

# A NOTE ON ADJOINT SEMIGROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH SOME LINEAR FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Toshiki Naito (The University of Electro-Communications)

内藤 敏機 (電通大)

Set  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$C_\gamma = \{\varphi: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow E : \varphi \text{ is continuous and } a_\gamma(\varphi) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\gamma\theta} \varphi(\theta) \text{ exists}\},$$

where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and set

$$\|\varphi\|_\gamma = \sup\{e^{\gamma\theta} |\varphi(\theta)| : -\infty < \theta \leq 0\} \quad \varphi \in C_\gamma.$$

Then  $C_\gamma$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ , and it is isomorphic to the Banach space  $C = C([-1, 0], E)$ : an isometric isomorphism  $\iota_\gamma$  from  $C_\gamma$  onto  $C$  is given by

$$(\iota_\gamma \varphi)(s) = \begin{cases} e^{\gamma s/1+s} \varphi(s/1+s) & -1 < s \leq 0 \\ a_\gamma(\varphi) & s = -1. \end{cases}$$

The  $t$ -segment  $x_t$  of a function  $x: (-\infty, t] \rightarrow E$  is a function, on  $(-\infty, 0]$  into  $E$ , defined by  $x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta)$   $\theta \leq 0$ . Consider a linear functional differential equation with the phase space  $C_\gamma$ :

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = L(x_t),$$

where  $L$  is a continuous linear operator on  $C_\gamma$  into  $E$ . It is well known [3,4] that this equation has a unique solution  $x(\varphi)$  satisfying the initial condition  $x_0 = \varphi$  for any  $\varphi$  in  $C_\gamma$ , and that the one parameter family of operators  $T(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , defined by

$$T(t)\varphi = x_t(\varphi) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_\gamma$$

is a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on  $C_\gamma$ . This semigroup is called the solution semigroup of Equation (1). We consider the representation of the adjoint semigroup of  $T(t)$ .

For linear functional differential equations with finite delays on the phase space  $C$ , it is shown [2] that  $C$  is sun-reflexive with respect to the solution semigroups. On the other hand, for the case  $\gamma = 0$ , the space  $C_0$  is not sun-reflexive with respect to  $T(t)$ , [5]. The space  $C_0^{\infty}$  defined below is isomorphic to the space  $E \times BU$ , where  $BU$  is the space of bounded, uniformly continuous functions on  $(-\infty, 0]$  into  $E$  with the supremum norm;  $C_0$  is imbedded onto the subspace  $\{(a_0(\varphi), \varphi) : \varphi \in C_0\} \subset E \times BU$ . Diekmann and Greiner suggested that, for any  $\gamma$ , the space  $C_\gamma$  would not be sun-reflexive with respect to  $T(t)$  from the information about the spectrum of the resolvent  $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ , where  $A$  is the

infinitesimal generator of  $T(t)$ . What is  $C_\gamma^{\circ\circ}$ ? This note is an unaccomplished approach to this question.

Before proceeding, we give the definition of sun-reflexivity. For a moment, denote by  $T(t)$  a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $X$ . Then the adjoints semigroup  $T^*(t)$  is not necessarily a strongly continuous on  $X^*$ . The maximal closed subspace of  $X^*$  on which  $T^*(t)$  becomes a strongly continuous semigroup is the one defined by

$$X^\circ = \{x^\circ \in X^*: \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} |T^*(t)x^\circ - x^\circ| = 0\}.$$

It is known that this space coincides with the closure of the  $\mathcal{D}(A^*)$ , the domain of the adjoint operator of the infinitesimal generator  $A$  of  $T(t)$ . Denote by  $T^\circ(t)$  the restriction of  $T^*(t)$  on  $X^\circ$ . Repeating the above process for  $T^\circ(t)$ , we have a strongly continuous semigroup  $T^{\circ\circ}(t)$  which is the restriction of  $T^{\circ*}(t)$  on the space  $X^{\circ\circ} = \{x^{\circ\circ} \in X^{\circ*}: \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} |T^{\circ*}(t)x^{\circ\circ} - x^{\circ\circ}| = 0\}$ . The original space  $X$  is isomorphically imbedded to a subspace of  $X^{\circ\circ}$ , and  $T^{\circ\circ}(t)$  is an extension of  $T(t)$ . If  $X$  is isomorphic to the whole space  $X^{\circ\circ}$ , we say that  $X$  is sun-reflexive with respect to the semigroup  $T(t)$ . This occurs if and only if the resolvent  $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  is weakly compact for any  $\lambda$  in the resolvent set  $\rho(A)$ , see [6]. Refer the book [1] for the theory of adjoint semigroups. The space  $X^{\circ\circ}$  depends on  $T(t)$ ; we may obtain another space as  $X^{\circ\circ}$  from a different

semigroup. But for the solution semigroup of Equation (1), the spaces  $C_\gamma^\ominus$ ,  $C_\gamma^{\ominus\ominus}$  are independent of the choice of the linear operator  $L$ . This fact holds for solution semigroups of linear functional differential equations with infinite delays on the very general phase space, [5].

Now we return to the solution semigroup  $T(t)$  of Equation (1). It is easy to show the following result, cf [5].

**Theorem 1.** A function  $\varphi$  in  $C_\gamma$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  if and only if it is continuously differentiable,  $\dot{\varphi}$  is in  $C_\gamma$  and  $\dot{\varphi}(0) = L(\varphi)$ ; and  $A\varphi = \dot{\varphi}$  for  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ .

To obtain the information about the space  $C_\gamma^{\ominus\ominus}$ , we take  $L = 0$  in Equation (1); that is, we consider the equation

$$(2) \quad \dot{x} = 0.$$

Denote by  $S(t)$  the solution semigroup of this equation, and by  $B$  its infinitesimal generator. As claimed in the above,  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  have the same closure in  $C_\gamma^*$ , which we denote by  $C_\gamma^\ominus$ . Since the case that  $\gamma = 0$  is already treated in [5], we assume that  $\gamma \neq 0$  hereafter.

If  $\varphi$  is in  $C_\gamma$ , the function  $u$  defined by  $u(\theta) = e^{-\gamma\theta}\varphi(\theta)$  for  $\theta$  in  $(-\infty, 0]$  is in  $C_0$ . Set  $j_\gamma(\varphi) = u$ . Then  $j_\gamma$  is an isometric isomorphism between  $C_\gamma$  and  $C_0$ . We characterize  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  by the condition on  $u$ .

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Then  $\varphi$  in  $C_\gamma$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  if and only if  $u = j_\gamma(\varphi)$  is represented as

$$(3) \quad u(\theta) = \frac{1}{\gamma} v(0) - \int_{\theta}^0 v(s) ds \quad \text{for } \theta \text{ in } (-\infty, 0]$$

and for some function  $v$  in  $C_0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} v(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} \int_r^0 v(s) ds \text{ converges.}$$

**Proof.** A function  $\varphi$  in  $C_\gamma$  is continuously differentiable if and only if  $u$  is so, and  $\dot{\varphi}(\theta) = e^{-\gamma\theta} \{-\gamma u(\theta) + \dot{u}(\theta)\}$ . Thus  $a_\gamma(\dot{\varphi})$  exists if and only if  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} \{-\gamma u(\theta) + \dot{u}(\theta)\}$  exists; and  $\dot{\varphi}(0) = 0$  if and only if  $-\gamma u(0) + \dot{u}(0) = 0$ . Set  $v(\theta) = \dot{u}(\theta)$ . Then the last condition is equivalent that  $u$  is represented as in the above form (3). The condition that  $u$  is in  $C_0$  is equivalent that  $v$  has the second condition in (4). If  $u(\theta)$  and  $-\gamma u(\theta) + \dot{u}(\theta)$  converge as  $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$ , then  $u(\theta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$ . Thus we have the first condition for  $v$  in (4).

If  $\eta$  is a function of bounded variation on  $[-1, 0]$  and  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $[-1, 0]$ , we can write

$$\int_{-1}^0 d\eta(t)\varphi(t) = [\eta(-1+) - \eta(-1)]\varphi(-1) + \lim_{r \rightarrow -1+} \int_r^0 d\eta(t)\varphi(t),$$

where  $\eta(-1+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -1+} \eta(t)$ . Since  $i_0 : C_0 \rightarrow C$  is an

isomorphism,  $\iota_0^* : C^* \rightarrow C_0^*$  is also an isomorphism. Hence, from Riesz's representation theorem, for any  $u^*$  in  $C_0^*$  there exist a vector  $a$  in  $E^*$ , and a  $E^*$ -valued function  $f$ , of bounded variation on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , such that

$$\langle u^*, u \rangle = au(-\infty) + \int_{-\infty}^0 df(\theta)u(\theta) \quad \text{for } u \text{ in } C_0,$$

where  $u(-\infty) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} u(\theta)$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} \int_r^0$ . Of course, the norm of  $u^*$  is given by

$$\|u^*\| = \|a\| + \text{Var}(f, (-\infty, 0]) = \|a\| + \lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} \text{Var}(f, [r, 0]).$$

If  $f$  is normalized in the sense that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f$  is left continuous on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , the pair  $(a, f)$  is uniquely determined by  $u^*$ . Denote by NBV the class of those normalized functions. We may identify the space  $C_0^*$  with  $E^* \times \text{NBV}$ , and regard the isomorphism  $j_\gamma^*$  as the one from  $E^* \times \text{NBV}$  to  $C_\gamma^*$ :  $\langle j_\gamma^*(a, f), \varphi \rangle = \langle (a, f), u \rangle$ , where  $u = j_\gamma(\varphi)$ . Namely the space  $E^* \times \text{NBV}$  is the coordinate space of  $C_\gamma^*$ .

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Then an element  $(a, f)$  in  $E^* \times \text{NBV}$  is a coordinate of an element of  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $a$  is arbitrary,  $f(\theta)$  is absolutely continuous on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , and the equivalence class of  $\dot{f}(\theta)$  in  $L^1((-\infty, 0), E^*)$  contains a function which is in NBV and converges to 0 as  $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$ .

For such a  $(a, f)$  the coordinate  $(b, g)$  of  $B^*(j_\gamma^*(a, f))$  is given by

$$(5) \quad b = -\gamma a$$

$$(6) \quad g(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \theta = 0 \\ -\gamma[f(\theta) - f(0-)] - \dot{f}(\theta) & \text{for } \theta < 0, \end{cases}$$

where we read that  $\dot{f}$  stands for the function in NBV mentioned in the above.

**Proof.** Consider the condition

$$(7) \quad j_\gamma^*(a, f) \text{ is in } \mathfrak{D}(B^*) \text{ and } B^*(j_\gamma^*(a, f)) = j_\gamma^*(b, g),$$

for  $(a, f)$  and  $(b, g)$  in  $E^* \times \text{NBV}$ . By the definition of  $B^*$ , this means that, for every  $\varphi$  in  $\mathfrak{D}(B)$ ,  $\langle j_\gamma^*(a, f), B\varphi \rangle = \langle j_\gamma^*(b, g), \varphi \rangle$ , or  $\langle (a, f), -\gamma u + \dot{u} \rangle = \langle (b, g), u \rangle$ , where  $u = j_\gamma(\varphi)$ . The condition  $f, g \in \text{NBV}$  implies that  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ ; and the condition  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{D}(B)$  implies that  $\dot{u}(-\infty) = 0$ . From integration by parts we then have that

$$\langle (a, f), -\gamma u + \dot{u} \rangle = -[a - f(-\infty)]\gamma u(-\infty) + \int_{-\infty}^0 [\gamma f(\theta) d\theta + df(\theta)] \dot{u}(\theta)$$

$$\langle (b, g), u \rangle = [b - g(-\infty)]u(-\infty) - \int_{-\infty}^0 dg(\theta) \dot{u}(\theta).$$

Since  $u$  is represented as in (3), it holds that

$$u(-\infty) = \frac{1}{\gamma}v(0) - \int_{-\infty}^0 v(\theta)d\theta.$$

Hence we can write

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 [df(\theta) + \gamma f(\theta)d\theta]v(\theta) = -c\{\frac{1}{\gamma}v(0) - \int_{-\infty}^0 v(\theta)d\theta\} - \int_{-\infty}^0 dg(\theta)v(\theta),$$

where

$$c = \gamma(f(-\infty) - a) + g(-\infty) - b.$$

Furthermore, if we define a function  $h$  in NBV by

$$h(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \theta = 0 \\ \frac{c}{\gamma} & \theta < 0, \end{cases}$$

we have that

$$(8) \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 [df(\theta) + \gamma f(\theta)d\theta]v(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^0 [dh(\theta) + cd\theta - dg(\theta)]v(\theta).$$

Consequently Condition (7) is equivalent that Relation (8) holds for every  $v$  in  $C_0$  having Property (4). Since every function with compact support has this property for  $v$ , it follows that  $df(\theta) + \gamma f(\theta) = dh(\theta) + cd\theta - dg(\theta)$ , or

$$(9) \quad f(\theta) - \gamma \int_{\theta}^0 f(s) ds = h(\theta) + c\theta + \int_{\theta}^0 g(s) ds \quad \text{for } \theta \leq 0.$$

Notice that the functions in both sides are normalized.

Suppose that Equation (9) holds for  $f$  and  $g$  in NBV. Then  $f$  is locally absolutely continuous on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , which implies that

$$\text{Var}(f, [s, t]) = \int_s^t |\dot{f}(\theta)| d\theta \quad \text{for } -\infty < s < t < 0.$$

Since  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , it follows that  $|\dot{f}(\theta)|$  is integrable on  $(-\infty, 0)$ : that is,  $f$  is absolutely continuous on  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Furthermore, from Equation (9) we have that

$$(10) \quad \dot{f}(\theta) + \gamma f(\theta) = c - g(\theta) \quad \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, 0).$$

Since  $f(0-) = h(0-) = \frac{c}{\gamma}$  from Equation (9), we obtain Relation (6); and the solution  $f$  of Equation (10) is

$$(11) \quad f(\theta) = \frac{c}{\gamma} + \int_{\theta}^0 e^{-\gamma(\theta-s)} g(s) ds \quad \text{for } \theta < 0.$$

Suppose that  $\gamma > 0$ . Since  $f(-\infty)$  exists, we have that  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\gamma\theta} [f(\theta) - c/\gamma] = 0$ , which implies that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\gamma s} g(s) ds = 0.$$

Hence  $f$  is rewritten as

$$f(\theta) = \frac{c}{\gamma} - \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} e^{-\gamma(\theta-s)} g(s) ds = \frac{c}{\gamma} - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\gamma t} g(t+\theta) dt,$$

from which it follows that

$$(12) \quad f(-\infty) = \frac{c}{\gamma} - \frac{g(-\infty)}{\gamma}.$$

Suppose  $\gamma < 0$ . Writing the integral in (11) as

$$\int_{\theta}^0 e^{-\gamma(\theta-s)} g(s) ds = \left[ \int_{\theta}^N + \int_N^0 \right] e^{-\gamma(\theta-s)} g(s) ds \quad \theta < N < 0,$$

we have directly Relation (12), or

$$c = \gamma f(-\infty) + g(-\infty).$$

This relation and the definition of  $c$  imply Relation (5);

Equation (10) then becomes

$$\dot{f}(\theta) = -\gamma[f(\theta) - f(-\infty)] + g(\theta) - g(-\infty) \quad \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, 0).$$

This means that the equivalence class of  $\dot{f}$  in  $L^1((-\infty, 0), E^*)$  contain a function which is in NBV and converges to 0 as  $\theta$

$\rightarrow -\infty$ . Notice that such a function is unique for the equivalence class of  $\dot{f}$  since it is left continuous on  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $f$  has this property, and let  $k(\theta)$  be the function, in  $\text{NBV} \cap L^1((-\infty, 0), E^*)$ , such that  $\dot{f}(\theta) = k(\theta)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, 0)$  and that  $k(-\infty) = 0$ . Define  $g(\theta)$  by  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(\theta) = -\gamma[f(\theta) - f(0-)] - k(\theta)$  for  $\theta < 0$ . Then  $g$  is in  $\text{NBV}$  and  $\dot{f}(\theta) = -\gamma[f(\theta) - f(0-)] - g(\theta)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, 0)$ , which implies that

$$(13) \quad f(\theta) - f(0-) = -\gamma \int_0^\theta f(s) \, ds + \gamma \theta f(0-) - \int_0^\theta g(s) \, ds$$

for  $\theta < 0$ . Since  $g(-\infty) = -\gamma[f(-\infty) - f(0-)] - k(-\infty) = -\gamma[f(-\infty) - f(0-)]$ , we have that  $\gamma f(0-) = \gamma f(-\infty) + g(-\infty)$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  in  $E^*$  satisfy Relation (5), it then follows that  $\gamma f(0-) = \gamma[f(-\infty) - a] + g(-\infty) - b$ . Therefore relation (13) becomes Relation (9), as required.

Theorem 3 says that  $j_\gamma^*(a, f)$  is in  $\mathfrak{D}(B^*)$  if and only if  $f$  is represented as

$$(14) \quad f(\theta) = d + \int_\theta^0 k(s) \, ds \quad \text{for } \theta < 0,$$

and for some  $d$  in  $E^*$  and for some  $k$  in  $\text{NBV} \cap L^1((-\infty, 0), E^*)$  with  $k(-\infty) = 0$ . In this case the  $C_\gamma^*$  norm of  $j_\gamma^*(a, f)$  is given by

$$|j_{\gamma}^*(a, f)| = |a| + \text{Var}(f, (-\infty, 0)) = |a| + |d| + \int_{-\infty}^0 |k(s)| \, ds.$$

Thus we have the following result, which is also valid in the case that  $\gamma = 0$ , [5].

**Corollary 4.**

$$C_{\gamma}^{\Theta} \simeq E^* \times E^* \times L^1((-\infty, 0), E^*).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P.L. Butzer and H. Berens, "Semi-Groups of Operators and Approximation", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1967.
- [2] O. Diekmann, Perturbed dual semigroups and delay equations, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Report AM-R8604, 1986.
- [3] T. Hagemann and T. Naito, Functional differential equations with infinite delay on the space  $C_{\gamma}$ , Lecture Notes in Math., 1017, 1983, 207-214.
- [4] J. Hale and J. Kato, Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 21(1978), 11-41.
- [5] Y. Hino, S. Murakami and T. Naito, "Functional Differential Equations with Infinite Delay", to appear.
- [6] B. de Pagter, Characterizations of  $\Theta$ -reflexivity, preprint.