

Gevrey singularities for nonlinear wave equations

大阪大学 理 加藤 圭一
(OSAKA UNIVERSITY: KEIICHI KATO)

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following semilinear wave equations,

$$(1) \quad \square u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset R_t \times R_x^2,$$

where u is a real valued function, $\square = \partial^2/\partial t^2 - \Delta$ with $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial^2/\partial x_j^2$, Ω is a bounded domain which contains the origin and $f(u)$ is a polynomial of u with $f(0) = 0$.

We study the interaction of Gevrey singularities for this equation. We assume that solutions that we study here are all in $H^s(\Omega)$ with $s > 3/2$ where $H^s(\Omega)$ is a Sobolev space of order s in Ω . In 1982, J. Rauch and M. Reed [4] have made an example in which three singularities produce new singularities. In 1984, J. M. Bony [2] and R. Melrose and N. Ritter [3] have had a general result for C^∞ singularity independently. We put $\Sigma_j = \{(t, x) \in R^3; t = \omega_j \cdot x\}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) with $\omega_j \in S^1$. Their result for the equation (1) is as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (J. M. Bony [2], R. Melrose and N. Ritter [3]). *If u is conormal with respect to $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3$ in $\Omega_- = \Omega \cup \{t < 0\}$, then the solution u is C^∞ in $K \setminus (\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3 \cup \{t^2 = |x|^2\})$ where K is a domain of determine with respect to Ω_- .*

In this talk, we shall make the Gevrey version of the above result.

Definition 1.1 (Gevrey conormal distribution). For $s > 3/2, \sigma \leq 1$, we call that $u \in H^s(\Sigma, G^{(\sigma)}; \Omega)$, if and only if for any compact set $K \subset \Omega$ and for any vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_l$ with analytic coefficients and any integer l which are tangent to Σ , there exist constants $C, A > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \|V_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots V_l^{\alpha_l} u\|_{H^s(K)} \leq C A^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha|!)^\sigma$$

for any integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that u is in $H^s(\Omega)$ for some $s > 5/2$, u satisfies the equation (1) and $u \in H^s(\Sigma_1, G^{(\sigma)}; \Omega_-)$. Then we have*

$$(3) \quad u \in H^s(\Sigma_1, G^{(\sigma)}; K),$$

where $\Omega_- = \Omega \cap \{(t, x); t < 0\}$, K is the domain of determine with respect to Ω_- .

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that u is in $H^s(\Omega)$ for some $s > 5/2$, u satisfies the equation (1) and $u \in H^s(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, G^{(\sigma)}; \Omega_-)$. Then we have

$$(4) \quad u \in H^s(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, G^{(\sigma)}; K),$$

where $\Omega_- = \Omega \cap \{(t, x); t < 0\}$, K is the domain of determine with respect to Ω_- .

Theorem 1.4 (Main result). Suppose that $u \in H^s(\Omega)$ ($s > 5/2$), u satisfies the equation (1) and

$$(5) \quad u \in H^s(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3, G^{(\sigma)}; \Omega_-).$$

Then u is a Gevrey class function of order σ in $K \setminus \Sigma_1 \cup S_2 \cup \Sigma_3 \cup \Gamma_+$, where $\Gamma_+ = \{t^2 = |x|^2, t > 0\}$, $\Omega_- = \Omega \cap \{t < 0\}$ and K is a domain of determine with respect to Ω_- .

Corollary 1.1. Suppose that $u \in H^s(\Omega)$ ($s > 5/2$), u satisfies the equation (1) and

$$(6) \quad u \in H^s(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3, G^{(1)}; \Omega_-).$$

Then u is real analytic in $K \setminus \Sigma_1 \cup S_2 \cup \Sigma_3 \cup \Gamma_+$, where $\Gamma_+ = \{t^2 = |x|^2, t > 0\}$, $\Omega_- = \Omega \cap \{t < 0\}$ and K is a domain of determine with respect to Ω_- .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let K be a relatively compact set in $R^3 = R_t \times R_x^2$ such that each subset $K \cap \{(t, x); s \leq t \leq T\}$ is a domain of determine with respect to $K \cap \{(t, x); t \leq s\}$ for $S \leq s \leq T$. For $m > 5/2$ and $f \in H^m(K)$, we put

$$(7) \quad E_m(t)[f] = \|f(t)\|_{H^{m-1/2}(K(t))} + \|\partial_t f(t)\|_{H^{m-3/2}(K(t))}$$

with $K(s) = K \cap \{(t, x); t = s\}$.

Proposition 2.1 (Energy estimate). For $f \in H^m(K)$, we have

$$(8) \quad E_m(t_2)[f] \leq E_m(t_1)[f] + C(T) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\square f\|_{H^{m-3/2}(K(s))} ds$$

for $S \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$.

Proposition 2.2. For $u, v \in H^m(K)$, we have

$$(9) \quad E_m(t)[uv] \leq C(n) E_m[u] E_m[v].$$

Let Q be a analytic vector field on K . We define a quantity $\|f(t)\|_{G_A^s(Q;E_m)}$ by

$$(10) \quad \|f(t)\|_{G_A^s(Q;E_m)} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^l}{l!^s} E_m(t)[P^l]$$

and we put $\|f(t)\|_{X(Q)} = \|f\|_{G_A^s(Q;E_m)}$ and $\|f\|_{Y_A([t_1,t_2];Q)} \|f\|_{Y([t_1,t_2];Q)} = \sup_{t_1 \leq t \leq t_2} \|f(t)\|_{X(Q)}$ for abbreviation.

Proposition 2.3. For $c \in R$,

$$(11) \quad \|f\|_{G_A^s(Q+c;E_m)} \leq e^{|c|A} \|f\|_{X(Q)}.$$

Proposition 2.4.

$$\|uv\|_{X(Q)} \leq C(n) \|u\|_{X(Q)} \|v\|_{X(Q)}.$$

For Σ_1 and Σ_2 , we put $\tilde{\omega}_j = (1, -\omega_j)$, $\tilde{\omega}_j^* = (1, \omega_j)$, $\nabla = (\partial_t, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2})$ and put

$$(12) \quad X_1 = (\tilde{\omega}_1 \times \tilde{\omega}_2) \cdot \nabla$$

$$(13) \quad X_2 = (t - \omega_2 \cdot x) \tilde{\omega}_1^* \cdot \nabla$$

$$(14) \quad X_3 = \tilde{\omega}_2^* \cdot \nabla$$

$$(15) \quad X_4 = (t - \omega_1 \cdot x) \tilde{\omega}_2^* \cdot \nabla$$

Proposition 2.5. We have

$$(16) \quad [X_j, X_k] = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j, k \leq 4,$$

$$(17) \quad [\square, X_1] = [\square, X_3] = 0,$$

$$(18) \quad [\square, X_2] = [\square, X_4] = C_1 \square + C_2 X_1^2,$$

for some C_1 and C_2 .

Proposition 2.6. (1) X_1, X_2 and X_3 are all tangent to Σ_1 .

(2) X_1, X_2 and X_4 are all tangent to $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$.

Proposition 2.7. (1) X_1, X_2 and X_3 are linearly independent in Σ_1^c .

(2) X_1, X_2 and X_4 are linearly independent in $(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)^c$.

3. LEMMAS

In this section, we prepare several lemmas which are used to prove the theorems. We put $P = t\partial_t + x \cdot \partial_x$. Let K' be a relatively compact open set in K satisfying the same condition K of the section 2. We consider the following linearized equation,

$$\begin{cases} \square v = F(w), \\ v = u(-\epsilon, x) \quad \partial_t v = \partial_t u \quad \text{for } t = -\epsilon, \end{cases}$$

where we take ϵ is so small that $K'(-\epsilon)$ determines $K' \cap \{-\epsilon < t < T\}$. Let S denote the mapping that corresponds w to v . We put $u_0 = S[0]$ and $u_n = Su_{n-1}$. Since u_0

is a solution to the homogenous linear wave equation, there exists a constant A such that $\|u_0\|_{Y_A([- \epsilon, T]; P)} < \infty$. We put $B_0 = \max(\|u_0\|_{Y([- \epsilon, T]; P)}, 2\|u(t_1)\|_{X(P)})$.

Lemma 3.1. *If u satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.2 or 1.3 or 1.4, we have*

$$(19) \quad \|u\|_{Y([t_1, t_2]; P)} \leq \|u(t_1)\|_{X(P)},$$

for $-\epsilon \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ with $t_2 - t_1 < 1/(2C(T)F(C(n))G(B_0))$.

Proof. Using Propositions 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4, we have the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 (the Energy estimate). *If u satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.2 or 1.3 or 1.4, we have*

$$(20) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{X(P)} \leq \|\phi\|_{G_A^s(x \cdot \nabla; E_m)}.$$

Proof. Using the lemma 3.1 several times, we have the lemma. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND 1.2

First we prove Theorem 1.1. From Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 it suffices to show that for every compact set $K' \subset K$ there exist constants C_1 and A_1 such that

$$(21) \quad \|X_1^{\alpha_1} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3} u\|_{H^m(K')} \leq C_1 A_1^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^\sigma,$$

for all non negative integers α_1, α_2 and α_3 with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. We can prove the above by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

5. REGULARITY IN THE INTERIOR OF THE CONE

Let σ be a real number greater than or equal to 1. We put $P = t\partial_t + x \cdot \partial_x$. The following lemma is a key lemma to prove Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 5.1 (Key lemma). *Suppose that*

$$(22) \quad \|P^l u\|_{H^s(K)} \leq C_1 A_1^l (l!)^\sigma \quad \text{for } \forall l \in N \cup \{0\}$$

and u satisfies the equation (1). Then u is a Gevrey class function of order σ in Γ_+ , where $\Gamma_+ = \{(t, x) \in R^3; t^2 > |x|^2, t > 0\}$.

Proof. For simplicity, we prove only the case $f(u) = u^m$. Let $B \subset \Gamma_+$ be a relatively compact ball. It suffices to show that u is a Gevrey class function of order σ in each $B \subset \Gamma_+$. We put $M = \square^2 + P^4$.

Let $\chi(x)$ be a C^∞ function in B such that $0 < \chi$ in B and $\chi(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial B)$ near ∂B . We put $\psi(x) = \chi(x)^N$ and we take N sufficiently large that $\|\partial^\beta(\psi u)\|_B \leq c\|M\psi u\|_B$ for $|\beta| \leq 4$.

We show that

$$(23) \quad \|\psi^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha P^l u\| \leq C_2 A_2^{|\alpha|+l} ((|\alpha| + l)!)^\sigma$$

for some $C_2 > 0$ and $A_2 > 0$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$ and all $l \geq 0$. We show (23) by induction with respect to α .

When $|\alpha| = 0$, (23) is nothing but the assumption (22). We assume that (23) is valid until $|\alpha| = m$.

First we prove the case $0 \leq m \leq 3$. For $|\alpha| = m + 1$, we have

$$(24) \quad \|\psi^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha P^l u\|_B \leq \|\partial^\alpha \psi^{|\alpha|} P^l u\|_B + \|[\psi^{|\alpha|}, \partial^\alpha] P^l u\|_B.$$

The second term of the right hand side is estimated by

$$(25) \quad \sum_{\alpha' < \alpha} C_3 \|\psi^{|\alpha'|} \partial^{\alpha'} P^l u\| \leq C_4 C_2 A_2^{m+l} ((m+l)!)^\sigma$$

$$(26) \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} C_2 A_2^{m+l+1} ((m+l)!)^\sigma$$

if we take $A_2 \geq 2C_4$. Since $|\alpha| = m + 1 \leq 4$, the first term is estimated by

$$(27) \quad \|M \psi^{|\alpha|} P^l u\|_B \leq \|\psi^{|\alpha|} M P^l u\|_B + \|[M, \psi^{|\alpha|}] P^l u\|_B.$$

The second term of the right hand side of the above inequality can be estimated by

$$(28) \quad C_5 \sum_{|\alpha'| \leq 3} \|\psi^{|\alpha'|} \partial^{\alpha'} P^l u\|_B \leq \frac{1}{4} C_2 A_2^{m+l+1} ((m+l+1)!)^\sigma$$

if we take A_2 sufficiently large. The first term is estimated by

$$(29) \quad \|\psi^{|\alpha|} \square^2 P^l u\|_B + \|\psi^{|\alpha|} P^{l+4} u\|_B.$$

The second term of the right hand side of the above can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} C_6 \|P^{l+4} u\|_B &\leq C_6 C_2 A_2^{l+4} ((l+4)!)^\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} C_2 A_2^{l+m+1} ((l+m+1)!)^\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

if we take C_2 and A_2 sufficiently large. The first term of the right hand side of the above is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi^{|\alpha|} (P+4)^l \square^2 u\|_B &= \|\psi^{|\alpha|} (P+4)^l \square(u^m)\|_B \\ &\leq m \|\psi^{|\alpha|} (P+4)^l u^{2m-1}\| + \binom{m}{2} \sum_{j=0}^2 \|\psi^{|\alpha|} (P+4)^l u^{m-2} (\partial_j u)^2\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the right hand side of the above is estimated by

$$(30) \quad \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m = \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_m!} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_m = l} \frac{l!}{l_1! \dots l_m!} \|\psi^{|\alpha_1|} (P+4)^{l_1} u\|_B \times \\ \|\psi^{|\alpha_2|} P^{l_2} u\|_B \dots \|\psi^{|\alpha_{m-2}|} P^{l_{m-2}} u\|_B \|\psi^{|\alpha_{m-1}|} P^{l_{m-1}} \partial_j u\|_B \|\psi^{|\alpha_m|} P^{l_m} \partial_j u\|_B.$$

This can be estimated by $\frac{1}{16}C_2A_2^{l+m+1}((l+m+1)!)^\sigma$ if we take C_2 and A_2 sufficiently large. The first term can be also estimated by $\frac{1}{16}C_2A_2^{l+m+1}((L+m+1)!)^\sigma$.

Next we prove the case $m \geq 4$. For $|\alpha| = m - 3$ and $|\beta| = 4$, we have

$$(31) \quad \|\psi^{m+1}\partial^{\alpha+\beta}P^l u\|_B \leq \|\partial^\beta\psi^{m+1}\partial^\alpha P^l u\|_B + \|[\psi^{m+1}, \partial^\beta]\partial^\alpha P^l u\|_B.$$

The second term of the right hand side of the above can be estimated by

$$(32) \quad C_7 \sum_{\beta' < \beta} \|\psi^{m-3+|\beta'|}\partial^{\beta'} P^l u\|_B \leq C_7 C_2 A_2^{m+l}((m+l)!)^\sigma$$

$$(33) \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}C_2 A_2^{m+l+1}((m+l+1)!)^\sigma$$

if we take $A_2 \geq 2C_7$. Since $|\beta| = 4$, the first term is estimated by

$$(34) \quad \|M\psi^{m+1}\partial^\alpha P^l u\|_B \leq \|\psi^{m+1}M\partial^\alpha P^l u\|_B + \|[M, \psi^{m+1}]\partial^\alpha P^l u\|_B.$$

Using the same argument as in the case $m \leq 3$, we can estimate the right hand side of the above by $\frac{1}{2}C_2 A_2^{m+l+1}((m+l+1)!)^\sigma$. But we note that we do not change C_2 at each step of induction in the case $m \geq 4$ not as in the case $m \leq 3$.

□

6. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

We devide $K \setminus \Sigma_1 \cup S_2 \cup \Sigma_3 \cup \Gamma_+$ into 4 parts, $\bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_i$ with

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{O}_1 = \{(t, x) \in R^3; t - \omega_1 \cdot x > 0, t - \omega_2 \cdot x < 0, t - \omega_3 \cdot x < 0\} \cup \dots$$

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = \{(t, x) \in R^3; t - \omega_1 \cdot x > 0, t - \omega_2 \cdot x > 0, t - \omega_3 \cdot x < 0\} \cup \dots$$

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{O}_3 = \{(t, x) \in R^3; t - \omega_1 \cdot x > 0, t - \omega_2 \cdot x > 0, t - \omega_3 \cdot x > 0, t^2 - |x|^2 < 0\}$$

$$(38) \quad \mathcal{O}_4 = \{(t, x) \in R^3; t - \omega_1 \cdot x > 0, t - \omega_2 \cdot x > 0, t - \omega_3 \cdot x > 0, t^2 - |x|^2 > 0\}.$$

For x in $\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \mathcal{O}_3$, the backward light cone Γ_x^- from x does not contain the origin. So we can prove that u is in $G^{(\sigma)}$ in this area by the same argument as in the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

To prove that u is in $G^{(\sigma)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_4$, we use the operator $P = t\partial_t + x \cdot \partial_x$. Using this operator, M. Beals[1] has given another proof of the theorem 1.1 of Bony and Melrose-Ritter. Note that for all relatively compact open set $L \subset \Omega_-$, there exist constants $C, A_1 > 0$ such that

$$(39) \quad \|P^k u\|_{H^s(L)} \leq C A_1^k (k!)^\sigma \quad \text{for } \forall k,$$

from the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. Since $[\square, P] = 2\square$,

$$(40) \quad \square(Pu) = P\square u + [\square, P]u = (P + 2)f(u).$$

So we have

$$(41) \quad \square(P^k u) = (P + 2)^k f(u).$$

Using the energy inequality 3.2, we have for all relatively compact open set $L \subset K$, there exist constants $C, A > 0$ such that

$$(42) \quad \|P^k u\|_{H^s(L)} \leq CA^k (k!)^\sigma \quad \text{for } \forall k.$$

From Lemma 5.1, we have that u is in $G^{(\sigma)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_4$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Beals, *Interaction of radially smooth nonlinear waves*, Lecture Note in Math. **1256** (1987), 1–27.
2. J. M. Bony, *Second microlocalization and propagation of singularities for semi-linear hyperbolic equations*, Taniguchi Symp. HERT Katata 1984, Kinokuni-ya, Tokyo, 1984, pp. 11–49.
3. R. Melrose and N. Ritter, *Interaction of nonlinear progressing waves for semilinear wave equations*, Annals of Math. **121** (1984), 187–213.
4. J. Rauch and M. Reed, *Singularities produced by the nonlinear interaction of three progressing waves; examples*, Comm. in P.D.E. **7** (1982), 1117–1133.

DEPARTMENT OF MATH., OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560 JAPAN

e-mail address: kei@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp