Under the assumptions above, $f_+^\lambda\varphi$ is a $\mathcal{D}b(U)$ -valued holomorphic function of λ on the right half-plane

$$\mathbb{C}_+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0\}.$$

In other words, let \mathcal{ODb} be the sheaf on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\lambda, x)$ of distributions with a holomorphic parameter λ . Then $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ belongs to

$$\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times U) = \left\{ v(\lambda, x) \in \mathcal{Db}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times U) \mid \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} = 0 \right\}.$$

Let s be an indeterminate corresponding to λ . The following lemma (Lemma 2.9 of [2]) plays an essential role in the following arguments.

Lemma 2.1 (Kashiwara-Kawai [2]). *Let Ω' be an open set of \mathbb{C}^n such that $V := \mathbb{R}^n \cap \Omega'$ is non-empty and contained in U . Assume $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\Omega')[s]$ and $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V_f)$ with $V_f := \{x \in V \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$. Then $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$.*

Let us generalize this lemma slightly. For a positive integer m , let us define a section $f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^m \varphi$ of the sheaf \mathcal{ODb} on $\mathbb{C}_+ \times U$ by

$$\langle f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^m \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\{x \in U \mid f(x) > 0\}} \varphi(x) f(x)^\lambda (\log f(x))^m \varphi(x) \psi(x) dx \quad (\forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(U)),$$

where $C_0^\infty(U)$ denotes the space of C^∞ functions on U with compact supports. In fact, $f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^m \varphi$ is the m -th derivative of the distribution $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ with respect to λ .

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω' be an open set of \mathbb{C}^n such that $V := \mathbb{R}^n \cap \Omega'$ is non-empty and contained in U . Let $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_m$ be locally integrable functions on V . Assume $P_k(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\Omega')[s]$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, m$) and*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k) = 0$$

holds in $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V_f)$. Then (2.1) holds in $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$.

Proof. We follow the argument of the proof of Lemma 2.9 in [2]. Let ϕ belong to $C_0^\infty(V)$ with $K := \text{supp } \phi$. Let $\chi(t)$ be a C^∞ function of a variable t such that $\chi(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq 1/2$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k), \phi \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k), \chi\left(\frac{f}{\tau}\right) \phi \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \int_V f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k {}^t P_k(\lambda) \left(\chi\left(\frac{f}{\tau}\right) \phi \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\tau > 0$, where ${}^t P_k(\lambda)$ denotes the adjoint operator of $P_k(\lambda)$. Let m_k be the order of $P_k(s)$ and d_k be the degree of $P_k(s)$ in s . Then there exist constants C_k such that

$$\sup_{x \in K} \left| {}^t P_k(\lambda) \left(\chi\left(\frac{f(x)}{\tau}\right) \phi(x) \right) \right| \leq C_k (1 + |\lambda|)^{d_k} \tau^{-m_k} \quad (0 < \forall \tau < 1).$$

Assume $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \max\{m_k + 1 \mid 0 \leq k \leq m\}$ and $0 < \tau < 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_V f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k^t P_k(\lambda) \left(\chi\left(\frac{f}{\tau}\right) \phi \right) dx \right| \\ & \leq C_k (1 + |\lambda|)^{d_k} \tau^{-m_k} \int_{\{x \in V \mid 0 < f(x) \leq \tau\}} |f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k(x)| dx \\ & \leq k! C_k (1 + |\lambda|)^{d_k} \tau^{\operatorname{Re} \lambda - m_k - 1} \int_{\{x \in V \mid 0 < f(x) \leq \tau\}} |\varphi_k(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

since $|\log t|^k \leq k! t^{-1}$ holds for $0 < t < 1$. This implies

$$\left\langle \sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k), \phi \right\rangle = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \sum_{k=0}^m \int_V f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k^t P_k(\lambda) \left(\chi\left(\frac{f}{\tau}\right) \phi \right) dx = 0.$$

The assertion of the lemma follows from the uniqueness of analytic continuation. \square

§ 2.2. Generalized b -function and analytic continuation

We assume that there exists on Ω a sheaf \mathcal{I} of coherent left ideals of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ which annihilates φ on $U_f = \{x \in U \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$, namely, $P\varphi = 0$ holds on $W \cap U_f$ for any section P of \mathcal{I} on an open set W of \mathbb{C}^n . We set $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathcal{I}$ and denote by u the residue class of $1 \in \mathcal{D}_X$ modulo \mathcal{I} . In the sequel, we assume that \mathcal{M} is holonomic on $\Omega_f = \{z \in \Omega \mid f(z) \neq 0\}$, i.e., that $\operatorname{Char}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \pi^{-1}(\Omega_f)$ is of dimension n , where $\operatorname{Char}(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} and $\pi : T^*\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is the canonical projection.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]f^s$ be the free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]$ -module generated by the symbol f^s . Then \mathcal{L} has a natural structure of left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module induced by the derivation $\partial_i f^s = s(\partial f / \partial x_i) f^{-1} f^s$. Let us consider the tensor product $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -modules, which has a natural structure of left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module.

Lemma 2.3. *Let v and $P(s)$ be sections of \mathcal{M} and of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ respectively on an open subset of Ω . Then $P(s)(f^s \otimes v) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $(f^{m-s} P(s) f^s)(1 \otimes v) = 0$ holds in $\mathbb{C}[s] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}$ for a sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{M}[s] = \mathbb{C}[s] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}$, which has a natural structure of left module over $\mathbb{C}[s] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$. Then we have $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ as left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module. Let v be a section of $\mathcal{M}[s]$. Since \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module, $f^s \otimes v$ vanishes in $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ if and only if $1 \otimes v$ vanishes in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$. First, let us show that this happens if and only if $f^m v = 0$ in $\mathcal{M}[s]$ with some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\rho : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\rho(h(s, t)) = h(s, f^{-1})$ for $h(s, t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t]$. Let \mathcal{K} be the kernel of ρ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s] \xrightarrow{\rho \otimes \operatorname{id}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence $1 \otimes v$ vanishes in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ if and only if there exists $h(s, t) = \sum_{k=0}^m h_k(s)t^k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $1 \otimes v = h(s, t) \otimes v$ holds in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$, which is equivalent to $h_k(s)v = \delta_{0k}v$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, m$) since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t]$ is free over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$. On the other hand, $\sum_{k=0}^m h_k(s)f^{-k} = \rho(h(s, t)) = 0$ implies

$$0 = f^m h_0(s)v + f^{m-1} h_1(s)v + \dots + f h_{m-1}(s)v + h_m(s)v = f^m v.$$

Conversely, if $f^m v = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $1 \otimes v = f^{-m} \otimes f^m v = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}$.

Let $P(s)$ be a section of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ of order m . For $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\partial_i(f^s \otimes v) = f^{s-1} \otimes (sf_i + f\partial_i)v = f^{s-1} \otimes (f^{1-s}\partial_i f^s)v$$

holds in $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ with $f_i = \partial f / \partial x_i$. This allows us to show that

$$P(s)(f^s \otimes v) = f^{s-m} \otimes (f^{m-s}P(s)f^s)v$$

holds in $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$. (Note that $f^{m-s}P(s)f^s$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$.) Summing up, we have shown that $P(s)(f^s \otimes v)$ vanishes in $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ if and only if $(f^{l-s}P(s)f^s)v$ vanishes in $\mathcal{M}[s]$ for some $l \geq m$. \square

Lemma 2.3 with $P(s) = 1$ immediately implies

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}[f^{-1}] := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$ be the localization of \mathcal{M} with respect to f , which has a natural structure of left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module. Then the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}[f^{-1}]$ is an isomorphism.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let $P(s)$ be a section of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ on an open set Ω' of \mathbb{C}^n and suppose $P(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$ in $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$. Set $V = U \cap \Omega'$. Then $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{O}Db(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_{+\infty}Db$ be the sheaf on \mathbb{R}^n associated with the presheaf

$$W \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{O}Db(\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > a\} \times W)$$

for every open set W of \mathbb{R}^n , where the inductive limit is taken as $a \rightarrow \infty$. The \mathbb{C} -bilinear sheaf homomorphism

$$\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{M} \ni (a(s)f^{s-m}, Pu) \mapsto (a(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda-m})P\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{+\infty}Db$$

with $a(s) \in \mathcal{O}_X[s]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $P \in \mathcal{D}_X$, which is well-defined and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -balanced on V_f since $f_+^{\lambda-m}$ is real analytic there, induces a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -linear homomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{+\infty}Db$$

on V_f such that $\Psi(a(s)f^{s-m} \otimes Pu) = a(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda-m}P\varphi$. In particular, if $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ satisfies $P(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$ in $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$, then $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{O}_{+\infty}\mathcal{D}b(V_f)$, hence also in $\mathcal{O}_{+\infty}\mathcal{D}b(V)$ by Lemma 2.1. Since $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ belongs to $\mathcal{OD}b(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$, it follows that $P(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{OD}b(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$. This completes the proof. \square

Kashiwara proved in [1] (Theorem 2.7) that on a neighborhood of each point p of Ω , there exist nonzero $b(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ and $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ such that

$$P(s)(f^{s+1} \otimes u) = b(s)f^s \otimes u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Such $b(s)$ of the smallest degree $b(s) = b_p(s)$ is called the (generalized) b -function for f and u at p .

Assume $p \in U$. Then by the proposition above,

$$P(\lambda)(f_+^{\lambda+1} \varphi) = b(\lambda)f_+^\lambda \varphi$$

holds in $\mathcal{OD}b(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$ with an open neighborhood V of p . It follows that $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ is a $\mathcal{D}b(V)$ -valued meromorphic function of λ on \mathbb{C} . Let us assume that U is relatively compact in Ω . The poles of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ are contained in

$$\{\lambda - k \mid b_p(\lambda) = 0 \ (\exists p \in U), k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proposition 2.6 (Lemma 2.10 of [2]). *There exists a positive real number ε such that $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ belongs to $\mathcal{OD}b(\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\varepsilon\} \times U)$.*

Proof. Let λ_0 be an arbitrary pole of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$. There exists $\psi \in C_0^\infty(U)$ such that λ_0 is a pole of $Z(\lambda) := \langle f_+^\lambda \varphi, \psi \rangle$. In particular, $|Z(\lambda_0 + t)|$ tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow +0$. On the other hand, $Z(\lambda)$ is continuous on $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$. This implies $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 < 0$. The conclusion follows since there are at most a finite number of poles of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ in the set $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -1\}$. \square

In conclusion, $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ is a $\mathcal{D}b(U)$ -valued meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} whose poles are contained in $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}$.

§ 2.3. Holonomicity of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ and its applications

Let $f, \varphi, \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathcal{I}$ be as in the preceding subsection. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s](f^s \otimes u)$ be the left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$ generated by $f^s \otimes u$. Theorem 2.5 of Kashiwara [1] guarantees that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} := \mathcal{N}/(s - \lambda_0)\mathcal{N}$ is a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module on Ω for any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let λ_0 be an arbitrary complex number and $f^{\lambda_0} \otimes \varphi$ the residue class of $f^s \otimes u \in \mathcal{N}$ modulo $(s - \lambda_0)\mathcal{N}$.*

1. \mathcal{N}_0 is isomorphic to \mathcal{M} as $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module on Ω_f .
2. If \mathcal{M} is f -saturated, i.e., if $fv = 0$ with $v \in \mathcal{M}$ implies $v = 0$, then there is a surjective $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ on Ω such that $\Phi(f^0 \otimes u) = u$. Moreover, Φ is an isomorphism on Ω_f .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}[f^{-1}] = \mathcal{M}$ on Ω_f , we may assume that \mathcal{M} is f -saturated. In view of Lemma 2.3 and the definition of \mathcal{N}_0 , $P \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ annihilates $f^0 \otimes u$ if and only if there exist $Q(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ and an integer $m \geq \text{ord } Q(s)$ such that $(f^{m-s}Q(s)f^s)(1 \otimes u) = 0$ in $\mathcal{M}[s]$ and $P = Q(0)$. If there exist such $Q(s)$ and m , set

$$f^{m-s}Q(s)f^s = Q_0 + Q_1s + \cdots + Q_l s^l \quad (Q_i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}).$$

Then $Q_i u = 0$ holds for any i . In particular, $Q_0 = f^m P$ annihilates u . This implies $Pu = 0$ since \mathcal{M} is f -saturated. Hence the homomorphism Φ is well-defined.

Now assume $p \in \Omega_f$ and $Pu = 0$ in the stalk \mathcal{M}_p of \mathcal{M} at p . Then $Q(s) := f^s P f^{-s}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n, p}[s]$ and annihilates $f^s \otimes u$ by Lemma 2.3. Hence $P = Q(0)$ annihilates $f^0 \otimes u$. This implies that Φ is an isomorphism on Ω_f . \square

Theorem 2.8. *If λ_0 is not a pole of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$, then $f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi$ is a solution of \mathcal{N}_{λ_0} .*

Proof. Assume that $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is not a pole of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$. Let P be a section of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ which annihilates $f^{\lambda_0} \otimes u$. Then there exist $Q(s), R(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ such that

$$P = Q(s) + (s - \lambda_0)R(s), \quad Q(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{N}.$$

Proposition 2.5 implies that $Q(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi)$ vanishes as section of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}Db$. In particular, $P(f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi) = Q(\lambda_0)(f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi) = 0$ holds as distribution. Thus the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(f^{\lambda_0} \otimes u) \ni P(f^{\lambda_0} \otimes u) \longmapsto P(f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi) \in \mathcal{D}b$$

is well-defined and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -linear. Hence $f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi$ is a solution of \mathcal{N}_{λ_0} . \square

The following two theorems are essentially due to Kashiwara and Kawai [2] although they are stated with additional assumptions and stronger results.

Theorem 2.9. *φ is a solution of the holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module \mathcal{N}_0 .*

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s](-f)^s$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]f^s$ as left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module since $\partial_i(-f)^s = s f_i f^{-1}(-f)^s$ holds in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s](-f)^s$ with $f_i = \partial f / \partial x_i$. Assume that $P(f^0 \otimes u) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}/s\mathcal{N}$. Then there exist $Q(s), R(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ such that

$$P = Q(s) + sR(s), \quad Q(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{N}.$$

Let $\theta(t)$ be the Heaviside function; i.e., $\theta(t) = 1$ for $t > 0$ and $\theta(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$. Then we have $\theta(f) = f_+^0$ and $\theta(-f) = (-f)_+^0$. Theorem 2.8 implies that $P = Q(0)$ annihilates both $\theta(f)\varphi$ and $\theta(-f)\varphi$, and hence also $\varphi = \theta(f)\varphi + \theta(-f)\varphi$. Thus φ is a solution of \mathcal{N}_0 . \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let φ_1 and φ_2 be locally L^p and L^q functions respectively on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Assume that φ_1 and φ_2 are solutions of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -modules \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 respectively on U . Then for any point x_0 of U , there exists a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module \mathcal{M} on a neighborhood of x_0 of which the product $\varphi_1\varphi_2$ is a solution.*

Proof. There exist analytic functions f_1 and f_2 on a neighborhood V of x_0 such that the singular support (the projection of the characteristic variety minus the zero section) of \mathcal{M}_k is contained in $f_k = 0$ for $k = 1, 2$. Set $f(z) = f_1(z)\overline{f_1(\bar{z})}f_2(z)\overline{f_2(\bar{z})}$. Then $f(x)$ is a real-valued real analytic function and φ_1 and φ_2 are real analytic on V_f . Then it is easy to see, in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.8, that $\varphi_1\varphi_2$ is a solution of $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}_2$ on V_f . To complete the proof, we have only to apply Theorem 2.9 to $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\varphi_1\varphi_2$ in place of \mathcal{M} and φ respectively. \square

§ 2.4. Laurent coefficients of $f_+^\lambda\varphi$

Let f, φ, \mathcal{M} be as in preceding subsections.

Theorem 2.11. *Let p be a point of U . Then each coefficient of the Laurent expansion of $f_+^\lambda\varphi$ about an arbitrary $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a solution of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module on a common neighborhood of p .*

Proof. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 + m \geq 0$. By using the functional equation involving the generalized b -function, we can find a nonzero $b(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ and a germ $P(s)$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ at p such that

$$b(\lambda)f_+^\lambda\varphi = P(\lambda)(f_+^{\lambda+m}\varphi).$$

Factor $b(s)$ as $b(s) = (s - \lambda_0)^l c(s)$ with $c(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ such that $c(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ and an integer $l \geq 0$. Then we have

$$(\lambda - \lambda_0)^l f_+^\lambda\varphi = \frac{1}{c(\lambda)} P(\lambda)(f_+^{\lambda+m}\varphi).$$

The right-hand side is holomorphic in λ on a neighborhood of $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Let

$$f_+^\lambda\varphi = \sum_{k=-l}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k \varphi_k$$

be the Laurent expansion with $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}b(U)$, which is given by

$$\varphi_k = \frac{1}{(l+k)!} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{\partial^{l+k}}{\partial \lambda^{l+k}} ((\lambda - \lambda_0)^l f_+^\lambda \varphi) = \frac{1}{(l+k)!} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{\partial^{l+k}}{\partial \lambda^{l+k}} \left(\frac{1}{c(\lambda)} P(\lambda) (f_+^{\lambda+m} \varphi) \right).$$

Hence there exist $Q_{kj} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_k = \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj} (f_+^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f_+)^j \varphi).$$

First let us show that $f_+^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f_+)^j \varphi$ with $0 \leq j \leq k$ satisfy a holonomic system. Consider the free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}]$ -module

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] f^s \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] f^s \log f \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] f^s (\log f)^2 \oplus \cdots,$$

which has a natural structure of left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module. Let

$$\mathcal{N}[k] := \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s] (f^s \otimes u) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s] ((f^s \log f) \otimes u) + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s] ((f^s (\log f)^k) \otimes u)$$

be the left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -submodule of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$ generated by $(f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u$ with $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}[k]/\mathcal{N}[k-1]$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}[0]$ as left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module since

$$P(s) ((f^s (\log f)^k) \otimes u) \equiv (f^{s-m} (\log f)^k) \otimes (f^{m-s} P(s) f^s) u \quad \text{mod } \mathcal{N}[k-1]$$

holds for any $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ with $m = \text{ord } P(s)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[k] := \mathcal{N}[k]/(s - \lambda_0)\mathcal{N}[k]$ is a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module since $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[k]/\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[k-1]$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[0]$, and hence is holonomic as left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module.

Let $(f^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f)^j) \otimes u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[k]$ be the residue class of $(f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u$ modulo $(s - \lambda_0 - m)\mathcal{N}[k]$. Suppose $\sum_{j=0}^k P_j ((f^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f)^j) \otimes u)$ vanishes in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[k]$ with P_j being a section of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ on an open neighborhood of a point p of U . Then there exist $Q_j(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k P_j ((f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u) = (s - \lambda_0 - m) \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j(s) ((f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u)$$

holds in $\mathcal{N}[k]$. Then it is easy to see that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{j=0}^k P_j(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^j \varphi) = (\lambda - \lambda_0 - m) \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^j \varphi)$$

holds in $\mathcal{O}Db(\mathbb{C}_+ \times W_f)$ with an open neighborhood W of p . Lemma 2.2 and analytic continuation imply that (2.3) holds in $\mathcal{O}Db(\mathbb{C}_+ \times W)$. By Proposition 2.6, we have in $\mathcal{D}b(W)$

$$\sum_{j=0}^k P_j ((f_+^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f_+)^j) \varphi) = 0.$$

In conclusion, with k replaced by $l + k$, there exists a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[l+k] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}b$ such that

$$\Phi((f^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f)^j) \otimes u) = f_+^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f_+)^j \varphi \quad (0 \leq j \leq l+k).$$

Set

$$w := \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj}((f^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f)^j) \otimes u), \quad \mathcal{M}_k := \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n} w.$$

Then \mathcal{M}_k is a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[l+k]$ and hence holonomic. Since $\Phi(w) = \varphi_k$ in view of (2.2), φ_k is a solution of \mathcal{M}_k . This completes the proof. \square

§ 3. Algorithms

We give algorithms for computing holonomic systems introduced in the previous section assuming that f is a real polynomial and that \mathcal{M} is algebraic, i.e., defined by differential operators with polynomial coefficients. Let $D_n := \mathbb{C}\langle x, \partial \rangle = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ be the ring of differential operators with polynomial coefficients with $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$. The ring D_n is also called the n -th Weyl algebra over \mathbb{C} .

In the sequel, let f be a non-constant real polynomial of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and φ be a locally integrable function on an open connected set U of \mathbb{R}^n . We assume that there exists a left ideal I of D_n which annihilates φ on U_f , i.e., $P\varphi = 0$ holds on U_f for any $P \in I$, such that $M := D_n/I$ is a holonomic D_n -module. We denote by u the residue class of $1 \in D_n$ modulo I . Let $L = \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]f^s$ be the free $\mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]$ -module generated by f^s , which has a natural structure of left $D_n[s]$ -module. Let $N := D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$ be the left D_n -submodule of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ generated by $f^s \otimes u$.

As was established in the previous section, $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ is a $\mathcal{D}b(U)$ -valued meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} and is a solution of N .

§ 3.1. Mellin transform

Let us assume that φ is real analytic on U_f and set

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_+^\lambda \delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x) dt.$$

This is well-defined and coincides with $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ as a distribution on $U_f \times \mathbb{C}_+$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_+^\lambda t \delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x) dt &= \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda + 1), \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_+^\lambda \partial_t (\delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x)) dt &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_t (t_+^\lambda) \delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x) dt = -\lambda \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $D_{n+1} = D_n\langle t, \partial_t \rangle$ be the $(n + 1)$ -th Weyl algebra with $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$. Let us consider the ring $D_n\langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$ of difference-differential operators with the shift operator $E_s : s \mapsto s + 1$, where s is an indeterminate corresponding to λ . In view of the identities above, let us define the ring homomorphism (Mellin transform of operators)

$$\mu : D_{n+1} \longrightarrow D_n\langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$$

by

$$\mu(t) = E_s, \quad \mu(\partial_t) = -sE_s^{-1}, \quad \mu(x_j) = x_j, \quad \mu(\partial_{x_j}) = \partial_{x_j}.$$

It is easy to see that μ is well-defined and injective since $[\partial_t, t] = [\mu(\partial_t), \mu(t)] = 1$. Hence we may regard D_{n+1} as a subring of $D_n\langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$. Since $\mu(\partial_t t) = -s$, we can also regard $D_n[s]$ as a subring of D_{n+1} . Thus we have inclusions

$$D_n[s] \subset D_{n+1} \subset D_n\langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$$

of rings and $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ has a structure of left $D_n\langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$ -module compatible with that of left $D_n[s]$ -module. Let $\mathcal{F}(U)$ be the \mathbb{C} -vector space of the $\mathcal{D}b(U)$ -valued meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C} . Then $\mathcal{F}(U)$ has a natural structure of left $D_n\langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$ -module, which is compatible with that of $D_n[s]$ -module. In particular, we can regard $\mathcal{F}(U)$ as a left D_{n+1} -module.

§ 3.2. Computation of $N = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$

The inclusion $D_{n+1}f^s \subset L = \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]f^s$ induces a natural D_{n+1} -homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{n+1}f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M & \xrightarrow{\iota} & L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M \\ \cup & & \cup \\ N' & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & N \end{array}$$

where N' is the left $D_n[s]$ -submodule of $D_{n+1}f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ generated by $f^s \otimes u$ and N is the left $D_n[s]$ -submodule of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ generated by $f^s \otimes u$. The homomorphism ι induces a surjective $D_n[s]$ -homomorphism $\iota' : N' \rightarrow N$.

Proposition 3.1. *The homomorphism ι is injective if and only if M is f -saturated; i.e., the homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is injective.*

Proof. First note that $D_{n+1}f^s$ is isomorphic to the first local cohomology group $\mathbb{C}[x, t, (t - f)^{-1}]/\mathbb{C}[x, t]$ of $\mathbb{C}[x, t]$ supported in the non-singular hypersurface $t - f(x) = 0$ since

$$(t - f)f^s = 0, \quad (\partial_{x_i} + f_i \partial_t)f^s = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

In particular, $D_{n+1}f^s$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -module generated by $\partial_t^j f^s$ with $j \geq 0$. Hence an arbitrary element w of $D_{n+1}f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ is uniquely written in the form

$$w = \sum_{j=0}^k (\partial_t^j f^s) \otimes u_j$$

with $u_j \in M$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\iota(w) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j s(s-1) \cdots (s-j+1) f^{s-j} \otimes u_j$$

vanishes if and only if $f^{s-j} \otimes u_j = 0$, which is equivalent to $f^{m_j} u_j = 0$ with some $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$ by Lemma 2.3, for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. This completes the proof. \square

Let \tilde{M} be the left D_n -submodule of the localization $M[f^{-1}] := \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ which is generated by $1 \otimes u$. Then \tilde{M} is f -saturated and the natural homomorphism

$$L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M \longrightarrow L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \tilde{M}$$

is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.3.

An algorithm to compute $M[f^{-1}]$ was presented in [7] under the assumption that M is holonomic on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{f = 0\}$. It provides us with an algorithm to compute \tilde{M} , i.e., the annihilator of $1 \otimes u \in M[f^{-1}]$. Hence we may assume, from the beginning, that M is holonomic and f -saturated. Then $\iota' : N' \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.1. The f -saturatedness is equivalent to the vanishing of the zeroth local cohomology group of M with support in $f = 0$, which can be computed by algorithms presented in [3],[8],[6].

Thus we have only to give an algorithm to compute the structure of N' assuming M to be f -saturated. We follow an argument introduced by Walther [8]. Note that we gave in [3] an algorithm based on tensor product computation which is less efficient.

Definition 3.2. For a differential operator $P = P(x, \partial) \in D_n$, set

$$\tau(P) := P(x, \partial_{x_1} + f_1 \partial_t, \dots, \partial_{x_n} + f_n \partial_t) \in D_{n+1}$$

with $f_j = \partial f / \partial x_j$. This substitution is well-defined since the operators $\partial_{x_j} + f_j \partial_t$ commute with one another and $[\partial_{x_j} + f_j \partial_t, x_i] = \delta_{ij}$ holds.

Moreover, for a left ideal I of D_{n+1} , let $\tau(I)$ be the left ideal of D_n which is generated by the set $\{\tau(P) \mid P \in I\}$.

Lemma 3.3. $\tau(P)(f^s \otimes v) = f^s \otimes (Pv)$ holds in $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ for any $P \in D_n$ and $v \in M$.

Proof. By the definition of the action of D_{n+1} on $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ via the Mellin transform, we have

$$(\partial_{x_j} + f_j \partial_t)(f^s \otimes v) = s f^{-1} f_j f^s \otimes v + f^s \otimes (\partial_{x_j} v) - s f_j f^{-1} f^s \otimes v = f^s \otimes (\partial_{x_j} v).$$

This implies the conclusion of the lemma. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let I be a left ideal of D_n and set $M = D_n/I$ with $u \in M$ being the residue class of 1 modulo I . Let J be the left ideal of D_{n+1} which is generated by $\tau(I) \cup \{t - f(x)\}$. Then J coincides with the annihilator $\text{Ann}_{D_{n+1}}(f^s \otimes u)$ of $f^s \otimes u \in D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$.*

Proof. We have only to show that for $P \in D_{n+1}$ the equivalence

$$P \in J \iff P(f^s \otimes u) = 0 \text{ in } D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M.$$

Suppose Q belongs to J . Then P annihilates $f^s \otimes u$ by Lemma 3.3.

Conversely, suppose $P(f^s \otimes u) = 0$ in $D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$. We can rewrite P in the form

$$P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}} p_{\alpha, \nu}(x) \partial_t^\nu \left(\partial_{x_1} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \partial_t \right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\partial_{x_n} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \partial_t \right)^{\alpha_n} + Q \cdot (t - f(x))$$

with $p_{\alpha, \nu}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and $Q \in D_{n+1}$. Setting $P_\nu := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_{\alpha, \nu}(x) \partial_x^\alpha$, we get

$$0 = P(f^s \otimes u) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\partial_t^\nu f^s) \otimes P_\nu u \in D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M.$$

It follows that each P_ν belongs to I since $\{\partial_t^\nu f^s\}$ constitutes a free basis of $D_{n+1} f^s$ over $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Hence we have

$$P = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \partial_t^\nu \tau(P_\nu) + Q \cdot (t - f(x)) \in J.$$

This completes the proof. □

In order to compute the structure of the $D_n[s]$ -submodule $N' = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$ of $D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$, we have only to compute the annihilator

$$\text{Ann}_{D_n[s]}(f^s \otimes u) = D_n[s] \cap J,$$

where we regard $D_n[s]$ as a subring of D_{n+1} . This can be done as follows:

Introducing new variables σ and τ , for $P \in D_{n+1}$, let $h(P) \in D_{n+1}[\tau]$ be the homogenization of P with respect to the weights

x_j	∂_{x_j}	t	∂_t	τ	σ
0	0	-1	1	-1	1

Let J' be the left ideal of $D_{n+1}[\sigma, \tau]$ generated by

$$\{h(P) \mid P \in \tilde{G}\} \cup \{1 - \sigma\tau\},$$

where \tilde{G} is a set of generators of J .

Set $J'' = J' \cap D_{n+1}$. Since each element P of J'' is homogeneous with respect to the above weights, there exists $P'(s) \in D_n[s]$ such that $P = SP'(-\partial_t t)$ with $S = t^\nu$ or $S = \partial_t^\nu$ with some integer $\nu \geq 0$. We set $P'(s) = \psi(P)(s)$. Then $\{\psi(P) \mid P \in J''\}$ generates the left ideal $J \cap D_n[s]$ of $D_n[s]$. This procedure can be done by using a Gröbner basis in $D_{n+1}[\sigma, \tau]$. In conclusion, we have a set of generators of $J \cap D_n[s]$. Then N' , and hence N also if M is f -saturated, is isomorphic to $D_n[s]/(J \cap D_n[s])$ as left $D_n[s]$ -module.

The generalized b -function for f and u can be computed as the generator of the ideal

$$\mathbb{C}[s] \cap (\text{Ann}_{D_n[s]} f^s \otimes u + D_n[s]f)$$

of $\mathbb{C}[s]$ by elimination via Gröbner basis computation in $D_n[s]$.

§ 3.3. Holonomic systems for the Laurent coefficients of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$

Let λ_0 be an arbitrary complex number. Our purpose is to compute a holonomic system of which each coefficient of the Laurent expansion of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ is a solution.

Let $b_0(s)$ be the (global) b -function of f and u . We can find a $P_0(s) \in D_n[s]$ such that

$$P_0(s)(f^{s+1} \otimes u) = b_0(s)f^s \otimes u$$

holds in N by, e.g., syzygy computation. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Re } \lambda_0 + m \geq 0$ or $b_0(\lambda_0 + m + k) \neq 0$ ($\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $\lambda_0 + m$ is not a pole of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$.

We can find a nonzero polynomial $b(s)$ and $P(s) \in D_n[s]$ such that

$$b(\lambda)f_+^\lambda = P(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda+m}.$$

In fact, we have only to set

$$P(s) := P_0(s)P_0(s+1) \cdots P_0(s+m-1), \quad b(s) := b_0(s)b_0(s+1) \cdots b_0(s+m-1).$$

Factorize $b(s)$ as $b(s) = c(s)(s - \lambda_0)^l$ with $c(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. Then $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ has a Laurent expansion of the form

$$f_+^\lambda \varphi = \sum_{k=-l}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k \varphi_k$$

around λ_0 , where $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}b(U)$ is given by

$$\varphi_k = \frac{1}{(l+k)!} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{l+k} (c(\lambda)^{-1} P(\lambda) f_+^{\lambda+m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj} (f_+^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f)^j)$$

with

$$Q_{kj} := \frac{1}{j!(l+k-j)!} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{l+k-j} (c(\lambda)^{-1} P(\lambda)) \right]_{\lambda=\lambda_0}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{L} = \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s] f^s \oplus \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s] f^s \log f \oplus \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s] f^s (\log f)^2 \oplus \dots$$

be the free $\mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]$ -module with a natural structure of left $D_n \langle s, \partial_s \rangle$ -module. Consider the left $D_n[s]$ -submodule

$$N[k] = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u) + D_n[s]((f^s \log f) \otimes u) + \dots + D_n[s]((f^s (\log f)^k) \otimes u)$$

of $\tilde{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$. For a complex number λ_0 , set

$$N_{\lambda_0}[k] = N[k]/(s - \lambda_0)N[k].$$

Let us first give an algorithm to compute the structure of $N[k]$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G_0 be a set of generators of the annihilator $\text{Ann}_{D_n[s]}(f^s \otimes u) = J \cap D_n[s]$. Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_{k+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} . For each $Q(s) \in G_0$ and an integer j with $0 \leq j \leq k$, set*

$$Q^{(j)}(s) := \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} \frac{\partial^{j-i} Q(s)}{\partial s^{j-i}} e_{i+1} \in (D_n[s])^{k+1}.$$

Let J_k be the left $D_n[s]$ -submodule of $(D_n[s])^{k+1}$ generated by $G_1 := \{Q^{(j)}(s) \mid Q(s) \in G_0, 0 \leq j \leq k\}$. Then $(D_n[s])^{k+1}/J_k$ is isomorphic to $N[k]$.

Proof. Let $\varpi : (D_n[s])^{k+1} \rightarrow N[k]$ be the canonical surjection. Let $Q(s)$ belong to G_0 . Differentiating the equation $Q(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$ in $N[k]$ with respect to s , one gets

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} \frac{\partial^{j-i} Q(s)}{\partial s^{j-i}} ((f^s (\log f)^i) \otimes u) = 0.$$

Hence J_k is contained in the kernel of ϖ . Conversely, assume that

$$\vec{Q}(s) = (Q_0(s), Q_1(s), \dots, Q_k(s))$$

belongs to the kernel of ϖ . This implies $Q_k(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$ since $N[k]/N[k-1]$ is isomorphic to $N = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$. Hence $\vec{Q}(s) - Q_k^{(k)}(s)$ belongs to the kernel of ϖ , the last component of which is zero. We conclude that $\vec{Q}(s)$ belongs to J_k by induction. \square

Thus we have

$$N_{\lambda_0}[k] = (D_n)^{k+1}/J_k|_{s=\lambda_0}, \quad J_k|_{s=\lambda_0} := \{Q(\lambda_0) \mid Q(s) \in J_k\}.$$

Set

$$w := \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj}((f^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f)^j) \otimes u), \quad M_k := D_n w.$$

Then we have

$$Pw = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad P(Q_{k0}, Q_{k1}, \dots, Q_{k,l+k}) \in J_{l+k}|_{s=\lambda_0+m}.$$

Thus we can find a set of generators of $\text{Ann}_{D_n} w$ by computation of syzygy or intersection. As was shown in §2.4, φ_k is a solution of the holonomic system M_k .

§ 3.4. Difference equations for the local zeta function

In the sequel, we assume that φ is a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n . As we have seen so far, $f_+^\lambda \varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a solution of the holonomic D_{n+1} -module D_{n+1}/J . Hence if the local zeta function $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+^\lambda \varphi dx$ is well-defined, e.g., if φ has compact support, or else is smooth on \mathbb{R}^n with all its derivatives rapidly decreasing on the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \geq 0\}$, then $Z(\lambda)$ is a solution of the integral module

$$D_{n+1}/(J + \partial_{x_1} D_{n+1} + \dots + \partial_{x_n} D_{n+1})$$

of D_{n+1}/J , which is a holonomic module over $D_1 = \mathbb{C}\langle t, \partial_t \rangle$. This D_1 -module can be computed by the integration algorithm which is the ‘Fourier transform’ of the restriction algorithm given in [6] (see [5] for the integration algorithm). Then by Mellin transform we obtain linear difference equations for $Z(\lambda)$. Thus we get

Theorem 3.6. *Under the above assumptions, $Z(\lambda)$ satisfies a non-trivial linear difference equation with polynomial coefficients in λ .*

Example 3.7. $\Gamma(\lambda+1) = \int_0^\infty x^\lambda e^{-x} dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x_+^\lambda e^{-x} dx$ satisfies the difference equation

$$(E_\lambda - (\lambda+1))\Gamma(\lambda+1) = 0,$$

where $E_\lambda : \lambda \mapsto \lambda+1$ is the shift operator.

§ 3.5. Examples

Let us present some examples computed by using algorithms introduced so far and their implementation in the computer algebra system Risa/Asir.

Example 3.8. Set $f = x^3 - y^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ and $\varphi = 1$. Since the b -function of f is $b_f(s) = (s + 1)(6s + 5)(6s + 7)$, possible poles of f_+^λ are $-1 - \nu$, $-5/6 - \nu$, $-6/7 - \nu$ with $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and they are at most simple poles. The residue $\text{Res}_{\lambda=-1} f_+^\lambda$ is a solution of

$$D_2 / (D_2(2x\partial_x + 3y\partial_y + 6) + D_2(2y\partial_x + 3x^2\partial_y) + D_2(x^3 - y^2)).$$

$\text{Res}_{\lambda=-5/6} f_+^\lambda$ is a solution of $D_2 / (D_2x + D_2y)$. Hence it is a constant multiple of the delta function $\delta(x, y) = \delta(x)\delta(y)$. $\text{Res}_{\lambda=-7/6} f_+^\lambda$ is a solution of $D_2 / (D_2x^2 + D_2(x\partial_x + 2) + D_2y)$. Hence it is a constant multiple of $\delta'(x)\delta(y)$.

Example 3.9. Set $f = x^3 - y^2$ and $\varphi(x, y) = \exp(-x^2 - y^2)$. Then φ is a solution of a holonomic system $M := D_2 / (D_2(\partial_x + 2x) + D_2(\partial_y + 2y))$ on \mathbb{R}^2 , which is f -saturated since it is a simple D_2 -module. The generalized b -function for f and $u := [1] \in M$ is $b_f(s) = (s + 1)(6s + 5)(6s + 7)$. The local zeta function $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_+^\lambda \varphi dx dy$ is annihilated by the difference operator

$$32E_s^4 + 16(4s + 13)E_s^3 - 4(s + 3)(27s^2 + 154s + 211)E_s^2 - 6(s + 2)(s + 3)(36s^2 + 162s + 173)E_s - 3(s + 1)(s + 2)(s + 3)(6s + 5)(6s + 13),$$

where s is an indeterminate corresponding to λ . From this we see that $-7/6$ is not a pole of $Z(\lambda)$.

Example 3.10. Set $\varphi(x) = \exp(-x - 1/x)$ for $x > 0$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$. Then $\varphi(x)$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ of rapidly decreasing functions on \mathbb{R} and satisfies a holonomic system

$$M := D_1 / D_1(x^2\partial_x + x^2 - 1),$$

which is x -saturated. The generalized b -function for $f = x$ and $u = [1] \in M$ is $s + 1$. The local zeta function $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x_+^\lambda \varphi(x) dx$ is entire (i.e., without poles) and satisfies a difference equation

$$(E_\lambda^2 - (\lambda + 2)E_\lambda - 1)Z(\lambda) = 0.$$

This can also be deduced by integration by parts.

Example 3.11. Set $\varphi_1(x) = \exp(-x - 1/x)$ for $x > 0$ and $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$. Set $\varphi(x, y) = \varphi_1(x)e^{-y}$. Then φ satisfies a holonomic system

$$M := D_2 / (D_2(x^2\partial_x + x^2 - 1) + D_2(\partial_y + 1)).$$

The generalized b -function for $f := y^3 - x^2$ and $u = [1] \in M$ is $s + 1$. Moreover, we can confirm that M is f -saturated by using the localization algorithm in [7]. The local zeta function $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_+^\lambda \varphi dx dy$ is well-defined since $f(x, y) < 0$ if $y < 0$. It is annihilated by a difference operator of the form

$$E_s^{11} + a_{10}(s)E_s^{10} + \cdots + a_1(s)E_s + a_0(s),$$

$$a_0(s) = c(s+1)(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)(s+5)(s+6)(s+7)(s+8)(s+9),$$

where c is a positive rational number and $a_1(s), \dots, a_{10}(s)$ are polynomials of s with rational coefficients. Possible poles of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ are the negative integers. For example, -1 is at most a simple pole of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ and $\text{Res}_{\lambda=-1} f_+^\lambda \varphi$ is a solution of a holonomic system

$$D_2 / (D_2(3x^2 \partial_x + 2xy \partial_y + 3x^2 + (2y+6)x - 3) + D_2(y^3 - x^2)).$$

Example 3.12. Set $f = x^3 - y^2 z^2$. The b -function of f is $(s+1)(3s+4)(3s+5)(6s+5)^2(6s+7)^2$. For example, its maximum root $-5/6$ is at most a pole of order 2 of f_+^λ . Let

$$f_+^\lambda = \left(\lambda + \frac{5}{6}\right)^{-2} \varphi_{-2} + \left(\lambda + \frac{5}{6}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{-1} + \varphi_0 + \cdots$$

be the Laurent expansion. Then φ_{-2} satisfies

$$x\varphi_{-2} = y\varphi_{-2} = z\varphi_{-2} = 0.$$

Hence φ_{-2} is a constant multiple of $\delta(x, y)$. On the other hand, φ_{-1} satisfies a holonomic system

$$x\varphi_{-1} = (y\partial_y - z\partial_z)\varphi_{-1} = yz\varphi_{-1} = (z^2\partial_z - z)\varphi_{-1} = 0.$$

References

- [1] Kashiwara, M, On the holonomic systems of linear differential equations, II, *Invent. Math.* **49** (1978), 121–135.
- [2] Kashiwara, M., Kawai, T., On the characteristic variety of a holonomic system with regular singularities, *Advances in Math.* **34** (1979), 163–184.
- [3] Oaku, T., Algorithms for b -functions, restrictions, and algebraic local cohomology of D -modules, *Advances in Appl. Math.* **19** (1997), 61–105
- [4] Oaku, T., Algorithms for integrals of holonomic functions over domains defined by polynomial inequalities, *J. Symbolic Computation* **50** (2013), 1–27
- [5] Oaku, T., Takayama, N., An algorithm for de Rham cohomology groups of the complement of an affine variety. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **139** (1999), 201–233.
- [6] Oaku, T., Takayama, N., Algorithms for D -modules — restriction, tensor product, localization, and local cohomology groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **156** (2001), 267–308.
- [7] Oaku, T., Takayama, N., Walther, U., A localization algorithm for D -modules, *J. Symbolic Computation* **29** (2000), 721–728
- [8] Walther, U., Algorithmic computation of local cohomology modules and the local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **139** (1998), 303–321