

On the projection which appears in the variational treatment of elasto-plastic torsion problem

芝浦工業大学 システム工学部
井戸川 知之 (Tomoyuki Idogawa)

Abstract

In the treatment of variational inequalities, the projection operator P_K from some Hilbert space V onto a certain closed convex subset K plays an important role. But, only for few problems, it is known how to get the explicit form of $P_K u$ for each given $u \in V$. In this article, we consider $K = \{f \in H_0^1(\Omega); |\nabla f| \leq 1 \text{ a.e.}\}$, which is related to elasto-plastic torsion problems, and propose an iterative method to approximate $P_K u$ for 1 dimensional case $\Omega = (a, b)$. We also show an expansion of it for higher dimensional but radial symmetric cases.

1 Problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary and

$$K := \{f \in H_0^1(\Omega); |\nabla f| \leq 1 \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

We will denote by P_K the projection mapping from $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into its convex closed subset K , namely, for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $v \in K$,

$$P_K u = v \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \|u - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \inf_{f \in K} \|u - f\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}.$$

For convenience sake, we take

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} := \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},$$

throughout this article. (Note that Ω is bounded.) The problem is to find $v = P_K u \in K$ for each given $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

This projection P_K appears in the variational treatment of elasto-plastic torsion problem. Consider an infinitely long cylindrical elastic-plastic bar of




Figure 1: cylindrical elastic-plastic bar of cross section Ω .

cross section Ω to which some torsion momentum (τ denotes the torsion angle per unit length) is applied (Fig. 1). It is known that the stress vector σ in Ω is determined by the minimizer u of

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \tau \int_{\Omega} v dx \quad (v \in K),$$

namely, $\sigma = \nabla u$ [2, p.42]. This minimizing problem is equivalent to finding $u \in K$ such that

$$u = P_K(u - \rho(Au - l)) \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0,$$

where $A \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ and $l \in V$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (Af, g) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g dx, \\ (l, f) &= \tau \int_{\Omega} f dx \end{aligned} \quad \left((\cdot, \cdot) : \text{inner product of } V \right)$$

for $f, g \in V := H_0^1(\Omega)$, respectively [2, p.3].

The projection P_K also plays an important role in the error estimates of the corresponding penalized elliptic variational inequalities [5].

2 Rewriting the problem

We introduce a functional $J_u : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for each given $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$J_u(f) := \|u - f\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x) - \nabla f(x)|^2 dx. \quad (1)$$

By using it, the problem can be rewritten such as “To find the minimizer v of J_u on K .” On this problem, one can easily show:

Proposition 1 *If there exists a solution $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ to*

$$\nabla v = C(\nabla u) \quad (\text{a.e. in } \Omega), \quad (2)$$

then v is the minimizer of J_u on K , where $C(z) := \begin{cases} z & (|z| \leq 1), \\ z/|z| & (|z| > 1). \end{cases}$

Especially, for 1 dimensional case $\Omega = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, put

$$v(x) := \int_a^x C(u'(\xi)) d\xi \quad (a \leq x \leq b) \quad (3)$$

for a given function $u \in H_0^1(a, b)$. If this function $v (\in H^1(a, b) \cap C([a, b]))$ satisfies that $v(b) = 0$, then v belongs to $H_0^1(a, b)$ and hence $v = P_K u$. An example of this kind: $u(x) = -\frac{3}{10} \cos(\frac{3}{2}\pi x)$ and v defined by (3) for $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ are shown in Fig. 2. We also plot their derivatives in Fig. 3. In this case, $P_K u$ and v coincide perfectly (see Fig. 2), and $(P_K u)'$ is only the “cut-off” of u' , namely, $(P_K u)' = C(u')$ (see Fig. 3).




Figure 2: the case $v(b) = 0$; $u(x) = -\frac{3}{10} \cos \frac{3}{2}\pi x$.

In fact, for 1 dimensional case $\Omega = (a, b)$, one can easily show that if the given function u is symmetric (i.e., $u(a + \xi) = u(b - \xi)$ for any ξ), then v defined by (3) satisfies that $v(b) = 0$ and hence $v = P_K u$.




Figure 3: u' and $(P_K u)'$; $u(x) = -\frac{3}{10} \cos \frac{3}{2} \pi x$.

But it is rather special. We will show an example for the case $v(b) \neq 0$: $u(x) = 4(x+1)^2(x+\frac{1}{2})(x-\frac{1}{5})(x-\frac{3}{5})(x-\frac{4}{5})(x-1)$ for $\Omega = (-1, 1)$. The graphs of u , corresponding v and $P_K u$ are shown in Fig. 4. Also the derivatives u' and $(P_K u)'$ are plotted in Fig. 5.




Figure 4: the case $v(b) \neq 0$; $u(x) = 4(x+1)^2(x+\frac{1}{2})(x-\frac{1}{5})(x-\frac{3}{5})(x-\frac{4}{5})(x-1)$.




Figure 5: u' and $(P_K u)'$; $u(x) = 4(x+1)^2(x+\frac{1}{2})(x-\frac{1}{5})(x-\frac{3}{5})(x-\frac{4}{5})(x-1)$.

In such a case, it is clear that any primitive function of $C(u')$ can not belong to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ since its values at 2 boundary points are not equal. In other words, (2) has no solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, in general.

Then, instead of (2), we consider the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla v = C(\nabla u - \nabla w) & (\text{a.e. in } \Omega), \\ \Delta w = 0 & (\text{weak sense}). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It means that at first, we alter u by subtracting the appropriate quantity, namely, a function $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $\Delta w = 0$. Then we “cut-off” its gradient and get the primitive function. If the obtained function v belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$, then the next theorem assures that $v = P_K u$.

Theorem 1 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. If there exists a solution (v, w) in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ to the system of equations (4) with a given parameter $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, then v belongs to K and minimizes the functional J_u defined by (1).*

(Proof) It is clear that $v \in K$. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\forall f \in K, \quad J_u(f) - J_u(v) \geq 0.$$

Let denote $\Omega_p := \{x \in \Omega; |\nabla(u - w)| > 1\}$ and $\Omega_z := \Omega \setminus \Omega_p$. Fix $f \in K$ and put $\delta := f - v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. For this δ , we can easily show

$$\nabla \delta \cdot \nabla v = \nabla f \cdot \nabla v - |\nabla v|^2 = \nabla f \cdot \nabla v - 1 \leq 0 \quad (\text{a.e. in } \Omega_p)$$

since $|\nabla f| \leq 1$ and $|\nabla v| = 1$ (a.e. in Ω_p), and hence

$$\nabla \delta \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla w) \leq 0 \quad (\text{a.e. in } \Omega_p).$$

On the other hand, since $\Delta w = 0$ (weak sense in $H^1(\Omega)$) and $\delta \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \delta \cdot \nabla w \, dx = \int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \delta \cdot \nabla w \, dx + \int_{\Omega_z} \nabla \delta \cdot \nabla w \, dx = 0.$$

By using these facts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_u(f) - J_u(v) &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - \nabla(v + \delta)|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \delta|^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \delta \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla v) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \delta|^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \delta \cdot \left(\nabla u - \frac{\nabla u - \nabla w}{|\nabla u - \nabla w|} \right) \, dx - 2 \int_{\Omega_z} \nabla \delta \cdot \nabla w \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \delta|^2 \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \delta \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla u - \nabla w}{|\nabla u - \nabla w|} - \nabla u \right) \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \delta \cdot \nabla w \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \delta|^2 \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega_p} (|\nabla u - \nabla w|^{-1} - 1) \nabla \delta \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla w) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \delta|^2 \, dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 1 dimensional case

Theorem 1 assures that if one could solve the system of equations (4) with a given parameter $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, one get the projection $P_K u$. But unfortunately, there may not be any solution to (4) in general, except 1 dimensional case. In fact, when $\Omega = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ($-\infty < a < b < \infty$), the equation $w'' = 0$ can be solved such as $w' \equiv \text{const.}$ a.e. in (a, b) . Hence it is sufficient to solve

$$v' = C(u' - \alpha) \quad (\text{a.e. in } \Omega) \quad (5)$$

for $v \in H_0^1(a, b)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ instead of (4). And we got an iterative solution to (5), namely, an algorithm to produce the sequences $\{v_k\} \subset H^1(a, b)$ and $\{\alpha_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ which approximate v and α , respectively.

Algorithm I Put $\alpha_0 := 0$ and iterate the followings on $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

1. Define $v_k \in H^1(a, b) \cap C([a, b])$ by using α_k such as

$$v_k(x) := \int_a^x C(u'(\xi) - \alpha_k) d\xi \quad (a \leq x \leq b).$$

2. Put $\delta_k := \frac{v_k(b)}{b-a}$ and $\alpha_{k+1} := \alpha_k + \delta_k$.

When $v_k \rightarrow v$ in $H^1(a, b)$ and $\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha$ in $\mathbb{R}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, one can expect $v(b) = 0$, i.e., $v \in H_0^1(a, b)$. If it holds, the pair of v and α solves to (4). In fact, these properties are assured by the following theorem.

Theorem 2 For any $u \in H_0^1(a, b)$, each sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{v_k\}$ in Algorithm I converges. Moreover, the limit function of v_k belongs to $H_0^1(a, b)$.

Theorem 2 is the direct result of following 3 lemmas. At first, we will prove the convergence of $\{\alpha_k\}$ by showing the monotonicity and the boundedness of it.

Lemma 1 (monotonicity) In Algorithm I, if

$$\alpha_1 = \delta_0 := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b C(u'(\xi)) d\xi > 0,$$

then the sequence $\{\delta_k\}$ satisfies that $0 \leq \delta_{k+1} \leq \delta_k$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

(Proof) Fix $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and assume $\delta_k \geq 0$. Let denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_p(f) &:= \{x \in \Omega; f(x) > 1\}, & \Omega_n(f) &:= \{x \in \Omega; f(x) < -1\}, \\ \Omega_z(f) &:= \Omega \setminus (\Omega_p(f) \cup \Omega_n(f)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = (a, b)$, and define Ω_{ij} by

$$\Omega_{ij} := \Omega_i(u' - \alpha_{k+1}) \cap \Omega_j(u' - \alpha_k) \quad (i, j \in \{p, z, n\}).$$

For brevity, we will use the notations

$$|\Omega_{ij}| := \int_{\Omega_{ij}} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{ij} := \frac{|\Omega_{ij}|}{|\Omega|} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega_{ij}} dx \quad (i, j \in \{p, z, n\}).$$

Note that

$$|\Omega| := b - a = \sum_{i,j} |\Omega_{ij}| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} = 1 \quad (i, j \in \{p, z, n\}),$$

and $|\Omega_{pz}| = |\Omega_{pn}| = |\Omega_{zn}| = 0$ since $\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_k + \delta_k \geq \alpha_k$. By using them, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k+1} - \delta_k &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega_{ij}} \{C(u' - \alpha_{k+1}) - C(u' - \alpha_k)\} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{zp}} (u' - \alpha_{k+1} - 1) dx + \int_{\Omega_{zz}} (-\alpha_{k+1} + \alpha_k) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega_{np}} (-2) dx + \int_{\Omega_{nz}} (-1 - u' + \alpha_k) dx \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega_{zp}} (u' - \alpha_{k+1} - 1) dx - \omega_{zz} \delta_k - 2\omega_{np} + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega_{nz}} (-1 - u' + \alpha_k) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of Ω_{zp} and Ω_{nz} , we obtain the following evaluations:

$$\begin{aligned} -\min\{2, \delta_k\} &\leq u'(x) - \alpha_{k+1} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (\text{a.e. } x \text{ in } \Omega_{zp}), \\ -\min\{2, \delta_k\} &\leq -1 - u'(x) + \alpha_k \leq 0 \quad (\text{a.e. } x \text{ in } \Omega_{nz}). \end{aligned}$$

By the estimates from above, we get the monotone decreasingness of $\{\delta_k\}$:

$$\delta_{k+1} \leq (1 - \omega_{zz})\delta_k - 2\omega_{np} \leq \delta_k.$$

Next, we will show the non-negativeness of $\{\delta_k\}$. By the estimates from below, we get

$$\delta_{k+1} \geq -\min\{2, \delta_k\}\omega_{zp} + (1 - \omega_{zz})\delta_k - 2\omega_{np} - \min\{2, \delta_k\}\omega_{nz}.$$

When $\delta_k \geq 2$, we can deduce from this estimate

$$\delta_{k+1} \geq 2(-\omega_{zp} + 1 - \omega_{zz} - \omega_{np} - \omega_{nz}) \geq 0.$$

In the other hand, when $\delta_k < 2$, we can easily show that $\omega_{np} = 0$, and hence

$$\delta_{k+1} \geq \delta_k(-\omega_{zp} + 1 - \omega_{zz} - \omega_{nz}) \geq 0.$$

□

One can get similar result as Lemma 1 for the case $\delta_0 < 0$.

Corollary 2 *In Algorithm I, if*

$$\alpha_1 = \delta_0 := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b C(u'(\xi)) d\xi < 0,$$

then the sequence $\{\delta_k\}$ satisfies that $0 \geq \delta_{k+1} \geq \delta_k$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

It is obvious that $\delta_k = 0$ implies $\delta_{k'} = 0$ for all $k' \in \{k, k+1, k+2, \dots\}$. Since $\alpha_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta_j$, it is easy to look that $\{\alpha_k\}$ is also monotone and that the sign of α_k is “same” as that of δ_k in the sense considering the sign of 0 to belong to both of plus and minus one. Hence, we get the following.

Corollary 3 *For the sequences $\{\delta_k\}$ and $\{\alpha_k\}$ generated by Algorithm I, it holds that*

$$\alpha_k > 0 \Rightarrow \delta_k \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_k < 0 \Rightarrow \delta_k \leq 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

We use this property in the proof of Lemma 4.

Lemma 4 (boundedness) *In Algorithm I, the sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ is bounded such as*

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \left(\frac{2}{b-a} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{H_0^1(a,b)} + 1 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

(Proof) When $u = 0$ in $H_0^1(a, b)$, it is clear that $\alpha_k = 0$ for any $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then, we take $u \neq 0$, namely, $\|u\|_{H_0^1(a,b)} = \|u'\|_{L^2(a,b)} > 0$. And we will show only for the case $\alpha_k > 0$ here. Almost the same proof works for the case $\alpha_k < 0$.

For each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, assume that

$$\exists k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \alpha_k \geq \left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{b-a} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{H_0^1(a,b)} + 1. \quad (*)$$

Note that $\delta_k \geq 0$ since $\alpha_k > 0$. Putting

$$\Omega_1 := \{x \in \Omega; u'(x) - \alpha_k \geq -1\}, \quad \Omega_2 := (a, b) \setminus \Omega_1,$$

we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (b-a)\delta_k &= \int_{\Omega_1} C(u'(\xi) - \alpha_k) d\xi + \int_{\Omega_2} C(u'(\xi) - \alpha_k) d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_1} |C(u'(\xi) - \alpha_k)| d\xi - \int_{\Omega_2} d\xi \leq |\Omega_1| - |\Omega_2|, \end{aligned} \quad (\dagger)$$

where $|\Omega_i| := \int_{\Omega_i} dx$. Since $|\Omega_2| = (b-a) - |\Omega_1|$, $|\Omega_1| = 0$ implies that $\delta_k < 0$ which contradicts to the assumption (*). Then, we assume $|\Omega_1| > 0$ hereafter. By using (*) and the definition of Ω_1 , we can easily show that

$$\xi \in \Omega_1 \Rightarrow |u'(\xi)|^2 \geq (\alpha_k - 1)^2 \geq \frac{2+\varepsilon}{b-a} \|u'\|_{L^2(a,b)}^2.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\|u'\|_{L^2(a,b)}^2 \geq \int_{\Omega_1} |u'(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq \frac{2+\varepsilon}{b-a} \|u'\|_{L^2(a,b)}^2 |\Omega_1|,$$

and then,

$$|\Omega_2| - |\Omega_1| \geq \varepsilon |\Omega_1|.$$

This and (†) lead that $\delta_k < 0$ which contradicts to (*). $\square$

Lemma 1 (Corollary 2) and Lemma 4 show the convergence of $\{\alpha_k\}$ generated by Algorithm I. Then, we will show the convergence of $\{v_k\}$ in $H^1(a, b)$.

Lemma 5 For $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{v_k\}$ generated by Algorithm I, denoting

$$\alpha := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) := \int_a^x C(u'(\xi) - \alpha) d\xi \quad (a \leq x \leq b),$$

it holds that $v_k \rightarrow v$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) in $H^1(a, b)$ and $v \in H_0^1(a, b)$.

(Proof) It is easy to see that

$$\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |C(z_1) - C(z_2)| \leq |z_1 - z_2|.$$

By using this property and the definitions of v and v_k , we get

$$|v'(x) - v'_k(x)| = |C(u'(x) - \alpha) - C(u'(x) - \alpha_k)| \leq |\alpha - \alpha_k| \quad (\text{a.e. in } \Omega).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - v_k\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &:= \int_a^b |v(x) - v_k(x)|^2 dx + \int_a^b |v'(x) - v'_k(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_a^b \left| \int_a^x (v'(\xi) - v'_k(\xi)) d\xi \right|^2 dx + \int_a^b |v'(x) - v'_k(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_a^b |\alpha - \alpha_k|^2 (x-a)^2 dx + \int_a^b |\alpha - \alpha_k|^2 dx \\ &= |\alpha - \alpha_k|^2 \left(\frac{1}{3}(b-a)^3 + (b-a) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and then the convergence $v_k \rightarrow v$ in $H^1(a, b)$. Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned} |v(b) - v_k(b)| &= \left| \int_a^b (v'(x) - v'_k(x)) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^b |v'(x) - v'_k(x)| \, dx \leq |\alpha - \alpha_k| (b - a), \end{aligned}$$

it holds that $v_k(b) \rightarrow v(b)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). In the other hand,

$$v_k(b) = \delta_k(b - a) = (\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k)(b - a)$$

implies $v_k(b) \rightarrow 0$, hence we get $v(b) = 0$, namely, $v \in H_{\mathbf{0}}^1(a, b)$. $\square$

4 Radial symmetric case

For higher dimensional cases, the system of equations (4) may not have any solution, in general. But, when both of domain Ω and given function u are radial symmetric, the problem is reducible to 1 dimensional one, and can be solved. In this section, we consider that both Ω and u are radial symmetric.

At first, we mention about the most simple (trivial) case, namely, the domain Ω is spherical one:

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < a\} \quad \text{with } 0 < a < \infty.$$

In this case, it is obvious that $v = P_K u$ can be obtained such as

$$v(x) := - \int_{|x|}^a C(\tilde{u}'(\rho)) \, d\rho \quad (x \in \Omega),$$

where $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\tilde{u}(|x|) := u(x)$.

For more interesting case, we consider a ring domain:

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; a < |x| < b\} \quad \text{with } 0 < a < b < \infty. \quad (6)$$

In this case, the system of equations (4) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} v_r = C(u_r - w_r) & (\text{a.e. in } \Omega), \\ w_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r} w_r = 0 & (\text{weak sense}) \end{cases}$$

with $r := |x|$. Since the 2nd equation of this system is solvable such as

$$w_r(x) = \alpha r^{1-N} \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in \Omega),$$

with arbitrary constant α , it suffices to solve

$$\tilde{v}'(r) = C \left(\tilde{u}'(r) - \alpha r^{1-N} \right) \quad (\text{a.e. } r \in [a, b]) \quad (7)$$

for $\tilde{v} \in H_0^1(a, b)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The equation (7) is similar to (5) and we can expand Algorithm I to solve it as followings.

Algorithm II Put $\alpha_0 := 0$ and iterate the followings for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

1. Define $v_k(x)$ by using α_k such as

$$v_k(x) := \int_a^{|x|} C \left(\tilde{u}'(\rho) - \frac{\alpha_k}{\rho^{N-1}} \right) d\rho \quad (x \in \Omega).$$

2. Put $\delta_k := \frac{a^{N-1}}{b-a} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow b} v_k(x)$ and $\alpha_{k+1} := \alpha_k + \delta_k$.

This algorithm is justified by the next theorem.

Theorem 3 If Ω is a ring domain such as (6) and $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is radial symmetric one, then each sequence of $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{v_k\}$ in Algorithm II converges.

The sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ generated by Algorithm II also has the monotonicity and the boundedness, and the convergence of $\{\alpha_k\}$ is direct result of them. Once the convergence of $\{\alpha_k\}$ was shown, one can also show the convergence of $\{v_k\}$. These lemmas written below prove Theorem 3.

Lemma 6 (monotonicity) In Algorithm II, if

$$\alpha_1 = \delta_0 := \frac{a^{N-1}}{b-a} \int_a^b C(\tilde{u}'(\rho)) d\rho > 0,$$

then the sequence $\{\delta_k\}$ satisfies that $0 \leq \delta_{k+1} \leq \delta_k$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

Lemma 7 (boundedness) In Algorithm II, the sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ is bounded such as

$$|\alpha_k| \leq b^{N-1} \left(\frac{2}{b-a} \right)^{1/2} \|\tilde{u}'\|_{L^2(a,b)} + 1 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Lemma 8 For $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{v_k\}$ generated by Algorithm II, denoting

$$\alpha := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) := \int_a^{|x|} C \left(\tilde{u}'(\rho) - \frac{\alpha}{\rho^{N-1}} \right) d\rho \quad (x \in \Omega),$$

then it holds that $v_k \rightarrow v$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

The proofs of Lemma 6, 7 and 8 are done by almost same arguments as Lemma 1, 4 and 5, respectively, and we omit them here.

Finally, we will show an example of numerical result of Algorithm II. In Fig. 6, u and $P_K u$ defined in 2 dimensional ring domain Ω such as

$$u(x) = 4(|x| + 1)^2(|x| + \frac{1}{2})(|x| - \frac{1}{5})(|x| - \frac{3}{5})(|x| - \frac{4}{5})(|x| - 1),$$

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; 0.5 \leq |x| \leq 2.5\},$$

are plotted as 3D graphs.




Figure 6: u and $P_K u$ for 2 dimensional ring domain case:

$$u(r) = 4(r+1)^2(r+\frac{1}{2})(r-\frac{1}{5})(r-\frac{3}{5})(r-\frac{4}{5})(r-1).$$

In Fig. 7, the same u and $P_K u$ expressed above but for 1, 2 and 3 dimensional domains are plotted as $r-u$ and $r-P_K u$ graphs. One may notice that the difference between the values of u and those of $P_K u$ is rather uniform in 1 dimensional case. But in a higher dimensional case, the difference between the values of u and those of $P_K u$ near the origin is larger than that of them far from the origin.




Figure 7: u and $P_K u$ for higher dimensional cases:

$$u(r) = 4(r+1)^2(r+\frac{1}{2})(r-\frac{1}{5})(r-\frac{3}{5})(r-\frac{4}{5})(r-1);$$

v_n denotes $P_K u$ for n dimensional case.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. M. Tsutsumi in Waseda University for suggesting the problem and for some helpful comments on related topics.

References

- [1] Y. C. Fung, A First Course in Continuum Mechanics (2nd Edt.), Prentice-Hall, 1977.
- [2] R. Glowinski, Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Variational Problems, Springer-Verlag N.Y., 1984.
- [3] W. Han and B. D. Reddy, Plasticity: Mathematical Theory and Numerical Analysis, Springer-Verlag N.Y., 1999.
- [4] J. Nečas and I. Hlaváček, Mathematical Theory of Elastic and Elasto-Plastic Bodies: An Introduction, Elsevier Sci. Pub., 1981.
- [5] M. Tsutsumi and T. Yasuda, *Penalty method for variational inequalities and its error estimates*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, **42** (1999), 281–289.