

Diversity, resource utilization, and phenology of fruiting bodies of
litter-decomposing macrofungi in subtropical, temperate, and subalpine forests

Takashi Osono

T. Osono

Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Otsu, Shiga 520-2113 Japan

e-mail: tosono@ecology.kyoto-u.ac.jp

AbstractThe diversity, vegetative and reproductive characteristics, and phenology
of litter decomposing macrofungi (LDM) were compared between humus forms
and climatic regions. Fruiting bodies of LDM were examined for the forest floor of
subtropical (ST), cool temperate (CT), and subalpine (SA) forests in Japan. Field
surveys during one growing season yielded 35, 32, and 18 species in ST, CT, and
SA, respectively. Species richness was generally higher in mull than in moder
humus and in warmer than in cooler climate. A total of 10 fungal families were
observed, and species in the Mycenaceae dominated in the LDM assemblages at

all study sites. A larger number of species fruited on deeper F layers of the forest floor in SA than in ST, where 74% of species fruited directly on leaf litter. This observation was consistent with the analysis of radiocarbon content in fruiting bodies, implying that LDM tended to utilize older carbon accumulated at deeper layers of the forest floor in cooler climates. Seasonal changes in the fruiting frequency over a growing season exhibited similar two-peak patterns for all the study sites, coinciding with the periods of rainfall and increasing and decreasing air temperatures in early summer and autumn, respectively, but the fruiting period extended longer in warmer than in cooler climate.

Keywords Climate · Decomposition · *Mycena* · Radiocarbon ·
Seasonal changes

Introduction

Litter-decomposing macrofungi (LDM) are major components of the diversity of soil organisms in terrestrial ecosystems and play central roles in the

35 decomposition of structural and soluble components in litter that often limit
36 carbon and nutrient cycling in soil (Osono 2007; van der Wal et al. 2013). A suite of
37 LDM with the ability to decompose lignin and other recalcitrant compounds are of
38 particular importance because the colonization of litter materials by these fungi
39 often stimulates the turnover of organic matter and nutrients in soil (Steffen et al.
40 2007; Valášková et al. 2007; Osono et al. 2011a). Fruiting bodies of LDM provide
41 reliable and useful information about their taxonomy, diversity, and reproduction
42 and have been surveyed for their diversity (e.g. Schmit et al. 1999; Mueller et al.
43 2007) and seasonal patterns (Murakami 1989; Yamashita and Hijii 2004) and for
44 the effects on them of vegetation (Hansen and Tyler 1992; Lange 1993; Såstad
45 1995), soil conditions (Tyler 1985; Rastin et al. 1990), and elevational gradient
46 (Gómez-Hernández et al. 2012). Moreover, the observation of vegetative mycelia
47 at the base of fruiting bodies can often yield insights into the substrate utilization
48 and decomposing ability of LDM (Osono et al. 2011a). Currently, however, few
49 studies have investigated the diversity, vegetative and reproductive
50 characteristics, and phenology of LDM simultaneously and compared these
51 between humus forms and climatic regions. It is hypothesized that the pattern of

diversity, substrate utilization, and phenology of fruiting bodies of LDM change along gradients of soil conditions and climate.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate fruiting bodies of LDM emerging from the forest floor of subtropical, cool temperate, and subalpine forests in Japan. Field sampling of fruiting bodies over growing seasons yielded information about the structure, diversity, and species composition of LDM assemblages and seasonal patterns of occurrence. Each LDM species was recorded for the soil layer from which its fruiting body emerged to examine the substrate its vegetative mycelia utilized. Radiocarbon (^{14}C) contents of fruiting bodies were measured for major LDM species to estimate the age of carbon (i.e. time since death of plant litter) utilized by these species. The diameter of the pileus and the length of the stipe were measured for fruiting bodies found in the three forest soils, and possible roles of the size variation of fruiting bodies in the seasonal patterns of fruiting bodies were discussed.

Materials and methods

69 Study site

70

71 Samples were collected from three sites in Japan: a subtropical forest (ST), a cool
72 temperate forest (CT), and a subalpine forest (SA). ST was located in Okinawa,
73 southern Japan. CT was located in Kyoto, Japan. In CT, two study plots were
74 established on the upper and lower parts of a northwest-facing slope
75 (approximately 200 m long). SA was located on Mt. Ontake, Gifu, Japan. Details
76 of the location, climatic conditions, and vegetation are given in Osono (submitted).
77 In summary, the three sites differed in mean annual temperature (22°C, 9°C, and
78 2°C in ST, CT, and SA, respectively), seasonal patterns of change in air
79 temperature, and the duration of the growing season, but they received similar
80 amounts of precipitation annually. The study sites experience a rainy season from
81 May to June in ST and from June to July in CT and SA. Snow covers the forest
82 floor of CT from December to April and that of SA from mid-November to early
83 June. Table 1 shows properties of the forest floor of the study sites. The
84 accumulation of forest floor material, in terms of the depth and the mass, was in
85 the order: ST, CT (lower) < CT (upper) < SA, whereas the order was generally

reversed for the leaf fall mass. Consequently, the turnover time of the forest floor was lower in SA and CT (lower) (less than two years) than in CT (upper) (10.4 years) and in SA (29.1 years).

Study plot and field survey

A study plot of 50×10 m (500 m^2) was laid out in each of ST, CT (upper), CT (lower), and SA sites and was divided into 125 grids of 2×2 m. The study area of 500 m^2 was found to be large enough to describe species richness of macrofungi in CT sites, according to Okabe (1986).

Fruiting bodies of LDM were collected in the study plots, seven times at 1- to 2-month intervals from March 2007 to January 2008 in ST, nine times at 2- to 4-week intervals from May to November 2001 in CT, and five times at 1-month intervals from June to October 2008 in SA. On each sampling occasion, all fruiting bodies encountered on the surface of the forest floor were recorded, excepting obviously immature or rotting ones. Records were kept of taxa and of grid number and soil horizons (L layer, the border between L and F layers, F layer, or A layer)

103 from which the fruiting bodies emerged (see Table S1 in Electronic
104 Supplementary Material). Fruiting bodies occurring on logs, twigs, or roots that
105 were fallen or buried were not recorded. Ascomycetes were omitted, but the
106 Xylariaceae on leaf litter were included because of their ligninolytic activity
107 (Osono et al. 2011b). In October and November 2011, fruiting bodies were
108 measured for the diameter of their pileus and length of their stipe at the three
109 sites.

110 Identification was primarily made macroscopically after Imazeki et al.
111 (1988), Imazeki and Hongo (1987, 1989), and Hongo (1994). Small fruiting bodies
112 of *Mycena* and *Marasmius* that were difficult to distinguish and identify at the
113 species level in the field were classified at the genus or section level, which was
114 referred to as species in the present study for the sake of simplicity (but see
115 Discussion). Tissues of some fruiting bodies were further analyzed for the DNA
116 sequence of amplicons of rDNA ITS region obtained using primers ITS5 and ITS4
117 (White et al. 1990) and of the 28S rRNA gene D1/D2 region using primers D1
118 (Peterson 2000) and NL4 (O'Donnell 1993), according to the method of Hirose and
119 Osono (2006). The sequences determined were compared with the available rDNA

120 sequences in the GenBank database by means of BLAST+ (Camacho et al. 2009)
121 and assigned taxonomically. The data of molecular analyses will be given in a
122 future paper.

123 The frequency of occurrence of LDM was calculated as a percentage of
124 incidences based on the number of grids in which the fruiting body was
125 encountered relative to the total number of grids (125) at each study site. Relative
126 frequency of an individual species was calculated as the percentage of its
127 frequency of occurrence with respect to the grand sum of the frequency of
128 occurrence of all species at each study site. Data of fruiting bodies of mycorrhizal
129 fungi were excluded from the following analyses.

130

131 Radiocarbon analysis

132

133 Samples of fruiting bodies were ground in a laboratory mill to make particles that
134 would pass through a 0.5-mm screen and sent to the Institute of Accelerator
135 Analysis Ltd, Kanagawa, Japan, for accelerator mass spectrometry
136 measurements of radiocarbon. The methods are described in Hyodo et al. (2006).

Radiocarbon values were reported as $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ (‰), which is the part per-thousand deviation from the activity of nineteenth century wood, and corrected for the fractionation using stable carbon (C) isotope ratios of the samples.

The method to estimate the carbon age of fruiting bodies of LDM followed Hyodo et al. (2006). The carbon age of fruiting bodies of fungi was defined as the time elapsed since C in their substrates was fixed from atmospheric CO_2 by primary producers. $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values of samples were compared with those of atmospheric CO_2 recorded at Schauinsland, Germany, for 1976-97 (Levin and Kromer 1997). I estimated the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values of atmospheric CO_2 after 1997 by extrapolation of the exponential function: $\Delta^{14}\text{C}(t) = 417 \times \exp(-t/16.0)$, where t is the year after 1974 (Levin and Kromer 1997). This method yielded two estimates of the year of C fixation for the measured $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values of fruiting bodies, one before and another after the peak of bomb- $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ in mid-1960s, and hence two carbon ages (Hyodo et al. 2006). I adopted the carbon ages estimated from the year of C fixation after the peak bomb- $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$, because these estimated carbon ages were compatible with the turnover rates of the forest floor (1.5 to 29.1 years in the study sites, Table 1).

154

155 Statistical analysis

156

157 The observed number of LDM species at each study site was denoted as S_{obs} . It
158 was possible that S_{obs} be underestimated when the abundance of fruiting bodies of
159 LDM encountered (i.e., the sampling effort) was low at any study site, compared
160 to other sites. To avoid this, I used an individual-based Coleman rarefaction curve
161 (Colwell and Coddington 1994) to depict the cumulative number of species versus
162 the observation of fruiting bodies (Fig. S2 in Electronic Supplementary Material).
163 In the present study, the number of observation of fruiting bodies was variable
164 among the study sites, ranging from 77 at CT (upper) to 620 observations at ST.
165 Thus, the study sites were compared for the estimated numbers of LDM species at
166 77 observations (denoted as S_{est}). Calculations were performed with R version
167 3.0.2 for Mac (R Development Core Team 2009) and its vegan package (Oksanen
168 2013).

169 Simpson's diversity index (D) and equitability (E) were calculated in the
170 following equations (Osono et al. 2002): $D = 1 / \sum P_i^2$, $E = D / S_{obs}$, where P_i was a

proportion of the frequency of occurrence of i th species to the sum of frequency of all species.

Generalized linear models (GLMs) were used with a Gaussian distribution to compare the size of fruiting bodies of LDM between the study sites. The GLMs were performed with the *glm* function and with the *glht* function of the R multcomp package for multiple comparisons with Tukey's test.

Results

Species richness and taxonomic composition

A total of 35, 32, and 18 species of LDM were observed (S_{obs}) in ST, CT, and SA, respectively; and in CT, 25 and 11 species were observed at lower and upper slopes, respectively (see ESM; summarized in Table 2). The number of singleton species (i.e. species encountered in only one grid) accounted for 17% to 49% of the total number of species, in the order: ST > CT (lower) > CT (upper) > SA (Table 2). Simpson's diversity index was in the order: ST > SA > CT (lower) > CT (upper),

and equitability was in the order: CT (upper) > SA > ST > CT (lower) (Table 2).

Rarefaction analysis showed that the estimated number of LDM species (S_{est}) were higher in mull [ST and CT (lower)] than in moder humus [CT (upper) and SA] (Table 2).

A total of 10 fungal families were observed: five, seven, five, and five families in ST, CT (lower), CT (upper), and SB, respectively (Table 2). *Mycena* species in the Mycenaceae dominated in the LDM assemblages at each study site in terms of the number of species (27% to 50% of the total number of species; Table 2) and the relative frequency (Fig. 1). The frequencies of occurrence of two major *Mycena* species reached more than 90% (i.e. the fruiting bodies of these species occurred in more than 90% of the 125 grids) in ST and between 13.6% to 60.8% in CT and SB (see ESM). These major *Mycena* species were followed by species in Marasmiaceae in ST and CT (upper), by species in Agaricaceae in CT (lower), and by species in Hymenogasteraceae in SA (Table 2; Fig. 1).

Soil layer from which macrofungi fruited

205 Soil layer from which LDM fruited differed among the study sites: more number of
206 LDM species that fruited from deeper layers of the forest floor at cooler climate.
207 That is, 74% (26/35) of species in ST fruited on the surface L layer (i.e. emerging
208 directly on leaf litter), whereas 73% to 92% from the border between L and F
209 layers in CT, and 78% from the F layer in SA (Table 2) did so. Those that fruited
210 on L layer were 'component-restricted' sensu Osono (2007) in that individual
211 mycelia were limited in extent by the physical boundaries of the litter component
212 they occupied. Conversely, those that fruited on the L-F border and F layer were
213 'component-non-restricted' in that the entire forest floor, rather than an
214 individual litter component, provided a habitat for the fungi.

215

216 Radiocarbon content

217

218 The mean $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ values of fruiting bodies ranged between 48.2‰ and 139.7‰ (Table
219 3), indicative of the fungal uptake of bomb- $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ that was primarily derived from
220 the litter that these LDM utilized (Hyodo et al. 2006). The carbon age of fruiting
221 bodies from ST ranged from 2.8 to 9.0 years. These values suggested that these

222 LDM utilized leaves that died at least 1.8 to 8.0 years previously, because tree
223 leaves in ST were mostly evergreen and had leaf longevity of more than one year.
224 In contrast, the carbon age of fruiting bodies from CT ranged from 3.6 to 11.4
225 years, suggestive of the utilization of deciduous leaves that died as long as 11.4
226 years before. The carbon age of fruiting bodies from SA reached as old as 20.3
227 years, suggestive of the utilization of evergreen leaves (maximum ages of 6 to 11
228 years, Mori and Takeda 2004) that had died at least 10 years before. These results,
229 together with the results of direct observation of fruiting bodies, suggested that
230 LDM tended to utilize older carbon accumulated at deeper layers of the forest
231 floor in cooler climates.

232

233 Size and phenology of fruiting bodies

234

235 The mean size of the fruiting bodies, measured as the diameter of pileus and
236 length of stipe, was significantly different among the study sites (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$),
237 in the order: CT > SA > ST (Table 4). This was accounted for by the difference in
238 size of the major *Mycena* species in these study sites (Table 4).

The frequency of occurrence of fruiting bodies was generally higher in ST than in CT or SA (Fig. 2). Seasonal changes in the frequency over a growing season exhibited similar two-peak patterns for all the study sites: a peak during the rainy season in early summer and another in autumn (Fig. 2). That is, the peaks were found in June and in September to January at ST, in June and in September to November at CT, and in July and in September-October at SA. The number of LDM species followed similar seasonal patterns as the frequency of occurrence of fruiting bodies, except that there was a rapid increase in the number of species in June in ST.

The major LDM species differed in the seasonal patterns of their frequency of occurrence over a growing season (Fig. 3). In ST, fruiting bodies of some major species, such as *Mycena* sp.ST1 and *Marasmius* sp.ST1, occurred relatively constantly over the growing season, whereas *Mycena* sp.ST2, *Xylaria* sp.ST1, and *Crinipellis* sp.ST1 displayed fruiting peaks in June and/or in September to January. In CT and SA, the frequencies of major species increased once in autumn (*My. polygramma* in CT and *My. epipterygia*, *G. atkinsoniana*, and *Mycena* sp.SA1 in SA) or twice (in early summer and in autumn) (*My. filopes*

in CT and *My. aurantiidisca* in SA) over the growing season.

Discussion

The numbers of species of LDM observed in CT and SA (Table 2) were within the range reported previously in temperate and boreal forests (Tyler 1985; Hintikka 1988; Villeneuve et al. 1989; Brunner et al. 1992; Miyamoto et al. 2000; Outerbridge 2002; Richard et al. 2004; Gates et al. 2011; O'Hanlon and Harrington 2012), despite the short survey period (one growing season) at each study site. The dominance of *Mycena* in terms of the number of species and the frequency of occurrence is consistent with these previous reports. López-Quintero et al. (2012) also observed the occurrence of *Mycena* and *Marasmius* species in Amazon tropical rainforests, but comparative studies on the diversity of LDM in tropical and subtropical forests have been relatively scarce, especially in Asian tropical regions (Mueller et al. 2007).

The number of observed and estimated species and Simpson's diversity index of LDM were generally higher in mull than in moder humus (Table 2). This

was evident in CT, where the richness of LDM was higher at the lower (mull) than the upper (moder) slope. The two most frequent species were common to the two sites, so that infrequent species accounted for the low similarity of species composition (Table 2, Fig. 1). Rastin et al. (1990) also compared LDM between the lower and upper slope of a spruce forest in Germany and reported that the species composition was generally similar between those parts, in contrast to the results of the present study. This discrepancy may be partly due to the slope length [30 m in Rastin et al. (1990) versus 200 m in the present study]. The causal factors for the higher LDM richness in mull of CT remain unclear, but the relatively favorable moisture condition at the lower slope could possibly favor the fruiting and co-occurrence of more LDM species on the forest floor.

The LDM diversity was generally higher in warmer than in cooler climates, suggesting a climatic gradient of diversity. Similar climatic gradients of fungi have been found for litter decomposing microfungi (Osono 2011) and foliar endophytic fungi (Arnold and Lutzoni 2007; Ikeda et al. 2014). At least two explanations are possible for the putative higher diversity of fruiting bodies of LDM in warmer locations. First, the warmer condition throughout the year and

290 lack of snow cover period in winter of ST can favor the fruiting (and possibly, the
291 co-existence) of multiple LDM. This is illustrated in the fruiting phenology of
292 major LDM species (Fig. 3): fruiting bodies occurred throughout the year or with
293 multiple peaks in ST, whereas in CT and SA they peaked once or twice over the
294 growing season. Such differences may be partly due to suitability of the climatic
295 conditions for establishment, growth, and fruiting of more LDM species in ST.

296 Secondly, differences in the quality of resources can also affect the
297 diversity of LDM. I found that LDM from a cooler climate produced fruiting bodies
298 that originated from deeper soil layers than those from a warmer climate (Table 2).
299 In accordance with this, LDM from a cooler climate appeared to utilize more aged
300 dead carbon than those from a warmer climate (Table 3). Given that more
301 decomposed materials in deeper layers contain less readily available organic
302 carbon sources, such as non-lignified holocellulose and soluble carbohydrates
303 (Berg 1986; Osono et al. 2003), the utilization of resources at deeper layers in a
304 cooler climate may be unfavorable for the growth and fruiting of LDM. Osono
305 (2011) demonstrated that non-ligninolytic microfungi are major components of
306 fungal assemblages on recently fallen litter in a cooler climate, suggesting that

ligninolytic LDM are less competitive for readily available resources in the surface litter in a cooler climate (Osono 2007). The dominance in SA of conifers, whose needles are rich in secondary compounds that inhibit the growth of LDM (Bağci and Diğrak 1996), can also affect the colonization of the L layer by LDM.

Two peaks were found for the occurrence of fruiting bodies over a growing season at all three climates, but the fruiting period extended longer at warmer than at cooler climates (Fig. 2). The two peaks coincided with the period of rainfall and with the increasing and decreasing air temperatures in early summer and autumn, respectively, at the three forest sites. Similar one- or two-peak patterns of fruiting of LDM have commonly been found in temperate forests (Okabe 1983; Straatsma et al. 2001; Yamashita and Hijii 2004; Gates et al. 2011).

The fruiting phenology of major *Mycena* species may also be associated with the size of fruiting bodies. For example, *Mycena* species with smaller fruiting bodies fruited more frequently over a growing season than those with larger ones; *M. filopes* in CT and *M. aurantiidisca* in SA with smaller fruiting bodies showed two peaks, whereas *M. polygramma* in CT and *M. epipterygia* in SA with larger fruiting bodies showed only one peak in autumn (Fig. 3, Table 4), and even

324 smaller *Mycena* sp. ST1 and ST2 in ST fruited throughout the growing season.
325 Because the production of larger fruiting bodies should require more resources to
326 be utilized, the size of fruiting bodies can set a limit on the reproduction. This
327 discussion is obviously speculative, however, as few data have been available
328 regarding the population structure and reproductive biology of individual LDM
329 species. More studies are needed to examine the life history strategy of LDM,
330 especially in tropical and subtropical regions.

331

332 **Acknowledgments** I thank Dr. D. Hirose and Dr. T. Miyamoto for help with
333 the identification of macrofungi; Dr. F. Hyodo for useful discussion of radiocarbon
334 analysis; Dr. A. Takashima and staff at the Yona Experimental Forest, University
335 of the Ryukyus for help with fieldwork at ST; Dr. Y. Fukasawa and staff at Ashiu
336 Experimental Forest, Kyoto University for help with fieldwork at CT; and Dr.
337 Elizabeth Nakajima for critical reading of the manuscript. This study received
338 partial financial support from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science,
339 and Technology of Japan (MEXT) (No. 19780114), The Sumitomo Foundation,
340 Nissan Global Foundation, Nippon Life Inst. Foundation, and the Grants for

341 Excellent Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan (12-01) to Kyoto University.

342

343 **References**

344

345 Arnold AE, Lutzoni F (2007) Diversity and host range of foliar endophytes: are
346 tropical leaves biodiversity hotspots? Ecology 88:541-549

347 Bağci E, Diğrak M (1996) Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of some *Abies*
348 (Fir) species from Turkey. Flavour Fragr J 11:251-256

349 Berg B (1986) Nutrient release from litter and humus in coniferous forest soils - a
350 mini review. Scand J For Res 1:359-369

351 Brunner I, Brunner F, Laursen GA (1992) Characterization and comparison of
352 macrofungal communities in an *Alnus tenuifolia* and an *Alnus crispa*
353 forest in Alaska. Can J Bot 70:1247-1258

354 Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden
355 TL (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics
356 10:421

357 Cowell RK, Coddington JA (1994) Estimating terrestrial biodiversity through

358 extrapolation. Phil Trans R Soc B 345:101-118
 359 Fukasawa Y, Katsumata S, Mori AS, Osono T, Takeda H (2014) Accumulation and
 360 decay dynamics of coarse woody debris in a Japanese old-growth
 361 subalpine coniferous forest. Ecol Res 29:257-269
 362 Gates GM, Mohammed C, Wardlaw T, Davidson NJ, Ratkowsky DA (2011)
 363 Diversity and phenology of the macrofungal assemblages supported by
 364 litter in a tall, wet *Eucalyptus obliqua* forest in southern Tasmania,
 365 Australia. Fungal Ecol 4:68-75
 366 Gómez-Hernández M, Williams-Linera G, Guevara R, Lodge DJ (2012) Patterns of
 367 macromycete community assemblage along an elevation gradient:
 368 options for fungal gradient and metacommunity analyses. Biodivers
 369 Conserv 21:2247-2268
 370 Hansen PA, Tyler G (1992) Statistical evaluation of tree species affinity and soil
 371 preference of the macrofungal flora in south Swedish beech, oak and
 372 hornbeam forest. Crypt Bot 2:355-361
 373 Hintikka V (1988) On the macromycete flora in oligotrophic pine forests of
 374 different ages in south Finland. Acta Bot Fennica 136:89-94

- 375 Hirose D, Osono T (2006) Development and seasonal variations of *Lophodermium*
376 populations on *Pinus thunbergii* needle litter. Mycoscience 47:242-247
- 377 Hongo T (1994) Fungi. Yama to Keikoku Sha, Tokyo, Japan (in Japanese)
- 378 Hyodo F, Tayasu I, Wada E (2006) Estimation of the longevity of C in terrestrial
379 detrital food webs using radiocarbon (^{14}C): how old are diets in termites?
380 Functional Ecol 20:385-393
- 381 Ikeda A, Matsuoka S, Masuya H, Mori AS, Hirose D, Osono T (2014) Comparison
382 of the diversity, composition, and host recurrence of xylariaceous
383 endophytes in subtropical, cool temperate, and subboreal regions in
384 Japan. Popul Ecol in press
- 385 Imazeki R, Hongo T (1987) Colored Illustration of Mushrooms of Japan. Vol. I.
386 Hoikusha, Tokyo, Japan (in Japanese)
- 387 Imazeki R, Hongo T (1989) Colored Illustration of Mushrooms of Japan. Vol. II.
388 Hoikusha, Tokyo, Japan (in Japanese)
- 389 Imazeki R, Otani Y, Hongo T (1988) Fungi of Japan. Yama to Keikoku Sha, Tokyo,
390 Japan (in Japanese)
- 391 Lange M (1993) Maromycetes under twelve tree species in ten plantations on

392 various soil types in Denmark. Opera Bot 120:1-53
 393 Levin I, Kromer B (1997) Twenty years of atmospheric $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ observations at
 394 Schauinsland station, Germany. Radiocarbon 39:205-218
 395 López-Quintero CA, Straatsma G, Franco-Molano AE, Boekhout T (2012)
 396 Macrofungal diversity in Colombian Amazon forests varies with regions
 397 and regimes of disturbance. Biodivers Conserv 21:2221-2243
 398 Miyamoto T, Igarashi T, Takahashi K (2000) Lignin-degrading ability of
 399 litter-decomposing basidiomycetes from Picea forests of Hokkaido.
 400 Mycoscience 41:105-110
 401 Mori A, Takeda H (2004) Functional relationships between crown morphology and
 402 within-crown characteristics of understory saplings of three codominant
 403 conifers in a subalpine forest in central Japan. Tree Physiol 24:661-670
 404 Mueller GM, Schmit JP, Leacock PR, Buyck B, Cifuentes J, Desjardin DE, Halling
 405 RE, Hjortstam K, Iturriaga T, Larsson KH, Lodge DJ, May TW, Minter D,
 406 Rajchenberg M, Redhead SA, Ryvarden L, Trappe JM, Watling R, Wu Q
 407 (2007) Global diversity and distribution of macrofungi. Biodivers Conserv
 408 16:37-48

- 409 Murakami Y (1989) Spatial changes of species composition and seasonal fruiting
410 of the Agaricales in *Castanopsis cuspidata* forest. Trans Mycol Soc Japan
411 30:89-103
- 412 O'Donnell K (1993) *Fusarium* and its near relatives. In: Reynolds DR, Taylor JW
413 (eds) The fungal holomorph: mitotic, meiotic and pleomorphic speciation
414 in fungal systematics. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 225-233
- 415 O'Hanlon R, Harrington TJ (2012) Macrofungal diversity and ecology in four Irish
416 forest type. Fungal Ecol 5:499-508
- 417 Okabe H (1983) Mycosociological research of Agaricales in natural forests (II)
418 Seasonal changes on each stand and life form. Bull Kyoto Univ Forest
419 53:20-32 (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 420 Okabe H (1986) Ecological study of distribution of fungi within forests. PhD thesis,
421 Kyoto University, Kyoto (in Japanese)
- 422 Oksanen J (2013) Multivariate analysis of ecological communities in R: vegan
423 tutorial. <http://cc.oulu.fi/~jarioksa/opetus/metodi/vegantutor.pdf>
424 (accessed 14.5.14)
- 425 Osono T (2007) Ecology of ligninolytic fungi associated with leaf litter

426 decomposition. Ecol Res 22:955-974

427 Osono T (2011) Diversity and functioning of fungi associated with leaf litter

428 decomposition in Asian forests of different climatic regions. Fungal Ecol

429 4:375-385

430 Osono T. Mycelial biomass in the forest floor and soil of subtropical, temperate,

431 and subalpine forests. J For Res:submitted

432 Osono T, Hobara S, Fujiwara S, Koba K, Kameda K (2002) Abundance, diversity,

433 and species composition of fungal communities in a temperate forest

434 affected by excreta of the Great Cormorant *Phalacrocorax carbo*. Soil Biol

435 Biochem 34:1537-1547

436 Osono T, Ono Y, Takeda H (2003) Fungal ingrowth on forest floor and

437 decomposing needle litter of *Chamaecyparis obtusa* in relation to

438 resource availability and moisture condition. Soil Biol Biochem

439 35:1423-1431

440 Osono T, Hobara S, Hishinuma T, Azuma JI (2011a) Selective lignin

441 decomposition and nitrogen mineralization in forest litter colonized by

442 *Clitocybe* sp. Eur J Soil Biol 47:114-121

443 Osono T, To-Anun C, Hagiwara Y, Hirose D (2011b) Decomposition of wood, petiole
 444 and leaf litter by *Xylaria* species from northern Thailand. Fun Ecol
 445 4:210-218

446 Outerbridge RAM (2002) Macrofungus ecology and diversity under different
 447 conifer monocultures on southern Vancouver Island. PhD thesis,
 448 University of Victoria

449 Peterson SW (2000) Phylogenetic analysis of *Penicillium* species based on ITS and
 450 lsu-rDNA nucleotide sequences. In: Samson RA, Pitt JI (eds) Integration
 451 of modern taxonomic methods for *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus*
 452 classification. Harwood, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, pp 163-178

453 Rastin N, Schlechte G, Hüttermann A (1990) Soil macrofungi and some soil
 454 biological, biochemical and chemical investigations on the upper and
 455 lower slope of a spruce forest. Soil Biol Biochem 22:1039-1047

456 R Development Core Team (2009) R: a language and environment for statistical
 457 computing. <http://www.r-project.org/> (accessed 14.5.14)

458 Richard F, Moreau PA, Selosse MA, Gardes M (2004) Diversity and fruiting
 459 patterns of ectomycorrhizal and saprobic fungi in an old-growth

460 Mediterranean forest dominated by *Quercus ilex* L. Can J Bot
 461 82:1711-1729
 462 Såstad SM (1995) Fungi - vegetation relationships in a *Pinus sylvestris* forest in
 463 central Norway. Can J Bot 73:807-816
 464 Schmit JP, Murphy JF, Mueller GM (1999) Macrofungal diversity of a temperate
 465 oak forest: a test of species richness estimators. Can J Bot 77:1014-1027
 466 Steffen KT, Cajthaml T, Šnajdr J, Baldrian P (2007) Differential degradation of
 467 oak (*Quercus petraea*) leaf litter by litter-decomposing basidiomycetes.
 468 Res Microbiol 158:447-455
 469 Straatsma G, Ayer F, Egli S (2001) Species richness, abundance, and phenology of
 470 fungal fruit bodies over 21 years in a Swiss forest plot. Mycol Res
 471 105:515-523
 472 Takeda H, Kaneko N (1988) Patterns of soil humus accumulation in forests. I.
 473 Mull and moder types humus in a broad-leaved forest. Bull Kyoto Univ
 474 Forest 60:33-45 (in Japanese with English abstract)
 475 Tian X, Takeda H, Ando T (1997) Application of a rapid thin section method for
 476 observations on decomposing litter in mor humus form in a subalpine

477 coniferous forest. Ecol Res 12:289-300
 478 Tsukamoto J (1996) Soil macro-invertebrates and litter disappearance in a
 479 Japanese mixed deciduous forest and comparison with European
 480 deciduous forests and tropical rainforests. Ecol Res 11:35-50
 481 Tyler G (1985) Macrofungal flora of Swedish beech forest related to soil organic
 482 matter and acidity characteristics. For Ecol Manag 10:13-29
 483 Valášková V, Šnajdr J, Bittner B, Cajthaml T, Merhautová V, Hofrichter M,
 484 Baldrian P (2007) Production of lignocellulose-degrading enzymes and
 485 degradation of leaf litter by saprotrophic basidiomycetes isolated from a
 486 *Quercus petraea* forest. Soil Biol Biochem 39:2651-2660
 487 Van der Wal A, Geydan TD, Kuyper TW, de Boer W (2013) A thready affair:
 488 linking fungal diversity and community dynamics to terrestrial
 489 decomposition processes. FEMS Microbiol Rev 37:477-494
 490 Villeneuve N, Grandtner MM, Fortin JA (1989) Frequency and diversity of
 491 ectomycorrhizal and saprophytic macrofungi in the Laurentide
 492 Mountains of Quebec. Can J Bot 67:2616-2629
 493 White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing

494 of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand
 495 DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) PCR Protocols: a Guide to Methods and
 496 Applications. Academic Press, New York, USA, pp 315-322
 497 Xu X, Tokashiki Y, Enoki T, Hirata E (1998a) Characteristics of nutrient
 498 accumulation in forest floor under evergreen broadleaved forests in
 499 Okinawa Island. Sci Bull Fac Agr Univ Ryukyus 45:185-193
 500 Xu X, Enoki T, Tokashiki Y, Hirata E (1998b) Litterfall and the nutrient returns in
 501 evergreen broadleaved forests in Northern Okinawa Island. Sci Bull Fac
 502 Agr Univ Ryukyus 45:195-208
 503 Yamashita S, Hijii N (2004) Relationships between seasonal appearance and
 504 longevity of fruitbodies of Agaricales and meteorological factors in a
 505 Japanese red pine forest. J For Res 9:165-171

Osono Table 1

Table 1. Forest floor and field survey of fruiting bodies in the study sites.

Site	ST	CT	SA
Humus type	Mull	Mull (lower), Moder (upper) ^a	Moder ^b
Depth of L layer (cm) ^c	1.1 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.3
Depth of FH layer (cm) ^c	1.0 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.4	13.4 ± 1.2
Forest floor mass (Mg/ha)	12.0 ^d	7.7 (lower) 33.3 (upper) ^e	104.6 ^f
Leaf fall mass (Mg/ha/yr)	7.95 ^d	4.10 (lower) 3.20 (upper) ^e	3.59 ^f
Turnover time (yr) ^g	1.5	1.9 (lower) 10.4 (upper)	29.1

^aTakeda and Kaneko (1988). ^bTian et al. (1997). ^cValues are means ± standard errors (n=20). Measurement was carried out in the three study sites in October 2012. Values of CT were from the lower slope. ^dXu et al. (1998a, 1998b). ^eTsukamoto (1996). ^fFukasawa et al. (2014). ^gTurnover time = forest floor mass / annual leaf fall mass.

Table 2. Assemblage structure and family composition of macrofungi and the soil layer from which fruiting bodies occurred. Numbers of macrofungal species are indicated for fungal families and the litter layers. Numbers in parentheses indicate the proportion relative to the observed number of species. Values of S_{est} indicate means $\pm$ standard deviations. See text for S_{est} .

	ST	CT (lower)	CT (upper)	SA
Diversity				
Observed number of species (S_{obs})	35	25	11	18
Singleton species	17 (49)	11 (44)	4 (36)	3 (17)
Simpson's D	7.68	4.47	3.40	4.86
Equitability	0.22	0.18	0.31	0.27
Estimated number of species (S_{est})	14.2 $\pm$ 2.1	17.2 $\pm$ 2.0	11.0 $\pm$ 0.0	11.9 $\pm$ 1.7
Family composition				
Mycenaceae	13 (37)	10 (40)	3 (27)	9 (50)
Marasmiaceae	12 (34)	3 (12)	3 (27)	2 (11)
Agaricaceae	3 (9)	6 (24)	2 (18)	1 (6)
Tricholomataceae	5 (14)	2 (8)	0 (0)	3 (17)
Strophariaceae	0 (0)	1 (4)	2 (18)	0 (0)
Psathyrellaceae	0 (0)	2 (8)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Pluteaceae	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hygrophoraceae	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (9)	0 (0)
Hymenogasteraceae	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6)
Xylariaceae	1 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Unidentified	1 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (11)
Soil layer				
L layer	26 (74)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
L-F border	9 (26)	23 (92)	8 (73)	3 (17)
F layer	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (9)	14 (78)
A layer	0 (0)	2 (8)	2 (18)	1 (6)

Osono Table 3

Table 3. Radiocarbon content in fruiting bodies of macrofungi. Samples from CT were from the lower slope.

	Species	Soil layer	Collection date	Laboratory code	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	$\Delta^{14}\text{C}$	Carbon age (yr)
ST	<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST1	L layer	Apr 11	IAAA-111556	-27.3 ± 0.5	48.2 ± 2.8	2.8
	<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST1	L layer	Oct 11	IAAA-111557	-30.4 ± 0.5	52.0 ± 3.0	4.5
	<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST4	L-F border	Oct 11	IAAA-111558	-22.9 ± 0.4	68.6 ± 2.7	9.0
CT	<i>Mycena polygramma</i>	L-F border	Oct 01	IAAA-81685	-25.5 ± 0.3	132.6 ± 3.7	9.5
	<i>Mycena amygdalina</i>	L-F border	Nov 11	IAAA-111554	-20.2 ± 0.4	79.6 ± 2.8	11.4
	<i>Gymnopus peronatus</i>	L-F border	Nov 01	IAAA-111555	-24.3 ± 0.4	91.0 ± 2.7	3.6
SA	<i>Mycena aurantiidisca</i>	L-F border	Oct 08	IAAA-81687	-24.9 ± 0.4	96.0 ± 3.8	11.3
	<i>Mycena epipterygia</i>	L-F border	Oct 11	IAAA-111552	-23.0 ± 0.4	139.7 ± 2.8	20.3
	<i>Galerina atkinsoniana</i>	L-F border	Oct 11	IAAA-111553	-28.9 ± 0.4	56.7 ± 2.7	5.9

Osono Table 4

Table 4. Size of fruiting bodies of macrofungi. DP, diameter of pileus; LS, length of stipe. Values are means $\pm$ standard errors in cm. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of samples. The same letters indicate that the values are not significantly different at 5% level by Tukey's HSD test. Data from CT are from the lower slope.


	Total		Major species 1		Major species 2		Major species 3	
	DP	LS	DP	LS	DP	LS	DP	LS
ST	3.7 $\pm$ 1.3 b	13.9 $\pm$ 2.3 c	1.6 $\pm$ 0.3	11.3 $\pm$ 1.1	4.6 $\pm$ 0.7	12.0 $\pm$ 0.8	22.5	46.0
	(16)		<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST1 and ST2 (10)		<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST1 (4)		<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST4 (1)	
CT	12.0 $\pm$ 1.2 a	63.8 $\pm$ 5.7 a	3.8	30.0	14.0	116.5	15.8 $\pm$ 2.3	73.5 $\pm$ 7.0
	(23)		<i>Mycena filopes</i> (2)		<i>Mycena polygramma</i> (2)		<i>Mycena crocata</i> (6)	
SA	5.8 $\pm$ 0.5 b	35.1 $\pm$ 2.1 b	4.1 $\pm$ 0.3	30.9 $\pm$ 2.3	6.9 $\pm$ 0.5	46.9 $\pm$ 5.6	4.6 $\pm$ 0.7	25.5 $\pm$ 3.3
	(38)		<i>Mycena aurantiidisca</i> (15)		<i>Mycena epipterygia</i> (6)		<i>Galerina atkinsoniana</i> (8)	

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Rank-relative frequency distribution of macrofungal assemblages in subtropical (ST), cool temperate (CT), and subalpine forests (SA). Open, Mycenaceae; filled, Marasmiaceae; coarse oblique mesh, Agaricaceae; fine oblique mesh, Tricholomataceae; horizontal mesh, others (Hygrophoraceae, Hymenogasteraceae, Pluteaceae, Psathyrellaceae, Strophariaceae, Xylariaceae, and unidentified). The survey in CT was performed at lower and upper parts of a forest slope.


Fig. 2. Seasonal changes in the frequency of occurrence (upper) and the number of species (lower) of fruiting bodies of macrofungi. □, subtropical forest (ST); ○, lower part of a slope in cool temperate forest, [CT (lower)]; ●, upper part of a slope in cool temperate forest [CT (upper)]; ▲, subalpine forest (SA).


Fig. 3. Seasonal changes in the frequency of occurrence of major macrofungal species in subtropical forest (ST), cool temperate forest (CT), and subalpine forest (SA). For CT, blank and shaded bars indicate lower and upper slopes, respectively.



1 Osono Fig. 2

2





Electronic Supplementary Material

Patterns in diversity, resource utilization, and phenology of fruiting bodies of litter-decomposing macrofungi in subtropical, temperate, and subalpine forests

Takashi Osono

Table S1: Frequency of occurrence of fruiting bodies of macrofungi and the soil layer from which the fruiting bodies occurred. Ag, Agaricaceae; Hg, Hygrophoraceae; Hm, Hymenogasteraceae; Mr, Marasmiaceae; My, Mycenaceae; Tr, Tricholomataceae; Pl, Pluteaceae; Ps, Psathyrellaceae; St, Strophariaceae; Xy, Xylariaceae; and Un, unidentified. L/F, L-F border.

Taxa	Family	Soil layer	Frequency (%)
Subtropical forest			
<i>Mycena</i> section Basipedes 'sp. ST1'	My	L	96.8
<i>Mycena</i> section Roridae 'sp. ST2'	My	L	90.4
<i>Xylaria</i> spp. ST1	Xy	L	64.8
<i>Marasmius</i> spp. ST1	Mr	L	63.2
<i>Crinipellis</i> sp. ST1	Mr	L	60.8
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST3	My	L	46.4
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST1	Mr	L	12.8
<i>Tricholomataceae</i> sp. ST1	Tr	A	8.8
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST2	Mr	L	7.2
<i>Marasmiellus</i> sp. ST1	Mr	L	7.2
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST4	My	L	5.6
<i>Tricholomataceae</i> sp. ST2	Tr	A	4.0
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST5	My	L	4.0
<i>Crinipellis</i> sp. ST2	Mr	L	2.4
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST3	Mr	L	2.4
cf. <i>Calyptella</i> sp. ST1	Mr	L	2.4

<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST2	Mr	L	1.6	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST6	My	L	1.6	
<i>Agaricaceae</i> sp. ST1	Ag	A	0.8	
<i>Agaricaceae</i> sp. ST2	Ag	A	0.8	
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST4	Mr	L	0.8	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST7	My	L	0.8	
<i>Leucocorpinus</i> sp. ST1	Ag	A	0.8	
<i>Marasmiellus</i> sp. ST2	Mr	A	0.8	
<i>Marasmiellus</i> sp. ST3	Mr	L	0.8	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST8	My	L	0.8	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST9	My	L	0.8	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST10	My	L	0.8	
Unidentified ST1	Un	L	0.8	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST11	My	A	0.8	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST12	My	L	0.8	
<i>Tricholomataceae</i> sp. ST3	Tr	A	0.8	
<i>Tricholomataceae</i> sp. ST4	Tr	A	0.8	
<i>Tricholomataceae</i> sp. ST5	Tr	L	0.8	
<i>Xeromphalina</i> sp. ST1	My	L	0.8	
Cool temperate forest			Upper	Lower
<i>Mycena amygdalina</i>	My	L/F	29.6	60
<i>Mycena polygramma</i>	My	L/F	13.6	15.2
<i>Gymnopus peronatus</i>	Mr	L/F	4.0	0.8
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. CT1	Mr	L/F	4.0	0.0
<i>Mycena</i> sp. CT2	My	L/F	4.0	0.0
<i>Stropharia aeruginosa</i>	St	L/F	1.6	0.8
<i>Hygrocybe cantharellus</i>	Hy	L/F	1.6	0.0
<i>Agaricaceae</i> sp. CT1	Ag	L/F	0.8	0.0
<i>Lepiota fusciceps</i>	Ag	F	0.8	0.0
<i>Marasmius</i> sp. CT2	Mr	A	0.8	0.0
<i>Naematoloma sublateritium</i>	St	A	0.8	0.0
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i>	Ag	A	0.0	12.8

<i>Mycena pura</i>	My	L/F	0.0	10.4
<i>Mycena</i> sp. CT1	My	L/F	0.0	8.0
<i>Mycena amicta</i>	My	L/F	0.0	4.0
<i>Psathyrella candolleana</i>	Ps	L/F	0.0	4.0
Agariaceae sp. CT2	Ag	L/F	0.0	2.4
<i>Mycena crocata</i>	My	L/F	0.0	2.4
<i>Mycena luteopallens</i>	My	L/F	0.0	2.4
<i>Mycena</i> sp. CT3	My	L/F	0.0	2.4
<i>Agaricus praeclaresquamosus</i>	Ag	L/F	0.0	1.6
<i>Clitocybe</i> sp. CT1	Mr	L/F	0.0	1.6
<i>Pseudoclitocybe cyathiformis</i>	Tr	L/F	0.0	1.6
<i>Lepiota</i> cf. <i>pseudogranulosa</i>	Ag	L/F	0.0	0.8
<i>Lepiota cygnea</i>	Ag	L/F	0.0	0.8
<i>Lepiota</i> sp. CT1	Ag	A	0.0	0.8
<i>Marasmius pulcheriipes</i>	Mr	L/F	0.0	0.8
<i>Marasmius</i> sp. CT1	Mr	L/F	0.0	0.8
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>osmundicola</i>	My	L/F	0.0	0.8
<i>Mycena</i> sp. CT4	My	L/F	0.0	0.8
<i>Psathyrella piluliformis</i>	Ps	L/F	0.0	0.8
<i>Volvariella speciosa</i> var. <i>gloiocephala</i>	Pl	L/F	0.0	0.8
Subalpine forest				
<i>Mycena aurantiidisca</i>	My	F	75.2	
<i>Mycena epipterygia</i>	My	F	60.8	
<i>Galerina atkinsoniana</i>	Hm	F	32.8	
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>filopes</i>	My	L/F	24.8	
<i>Clitocybe</i> sp. SA1	Mr	F	8.8	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. SA2	My	L/F	8.0	
<i>Marasmius androsaceus</i>	Mr	L/F	3.2	
Tricholomataceae sp. SA1	Tr	F	3.2	
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>stipata</i>	My	F	3.2	
<i>Mycena</i> sp. SA3	My	F	2.4	
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>pura</i>	My	F	2.4	

<i>Collybia cookei</i>	Tr	F	1.6
Tricholomataceae sp. SA2	Tr	F	1.6
Unidentified SA1	Un	F	1.6
Unidentified SA2	Un	F	1.6
<i>Mycena</i> sp. SA5	My	F	0.8
<i>Mycena</i> sp. SA4	My	F	0.8
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i>	Ag	A	0.8




Fig. S2. Rarefaction curves for litter-decomposing macrofungal (LDM) assemblages. □, subtropical forest (ST); ○, lower part of a slope in cool temperate forest, [CT (lower)]; ●, upper part of a slope in cool temperate forest [CT (upper)]; ▲, subalpine forest (SA).