

Level sets of the solution to elliptic boundary value problems

Shigeru Sakaguchi (坂口 茂)

Tokyo Institute of Technology

§ 1. Introduction

Convexity of level sets of solutions to elliptic boundary value problems over convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) was shown by many authors (see Kawohl [10] and its references). For example, let us consider the unique solution u to the Saint Venant torsion problem : " $\Delta u = -1$ in Ω and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ ", where Ω is a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$. In the case $n = 2$, Makar-Limanov [16] first showed that all the level sets of the solution u , $\{x \in \Omega ; u(x) \geq t\}$ are strictly convex. Furthermore, in the general case $n \geq 2$, Kennington [12] and Kawohl [11] showed that $\sqrt{u}$ is concave and the level sets are convex. Also, Kennington [12] pointed out that the strict concavity of $\sqrt{u}$ had been proved in Makar-Limanov [16] in the case $n = 2$. The strict concavity of $\sqrt{u}$ was shown by Korevaar & Lewis [15] in the general case. Thus the convexity of level sets was obtained as a consequence of the concavity of $\sqrt{u}$. There are similar facts for solutions to the other elliptic boundary value problems over convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]) Namely, the convexity of level sets was

obtained as a consequence of the concavity of $g(u)$ for some monotone function $g(\cdot)$. Another well known example is the fact that the logarithm of the first positive eigenfunction of the Laplacian on a convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is concave (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 14]).

On the other hand, in the two-dimensional problems the uniqueness of critical point of solutions was shown by several authors for some broader classes of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems, though the convexity of level sets is not known. Of course the convexity of level sets implies the uniqueness of critical point. Concerning the Dirichlet problems, we know the results in Sperb [20] for semilinear elliptic equations and the results in Philippin [17] for quasilinear ones. Concerning the problems which are not Dirichlet, we know the results of Chen [5] for the capillary surfaces(see also [18] and see [19] for semilinear elliptic equations). In view of these results, for example we conjecture that positive solutions to the semilinear elliptic problem over bounded convex domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$: $\Delta u = f(u)$ in Ω and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ have only convex level sets.

In this note we give a new proof of Makar-Limanov's theorem. Precisely, we prove the convexity of level sets without showing the concavity of the root of the solution in the two-dimensional case. There may be a little hope to consider the above conjecture. Finally in this section we state Makar-Limanov's theorem.

Theorem (Makar-Limanov [16]). Let Ω be a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ be a unique solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$(1) \quad \Delta u = -1 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then all the level sets of u are strictly convex. That is, the curvature of level curves does not vanish.

§ 2. A new proof of Makar-Limanov's theorem.

It suffices to show

Lemma 8.1. Let u be a unique solution to (1). If $\xi \cdot \nabla u(p) = 0$ for a point $p \in \Omega$ and a direction $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ($|\xi| = 1$), then the second derivative of u with respect to the direction ξ at p does not vanish, that is, $\sum \xi_i \xi_j D_{ij} u(p) \neq 0$.

Proof. By using a parallel transformation and a rotation of coordinates, we may assume that $\xi = (1, 0)$ and $p = 0$. Suppose that $D_1 u(0) = D_{11} u(0) = 0$. Since u is real analytic, by Taylor's formula we get

$$(2) \quad u(x) = w(x) + O(|x|^3) \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

where $w(x) = a + bx_2 + cx_1x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_2^2$ for some numbers a, b, c .

Here we used the equation that $\Delta u(0) = -1$. Moreover, observing that $\Delta w = -1$ and $\Delta(u-w) = 0$ in Ω , we see that for some integer $n \geq 3$

$$(3) \quad (u-w)(x) = P_n(x) + O(|x|^{n+1}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

where $P_n(x)$ is a harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree n and $P_n(x)$ is not identically zero. On the other hand, it follows from the result of Hartman & Wintner [7, Corollary 1, p.

450] that every interior critical point of $u-w$ is isolated. Therefore as in [6] we see that the zero set of $u-w$ in some neighborhood U of origin consists of n smooth arcs, all intersecting at origin and dividing U into $2n$ sectors ($n \geq 3$). Put $A = \{ x \in \Omega; u(x) - w(x) > 0 \}$ and $B = \{ x \in \Omega; u(x) - w(x) < 0 \}$. Then, it follows from the maximum principle that

- (4) Both A and B have at least three components each of which meets the boundary $\partial\Omega$.

Now consider the zero set of w . Since $a = u(0) > 0$, we see that for $c \neq 0$ it is a hyperbolic curve, one of whose asymptotic lines is x_1 -axis, and for $c = 0$ it consists of two parallel lines. Let $\Omega^\sim$ be the connected component of $\{ x \in \Omega; w(x) > 0 \}$ containing the origin. Then, since Ω is convex, the boundary of $\Omega^\sim$ consists of at most four connected arcs, each of which belongs to $\partial\Omega$ or the zero set of w alternatively. Therefore, there are at most only two components of $\{ x \in \Omega^\sim; u(x) > w(x) \}$, each of which meets the boundary $\partial\Omega^\sim$. This contradicts the fact (4). This completes the proof.

References

- [1] A. Acker, L. E. Payne, & G. Philippin, On the convexity of level lines of the fundamental mode in the clamped membrane problem, and the existence of convex solutions in a related free boundary problem, Z. A. M. P., 32 (1981), 683-694.
 [2] H. J. Brascamp & E. H. Lieb, On extension of the Brunn

- Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 22 (1976), 366-389.
- [3] L. A. Caffarelli & A. Friedman, Convexity of solutions of semilinear elliptic equations, *Duke Math. J.*, 52 (1985), 431-456.
- [4] L. A. Caffarelli & J. Spruck, Convexity properties of solutions to some classical variational problems, *Comm. P. D. E.*, 7 (1982), 1337-1379.
- [5] Jin-Tzu Chen, Uniqueness of minimal point and its location of capillary free surfaces over convex domain, *Société Mathématique de France, Astérisque*, 118 (1984), 137-143.
- [6] Jin-Tzu Chen & Wu-Hsiung Huang, Convexity of capillary surfaces in the outer space, *Invent. math.*, 67 (1982), 253-259.
- [7] P. Hartman & A. Wintner, On the local behavior of solutions of non-parabolic partial differential equations, *American J. Math.*, 75 (1953), 449-476.
- [8] B. Kawohl, When are superharmonic functions concave? Applications to the St. Venant torsion problem and to the fundamental mode of the clamped membrane, *Z. A. M. M.*, 64 (1984), 364-366.
- [9] B. Kawohl, When are solutions to nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems convex? , *Comm. P. D. E.*, 10 (1985), 1213-1225.
- [10] B. Kawohl, Rearrangements and Convexity of Level Sets in PDE, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 1150 1985.
- [11] B. Kawohl, A remark on N. Korevaar's concavity maximum

- principle and on the asymptotic uniqueness of solutions to the plasma problem, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 8 (1986), 93-101.
- [12] A. U. Kennington, Power concavity and boundary value problems, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 34 (1985), 687-704.
- [13] N. Korevaar, Capillary surface convexity above convex domains, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 32 (1983), 73-81.
- [14] N. Korevaar, Convex solutions to nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 32 (1983), 603-614.
- [15] N. Korevaar & J. L. Lewis, Convex solutions of certain elliptic equations have constant rank Hessians, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 97 (1987), 19-32.
- [16] L. G. Makar-Limanov, Solution of Dirichlet's problem for the equation $\Delta u = -1$ in a convex region, Math. Notes Acad. Sci. USSR, 9 (1971), 52-53.
- [17] G. A. Philippin, A minimum principle for the problem of torsional creep, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 68 (1979), 526-535.
- [18] S. Sakaguchi, Uniqueness of critical point of the solution to the prescribed constant mean curvature equation over convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Lecture Notes In Numerical and Applied Analysis 10, Recent Topics in Nonlinear PDE IV, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 160 (1989), 129-151.
- [19] S. Sakaguchi, Uniqueness of critical point of the solutions to some semilinear elliptic boundary value problems in $\mathbb{R}^2$, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [20] R. P. Sperb, Extension of two theorems of Payne to some nonlinear Dirichlet problems, Z. A. M. P., 26 (1975), 721-726.