

On the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of a closed oriented 3-manifold

九州大学大学院数理学研究院 高田 敏恵 (Toshie Takata)
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G_N be a compact Lie group parameterized by N such as $SU(N)$, $SO(N)$ or $Sp(N)$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_N$ be the Lie algebra of G_N . The LMO invariant $Z_M \in \mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ [4] of a closed 3-manifold M is presented by a linear sum of (a kind of) trivalent graphs, where $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space spanned by such trivalent graphs (subject to some relations). The $\mathfrak{g}_N$ weight system $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}$ is a map $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ such that $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D)$ of a trivalent graph D of degree d is defined to be h^d times some polynomial in N of degree $\leq d + 2$. When we fix a value of N , $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(\log Z_M)$ is a power series in h with $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients. When we regard N as a variable, the weight system can be regarded as a map $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[N][[h]]$, and $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(\log Z_M)$ is a power series in h whose coefficients are polynomials in N . Putting τ to be Nh if $G_N = SU(N)$, $(N - 1)h$ if $G_N = SO(N)$, and $(N + 1)h$ if $G_N = Sp(N)$, $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(\log Z_M)$ is a power series in τ and h . We denote it by $F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) \in h^{-2}\mathbb{Q}[[\tau, h]]$, and call it the G_N free energy of M [2]. Further, we put the coefficient of h^{g-2} in $F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h)$ to be $F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}[[\tau]]$, i.e.,

$$F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} h^{g-2} F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau),$$

where the value of g implies the genus of some surface appearing in the definition of the weight system.

In this article, when $G_N = SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$, we give an explicit presentation of the G_N free energy for lens spaces, and show that $F_{L(d,b),g}^{G_N}(\tau)$ of the lens space $L(d, b)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of zero, where we can choose the neighborhood independently of g . This analyticity has been conjectured by S. Garoufalidis, T.T.Q. Le and M. Mariño [2]. Moreover, we show that for any g , the genus g terms of $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy agree up to sign.

2. DEFINITIONS

We briefly review the LMO invariant Z_M of a closed oriented 3-manifold M , constructed by T.T.Q. Le, J. Murakami and T. Ohtsuki in [4]. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by trivalent graphs whose vertices are oriented, modulo the AS, IHX and STU relations and denote by $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ spanned by connected trivalent graphs. The degree of a trivalent graph is half the number of vertices. The LMO invariant Z_M takes values in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$. It is known that $\log Z_M$ takes values in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$.

Let us recall the weight system associated with a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. It is known that for a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, one obtains a $\mathbb{Q}$ linear map $W_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$, called the weight system associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ (for general references, see [1, 5]). From a trivalent graph D of degree d in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$, $W_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$ is obtained by substituting $\mathfrak{g}$ into D , contracting a tensor at vertices and multiplying by h^d . When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_N = \mathfrak{sl}_N, \mathfrak{so}_N$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_N$, regarding N as a variable, $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D)$ of a connected trivalent graph D of degree d is h^d times some polynomial in N of degree $\leq d+2$ by Lemma 1 below, and we regard the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}$ as a map $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[N][[h]]$.

Lemma 1. *For $\mathfrak{g}_N = \mathfrak{sl}_N, \mathfrak{so}_N, \mathfrak{sp}_N$ and a connected trivalent graph D of degree d , $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D)$ can be presented in the following form,*

$$(1) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D) = \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} a_{\mathfrak{g}_N, g}(D) N^{d+2-g} h^d,$$

for some $a_{\mathfrak{g}_N, g}(D) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let G_N be a simple compact Lie group $SU(N)$, $SO(N)$ or $Sp(N)$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_N$ be the Lie algebra of G_N . Putting τ to be Nh for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}$, $(N-1)h$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}$, and $(N+1)h$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}$, $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(D)$ has the following form,

$$(2) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(D) = \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{g}, g}(D) \tau^{d+2-g} h^{g-2},$$

for some $c_{\mathfrak{g}, g}(D) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\log Z_M \in \mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$, $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(\log Z_M)$ can be presented in the following form,

$$(3) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(\log Z_M) = \sum_{d > 0} \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{g}, d, g}(M) \tau^{d+2-g} h^{g-2} \in h^{-2} \mathbb{Q}[[\tau, h]],$$

for some $c_{\mathfrak{g}, d, g}(M) \in \mathbb{Q}$. As in [2], we define the G_N free energy of a rational homology 3-sphere M by

$$F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) := W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(\log Z_M) \in h^{-2} \mathbb{Q}[[\tau, h]],$$

and put the coefficient of h^{g-2} in $F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h)$ to be $F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}[[\tau]]$, i.e.,

$$F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) h^{g-2}.$$

3. RESULTS

We state the main theorem.

Theorem 1. *The $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of the lens space $L(d, b)$ is presented by*

$$F_{L(d,b),g}^{G_N}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \{ (g-1) \frac{B_g}{g!} (d^{2-g} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/d}) - \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^\tau)) + a_g(\tau) \} & \text{if } g \text{ is even,} \\ \varepsilon_{G_N} \left[\frac{(2^{g-2} - 1) B_{g-1}}{(g-1)!} \left\{ d^{2-g} (2^{2-g} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/2d}) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/d})) \right. \right. \\ \quad \left. \left. - 2^{2-g} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/2}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^\tau) \right\} + a'_g(\tau) \right] & \text{if } g \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where ε_{G_N} is 1 for $G_N = SO(N)$ and -1 for $G_N = Sp(N)$,

$$a_g(\tau) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\tau^3}{12}(d^{-1} - 1) - \frac{\pi^2 \tau}{6}(d - 1) + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \log d + (d^2 - 1)\zeta(3) + \lambda_{L(d,b)} \frac{\tau^3}{2} & \text{if } g = 0, \\ -\frac{\tau}{24}(d^{-1} - 1) + \frac{1}{12} \log d - \lambda_{L(d,b)} \frac{\tau}{2} & \text{if } g = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

$$a'_g(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau}{2} \log d - \frac{\pi^2}{4}(d - 1) & \text{if } g = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Here the k th Bernoulli number B_k is defined by the generating series

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

and the polylogarithm function Li_p is defined by

$$\text{Li}_p(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^p}$$

for any integer p and $\zeta(3) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}$. In particular, $F_{L(d,b),g}^{SO(N)}(\tau)$ and $F_{L(d,b),g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau)$ are analytic in a neighborhood at zero, where we can choose the neighborhood independently of g .

Outline of a proof of Theorem 1

Let Ψ_+ be the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $|\Psi_+|$ the number of positive roots. We denote by $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the quadratic Casimir of $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$.

From [2], we have

$$(4) \quad F_{L(d,b)}^{G_N}(\tau, h) = \frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} C_{\mathfrak{g}_N} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{g}_N \cdot h + \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} (f((\alpha, \rho)h/d) - f((\alpha, \rho)h)),$$

where we define the function f by

$$f(x) := \log \left(\frac{\sinh(x/2)}{x/2} \right).$$

We consider the case $SO(N)$ with even N . The first term in the formula (4) is given by

$$\frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} C_{\mathfrak{so}_N} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{so}_N \cdot h = \frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} N(N-1)(N-2)h = \frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} \left(\frac{\tau^3}{h^2} - \tau \right).$$

We calculate the second term of the right-hand side of (4). From the definition of $\sinh$, we have the following presentation of $f(x)$,

$$(5) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} x^{2k},$$

where B_k is the k th Bernoulli number. So, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} f((\alpha, \rho)h) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2n-2} \frac{2n-j-1}{2} j^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} (1 - 2^{2k-1}) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} j^{2k} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-2s)B_{2s}h^{2s-2}}{(2s)!} F_s^{even}(\tau) \\
& \quad + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-2^{2s-1})B_{2s}}{(2s)!} h^{2s-1} (2^{1-2s} F_s^{odd}(\tau/2) - \frac{1}{2} F_s^{odd}(\tau)),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_s^{even}(\tau) &:= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2l+2s}}{(2l+2s)(2l+2)!} \tau^{2l+2}, \\
F_s^{odd}(\tau) &:= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2l+2s}}{(2l+2s)(2l+1)!} \tau^{2l+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It holds that $F_1^{even}(\tau) = f(\tau)$ and that $\frac{d}{d\tau} F_s^{even}(\tau) = F_s^{odd}(\tau)$. From the fact that the right hand side in the equation (5) is analytic function in the unit disk, we see that for any g , the power series $F_{M,g}^G(\tau)$ is analytic in the unit disk. Moreover, from the equation

$$f(\tau) = -\text{Li}_1(e^\tau) - \frac{\tau}{2} - \log(-\tau)$$

in the unit disk [2] and the fact that

$$\frac{d}{dx} \text{Li}_\alpha(e^x) = \text{Li}_{\alpha-1}(e^x),$$

using a similar way in [2], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_s^{even}(\tau) \\
& = -\text{Li}_{3-2s}(e^\tau) + \begin{cases} -\frac{\tau^2}{2} \log(-\tau) - \frac{\tau^3}{12} + \frac{3\tau^2}{4} - \frac{\pi^2\tau}{6} + \zeta(3) & \text{if } s = 0, \\ -\log(-\tau) - \frac{\tau}{2} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ (2s-3)! \tau^{2-2s} - \frac{B_{2s-2}}{2s-2} & \text{if } s \geq 2, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_s^{odd}(\tau) = -\text{Li}_{2-2s}(e^\tau) + \begin{cases} -\tau \log(-\tau) - \frac{1}{4} \tau^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \tau & \text{if } s = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ -(2s-2)! \tau^{1-2s} & \text{if } s \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Substituting these into the formula (5), we get the formula for $G = SO(N)$ with even N . Similarly, the formula for $G = SO(N)$ with odd N can be obtained. The formula for $G = Sp(N)$ follows from Proposition 1 below. $\square$

Furthermore, one has

Proposition 1. *For any closed oriented 3-manifold M and any g ,*

$$F_{M,g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau) = (-1)^g F_{M,g}^{SO(N)}(\tau),$$

Proof. Noting that $\tau = N - 1$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}$ and that $\tau = N + 1$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}$, it follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{sp}_*}(D) &= \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{sp},g}(D)(N+1)^{d+2-g}h^{g-2}, \\ W_{\mathfrak{so}_*}(D) &= \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D)(N-1)^{d+2-g}h^{g-2} \end{aligned}$$

for a connected trivalent graph D of degree d . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^d W_{\mathfrak{so}_*}(D)|_{N \rightarrow -N} &= (-1)^d \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D)(-N-1)^{d+2-g}h^{g-2} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} (-1)^g c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D)(N+1)^{d+2-g}h^{g-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_*}(D)$ and $(-1)^d W_{\mathfrak{so}_*}(D)|_{N \rightarrow -N}$ by Proposition 2 below, we have

$$c_{\mathfrak{sp},g}(D) = (-1)^g c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D)$$

for any g . Since $\log Z_M$ is a linear sum of such D , it follows from (3) that

$$c_{\mathfrak{sp},d,g}(M) = (-1)^g c_{\mathfrak{so},d,g}(M)$$


for any rational homology 3-sphere M , any d , and any g . Further, since

$$F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) = \sum_{d>0, d \geq g-1} c_{\mathfrak{g},d,g}(M)\tau^{d+2-g}$$

by definition, we obtain the required formula. $\square$

Proposition 2. *For a connected trivalent graph D of degree d , $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D)$ is obtained from by replacing N to $-N$ in $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D)$ and multiplying by $(-1)^d$, i.e., $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = (-1)^d W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D)|_{N \rightarrow -N}$.*

To give an outline of a proof of Proposition 2, we review results about $\mathfrak{so}_N$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_N$ weight systems. The following description of the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}$ is known. We replace any trivalent vertex and any edge in the following:




We denote by $e(D)$ the set of edges of a connected trivalent graph D . Given a map $m_e : e(D) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, called a edge marking of D , choosing one of the two possibilities for the replacement of an edge depending on m_e , connecting up, we obtain an orientable or nonorientable surface S_{D, m_e} of the genus $g(S_{D, m_e})$ with b_{D, m_e} boundary components. Then, we have

$$(6) \quad W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D) = \sum_{m_e} (-1)^{s_{m_e}} N^{b_{D, m_e}} h^{g'_{D, m_e} - 2 + b_{D, m_e}},$$


where $s_{m_e} = \sum_{y \in e(D)} m_e(y)$, the sum is over all possible edge markings m_e of D , and

$$g'_{D, m_e} = \begin{cases} 2g(S_{D, m_e}) & \text{if } S_{D, m_e} \text{ is orientable} \\ g(S_{D, m_e}) & \text{if } S_{D, m_e} \text{ is nonorientable.} \end{cases}$$


Example. We consider the following trivalent graph of degree 1



and the edge marking with $m_e(y_1) = 1$, $m_e(y_2) = 0$, and $m_e(y_3) = 0$. Then we get



which is a projective plane with two boundary components. This contributes $-N^2 h$ to $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D)$. From 8 possible edge markings, we get the following surfaces



and obtain that $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D) = N^3h - 3N^2h + 3Nh - Nh = N(N-1)(N-2)h$.

Next, we give a description of the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}$ with $N = 2n$. We denote by $e(D)$ the set of edges of a connected trivalent graph D and Y' the set of the diagrams



We replace any trivalent vertex in the same way as the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}$ and replace each edge with one diagram in Y' , in such a way that connecting up, the two ends of each arc in $\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array}$ have the same symbols. Such a replacement defines a map $m' : e(D) \rightarrow Y'$, called an admissible edge marking of D , and we obtain an orientable or nonorientable surface $S_{D,m'}$ of the genus $g(S_{D,m'})$ with $b_{D,m'}$ boundary components with even symbols $\circ$ and even symbols $\bullet$. Then, we have


$$W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = \sum_{m'} (-1)^{s_{m'}} n^{b_{D,m'}} h^{g'_{D,m'} - 2 + b_{D,m'}},$$

where $s_{m'}$ is the number of $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \times \circ \\ \circ \times \bullet \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{c} \circ \times \bullet \\ \bullet \times \circ \end{array}$ in $S_{D,m'}$, the sum is over all possible admissible edge markings m' of D , and $g'_{D,m'} = 2g(S_{D,m'})$ if the surface $S_{D,m'}$ is orientable and $g'_{D,m'} = g(S_{D,m'})$ if the surface $S_{D,m'}$ is nonorientable.

Example. We consider the trivalent graph

$$D = \left(\begin{array}{c} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{array} \right),$$

and the admissible edge marking m' with $m'(y_1) = \begin{array}{c} \circ \text{---} \circ \\ \circ \text{---} \circ \end{array}$, $m'(y_2) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \times \circ \\ \circ \times \bullet \end{array}$, and $m'(y_3) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}$. This gives a nonorientable surface



of the genus 1 with 2 boundary component and so contributes nh to $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D)$. We compute that $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = 8n^3h + 12n^2h + 4nh = 2n(2n+1)(2n+2)h = N(N+1)(N+2)h$.

Now let us give an idea of a proof of Proposition 2. From the above description of W_{so_N} and W_{sp_N} with $N = 2n$, we have


$$W_{so_N}(D) = \sum_{m_e} (-1)^{s_{m_e}} N^{b_{D,m_e}} h^d,$$

$$W_{sp_N}(D) = \sum_{m'} (-1)^{s_{m'}} n^{b_{D,m'}} h^d.$$

For example, we consider $D = \bigoplus$. We have that the surface



appearing in $W_{so_N}(\bigoplus)$ corresponds to the 4 surfaces



appearing in $W_{sp_N}(\bigoplus)$. We have that one surface appearing in $W_{so_N}(D)$ corresponds to $2^{b_{D,m_e}}$ surfaces appearing in $W_{sp_N}(D)$. Then, it follows that

$$W_{sp_N}(D) = \sum_{m_e} (-1)^{s_{m'}} 2^{b_{D,m_e}} n^{b_{D,m_e}} h^d.$$

Noting that $N = 2n$ and $s_{m'} \equiv s_{m_e} + d + b_{D,m_e} \pmod{2}$, the claim holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bar-Natan, *On the Vassiliev knot invariant*, Topology **34** (1995), 423–472.
- [2] S. Garoufalidis, T.T.Q. Le, and M. Mariño, *Analycity of the free energy of a closed 3-manifold*, SIGMA **4** (2008), no. 080, 20 pages.
- [3] S. Garoufalidis and M. Mariño, *Universality and asymptotics of graph counting problems in unoriented surfases*, to appear in Journal of Combinatorial Theory A, math.CO/0812.1295.
- [4] T. T. Q. Le, J. Murakami, and T. Ohtsuki, *On a universal perturbative invariant of 3-manifolds*, Topology **37** (1998), no. 3, 539–574.
- [5] T. Ohtsuki, *Quantum invariants. A study of knots, 3-manifolds, their sets*, Series on Knots and Everything, vol. 29, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.