

Homoclinic orbits in a first order superquadratic Hamiltonian system

Kazunaga Tanaka

Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, Nagoya University
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464, JAPAN

0. Introduction

In this article we consider the following first order Hamiltonian system:

$$\dot{z}(t) = JH_z(t, z(t)), \quad (\text{HS})$$

where $\dot{\cdot} = \frac{d}{dt}$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{2N}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2N}$,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_N & I_N \\ -I_N & 0_N \end{pmatrix}$$

and $H(t, z) \in C^1(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2N}, \mathbf{R})$. We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the standard inner product in $\mathbf{R}^{2N}$ and throughout this article, we assume $H(t, z)$ has the following form:

$$H(t, z) = \frac{1}{2}(Az, z) + W(t, z), \quad (0.1)$$

where

(A) A is a $2N \times 2N$ symmetric matrix such that

$$\sigma(JA) \cap i\mathbf{R} = \emptyset$$

and $W(t, z)$ is a 2π -periodic and *globally superquadratic* function, more precisely $W(t, z)$ satisfies

(W1) $W(t, z) \in C^1(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2N}, \mathbf{R})$ is 2π -periodic in t and $W(t, 0) \equiv 0$,

(W2) there is an $\mu > 2$ such that

$$\mu W(t, z) \leq (W_z(t, z), z) \quad \text{for all } (t, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2N},$$

(W3) there are $\alpha \geq \mu$ and $k_1 > 0$ such that

$$k_1 |z|^\alpha \leq W(t, z) \quad \text{for all } (t, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2N},$$

(W4) there are $k_2, k_3 > 1$ such that

$$|W_z(t, z)| \leq k_2(W_z(t, z), z) + k_3 \quad \text{for all } (t, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2N},$$

(W5) $W_z(t, z) = o(|z|)$ at $z = 0$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Under the above conditions, we study the existence of (nontrivial) homoclinic orbits emanating from 0. In other words, we consider the existence of solutions of (HS) such that

$$z(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |t| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (0.2)$$

We remark that 0 is an equilibrium point of (HS).

The existence of homoclinic orbits is studied by Coti-Zelati, Ekeland and Sere [2] and Hofer and Wysocki [6]. More precisely, under the conditions of (A), (W1), (W2), (W3) with $\alpha = \mu$, and

(W4') there is a $k_2 > 0$ such that

$$|W_z(t, z)| \leq k_2 |z|^{\mu-1} \quad \text{for all } (t, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2N},$$

and strict convexity of $W(t, z)$ with respect to z , [2] used a *dual variational formulation* and obtained the existence of homoclinic orbits. On the other hand, [6] studied (HS) under conditions (A), (W1), (W2), (W3) with $\alpha = \mu$, and (W4'). They used first order elliptic system and nonlinear Fredholm operator theory and obtained the existence of a homoclinic orbit. See also [1, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12] for similar problems for second order Hamiltonian systems. We remark that (W4) is a weaker condition than (W4') under the conditions (W2) and (W3).

We take another approach to this problem. We study the convergence of *subharmonic* solutions to a nontrivial homoclinic solution; that is, we consider $2\pi T$ -periodic solutions $z_T(t)$ ($T \in \mathbf{N}$) of (HS), which possess some minimax characterization, and try to pass to the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

In case where A satisfies

(A^c) A is a $2N \times 2N$ symmetric matrix such that

$$\sigma(JA) \cap i\mathbf{R} \neq \emptyset,$$

the behavior of $(z_T(t))_{T \in \mathbf{N}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ is studied by Rabinowitz [7] and Felmer [4]. They showed

$$\|z_T(t)\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty \quad (0.3)$$

under suitable conditions on $W(t, z)$ and eigenvalues of JA .

Under the assumption (A), we remark that $0 \in \mathbf{R}^{2N}$ is a *hyperbolic point* of (HS) and (0.3) cannot take place in our setting of problem. Our main result is the following theorem, which is in contrast to the result of [4, 7] and also ensures *the existence of a homoclinic orbit of (HS)*.

Theorem 0.1 ([13]). Assume (A) and (W1)–(W5). Then there is a sequence $(z_T(t))_{T \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ of solutions of (HS) such that

- (i) $z_T(t)$ is a $2\pi T$ -periodic solution of (HS);
- (ii) there are constants $m, M > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m \leq \int_0^{2\pi T} \left[\frac{1}{2} (-J \dot{z}_T, z_T) - H(t, z_T) \right] dt \leq M; \quad (0.4)$$

- (iii) moreover $(z_T(t))_{T \in \mathbb{N}}$ is compact in the following sense; for any sequence of integers $T_n \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence (T_{n_k}) and a (nontrivial) homoclinic orbit $z_\infty(t)$ emanating from 0 such that

$$z_{T_{n_k}}(t) \rightarrow z_\infty(t) \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N}).$$

Remark 0.1. In case where $W(t, z)$ does not depend on $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the conclusion of the above theorem holds without assumption (W4). That is,

Theorem 0.2 ([13]). Assume (A), (W1)–(W3), (W5) and $W(z)$ is independent of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the conclusion of Theorem 0.1 holds.

We also remark that the convergence of $2\pi T$ -periodic solutions to a nontrivial homoclinic orbit is obtained for a second order Hamiltonian system by Rabinowitz [10] and our work is largely motivated by it.

In this note, we assume the following growth condition (W4'') on $W(t, z)$

(W4'') there are $\beta \in [\alpha, \alpha + 1)$ and $k_2 > 0$ such that

$$|W_z(t, z)| \leq k_2 |z|^{\beta-1} \quad \text{for all } (t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}.$$

instead of (W4) and (W5) (clearly (W4) and (W5) follow from (W4'') under the condition (W2)) and we prove the following Theorem 0.3 rather than Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 for the sake of simplicity.

Theorem 0.3. Assume (A), (W1)–(W3) and (W4''). Then the conclusion of Theorem 0.1 holds.

For the proof of Theorems 0.1 and 0.2, we refer to [13]. In Section 1, we deal with $2\pi T$ -periodic solutions of (HS); we introduce a variational formulation and minimax procedure and we prove the existence of $2\pi T$ -periodic solutions $z_T(t)$ of (HS). At the same time, we obtain uniform estimates (from above and from below) of corresponding critical values. In Section 2, we get uniform estimates of $z_T(t)$ and pass to the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and

complete the proof of Theorem 0.3. Finally in Section 3, we give a proof to Proposition 1.1; we study properties of the operator $J\frac{d}{dt} + A$, especially, the L^p -boundedness of some projection operators related to $J\frac{d}{dt} + A$. These properties are used in Sections 1 and 2 without proof.

1. $2\pi T$ -periodic solutions of (HS)

In this section we study the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z} &= JH_z(t, z), & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \\ z(t + 2\pi T) &= z(t), & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{HS:T})$$

where $T \in \mathbf{N}$.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between solutions of (HS:T) and critical points of the functional:

$$\begin{aligned} I_T(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi T} (J\dot{z}, z) dt - \int_0^{2\pi T} H(t, z(t)) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi T} (-J\dot{z} - Az, z) dt - \int_0^{2\pi T} W(t, z(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

So we will seek for a nontrivial critical points of $I_T(z)$.

In what follows, for $p \in [1, \infty)$ we denote by $L_{2\pi T}^p$ the space of $2\pi T$ -periodic functions $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2N}$ whose p -th powers are integrable on $(0, 2\pi T)$. We use the notations

$$\|z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p} = \left(\int_0^{2\pi T} |z(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$(z, w)_{2\pi T} = \int_0^{2\pi T} (z(t), w(t)) dt \quad (1.3)$$

for $z \in L_{2\pi T}^p$ and $w \in L_{2\pi T}^q$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Let $\Phi_{2\pi T} = -(J\frac{d}{dt} + A) : D(\Phi_{2\pi T}) \subset L_{2\pi T}^2 \rightarrow L_{2\pi T}^2$ be a self-adjoint operator under periodic boundary conditions. In Section 3 we will see

$$(-a, a) \cap \sigma(\Phi_{2\pi T}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } a > 0. \quad (1.4)$$

We consider the absolute value $|\Phi_{2\pi T}|$ of $\Phi_{2\pi T}$ and let

$$E_{2\pi T} = D(|\Phi_{2\pi T}|^{1/2})$$

and

$$\|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}} = \| |\Phi_{2\pi T}|^{1/2} z \|_{L^2_{2\pi T}} \quad \text{for } z \in E_{2\pi T}.$$

By (1.4), $E_{2\pi T}$ has an orthogonal decomposition:

$$E_{2\pi T} = E_{2\pi T}^+ \oplus E_{2\pi T}^- \quad (1.5)$$

where the quadratic form: $z \mapsto (\Phi_{2\pi T} z, z)_{2\pi T}$ is positive (resp. negative) definite on $E_{2\pi T}^+$ (resp. $E_{2\pi T}^-$). We denote by

$$P_{2\pi T}^\pm : E_{2\pi T} \rightarrow E_{2\pi T}^\pm \quad (1.6)$$

the corresponding orthogonal projections. Then we have

$$(\Phi_{2\pi T} z, z)_{2\pi T} = \|P_{2\pi T}^+ z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \|P_{2\pi T}^- z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 \quad \text{for all } z \in E_{2\pi T}. \quad (1.7)$$

We can see

$$\begin{aligned} I_T(z) &= \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{2\pi T} z, z)_{2\pi T} - \int_0^{2\pi T} W(t, z) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|P_{2\pi T}^+ z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|P_{2\pi T}^- z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \int_0^{2\pi T} W(t, z) dt \end{aligned}$$

The following properties of $E_{2\pi T}$ and $P_{2\pi T}^\pm$ will be proved in Section 3.

Proposition 1.1.

- (i) Let $H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}$ be a completion of $\text{span}\{ae^{ijt/T} + \bar{a}e^{-ijt/T}; j \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}\}$ under the norm

$$\|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}}^2 = 2\pi T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 + \frac{|j|}{T}\right) |a_j|^2$$

where

$$z(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j e^{ijt/T} \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}, a_{-j} = \bar{a}_j).$$

Then $E_{2\pi T} = H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}$ and there are constants $c_0, c'_0 > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c_0 \|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}} \leq \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \leq c'_0 \|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}} \quad (1.8)$$

for all $z \in E_{2\pi T}$.

- (ii) For any $p \in [2, \infty)$, there is a constant $c_p > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|z\|_{L^p_{2\pi T}} \leq c_p \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \quad \text{for all } z \in E_{2\pi T}. \quad (1.9)$$

Moreover, the embedding $E_{2\pi T} \rightarrow L^p_{2\pi T}$ is compact for all $T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$.

(iii) There is a constant $c > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|z\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \|\Phi_{2\pi T} z\|_{L^2_{2\pi T}} \quad \text{for all } z \in D(|\Phi_{2\pi T}|). \quad (1.10)$$

(iv) For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, there is a constant $\bar{c}_p > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|P_{2\pi T}^\pm z\|_{L^p_{2\pi T}} \leq \bar{c}_p \|z\|_{L^p_{2\pi T}} \quad \text{for all } z \in E_{2\pi T}. \quad (1.11)$$

By (1.7) and (ii) of Proposition 1.1, we have $I_T(z) \in C^1(E_{2\pi T}, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover we have the Palais-Smale compactness condition. This condition is required when we apply minimax methods to $I_T(z)$.

Proposition 1.2. $I_T(z)$ satisfies the following Palais-Smale compactness condition:

(P.S.) Whenever a sequence $(z_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in $E_{2\pi T}$ satisfies for some $M > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_T(z_j)| &\leq M && \text{for all } j, \\ I'_T(z_j) &\rightarrow 0 && \text{in } E_{2\pi T}^* \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

there is a subsequence of $(z_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ which converges in $E_{2\pi T}$.

Proof. As in [8, Chapter 6]. ■

To find a nontrivial critical point of $I_T(z)$, we use the following proposition which is a special case of a theorem of Rabinowitz [8, Theorem 5.29].

In what follows, $B_r(E)$ denotes the open ball of radius r in a Hilbert space E and $\partial B_r(E)$ denotes its boundary.

Proposition 1.3. Let E be a real Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Suppose E admits an orthogonal decomposition $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ and $I(u) \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition and the following conditions:

- 1° $I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle P^+ u - P^- u, u \rangle + b(u)$, where $P^\pm : E \rightarrow E^\pm$ are the orthogonal projectors, $b(u)$ is compact,
- 2° there are constants $m, \rho > 0$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho(E^+)} \geq m$, and
- 3° there is an $e \in \partial B_1(E^+)$ and $R > \rho$ such that

$$I|_{\partial N} \leq 0$$

where $N = \{u + re; u \in B_R(E^-), 0 < r < R\}$.

Then $I(u)$ possesses a critical value $b \geq m$ which can be characterized as

$$b = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \sup_{u \in N} I(h(1, u)) \geq m,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{h \in C([0, 1] \times E, E); h \text{ satisfies } (\Gamma_1) - (\Gamma_3)\}.$$

Here

$$(\Gamma_1) \quad h(0, u) = u \text{ for all } u \in N,$$

$$(\Gamma_2) \quad h(t, u) = u \text{ for } u \in \partial N \text{ and } t \in [0, 1], \text{ and}$$

$$(\Gamma_3) \quad h(t, u) = e^{\theta(t, u)(P^+ - P^-)} u + K(t, u), \text{ where } \theta \in C([0, 1] \times E, \mathbf{R}) \text{ and } K \text{ is compact.}$$

We will apply the above proposition to $I = I_T$, $E^\pm = E_{2\pi T}^\pm$ and $e = e_T \equiv P_{2\pi T}^+ \varphi$, where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, 2\pi), \mathbf{R}^{2N})$ is a function such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left((-J \frac{d}{dt} - A) \varphi, \varphi \right) dt > 0.$$

(We extend φ to $(0, 2\pi T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2N}$ by setting $\varphi = 0$ on $[2\pi, 2\pi T)$ and we regard it as a $2\pi T$ -periodic function on $\mathbf{R}$.)

Lemma 1.4. (i) *There are constants $a_1, a_2 > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbf{N}$ such that*

$$a_1 \leq \|e_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \leq a_2 \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (1.12)$$

(ii) *For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, there are constants $a_{3,p}, a_{4,p} > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbf{N}$ such that*

$$a_{3,p} \leq \|e_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p} \leq a_{4,p} \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (1.13)$$

Proof. (i) For any $T \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 &= \|P_{2\pi T}^+ \varphi\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 \geq \|P_{2\pi T}^+ \varphi\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \|P_{2\pi T}^- \varphi\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 \\ &= (\Phi_{2\pi T} \varphi, \varphi)_{2\pi T} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left((-J \frac{d}{dt} - A) \varphi, \varphi \right) dt \equiv a_1^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the left hand side inequality of (1.12). Using (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 &= \|P_{2\pi T}^+ \varphi\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 \leq \|\varphi\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 \\ &\leq c'_0 \|\varphi\|_{H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}}^2 \leq c'_0 \|\varphi\|_{H_{2\pi T}^1}^2 \\ &= c'_0 \int_0^{2\pi} (|\varphi|^2 + |\dot{\varphi}|^2) dt \equiv a_2^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the right hand side inequality of (1.12).

(ii) By (1.12) and (1.9), we have the right hand side inequality of (1.13). To get the left hand side inequality, we observe for $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{2\pi T}^+ \varphi\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left(J \frac{d}{dt} + A \right) \varphi \right|^q dt \right)^{1/q} &\geq (P_{2\pi T}^+ \varphi, -\left(J \frac{d}{dt} + A \right) \varphi)_{2\pi T} \\ &\geq (\varphi, -\left(J \frac{d}{dt} + A \right) \varphi)_{2\pi T} = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\left(-J \frac{d}{dt} - A \right) \varphi, \varphi \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\|e_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p} \geq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\left(-J \frac{d}{dt} - A \right) \varphi, \varphi \right) dt \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left(J \frac{d}{dt} + A \right) \varphi \right|^q dt \right)^{-1/q} \equiv a_{3,p} > 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

We remark that $e_T \neq 0$ follows from Lemma 1.4.

Next we verify the assumptions 2° and 3° of Proposition 1.3.

Lemma 1.5. *There are constants $\rho, m > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$I_T(z) \geq m \quad \text{for all } z \in E_{2\pi T}^+ \text{ with } \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}} = \rho. \quad (1.14)$$

Proof. For $z \in E_{2\pi T}^+$, we have from (W4'')

$$\begin{aligned} I_T(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \int_0^{2\pi T} W(t, z) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - k_2 \|z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\beta}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.9),

$$I_T(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - k_2 c_\beta^\beta \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^\beta.$$

Choosing $\rho = (\beta k_2 c_\beta^\beta)^{-1/(\beta-2)}$ and $m = (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta})(\beta k_2 c_\beta^\beta)^{-2/(\beta-2)}$, we get (1.14). $\blacksquare$

Lemma 1.6. *There is a constant $R > 0$ which is independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$I|_{\partial N_{T,R}} \leq 0,$$

where

$$N_{T,R} = \{u + re_T \in E_{2\pi T}; u \in B_R(E_{2\pi T}^-), 0 < r < R\}.$$

Moreover there is a constant $M > 0$ which is independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in N_{T,R}} I_T(z) \leq M \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.15)$$

Proof. For $z = u + re_T$ ($u \in E_{2\pi T}^-$, $r > 0$), we have from (W3) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_T(u + re_T) &= \frac{r^2}{2} \|e_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \int_0^{2\pi T} W(t, u + re_T) dt \\ &\leq \frac{r^2}{2} \|e_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - k_1 \|u + re_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\alpha}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.11), we have

$$r \|e_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\alpha} = \|P_{2\pi T}^+(u + re_T)\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\alpha} \leq \bar{c}_\alpha \|u + re_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\alpha}.$$

Thus we have

$$I_T(u + re_T) \leq \frac{r^2}{2} \|e_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - k_1 (\bar{c}_\alpha)^{-\alpha} r^\alpha \|e_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\alpha}^\alpha.$$

We can easily deduce the desired result from Lemma 1.4. ■

Now we can apply Proposition 1.3 to $I_T(z)$ and we get

Proposition 1.7. *For any $T \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a nontrivial critical point $z_T(t) \in E_{2\pi T}$ (i.e., a solution of (HS:T)) and its critical value $b_T = I_T(z_T)$ is characterized as*

$$b_T = \inf_{h \in \Gamma_T} \sup_{z \in N_{T,R}} I_T(h(1, z)) \geq m > 0, \quad (1.16)$$

where Γ_T is defined in a similar way to Γ . Moreover there are constants $m, M > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m \leq b_T \equiv I_T(z_T) \leq M \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.17)$$

Proof. We need only to prove the right hand side inequality of (1.17). Since $id \in \Gamma_T$, we have from (1.16) that

$$b_T \leq \sup_{z \in N_{T,R}} I_T(z).$$

By (1.15), we obtain (1.17). ■

Remark 1.1. A regularity argument shows $z_T(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$. (c.f. Chapter 6 of [8].)

Thus by Proposition 1.7 we obtain $2\pi T$ -periodic solutions $z_T(t)$ of (HS) with properties (i), (ii) of Theorem 0.1. In the following section, we verify the compactness property (iii) of Theorem 0.1 for $(z_T(t))_{T \in \mathbb{N}}$.

2. Uniform estimates and limit process for $z_T(t)$

In this section, we consider the behavior of $z_T(t)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Firstly we establish some uniform estimates for $z_T(t)$ and secondly we pass to the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and complete the proof of Theorem 0.3.

In what follows, we denote by $C, C_0, C_1, \dots$ various constants which are independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.1. Uniform estimates for $z_T(t)$

Let $z_T(t)$ be a solution of (HS) obtained in Proposition 1.7; especially $z_T(t)$ satisfies

$$I'_T(z_T) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$I_T(z_T) \in [m, M] \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.2)$$

The following lemma provides uniform estimates of $z_T(t)$ from above.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a constant $C > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \leq C \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. We write $z_T = z_T^+ + z_T^- \in E_{2\pi T}^+ \oplus E_{2\pi T}^-$. We have by (2.1), (2.2) and (W2)

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq I_T(z_T) - \frac{1}{2} I'_T(z_T) z_T \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi T} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} W_z(t, z_T), z_T \right) - W(t, z_T) \right) dt \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1 \right) \int_0^{2\pi T} W(t, z_T) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi T} W(t, z_T) dt \leq C_1,$$

i.e.,

$$\|z_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\alpha} \leq C_2. \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$0 = I'_T(z_T)(z_T^+ - z_T^-) = \|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 - \int_0^{2\pi T} (W_z(t, z_T), z_T^+ - z_T^-) dt,$$

i.e.,

$$\|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 = \int_0^{2\pi T} (W_z(t, z_T), z_T^+ - z_T^-) dt.$$

Remarking $\frac{\alpha}{\beta-1} \in (1, 2)$, we have from (1.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 &\leq \|W_z(t, z_T)\|_{L_{2\pi T}^{\alpha/(\beta-1)}} \|z_T^+ - z_T^-\|_{L_{2\pi T}^{\alpha/(\alpha-\beta+1)}} \\ &\leq C_3 \|W_z(t, z_T)\|_{L_{2\pi T}^{\alpha/(\beta-1)}} \|z_T^+ - z_T^-\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \\ &= C_3 \|W_z(t, z_T)\|_{L_{2\pi T}^{\alpha/(\beta-1)}} \|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \leq C_3 \|W_z(t, z_T)\|_{L_{2\pi T}^{\alpha/(\beta-1)}}.$$

By (W4''),

$$\|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \leq C_4 \|z_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^{\alpha}}^{\beta-1}.$$

From (2.4), we get

$$\|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \leq C_4 c_2^{\beta-1}.$$

Therefore we get the desired result. ■

Corollary 2.2. *There is a constant $\overline{M} > 0$ independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\|z_T\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})} \leq \overline{M} \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. By (iii) of Proposition 1.1, we have from $\Phi_{2\pi T} z_T(t) = -JW_z(t, z_T(t))$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_T\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c \|\Phi_{2\pi T} z_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^2} \\ &= c \|W_z(t, z_T)\|_{L_{2\pi T}^2} \\ &\leq ck_2 \|z_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^{2(\beta-1)}}^{\beta-1} \\ &\leq ck_2 c_{2(\beta-1)}^{\beta-1} \|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can get $\|z_T\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ from (2.3). Since $z_T(t)$ satisfies (HS), we get (2.5). ■

Next we obtain uniform estimate of $\|z_T\|_{L^\infty}$ from below.

Lemma 2.3. *There is a constant $\delta > 0$, which is independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\|z_T\|_{L^\infty} \geq \delta \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. By the assumption (W4''), for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|W_z(t, z)| \leq \epsilon |z| \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \delta_\epsilon. \quad (2.7)$$

Suppose that $\|z_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^\infty} \leq \delta_\epsilon$. Then, using (2.7), we have as in the proof of Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned}\|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 &= \int_0^{2\pi T} (W_z(t, z_T), z_T^+ - z_T^-) dt \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_0^{2\pi T} |z| |z_T^+ - z_T^-| dt \\ &\leq \epsilon \|z_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^2}^2 \\ &\leq \epsilon c_2^2 \|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\epsilon \in (0, 1/c_2^2)$, we have $z_T = 0$. But this contradicts with $I_T(z_T) \geq m > 0$. Therefore we have (2.6). $\blacksquare$

2.2. Limit process for $z_T(t)$ — Proof of Theorem 0.3

We can find a sequence $(\ell_T)_{T \in \mathbf{N}}$ of integers such that

$$\max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} |z_T(t + 2\pi\ell_T)| = \max_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |z_T(t)| \in [\delta, \overline{M}]. \quad (2.8)$$

We remark that $\tilde{z}_T(t) \equiv z_T(t + 2\pi\ell_T)$ is a solution of (HS) satisfying (i), (ii) of Theorem 0.1 and $I_T(\tilde{z}_T) = I_T(z_T)$. In what follows, we show that $(\tilde{z}_T(t))_{T \in \mathbf{N}}$ possesses the compactness property (iii) of Theorem 0.1.

By Corollary 2.2, we can extract a subsequence from any given sequence of integers $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ — we still denote it by T_n — such that

$$\tilde{z}_{T_n} \equiv z_{T_n}(t + 2\pi\ell_{T_n}) \rightarrow z_\infty(t) \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N}), \quad (2.9)$$

where $z_\infty(t) \in C^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N})$ is a solution of (HS). The following Lemma 2.4 completes the proof of Theorem 0.3.

Lemma 2.4. $z_\infty(t)$ satisfies the following

- (i) $z_\infty(t) \not\equiv 0$.
- (ii) $z_\infty(t) \in L^p(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N})$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$.
- (iii) $|z_\infty(t)|, |\dot{z}_\infty(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. (i) By (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} |z_\infty(t)| = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |z_\infty(t)| \in [\delta, \overline{M}]. \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore we have (i).

(ii) For any $R > 0$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$, we have from (1.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-R}^R |z_\infty(t)|^p dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R |z_{T_n}(t + 2\pi\ell_{T_n})|^p dt \\ &\leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|z_T\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p}^p \\ &\leq C \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|z_T\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^p \\ &\leq C_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_p > 0$ is independent of $R > 0$, we get (ii) for $p \in [2, \infty)$. For $p = \infty$, (ii) follows from (2.10).

(iii) Let F_s (resp. F_u) be a stable (resp. unstable) subspace of the flow defined by $\dot{z} = JA z$, i.e., $\mathbf{R}^{2N} = F_s \oplus F_u$, F_s and F_u are invariant under JA and

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{t(JA)}x| &\leq Ce^{-at} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in F_s, \\ |e^{-t(JA)}y| &\leq Ce^{-at} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } y \in F_u, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $C > 0$ and $a > 0$ are constants independent of x and y . Since $z_\infty(t)$ is a solution of (HS) on $\mathbf{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_\infty(t) &= e^{t(JA)}z_0 + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-\tau)JA} \tilde{P}_s J W_z(\tau, z_\infty(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad - \int_t^\infty e^{(t-\tau)JA} \tilde{P}_u J W_z(\tau, z_\infty(\tau)) d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for some $z_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{2N}$. Here, $\tilde{P}_s : \mathbf{R}^{2N} \rightarrow F_s$ and $\tilde{P}_u : \mathbf{R}^{2N} \rightarrow F_u$ are projections. By (ii) and (W4''), we have $z_\infty(t) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N})$ and $W_z(t, z_\infty(t)) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N})$. Hence we see by (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-\tau)JA} \tilde{P}_s J W_z(\tau, z_\infty(\tau)) d\tau &\in L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N}), \\ \int_t^\infty e^{(t-\tau)JA} \tilde{P}_u J W_z(\tau, z_\infty(\tau)) d\tau &\in L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $e^{t(JA)}z \notin L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2N})$ for $z \neq 0$. Thus $z_0 = 0$ follows from (2.12). Therefore we can easily deduce from (2.12) that $z_\infty(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $z_\infty(t)$ satisfies (HS) we have

$$\dot{z}_\infty(t) = J H_z(t, z_\infty(t)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |t| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore the proof is completed. ■

3. Proof of Proposition 1.1

This section is devoted to prove Proposition 1.1. Using Fourier series, we have the following representation of $\Phi_{2\pi T}$

$$(\Phi_{2\pi T} z)(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(-\frac{ij}{T} J - A\right) a_j e^{ijt/T} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$z(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j e^{ijt/T} \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \text{ with } a_{-j} = \overline{a_j}). \quad (3.2)$$

We also have

$$\|z(t)\|_{L^2_{2\pi T}}^2 = 2\pi T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j|^2. \quad (3.3)$$

We remark that $\text{span}\{ae^{ijt/T} + \overline{a}e^{ijt/T}; a \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}\}$ is invariant under $\Phi_{2\pi T}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_{2\pi T} = D(|\Phi_{2\pi T}|^{1/2})$ can be written

$$E_{2\pi T} = \{z = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j e^{ijt/T}; \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}}^2 \equiv 2\pi T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\frac{ij}{T} J + A| a_j, a_j) < \infty\}. \quad (3.4)$$

where $(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{2N} x_k \overline{y_k}$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2N})$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{2N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$. Note that $-i\theta J - A$ ($\theta \in \mathbb{R}$) is a $2N \times 2N$ Hermitian matrix and we can define $|i\theta J + A|: \mathbb{C}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2N}$.

By the assumption (A), we have

$$0 \notin \sigma(-i\theta J - A) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.5)$$

We can see that $-i\theta J - A$ has N positive eigenvalues and N negative eigenvalues (counting multiplicities). In fact, eigenvalues are solutions of

$$\det(\lambda + (i\theta J + A)) = 0.$$

By (3.5), we can see the number of positive (or negative) eigenvalues is independent of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Dividing by $\theta > 0$, it equals to the number of positive (or negative) solutions of

$$\det(\lambda + (iJ + \frac{1}{\theta} A)) = 0.$$

Passing to the limit as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, we see it equals to N . We denote the eigenvalues of $-i\theta J - A$ by $\lambda_N^-(\theta) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1^-(\theta) < 0 < \lambda_1^+(\theta) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N^+(\theta)$ and the corresponding eigenvectors by $\xi_N^-(\theta), \dots, \xi_1^-(\theta), \xi_1^+(\theta), \dots, \xi_N^+(\theta)$. We remark

$$\lambda_k^\pm(-\theta) = \lambda_k^\pm(\theta), \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\xi_k^\pm(-\theta) = \overline{\xi_k^\pm(\theta)} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 1, \dots, N$.

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumption (A), there are constants $c, c' > 0$ independent of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$c(1 + |\theta|) \leq |\lambda_k^\pm(\theta)| \leq c'(1 + |\theta|) \quad (3.8)$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 1, \dots, N$.

Remark 3.1. (1.4) follows from (3.8).

Proof. Since $\lambda_k^\pm(\theta)$ is a solution of

$$\det\left(\frac{\lambda}{\theta} + (iJ + \frac{1}{\theta}A)\right) = 0,$$

it is clear that

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_k^\pm(\theta)}{\theta} \right| \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } |\theta| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, by (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \inf\{|\lambda_k^\pm(\theta)|; |\theta| \leq L, 1 \leq k \leq N\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\lambda_k^\pm(\theta)|; |\theta| \leq L, 1 \leq k \leq N\} < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for any $L > 0$. Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we get (3.8). ■

Now we can prove (i), (ii), (iii) of Proposition 1.1.

Proof of (i) of Proposition 1.1. By (3.8), we have

$$c \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 + \frac{|j|}{T}\right) |a_j|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\left|\frac{ij}{T}J + A\right| a_j, a_j\right) \leq c' \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 + \frac{|j|}{T}\right) |a_j|^2.$$

Thus by the definition of $\|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}}$ and (3.4), we get (1.8) and $E_{2\pi T} = H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}$. ■

Proof of (ii) of Proposition 1.1. It suffices to prove

$$\|z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p} \leq c_p \|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}} \quad \text{for } z \in H_{2\pi T}^{1/2}. \quad (3.11)$$

For $z(t)$ of form (3.2), we have from Hausdorff-Young's inequality and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p} &\leq (2\pi T)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j|^q\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq (2\pi T)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 + \frac{|j|}{T}\right)^{-q/(2-q)}\right)^{(2-q)/2q} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 + \frac{|j|}{T}\right) |a_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Since

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 + \frac{|j|}{T}\right)^{-q/(2-q)} \leq 1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \frac{|s|}{T}\right)^{-q/(2-q)} ds = 1 + c_q T,$$

we get (3.11). ■

Proof of (iii) of Proposition 1.1. In a similar way to the proof of (i) of Proposition 1.1, we can see for some constants $c, c' > 0$,

$$c\|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^1} \leq \|\Phi_{2\pi T} z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^2} \leq c'\|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^1} \quad \text{for all } z \in D(\Phi_{2\pi T}). \quad (3.12)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^1}^2 &= \int_0^{2\pi T} (|u|^2 + |\dot{u}|^2) dt \\ &= 2\pi T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 + \frac{|j|}{T}\right)^2 |a_j|^2. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of (ii) of Proposition 1.1, we get

$$\|z\|_{L^\infty} \leq c''\|z\|_{H_{2\pi T}^1} \quad (3.13)$$

where $c'' > 0$ is independent of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in D(\Phi_{2\pi T})$. Combining (3.12) and (3.13), we get (iii) of Proposition 1.1. ■

Next we give a proof to (iv) of Proposition 1.1. We write $P_{2\pi T}^\pm : E_{2\pi T} \rightarrow E_{2\pi T}^\pm$ by means of Fourier series; let $Q_\theta^\pm$ be a matrix associated to the projection $\mathbb{C}^{2N} \rightarrow \text{span}\{\xi_k^\pm(\theta); 1 \leq k \leq N\}$. Then we can see from (3.1)

$$(P_{2\pi T}^\pm z)(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (Q_{j/T}^\pm a_j) e^{ijt/T} \quad (3.14)$$

for $z(t)$ of form (3.2). By (3.6) and (3.7), we remark

$$Q_{-j/T}^\pm a_{-j} = \overline{Q_{j/T}^\pm a_j} \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We can easily see that from (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{2\pi T}^\pm z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^2} &\leq \|z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^2}, \\ \|P_{2\pi T}^\pm z\|_{E_{2\pi T}} &\leq \|z\|_{E_{2\pi T}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

for all $z \in E_{2\pi T}$.

To prove the continuity of $P_{2\pi T}^\pm : L_{2\pi T}^p \rightarrow L_{2\pi T}^p$, we introduce the following operator

$$\widehat{P_{2\pi T}^\pm} : L_{2\pi}^2 \rightarrow L_{2\pi}^2$$

defined by

$$(\widehat{P_{2\pi T}^\pm} z)(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (Q_{j/T}^\pm a_j) e^{ijt} \quad (3.16)$$

for

$$z(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_j e^{ijt} \quad (a_j \in \mathbf{C}^{2N} \text{ with } a_{-j} = \overline{a_j}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{\|P_{2\pi T}^\pm z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p}; z \in L_{2\pi T}^p, \|z\|_{L_{2\pi T}^p} \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\widehat{P_{2\pi T}^\pm} z\|_{L_{2\pi}^p}; z \in L_{2\pi}^p, \|z\|_{L_{2\pi}^p} \leq 1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

we estimate the right hand side instead of the left hand side. We rely on the following Stečkin's theorem (Theorem 3.5 of [3]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(\phi(j))_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ be a function of bounded variation on $\mathbf{Z}$. Then for each $p \in (1, \infty)$ the operator*

$$(T_\phi z)(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \phi(j) a_j e^{ijt} \quad \text{for } z(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_j e^{ijt}$$

is continuous as $L_{2\pi}^p \rightarrow L_{2\pi}^p$. Moreover there is a constant $C_p > 0$ independent of ϕ such that

$$\sup_{\|z\|_{L_{2\pi}^p} = 1} \|T_\phi z\|_{L_{2\pi}^p} \leq C_p \max\{|\phi(0)|, \text{Var } \phi\} \quad (3.18)$$

■

Proof of (iv) of Proposition 1.1. We apply Theorem 3.2 to (3.16). By (3.17) and (3.18), we need only to prove the existence of $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\text{Var}(Q_{j/T}^\pm) \leq C_0 \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (3.19)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Q_{j/T}^\pm) &\equiv \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |Q_{(j+1)/T}^\pm - Q_{j/T}^\pm| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{dQ_\theta^\pm}{d\theta} \right| d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

In what follows, we see the right hand side is finite (clearly it is independent of $T \in \mathbf{N}$). We deal with only “+” case. The case “−” is treated similarly. First we prove $\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{dQ_\theta^+}{d\theta} \right| d\theta < \infty$.

Since Q_θ^+ is a projection operator corresponding to $-i\theta J - A$, it is also corresponding to $-iJ - \frac{1}{\theta}A$. By Lemma 3.1, we can find constants $a, b > 0$ independent of $\theta \in [1, \infty)$ such that

$$a \leq \frac{\lambda_k^+(\theta)}{\theta} \leq b \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [1, \infty) \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, N.$$

Since $\lambda_k^+(\theta)/\theta$ are eigenvalues of $-iJ - \frac{1}{\theta}A$, we have

$$Q_\theta^+ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma (\zeta + iJ + \frac{1}{\theta}A)^{-1} d\zeta.$$

Here, γ is a cycle in the right half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ which surrounds the interval $[a, b]$. Thus

$$\frac{dQ_\theta^+}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \theta^{-2} (\zeta + iJ + \frac{1}{\theta}A)^{-1} A (\zeta + iJ + \frac{1}{\theta}A)^{-1} d\zeta.$$

Hence we have

$$\left| \frac{dQ_\theta^+}{d\theta} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\gamma \theta^{-2} \|A\| \left\| (\zeta + iJ + \frac{1}{\theta}A)^{-1} \right\|^2 |d\zeta| \leq C\theta^{-2},$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of $\theta \geq 1$. Therefore we have

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{dQ_\theta^+}{d\theta} \right| d\theta < \infty. \quad (3.21)$$

Using representation

$$Q_\theta^+ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma'} (\zeta + i\theta J + A)^{-1} d\zeta,$$

where γ' is a cycle in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ surrounding the set $\{\xi_k^+(\theta); k = 1, \dots, N, |\theta| \leq 1\}$, we obtain

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{dQ_\theta^+}{d\theta} \right| d\theta < \infty. \quad (3.22)$$

Similarly to (3.21), we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-1} \left| \frac{dQ_\theta^+}{d\theta} \right| d\theta < \infty. \quad (3.23)$$

Combining (3.21)–(3.23), we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left| \frac{dQ_\theta^+}{d\theta} \right| d\theta < \infty.$$

Thus we obtain (3.19). ■

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Professor Paul H. Rabinowitz and Professor Yoshikazu Giga for their helpful advice.

References

- [1] V. Benci and F. Giannoni, Homoclinic orbits on compact manifolds, *preprint, Università di Pisa*, 1989.
- [2] V. Coti-Zalati, I. Ekeland and E. Sere, A variational approach to homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems, *Math. Ann.* **288**(1990), 133–160.
- [3] R.E. Edwards and G.I. Gaudry, Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theory, *Ergenisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete* 90, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.
- [4] P. Felmer, Subharmonic solutions near an equilibrium point for Hamiltonian system, *CMS Tech. Summ. Report #89–30*, 1989.
- [5] P. Felmer, Heteroclinic orbits for spatially periodic Hamiltonian systems, *preprint*, 1989.
- [6] H. Hofer and K. Wysocki, First order elliptic system and the existence of homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian system, *preprint*, 1989.
- [7] P.H. Rabinowitz, On subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33** (1980), 609–633.
- [8] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. **65** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1986.
- [9] P.H. Rabinowitz, Periodic and heteroclinic orbits for a periodic Hamiltonian system, *Anal. I.H.P.: Analyse Nonlineaire* **6**(1989), 331–346.
- [10] P.H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *preprint*, 1989.
- [11] P. H. Rabinowitz and K. Tanaka, Some results on connecting orbits for a class of Hamiltonian system, *preprint*, 1989.
- [12] K. Tanaka, Homoclinic orbits for a singular second order Hamiltonian system, to appear in *Anal. I.H.P.: Analyse Nonlineaire* **7**(1990), 427–438.
- [13] K. Tanaka, Homoclinic orbits in a first order superquadratic Hamiltonian system: Convergence of subharmonic orbits, *J. Diff. Eq.* (to appear).