

ON WEAK CONVERGENCE TO FIXED POINTS OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES

筑波大学・大学院経営システム科学

鈴木 智成 (Tomonari Suzuki)

東京工業大学・大学院情報理工学研究科

高橋 渉 (Wataru Takahashi)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the following weak convergence theorem: Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E which satisfies Opial's condition or whose norm is Fréchet differentiable. Let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself with a fixed point. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is given by $x_1 \in C$ and $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T[\beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n] + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of T . This is a generalization of the results of Tan and Xu, and Takahashi and Kim.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a real Banach space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Then a mapping T from C into itself is called nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. For a mapping T from C into itself, we denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T . Now, we consider the following iteration scheme: $x_1 \in C$ and

$$(1) \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T[\beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n] + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$. Such an iteration scheme was introduced by Ishikawa [3]; see also Mann [4]. Recently Tan and Xu [8] proved the following interesting result (Corollary 1): Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E which satisfies Opial's condition or whose norm is Fréchet differentiable and let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself with a fixed point. Then for any initial data x_1 in C , the iterates $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are chosen so that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) < \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, converge weakly to a fixed point of T . On the other hand, Takahashi and Kim [7] proved the following (Corollary 2): Let C , E and T be as above and suppose $\alpha_n \in [a, b]$ and $\beta_n \in [0, b]$, or $\alpha_n \in [a, 1]$ and $\beta_n \in [a, b]$ for some a, b with

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47H10, Secondary 47H09, 47H17.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, Nonexpansive mapping, Ishikawa iteration.

This research is supported by University of Tsukuba Research Project.

$0 < a \leq b < 1$. Then for any initial data x_1 in C , the iterates $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1) converge weakly to a fixed point of T . Note that Tan and Xu's result is applicable to the case of $\alpha_n = 1 - 1/n$ and $\beta_n = 1/n$ for all $n \geq 1$, while Takahashi and Kim's result is applicable to the case of $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1/2$ for all $n \geq 1$.

In this paper, motivated by these two results, we prove the following weak convergence theorem: Let C , E and T be as above and suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Then for any initial data x_1 in C , the iterates $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1) converge weakly to a fixed point of T . Compare this with Tan and Xu's result [8] and Takahashi and Kim's result [7].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let E be a Banach space. For each ε with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 2$, we define the modulus $\delta(\varepsilon)$ of convexity of E by

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x + y\|}{2} : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Note that δ is nondecreasing and

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \left[1 - 2\lambda(1 - \lambda) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|x - y\|}{\max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}} \right) \right]$$

for every $x, y \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$; see [2]. E is called uniformly convex if $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. The norm of E is called Fréchet differentiable if for each $x \in E$ with $\|x\| = 1$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$ exists and is attained uniformly in $y \in E$ with $\|y\| = 1$; see [2]. E is said to satisfy Opial's condition [5] if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E such that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $z \in E$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|$ for all $y \in E$ with $y \neq z$. All Hilbert spaces and ℓ^p ($1 < p < \infty$) satisfy Opial's condition, while L^p with $1 < p < \infty$ and $p \neq 2$ do not. The following lemma was proved by Reich [6]; see also [7].

Lemma 1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E whose norm is Fréchet differentiable and let $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots\}$ be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings from C into itself such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ is nonempty. Let $x \in C$ and $S_n = T_n T_{n-1} \cdots T_1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then the set $\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}\{S_m x : m \geq n\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \right)$ consists of at most one point, where $\overline{\text{co}}\{S_m x : m \geq n\}$ is the closure of the convex hull of $\{S_m x : m \geq n\}$.*

3. WEAK CONVERGENCE THEOREM

In this section, we prove the following theorem which generalizes the results of Tan and Xu [8] and Takahashi and Kim [7].

Theorem. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E which satisfies Opial's condition or whose norm is Fréchet differentiable. Let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself with a fixed point. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is given by $x_1 \in C$ and $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T[\beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n] + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of T .

Before proving it, we need some definitions and lemmas. We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of positive integers. Let I be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. If $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers, then we denote by $\{\lambda_i : i \in I\}$ the subsequence of $\{\lambda_n\}$.

Lemma 2. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mu_n < \infty$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an infinite subset I of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum\{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I\} \leq \varepsilon$ and the subsequence $\{\mu_i : i \in I\}$ of $\{\mu_n\}$ converges to 0.

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, first take $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{n=p_0+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mu_n \leq \varepsilon/2$. From $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mu_n < \infty$, we have $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = 0$. So, there exists $p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_1 > p_0$, $\mu_{p_1} < 1$ and

$$\sum\{\lambda_j \mu_j : j > p_1\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot 2^2}.$$

Similarly we can take $p_2, p_3, \dots \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_k > p_{k-1}$, $\mu_{p_k} < 1/k$ and

$$\sum\{\lambda_j \mu_j : j > p_k\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(k+1) \cdot 2^{k+1}}$$

for all $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Define

$$I = \{1, 2, \dots, p_0\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n : p_{k-1} < n \leq p_k, \mu_n < \frac{1}{k} \right\} \right).$$

Then, $\{\mu_i : i \in I\}$ is a subsequence of $\{\mu_n\}$ such that $\mu_i \rightarrow 0$. We also have

$$\sum\{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I\} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum \left\{ \lambda_n : p_{k-1} < n \leq p_k, \mu_n \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Putting $S_k = \{n : p_{k-1} < n \leq p_k, \mu_n \geq 1/k\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \sum\{\lambda_n : n \in S_k\} &\leq \sum\{\lambda_n \mu_n : n \in S_k\} \leq \sum\{\lambda_j \mu_j : j > p_{k-1}\} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{k \cdot 2^k} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum\{\lambda_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I\} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose there exists a subsequence $\{\mu_i : i \in I\}$ of $\{\mu_n\}$ such that $\mu_i \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda_i \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\sum\{\mu_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I\} < \infty$. Then $\lambda_n \rightarrow \alpha$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $n_0 \in I$ such that $|\lambda_i - \alpha| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\mu_i \leq \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq n_0$ and $\sum\{\mu_j : j > n_0, j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I\} \leq \varepsilon$. For $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I$ with $n > n_0$, putting $k = \max\{i \in I : i < n\}$ and $\ell = \min\{i \in I : i > n\}$, we have

$$\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n-1} + \mu_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k + \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \mu_j \leq \lambda_k + \mu_k + \varepsilon \leq \alpha + 3\varepsilon$$

and

$$\lambda_n \geq \lambda_{n+1} - \mu_n \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell - \sum_{j=n}^{\ell-1} \mu_j \geq \lambda_\ell - \varepsilon \geq \alpha - 2\varepsilon > \alpha - 3\varepsilon.$$

So, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 4. Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E and let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself with a fixed point. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is given by $x_1 \in C$ and $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T[\beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n] + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in [0, 1]$. Then the following hold:

- (i) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$;
- (ii) if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$.

Proof. We may assume that there exists $b \in (0, 1)$ such that $\beta_n \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $w \in F(T)$ and put $y_n = \beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the definition of $\{x_n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\| &= \|\alpha_n T y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n - w\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|T y_n - w\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|y_n - w\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| \\ &= \alpha_n \|\beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n - w\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n (\beta_n \|T x_n - w\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - w\|) + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - w\| \end{aligned}$$

and hence the limit of $\{\|x_n - w\|\}$ exists. Put $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\|$. If $c = 0$, then (i) and (ii) hold. So, we assume that $c > 0$. We first prove (i). From $\|T y_n - w\| \leq \|x_n - w\|$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - w\| &= \|\alpha_n(Ty_n - w) + (1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - w)\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - w\| \left[1 - 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Ty_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n - w\| - \|x_{n+1} - w\| &\geq 2\|x_n - w\| \cdot \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Ty_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right) \\ &\geq 2c \cdot \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Ty_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Ty_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right) < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2, there exists an infinite subset I_1 of $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2) \quad \sum \{\alpha_j(1 - \alpha_j) : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_1\} < \infty$$

and $\left\{ \delta \left(\frac{\|Ty_i - x_i\|}{\|x_i - w\|} \right) : i \in I_1 \right\}$ converges to 0. Since $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\| > 0$, we obtain $\{\|Ty_i - x_i\| : i \in I_1\}$ converges to 0. From

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tx_i - x_i\| &\leq \|Tx_i - Ty_i\| + \|Ty_i - x_i\| \\ &\leq \|x_i - y_i\| + \|Ty_i - x_i\| \\ &= \beta_i \|Tx_i - x_i\| + \|Ty_i - x_i\| \\ &\leq b \|Tx_i - x_i\| + \|Ty_i - x_i\|,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_i - x_i\| \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(1 - b)} \|Ty_i - x_i\| = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$(3) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_i - x_i\| = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Tx_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \\
& \leq \|Tx_{n+1} - T(\alpha_n Tx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n)\| + \|T(\alpha_n Tx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n) - Tx_n\| \\
& \quad + \|Tx_n - (\alpha_n Tx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n)\| + \|\alpha_n Tx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n - x_{n+1}\| \\
& \leq 2\|\alpha_n Tx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|\alpha_n Tx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n - x_n\| \\
& \quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\|Tx_n - x_n\| \\
& = 2\alpha_n\|Tx_n - Ty_n\| + \|Tx_n - x_n\| \\
& \leq 2\alpha_n\|x_n - y_n\| + \|Tx_n - x_n\| \\
& = (1 + 2\alpha_n\beta_n)\|Tx_n - x_n\|
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Tx_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \\
& \leq \|Tx_{n+1} - T(\alpha_n Ty_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n)\| + \|T(\alpha_n Ty_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n) - Ty_n\| \\
& \quad + \|Ty_n - (\alpha_n Ty_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n)\| + \|\alpha_n Ty_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - x_{n+1}\| \\
& \leq 2\|\alpha_n Ty_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|\alpha_n Ty_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - y_n\| \\
& \quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\|Ty_n - y_n\| \\
& = 2(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - y_n\| + \|Ty_n - y_n\| \\
& \leq 2(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - y_n\| + \|Ty_n - Tx_n\| + \|Tx_n - y_n\| \\
& \leq 2(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - x_n\| + \|Tx_n - y_n\| \\
& = (1 + 2(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n)\|Tx_n - x_n\|
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$(4) \quad \|Tx_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \leq (1 + 4\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n)\|Tx_n - x_n\|.$$

Since $\{\|Tx_n - x_n\|\}$ is bounded, from Lemma 3, (2), (3) and (4), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$. We next prove (ii). From $\|Tx_n - w\| \leq \|x_n - w\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - w\| & \leq \alpha_n\|y_n - w\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - w\| \\
& = \alpha_n\|\beta_n(Tx_n - w) + (1 - \beta_n)(x_n - w)\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - w\| \\
& \leq \alpha_n\|x_n - w\| \left[1 - 2\beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Tx_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right) \right] \\
& \quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - w\|.
\end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_n - w\| - \|x_{n+1} - w\| \\ & \geq 2\|x_n - w\| \cdot \alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Tx_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right) \\ & \geq 2c \cdot \alpha_n \beta_n (1 - b) \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Tx_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \cdot \delta \left(\frac{\|Tx_n - x_n\|}{\|x_n - w\|} \right) < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2, there exists an infinite subset I_2 of $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(5) \quad \sum \{\alpha_j \beta_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_2\} < \infty$$

and $\left\{ \delta \left(\frac{\|Tx_i - x_i\|}{\|x_i - w\|} \right) : i \in I_2 \right\}$ converges to 0. Since $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\| > 0$, we obtain

$$(6) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_i - x_i\| = 0.$$

Since $\{\|Tx_n - x_n\|\}$ is bounded, from Lemma 3, (4), (5) and (6), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem. Note that by Lemma 4 and Browder [1], a weak subsequential limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a fixed point of T . Since E is reflexive and $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, to complete the proof, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ has at most one weak subsequential limit. In the case that E satisfies Opial's condition, we assume that z_1 and z_2 are two distinct weak sequential limit of the subsequence $\{x_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{x_j : j \in J\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ respectively. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_1\| &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i - z_1\| < \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i - z_2\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_2\| \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j - z_2\| < \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j - z_1\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. In the case that the norm of E is Fréchet differentiable, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a nonexpansive mapping T_n from C into itself by

$$T_n(x) = \alpha_n T[\beta_n T x + (1 - \beta_n)x] + (1 - \alpha_n)x.$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ can be written as $x_{n+1} = T_n T_{n-1} \cdots T_1 x_1$ and $F(T) \subset F(T_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let z be a subsequential limit of $\{x_n\}$ and put $S_n = T_n T_{n-1} \cdots T_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $z \in \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}\{S_m x : m \geq n\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \right)$. So, by Lemma 1, $\{x_n\}$ has at most one weak subsequential limit. This completes the proof. $\square$

As direct consequences of Theorem, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 1 (Tan and Xu [8]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E which satisfies Opial's condition or whose norm is Fréchet differentiable. Let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself with a fixed point. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is given by $x_1 \in C$ and $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T[\beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n] + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) < \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of T .*

Corollary 2 (Takahashi and Kim [7]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E which satisfies Opial's condition or whose norm is Fréchet differentiable. Let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself with a fixed point. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is given by $x_1 \in C$ and $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T[\beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n] + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha_n \in [a, b]$ and $\beta_n \in [0, b]$ or $\alpha_n \in [a, 1]$ and $\beta_n \in [a, b]$ for some a, b with $0 < a \leq b < 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of T .*

Proof. It is obvious that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq b < 1$. In the case of $\alpha_n \in [a, b]$ and $\beta_n \in [0, b]$, we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(1 - b) = \infty$. In the case of $\alpha_n \in [a, 1]$ and $\beta_n \in [a, b]$ we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^2 = \infty$. This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. F. E. Browder: "Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolutions in Banach spaces", Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 18-2, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I., 1976.
2. J. Diestel: "Geometry of Banach spaces-selected topics", Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 485, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1975.
3. S. Ishikawa: "Fixed points by a new iteration method", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 44 (1974) 147-150.
4. W. R. Mann: "Mean value methods in iteration", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 4 (1953) 506-510.
5. Z. Opial: "Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967) 591-597.
6. S. Reich: "Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 67 (1979) 274-276.
7. W. Takahashi and G. E. Kim: "Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces", to appear in Math. Japonica.
8. K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu: "Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 178 (1993) 301-308.

(T. Suzuki) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT, THE UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA,
3-29-1 OTSUKA BUNKYO-KU, TOKYO 112, JAPAN

E-mail address, T. Suzuki: tomonari@gssm.otsuka.tsukuba.ac.jp

(W. Takahashi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTING SCIENCES, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OHOKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152, JAPAN

E-mail address, W. Takahashi: wataru@is.titech.ac.jp