

NORMALITY OF BLOWING-UP

日大・文理 後藤四郎 (Shiro Goto)

§1. Introduction.

Let A be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal m and $d = \dim A > 0$. Let $q = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ be a parameter ideal in A and put $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} q^n$, the Rees ring of q . In this lecture we shall explore when the scheme $\text{Proj } R$ is normal and our result is stated as follows:

Theorem(1.1). Suppose that $\text{depth } A > 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $\text{Proj } R$ is normal.
- (2) A is a regular local ring and $l_A(q + m^2/m^2) \geq d - 1$.

When this is the case, the ring R is a normal ring with divisor class group $\mathbb{Z}$. (Here $l_A(q + m^2/m^2)$ stands for the length of the A -module $q + m^2/m^2$.)

In [6] K. Yamagishi also tackled with this theme and mentioned the equivalence of (1) and (2) in (1.1) with a rather strong assumption that A is Cohen-Macaulay (cf. [6, Chap. 4, (1.3)]); our theorem guarantees his assumption can be replaced by the weaker

one that $\text{depth } A > 0$.

We will prove Theorem(1.1) in the next section. As is noted in (1.1), the ring R is normal if (and only if) $\text{Proj } R$ is normal and $\text{depth } A > 0$. The normality of R itself is characterized in divers manners; especially, appealing to a recent result of J. Watanabe [7] on m -full ideals, we can prove that R is normal if and only if q is m -full. As the fact may have its own significance, in §3 we will discuss this subject a little more closely.

Throughout this lecture let A denote a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal m . We assume that $\dim A = d > 0$ and fix a parameter ideal $q = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ in A . Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} q^n$ be the Rees ring of q .

§2. Proof of Theorem(1.1).

Let $B = A[x/a_1 \mid x \in q]$ and $P = mB$. To begin with we note

Proposition(2.1). (1) $\dim B = d$.

(2) P is a height one prime ideal of B and $P = \sqrt{a_1}B$.

(3) The elements $a_i/a_1 \bmod P$ ($2 \leq i \leq d$) of B/P are algebraically independent over A/m .

Let $p \in \text{Spec } A$ with $p \not\ni a_1$. We put $I(p) = pA[1/a_1] \cap B$. Then $I(p) \in \text{Spec } B$, $I(p) \cap A = p$, and $B_{I(p)} = A_p$. Let $x^* = x \bmod p$ for each $x \in A$.

Lemma(2.2). $B/I(p) = A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q]$ as A -algebras.

In particular $\dim B/I(p) \leq \dim A/p$.

Proof. By definition we get an embedding $B/I(p) \hookrightarrow A/p[1/a_1^*]$ of A -algebras, whose image coincides with $A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q]$.

As $\dim A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q] \leq \dim A/p$ by dimension formula, the second assertion follows from the first.

Corollary(2.3). (1) $\text{Ass } B = \{ I(p) \mid p \in \text{Ass } A \text{ and } p \not\supset a_1 \}$.
 (2) $\{ I \in \text{Spec } B \mid \dim B/I = d \} = \{ I(p) \mid p \in \text{Spec } A \text{ and } \dim A/p = d \} = \{ I \in \text{Spec } B \mid I \not\subset P \}$.

Proof. Let $I \in \text{Spec } B$ and put $p = I \cap A$. Then if $p \not\supset a_1$, we have $I = I(p)$ as $A[1/a_1] = B[1/a_1]$. Hence we get (1), because a_1 is B -regular and $A_p = B_{I(p)}$. Consider (2). First of all take $I \in \text{Spec } B$ with $\dim B/I = d$. Then as $\dim B_I = 0$, we may write $I = I(p)$ with $p = I \cap A$. Notice $\dim B/I = d \leq \dim A/p$ by (2.2) and we get $\dim A/p = d$. Conversely let $p \in \text{Spec } A$ and assume $\dim A/p = d$. Then $p \not\supset a_1$ clearly. We put $I = I(p)$. Recall that $B/I = A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q]$ as A -algebras and we see by (2.1) that the ring $B/P+I = (B/I)/m(B/I)$ is a polynomial ring with $d-1$ variables over the field $k = A/m$. Hence the canonical epimorphism $B/P \rightarrow B/P+I$ of k -algebras must be an isomorphism, because B/P and $B/P+I$ are k -isomorphic; thus $P \not\supset I$. Finally let $I \in \text{Spec } B$ with $I \not\subset P$. Then $\dim B/I = d$, as $\dim B/P = d-1$ — this completes the proof of (2).

Let $e(A)$ (resp. $e(B_p)$) denote the multiplicity of A (resp. B_p).

Lemma(2.4). $e(B_p) \geq e(A)$.

Proof. Let $h: A[T_2, \dots, T_d] \rightarrow B$ be the A -algebra map defined by $h(T_i) = a_i/a_1$ ($2 \leq i \leq d$), where $T_2, \dots, T_d$ are indeterminates over A . Let $K = \text{Ker } h$ and put $f_i = a_1 T_i - a_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq d$). Then $K \supset (f_2, \dots, f_d)$. Notice $a_1^n K \subset (f_2, \dots, f_d)$ for some integer $n \geq 1$, because $A[1/a_1] = B[1/a_1]$. Now let $C = A[T_2, \dots, T_d]_M$

where $M = mA[T_2, \dots, T_d]$ and consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow C/(f_2, \dots, f_d)C \rightarrow B_P \rightarrow 0$ of C -modules. Then as $a_1^n L = 0$ and as $a_1, f_2, \dots, f_d$ form a system of parameters for the local ring C , we have $l_C(L) < \infty$ and therefore $e(B_P) = e(C/(f_2, \dots, f_d)C)$. Recalling that $e(C/(f_2, \dots, f_d)C) \geq e(C)$, we get the required inequality $e(B_P) \geq e(A)$, as $e(C) = e(A)$.

We say that A is unmixed if $\dim \hat{A}/p = d$ for any $p \in \text{Ass } \hat{A}$, where $\hat{A}$ denotes the completion of A . We shall use the following criterion of regularity.

Proposition(2.5)([4,(40.6)]). A is a regular local ring if and only if $e(A) = 1$ and A is unmixed.

The next result (2.6) is a key theorem in this lecture.

Theorem(2.6). Suppose that A is unmixed. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) A is a regular local ring and $l_A(q + m^2/m^2) \geq d - 1$.
- (2) B_P is a DVR.
- (3) $\text{Proj } R$ is normal.

Proof. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since $\text{Spec } B$ appears as one of the affine charts of $\text{Proj } R$, this implication is clear.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) As $e(A) = 1$ by (2.4), we get A is regular (cf. (2.5)). We will prove that $l_A(q + m^2/m^2) \geq d - 1$. Let us maintain the same notation as in Proof of (2.4). Notice that $K = (f_2, \dots, f_d)$ in our case, since $a_1, \dots, a_d$ is an A -regular sequence. Hence $f_2, \dots, f_d$ is a part of a minimal system of generators for the maximal ideal mC of C , because $B_P = C/(f_2, \dots, f_d)C$ is a DVR by our assumption. Thus $l_A(q + m^2/m^2) = l_C(qC + m^2C/m^2C) \geq$

$d-1$, as $qC = (a_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)C$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) As the ring R is Cohen-Macaulay (cf. [1]), the scheme $\text{Proj } R$ satisfies the condition (S_2) . So it is enough to check that all the rings $A[x/a_i \mid x \in q] \ (1 \leq i \leq d)$ satisfy the condition (R_1) . We may assume without loss of generality that $i=1$. Let $I \in \text{Spec } B$ with $\dim B_I = 1$. Then if $I \not\ni a_1$, $B_I = A_p$ where $p = I \cap A$ and B_I is a DVR in this case. Suppose that $I \ni a_1$. Then we get $I = P$ by (2.1)(2). We must show that B_P is a DVR. First of all notice that $m = (a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_1, \dots, a_d, b)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $b \in m$, because $l_A(q + m^2/m^2) \geq d-1$. We put $J = bB_P$. Assume $i \geq 2$ and write $a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_j x_j + b_i$ with $x_j, y \in A$. Then as $a_k = a_1 a_k / a_1$ for all k , we get $a_1(a_i/a_1 - \sum_{j \neq 1, i} (a_j/a_1)x_j - x_1) \in J$. Hence $a_1 \in J$, because $a_i/a_1 - \sum_{j \neq 1, i} (a_j/a_1)x_j - x_1 \notin P$ (cf. (2.1)(3)). We can similarly prove that $a_1 \in J$ for the case $i=1$ too. Thus $mB_P = bB_P$, which guarantees that B_P is a DVR. This completes the proof of (2.6).

Remark(2.7). Unless A is unmixed, the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) in (2.6) is not true in general even though A is an integral domain and B is a regular ring. In fact according to M. Nagata [4], there exist a Noetherian local integral domain A of $\dim A = 2$ and a system a, b of parameters for A which satisfy the following conditions: (1) A is not a regular ring;

(2) $B = A[b/a]$ is a regular ring.

Proof. Take a Noetherian local integral domain A of $\dim A = 2$ so that (1) the normalization $\bar{A}$ of A is a regular ring and only has two maximal ideals, say M and N ; (2) $m = M \cap N$; (3) $\bar{A}$ contains elements x and z such that $M = (x-1, z)\bar{A}$, $N = x\bar{A}$, $z \in N$, and $\bar{A} = A + Ax$. (Such a ring A must exist, see

[4, p.204].) Then A is not regular as $A \neq \bar{A}$. We put $a = xz$ and $b = x(x-1)$. Then a, b form a system of parameters in A . Let us check that $B = A[b/a]$ is a regular ring. Recall that $z \in m = M \cap N$. Then we see $B \supset \bar{A}$, as B contains $b/a = (x-1)/z$ and as $\bar{A} = A + A(x-1)$ by (3); hence $B = \bar{A}[(x-1)/z]$. Let Q be a prime ideal of B and put $p = Q \cap \bar{A}$. If $Q \ni z$, then Q contains $x-1 = z(x-1)/z$ and so we have $p = M$ by (3). Therefore we get $B_p = \bar{A}_M[(x-1)/z]$, which is a regular ring because $x-1, z$ is a regular system of parameters for $\bar{A}_M$ (cf. e.g. [2, (4.6)]). Hence the local ring $B_Q = (B_p)_{Q B_p}$ is regular. If $Q \not\ni z$, then $B_Q = \bar{A}_p$ as $B[1/z] = \bar{A}[1/z]$ and we have B_Q is a regular ring also in this case.

Corollary(2.8). The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) B_p is a DVR.
- (2) The completion $\hat{A}$ of A contains a unique prime ideal p such that $\dim \hat{A}/p = d$. Furthermore $\hat{A}/p$ is a regular local ring, $l_{\hat{A}}(q\hat{A} + m^2\hat{A} + p/m^2\hat{A} + p) \geq d-1$, and $\hat{A}_p$ is a field.

Proof. We put $C = \hat{A}[x/a_1 \mid x \in q]$ and $Q = mC$. Then C is a flat extension of B as $C = \hat{A} \otimes_A B$. Notice $Q = PC$ and $P = Q \cap B$. Then we get C_Q is a DVR if and only if B_p is; thus we may assume that A is complete.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) By (2.4) we get $e(A) = 1$. Consequently by the formula $e(A) = \sum_{p \in \text{Spec } A, \dim A/p = d} l(A_p) \cdot e(A/p)$ (cf. [4, (23.5)]), we find that A contains a unique prime ideal p with $\dim A/p = d$. Furthermore A/p is a regular local ring by (2.5), as $e(A/p) = 1$. Clearly A_p is a field. Now we will prove that $l_A(q + m^2 + p/m^2 + p) \geq d-1$. Let $I = I(p)$. Then by (2.3)(2) we see $I \not\subset P$,

whence $IB_P = 0$ as B_P is a DVR. On the other hand we have by (2.2) an isomorphism $B/I = A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q]$ of A -algebras. Thus the local ring $(A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q])_P$ is a DVR and we conclude by (2.6) that $l_A(q + m^2 + p/m^2 + p) \geq d - 1$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $I = I(p)$. Notice that I is, by (2.3)(2), a unique prime ideal of B such that $I \not\subset P$. Then we get that $IB_P = 0$, as B_P is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and as $B_I = A_p$ is a field. Recalling the isomorphism $B/I = A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q]$, we have that $B_P = B_P/IB_P$ is a DVR because by (2.6) so is the local ring $(A/p[x^*/a_1^* \mid x \in q])_P$.

Corollary(2.9). Assume that A is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay ring and let $a = a_1$ be a non-zerodivisor of A . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) B is a normal ring.

(2) (a) $A[1/a]$ is a normal ring.

(b) A contains a unique prime ideal p such that $\dim A/p = d$. Furthermore A/p is regular and $l_A(q + m^2 + p/m^2 + p) \geq d - 1$.

(c) For each $Q \in \text{Ass } A$ with $Q \neq p$, there is an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $a^N \in q^{N+1} + Q$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) As $A[1/a] = B[1/a]$, we see $A[1/a]$ is a normal ring; hence A is reduced as $A \subset A[1/a]$. Notice that B is integrally closed in the total quotient ring of A , as it is normal. Then we get by (2.2) and (2.3)(1) an isomorphism $B = \prod_{Q \in \text{Ass } A} A/Q[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q]$ (#) of A -algebras. Recall that $e(A) = 1$ by (2.4) and we find by the formula $e(A) = \sum_{p \in \text{Spec } A, \dim A/p = d} l(A_p) \cdot e(A/p)$ that A contains a unique prime ideal p of $\dim A/p = d$. Moreover A/p is, by (2.5), a regular local ring because A/p is

unmixed by our standard assumption. Let $Q \in \text{Ass } A$ such that $Q \neq p$. Then we get by the isomorphism (#) that $A/Q[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q] = m \cdot (A/Q[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q])$, since $P = mB$ is a prime ideal of B and since $A/p[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q] \neq m \cdot (A/p[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q])$ by (2.1)(2). Hence we find that $B_p = (A/p[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q])_p$ is a DVR and that the element $a^* = a \bmod Q$ is invertible in the ring $A/Q[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q]$. Thus $l_A(q + m^2 + p/m^2 + p) \geq d-1$ by (2.6) and $a^N \in q^{N+1} + Q$ for some $N \geq 1$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $J \in \text{Spec } B$ and we will show that the local ring B_J is normal. If $J \not\supset a$, this follows from (a) because $B[1/a] = A[1/a]$. Assume $J \supset a$, or equivalently $J \supset P$.

Claim. $J \not\supset I(Q)$ for any $Q \in \text{Ass } A$ such that $Q \neq p$.

For, suppose that $J \supset I(Q)$ for some $Q \in \text{Ass } A$ with $Q \neq p$.

Then as $a^* = a \bmod Q$ is invertible in the ring $A/Q[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q]$ (cf. (c)), we find by (2.2) that $I(Q) + P = B$ whence $J = B$ — this is a contradiction.

By this claim and the embedding $B \subset \prod_{Q \in \text{Ass } A} B/I(Q)$ (recall that $\bigcap_{Q \in \text{Ass } A} I(Q) = 0$ in B , see (2.3)(1)), we get that the ring B_J appears as a local ring of $C = A/p[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q]$. Hence B_J is normal by (2.6).

Example(2.10). Let $S = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]$ be a formal power series ring over a field k and let $I = (X) \cap (Y, Z) \cap (X-Y, Z, W)$ in S . We put $A = S/I$, $a = Z^2 - X^2 \bmod I$, $b = Y - X \bmod I$, and $c = W - X \bmod I$. Then $q = (a, b, c)$ is a parameter ideal in A and $B = A[b/a, c/a]$ is a normal ring.

Proof. To check that q is a parameter ideal in A is routine. To see that B is normal, let $x = X \bmod I$, $y = Y \bmod I$ and $z = Z \bmod I$. Then $m = (x, b, c, z)$; hence b, c form a

part of a regular system of parameters for the ring A/xA . As $a \in (q^2 + (y, z)) \cap (q^2 + (x-y, z, w))$ and as $A[1/a]$ is normal, our assertion follows from (2.9).

Lemma(2.11). Let $I = (b_1, \dots, b_s)$ be an m -primary ideal of A . Then $A[x/b_i \mid x \in I] \neq m \cdot A[x/b_i \mid x \in I]$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. Assume the contrary and take an integer $N \geq 1$ so that $b_i^N \in mI^N$ for all i . Let $G = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$ and put $f_i = b_i \bmod I^2$. Then as $f_i^N \in mG$, we find that all the f_i 's are nilpotent in G , whence $d = \dim G = 0$ — this is a contradiction.

In the situation of (2.11) we don't have always $A[x/b_i \mid x \in I] \neq m \cdot A[x/b_i \mid x \in I]$. (For instance, consider $A = k[[t^2, t^3]]$ and $I = (t^2, t^3)$.) This is, of course, the case when $b_1, \dots, b_s$ is a system of parameters in A , cf. (2.1).

We now prove Theorem(1.1).

Proof of Theorem(1.1). (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) See (2.6).

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) According to (2.6) we have only to show that A is unmixed. We put, as in Proof of (2.8), $C = \hat{A}[x/a_1 \mid x \in q]$ and $Q = mC$. Let N be a maximal ideal of C such that $N \supset Q$.

Claim 1. $\dim C_N / QC_N = d - 1$.

Proof. The ideal N/Q is maximal in the ring C/Q and so we have that $\dim C_N / QC_N = d - 1$, since C/Q is a polynomial ring with $d - 1$ variables over the field A/m , cf. (2.1)(3).

Claim 2. $\dim C_N / I = d$ for any $I \in \text{Ass } C_N$.

Proof. Notice that $\text{Ass}_B B/a_1 B = \{P\}$ as B is normal. Then we have $\text{Ass}_C C/a_1 C = \{Q\}$ as $B/a_1 B \cong C/a_1 C$. Let $I \in \text{Ass } C_N$ and take $J \in \text{Ass}_{C_N} C_N/a_1 C_N$ so that $J \supset I$ (this choice is possible as a_1 is C_N -regular, cf. e.g. [3, (15.D)]). Then we must have, as

$\text{Ass}_{C_N} C_N/a_1 C_N = \{QC_N\}$, that $J = QC_N$ whence $\dim C_N/J = \dim C_N/QC_N = d-1$ by Claim 1. Thus $\dim C_N/I = d$ since $J \not\supset I$.

Let us check that A is unmixed. Assume the contrary and pick $p \in \text{Ass } \hat{A}$ so that $\dim \hat{A}/p < d$. Then we get by (2.11) $\hat{A}/p[x^*/a_i^* \mid x \in q] \neq m \cdot (\hat{A}/p[x^*/a_i^* \mid x \in q])$ (#) for some $1 \leq i \leq d$, where $x^* = x \bmod p$ for each $x \in \hat{A}$. We may assume $i=1$. Recall that the ideal q is generated by non-zero-divisors of A , because $\text{depth } A > 0$ by our standard assumption. Hence we may further assume that $a = a_1$ is a non-zero-divisor of A . Then as $p \nmid a$, we get by (2.2) an isomorphism $C/I = \hat{A}/p[x^*/a^* \mid x \in q]$ of $\hat{A}$ -algebras, where $I = I(p)$. According to (#) this isomorphism guarantees that $Q+I \neq C$, whence we may choose a maximal ideal N of C so that $N \supset Q+I$. Then as $I \in \text{Ass } C$ by (2.3)(1), we get $\dim C_N/IC_N = d$ by Claim 2 — this is quite impossible since by (2.2) $\dim C_N/IC_N \leq \dim C/I \leq \dim \hat{A}/p < d$. Thus A is unmixed. The proof of the last assertion of (1.1) shall be given in the next section, see (3.1).

Remark(2.12). $\text{Proj } R$ is not necessarily regular even though $\text{Proj } R$ is normal and $\text{depth } A > 0$. In fact, provided $d \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A > 0$, $\text{Proj } R$ is regular if and only if A is a regular local ring and $q = m$ (cf. [2, (4.6)]).

§3. Normality of the ring R .

In this section we discuss the normality of the ring $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} q^n$ and our goal is

Theorem(3.1). The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) A is regular and $l_A(q + m^2/m^2) \geq d-1$.

- (2) A is an integral domain and q is integrally closed.
- (3) q is m -full.
- (4) R is normal.

When this is the case, the divisor class group $C(R)$ of R is an infinite cyclic group.

To begin with we recall the definition of m -full ideals.

Let I be an ideal of A . Then we say that I is m -full if $mI : x = I$ for some $x \in m$.

The concept of m -full ideals was introduced by D. Rees [5] and basic properties of such ideals are discussed in [7], a few of which we need to prove (3.1).

Let $v_A(M)$ denote the number of elements in a minimal system of generators for a finitely generated A -module M .

Proposition(3.2)([7, Theorem 2 and 3]). Let I be an m -primary ideal of A and assume that I is m -full. Let $x \in m$ such that $mI : x = I$. Then $v_A(J) \leq v_A(I) = l_A(A/I + xA) + v_A(I + xA/xA)$ for any ideal J of A containing I .

Let I be an ideal of A . Then an element x of A is called integral over I if x satisfies an equation $x^N + c_1 x^{N-1} + \dots + c_N = 0$ with $c_i \in I^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$). Recall that I is said to be integrally closed if every element of A which is integral over I belongs to I .

The next result is due to D. Rees and a proof may be found in [7] (cf. Theorem 5).

Proposition(3.3). Suppose that A is an integral domain with

infinite residue class field. Then every integrally closed ideal of A is m -full.

Proof of Theorem(3.1). (4) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $N = mR + R_+$ and $P \in \text{Ass } R$. Then $P \subset N$, as P is graded and as N is a unique graded maximal ideal of R . As R_N is normal, it is an integral domain and so $PR_N = 0$, whence $P = 0$. Thus R is an integral domain and so A is. Let us identify R with the A -subalgebra $A[cT \mid c \in q]$ of $A[T]$ where T is an indeterminate over A . Let $c \in A$ which is integral over q . Then as cT is integral over R , we get $cT \in R$; hence $cT \in qT$, that is $c \in q$. Thus q is integrally closed.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Take $x \in m$ so that $mq : x = q$. Then by (3.2) we find that $v_A(m) \leq v_A(q) = l_A(A/q + xA) + v_A(q + xA/xA)$. So A is a regular local ring, since $v_A(m) \leq v_A(q) = d$. Furthermore we get $l_A(A/q + xA) = 1$, because $l_A(A/q + xA) \geq 1$ and $v_A(q + xA/xA) \geq d - 1$. Thus $q + xA = m$, that is $l_A(q + m^2/m^2) \geq d - 1$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Passing to the ring $A[U]_{mA[U]}$ where U is an indeterminate over A , we may assume that the field A/m is infinite. Then as q is m -full by (3.3), our implication follows from (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $x \in m$ with $m = (a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_d, x)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then we get $l_A(A/q + xA) + v_A(q + xA/xA) = l_A(A/m) + v_A(m/xA) = d$ (a). Recalling the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow mq : x/mq \rightarrow A/mq \xrightarrow{x} A/mq \rightarrow A/mq + xA \rightarrow 0$ of A -modules, we have $l_A(mq : x/mq) = l_A(A/mq + xA)$ (b). Notice that $l_A(A/q + xA) + v_A(q + xA/xA) = l_A(A/q + xA) + l_A(q + xA/mq + xA) = l_A(A/mq + xA)$. Then we get by (a) and (b) that $l_A(mq : x/mq) = l_A(q/mq)$ (c), as $l_A(q/mq) = v_A(q) = d$.

Since $mq : x \supset q$, it follows from (c) that $mq : x = q$. Thus q is m -full.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let $N = mR + R_+$. Then as $\text{Proj } R$ is normal (cf. (2.6)), we get that the local ring R_P is normal for any prime ideal P ($P \neq N$) of R . On the other hand as R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring (cf. [1]), we see $\text{depth } R_N = \dim R_N = d+1 \geq 2$; so the local ring R_N must be normal too. This completes the proof of the equivalence of the conditions in (3.1).

Let us compute the divisor class group $C(R)$ of R . We may assume $d \geq 2$. Let $e = e_q(A)$ and choose a minimal system $b_1, \dots, b_d$ of generators for m so that $q = (b_1^e, b_2, \dots, b_d)$. We put $p = b_1 A$, $P = pA[T] \cap R$, and $Q = mR$. Then we have

- Claim. (1) P and Q are height one prime ideals in R .
 (2) $P \cap A = p$ and $Q \cap A = m$.
 (3) $b_1 R = P \cap Q$.

Proof. (1) As $R/P \cong R_{A/p}(q+p/p)$, we get $\dim R/P = d$; hence $\dim R_P = 1$. Recall that $R \cong A[T_1, \dots, T_d]/I$ as A -algebras, where $C = A[T_1, \dots, T_d]$ is a polynomial ring over A and I denotes the ideal of C generated by all the 2×2 minors of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 & \cdots & T_d \\ b_1^e & b_2 & \cdots & b_d \end{pmatrix}$. Hence $R/Q \cong A/m[T_1, \dots, T_d]$ and we get $Q = mR$ is a height one prime ideal of R .

(2) This is clear.

(3) It suffices to show that $\text{Ass}_R R/b_1 R = \{P, Q\}$, $b_1 R_P = PR_P$, and $b_1 R_Q = QR_Q$. As $P \cap Q \ni b_1$, $\text{Ass}_R R/b_1 R \supset \{P, Q\}$ clearly. Let $P' \in \text{Ass}_R R/b_1 R$ and put $p' = P' \cap A$. Notice $\dim R_{P'} = 1$ since R is normal. If $p' = m$, then $P' \supset Q$; hence $P' = Q$. Assume $p' \neq m$. Then $R_{p'} = A_{p'}[T]$ and $P'R_{p'} \supset p'R_{p'} \neq 0$.

Consequently $P'R_{p'} = p'R_{p'}$ (as $\dim R_{p'} = 1$) and so we find $\dim A_{p'} = 1$. Thus $p' = p$ (recall $p' \ni b_1$) and therefore $P'R_p = pR_p$. Because $P \in \text{Ass}_R R/b_1R$ and $P \cap A = p$, we see $P'R_p = pR_p$ whence $p' = P$. Thus $\text{Ass}_R R/b_1R = \{P, Q\}$. Furthermore as $pR_p = pR_p (= b_1R_p)$, we find $b_1R_p = pR_p$. Let $\tilde{R} = R[1/b_1^e T]$ and we get $Q\tilde{R} = b_1R$ (recall $b_i = b_1^e b_i^e T / b_1^e T$ for each $2 \leq i \leq d$). Therefore $b_1R_Q = QR_Q$ as $Q \not\ni b_1^e T$, which completes the proof of Claim.

By this claim and the fact that $R[1/b_1] = A[1/b_1][T]$ is a UFD, we see that $C(R)$ is generated by $\text{cl}(Q) (= -\text{cl}(P))$. We must show that the order of $\text{cl}(Q)$ is not finite. Assume the contrary and choose an integer $n > 0$ so that $n \cdot \text{cl}(Q) = 0$. Then $Q^{(n)} = bR$ for some $b \in R$ and, as $b_1^n \in Q^{(n)}$, we may write $b_1^n = bc$ with $c \in R$. Notice that $b, c \in A = R_0$, because $b_1^n \neq 0$ and R is a graded integral domain. Moreover we find $c \notin Q$ since $b_1^n R_Q = Q^n R_Q = bR_Q$. Therefore c is a unit of A and we get $Q^{(n)} = bR = b_1^n R$; consequently $P \supset Q$ (as $P \ni b_1$). This is of course impossible and we conclude that $C(R) = \mathbb{Z}$ as required.

Remark(3.4). Let $B_i = A[x/a_i \mid x \in q]$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. We put $e = e_q(A)$ and assume R is normal. Then we get for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, similarly as in Proof of (3.1), that the divisor class group $C(B_i)$ of B_i is a finite cyclic group and $|C(B_i)| \mid e$. The proof is not complicated which we leave to readers.

References

- [1] J. Barshay, Graded algebras of powers of ideals generated by A -sequences, J. Algebra, 25 (1973), 90 - 99.
- [2] S. Goto, Blowing-up of Buchsbaum rings, Commutative Algebra:

Durham 1981, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 72, 140 - 162.

- [3] H. Matsumura, Commutative Algebra, Benjamin, 1970.
- [4] M. Nagata, Local Rings, Interscience, 1962.
- [5] D. Rees, Lectures at Nagoya University, 1983.
- [6] K. Yamagishi, Embedding in modules and grading of Noetherian rings, Thesis, Science University of Tokyo, 1984.
- [7] J. Watanabe, m -full ideals, in preprint (a part of this paper may be found in the present volume).

Added in proof. After giving this lecture, the author was told that a similar result as the equivalence of the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem(3.1) had been obtained also by D. Katz (A criterion for complete-intersections to be self-radical, Arch. Math., 42 (1984), 423 - 425). However according to our Theorem(1.1), his main result Theorem 1 is not correct and therefore the proof of Corollary 6 and 7 in the paper is not complete. The author guarantees that they are immediate consequences of our Theorems (1.1) and (3.1).