

Boundedness of Littlewood-Paley operators

By

Shuichi SATO*

Abstract

We survey some results related to L^p boundedness of Littlewood-Paley operators on homogeneous groups. Also, we give proofs of some results in the survey.

§ 1. Introduction

Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ($1 < p < \infty$), where $\mathbb{T}$ is the one-dimensional torus, which is identified with $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ($\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the integer group), and let

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi i k \theta}$$

be the Fourier series of f , where

$$c_k = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i k x} dx$$

is the Fourier coefficient.

The Littlewood-Paley function $\gamma(f)$ is defined as

$$\gamma(f)(\theta) = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_m(\theta)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$\Delta_m(\theta) = \sum_{2^{m-1} \leq |k| < 2^m} c_k e^{2\pi i k \theta}$$

Received September 28, 2013. Revised April 16, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): Primary 42B25.

Key Words: Littlewood-Paley functions, Marcinkiewicz integrals.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 25400130, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan.

e-mail: shuichi@kenroku.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

© 2014 Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

if m is a positive integer and $\Delta_0 = c_0$. Then Littlewood and Paley proved

$$(1.1) \quad A_p \|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|\gamma(f)\|_{L^p} \leq B_p \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for some positive constants A_p, B_p . This can be applied in proving the multiplier theorems of Marcinkiewicz type and in studying the lacunary convergence of the Fourier series.

A result analogous to (1.1) for the g function on $\mathbb{T}$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad g(f)(\theta) = \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) |(\partial/\partial t) P_t * f(\theta)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

was also shown by Littlewood and Paley, where

$$P_t(\theta) = \frac{1-t^2}{1-2t \cos(2\pi\theta) + t^2}$$

is the Poisson kernel for the unit disk. (See Littlewood and Paley [22, 23, 24]) and also Zygmund [43, Chap. XV] for the results above).

In this note we consider analogues on the Euclid spaces $\mathbb{R}^n$ and on the homogeneous groups of the Littlewood-Paley function $g(f)$ in (1.2). We survey a paper [10] and some back ground results in Sections 2–4. (See [37, 39, 43] for relevant results.) Also, in Sections 5–7, we shall give proofs of three results stated in Sections 2 and 3. Finally, in Section 8, we shall see some results related to Littlewood-Paley operators arising from the Bochner-Riesz means and the spherical means.

§ 2. Littlewood-Paley functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let ψ be a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 0.$$

We consider the Littlewood-Paley function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$S_\psi(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |f * \psi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\psi_t(x) = t^{-n} \psi(t^{-1}x)$.

Let $Q(x) = [(\partial/\partial t) P_t(x)]_{t=1}$, where

$$P_t(x) = c_n \frac{t}{(|x|^2 + t^2)^{(n+1)/2}}$$

is the Poisson kernel on the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$. Then $S_Q(f)$ is a version on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the Littlewood-Paley function $g(f)$.

If $H(x) = \chi_{[-1,0]}(x) - \chi_{[0,1]}(x)$ is the Haar function on $\mathbb{R}$, then $S_H(f)$ coincides with the Marcinkiewicz integral

$$\mu(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F(x+t) + F(x-t) - 2F(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $F(x) = \int_0^x f(y) dy$. Here χ_E denotes the characteristic function of a set E . We can easily see that S_Q and S_H are L^p ($1 < p < \infty$) bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively, from the following well-known result of Benedek, Calderón and Panzone [2].

Theorem A. *Suppose that ψ satisfies (2.1) and*

$$(2.2) \quad |\psi(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-\epsilon},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(x-y) - \psi(x)| dx \leq C|y|^\epsilon$$

for some positive constant ϵ . Then

- (1) S_ψ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$;
- (2) S_ψ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

It is known that for the L^p boundedness, the condition (2.3) is superfluous, which can be seen from the following result when $p = 2$.

Theorem B. *S_ψ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if ψ satisfies (2.1) and (2.2) with $\epsilon = 1$.*

We refer to Coifman and Meyer [8, p. 148] for this. A proof can be found in Journé [20]; see [20, pp. 81-82].

Let

$$H_\psi(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\psi(y)|$$

be the least non-increasing radial majorant of ψ . Also, define

$$B_\epsilon(\psi) = \int_{|x| > 1} |\psi(x)| |x|^\epsilon dx \quad \text{for } \epsilon > 0,$$

$$D_u(\psi) = \left(\int_{|x| < 1} |\psi(x)|^u dx \right)^{1/u} \quad \text{for } u > 1.$$

In [28], part (1) of Theorem A and Theorem B are improved as follows.

Theorem C. *Let $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that ψ satisfies (2.1) and the conditions*

- (1) $B_\epsilon(\psi) < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$;

(2) $D_u(\psi) < \infty$ for some $u > 1$;

(3) $H_\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then

$$\|S_\psi(f)\|_{L_w^p} \leq C_{p,w} \|f\|_{L_w^p}$$

for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$.

As usual $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the weighted L^p space of those functions f which satisfy $\|f\|_{L_w^p} = \|fw^{1/p}\|_p < \infty$. Also, here we recall the weight class A_p of Muckenhoupt. We say that $w \in A_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$) if

$$\sup_B \left(|B|^{-1} \int_B w(x) dx \right) \left(|B|^{-1} \int_B w(x)^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $|B|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined by

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in B} |B|^{-1} \int_B |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B containing x . We then say that $w \in A_1$ if there exists a constant C such that $M(w)(x) \leq Cw(x)$ for almost every x .

We now see some applications of Theorem C from [28].

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $\psi \in L^1$ satisfies (2.1) and (2.2). Let $b \in BMO$ and $w \in A_2$. We define the measure ν on the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ by*

$$d\nu(x, t) = |b * \psi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} w(x) dx.$$

Then, the measure ν is a Carleson measure with respect to the measure $w(x) dx$, that is,

$$\nu(S(Q)) \leq C_w \|b\|_{BMO}^2 \int_Q w(x) dx$$

for all cubes Q in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$S(Q) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) : x \in Q, 0 < t \leq \ell(Q)\}$$

with $\ell(Q)$ denoting sidelength of Q .

This follows from the L_w^2 -boundedness of the operator S_ψ . See [20, pp. 85–87]. From Corollary 1 we get the following (see [20, p. 87]).

Corollary 2. *Let $b \in BMO$. Suppose that φ satisfies (2.2) and that ψ satisfies (2.1), (2.2). Then*

$$\|T_b(f)\|_{L_w^p} \leq C_{p,w} \|b\|_{BMO} \|f\|_{L_w^p}$$

for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$, where

$$T_b(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |b * \psi_t(x)|^2 |f * \varphi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We note that the conditions (2.1), (2.2) only are required for ψ in Corollaries 1, 2 (no additional regularity condition for ψ is needed).

By Corollary 2 and Theorem C we have the following.

Corollary 3. *We assume that ψ satisfies (2.1), (2.2) and that φ satisfies (2.2). Let $b \in BMO$. Furthermore, let η be a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying all the conditions of Theorem C imposed on ψ . Define a paraproduct π_b by the equation*

$$\pi_b(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty \eta_t * ((b * \psi_t)(f * \varphi_t))(x) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Then

$$\|\pi_b(f)\|_{L_w^p} \leq C_{p,w} \|b\|_{BMO} \|f\|_{L_w^p}$$

for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$.

The class $L(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha > 0$, is defined to be the collection of the functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| [\log(2 + |f(x)|)]^\alpha dx < \infty.$$

Similarly, let $L(\log L)^\alpha(S^{n-1})$ be the class of the functions Ω on S^{n-1} satisfying

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| [\log(2 + |\Omega(\theta)|)]^\alpha d\sigma(\theta) < \infty,$$

where $d\sigma$ denotes the Lebesgue surface measure on $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$.

For the rest of this section we consider the cases where ψ is compactly supported. In [31] the following result was proved.

Theorem D. *The operator S_ψ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $2 \leq p < \infty$ if ψ is a function in $L(\log L)^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and satisfies (2.1).*

This improves on a previous result of [17] which guarantees L^p boundedness of S_ψ for $p \in [2, \infty)$ under a more restrictive condition that $\psi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with some $q > 1$.

For $p < 2$, Duoandikoetxea [12] proved the following result.

Theorem E. *We assume that ψ has compact support.*

- (1) *Suppose that $1 < q \leq 2$ and $0 < 1/p < 1/2 + 1/q'$. Then S_ψ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if ψ is in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies (2.1).*
- (2) *Let $1 < q < 2$ and $1/p > 1/2 + 1/q'$. Then there exists $\psi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that S_ψ is not bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Here q' denotes the exponent conjugate to q . See also [6] for a previous result for $p < 2$. Theorem E (1) was shown by arguments involving a theory of weights (see also [14]).

Let $\psi^{(\alpha)}$ be a function on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\psi^{(\alpha)}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha(1 - |x|)^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x), & x \in (-1, 1), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $1 < p < 2$, $1 < q < 2$ and $1/q' < \alpha \leq 1/p - 1/2$. Then $\psi^{(\alpha)} \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$; also, Remark 2 of [17] implies that $S_{\psi^{(\alpha)}}$ is not bounded on L^p and $S_{\psi^{(\alpha)}}$ is of weak type (p, p) if $\alpha = 1/p - 1/2$.

The following result is a particular case of part (1) of Theorem E.

Proposition 1. *If ψ is compactly supported and belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then S_ψ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.*

This can be proved by combining results of [28] and the weight theory of [12]. We shall give the proof in Section 5.

The Marcinkiewicz integral $\mu_\Omega(f)$ of Stein [36] (see also Hörmander [19]) is defined by $\mu_\Omega(f) = S_\psi(f)$ with

$$\psi(x) = |x|^{-n+1} \Omega(x') \chi_{(0,1]}(|x|) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where $x' = x/|x|$, $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$, $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega d\sigma = 0$.

Al-Salman, Al-Qassem, Cheng and Pan [1] proved the following.

Theorem F. *μ_Ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ if $\Omega \in L(\log L)^{1/2}(S^{n-1})$.*

See Walsh [42] for the case $p = 2$. In Section 3, we shall consider an analogue of Theorem F on homogeneous groups.

§ 3. Littlewood-Paley functions on homogeneous groups

We consider Littlewood-Paley functions on homogeneous groups. We also regard $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, as a homogeneous group with multiplication given by a polynomial mapping. So, we have a dilation family $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$A_t x = (t^{a_1} x_1, t^{a_2} x_2, \dots, t^{a_n} x_n), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

with some real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ satisfying $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ and such that each A_t is an automorphism of the group structure (see [18], [41] and [25, Section 2 of Chapter 1]). We also write $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$. $\mathbb{H}$ is equipped with a homogeneous nilpotent Lie group structure; the underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R}^n$ itself. We recall that Lebesgue measure is a bi-invariant Haar measure, the identity is the origin 0 and $x^{-1} = -x$. Multiplication xy , $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$, satisfies the following.

- (1) $A_t(xy) = A_t x A_t y$, $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$, $t > 0$;
- (2) $(ux)(vx) = ux + vx$, $x \in \mathbb{H}$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (3) if $z = xy$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $z_k = P_k(x, y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x, y) &= x_1 + y_1, \\ P_k(x, y) &= x_k + y_k + R_k(x, y) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_k(x, y)$ is a polynomial depending only on $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}$.

We have a norm function $r(x)$ satisfying the following.

- (1) $r(A_t x) = tr(x)$, for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (2) r is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$;
- (3) $r(x + y) \leq N_1(r(x) + r(y))$, $r(xy) \leq N_2(r(x) + r(y))$ for some positive constants N_1, N_2 ;
- (4) $r(x^{-1}) = r(x)$;
- (5) if $\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r(x) = 1\}$, Σ coincides with S^{n-1} ;
- (6) there exist positive constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1|x|^{\alpha_1} &\leq r(x) \leq c_2|x|^{\alpha_2} & \text{if } r(x) \geq 1, \\ c_3|x|^{\beta_1} &\leq r(x) \leq c_4|x|^{\beta_2} & \text{if } r(x) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\gamma = a_1 + \dots + a_n$ (the homogeneous dimension of $\mathbb{H}$). Then $dx = t^{\gamma-1} dS dt$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \int_\Sigma f(A_t \theta) t^{\gamma-1} dS(\theta) dt$$

with $dS = \omega d\sigma$, where ω is a strictly positive C^∞ function on Σ and $d\sigma$ is the Lebesgue surface measure on Σ as above.

The Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_1$ is an example of the homogeneous groups. Let

$$(x, y, u)(x', y', u') = (x + x', y + y', u + u' + (xy' - yx')/2)$$

for $(x, y, u), (x', y', u') \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then, with this group law, $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_1$. A dilation is defined by

$$A_t(x, y, u) = (tx, ty, t^2u) \quad (2\text{-step}).$$

Also, we can adopt

$$A'_t(x, y, u) = (tx, t^2y, t^3u) \quad (3\text{-step})$$

as an automorphism dilation.

For a function f on $\mathbb{H}$, let

$$f_t(x) = \delta_t f(x) = t^{-\gamma} f(A_t^{-1}x).$$

Convolution on $\mathbb{H}$ is defined as

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} f(y)g(y^{-1}x) dy.$$

Then $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$, $(f * g)^\sim = \tilde{g} * \tilde{f}$ if $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x^{-1})$.

We consider the Littlewood-Paley function on $\mathbb{H}$ defined by

$$S_\psi(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |f * \psi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

where ψ is in $L^1(\mathbb{H})$ and satisfies (2.1). Let Ω be locally integrable in $\mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$. We assume that Ω is homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to the dilation group $\{A_t\}$, which means that $\Omega(A_tx) = \Omega(x)$ for $x \neq 0$, $t > 0$. Also, we assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \Omega(\theta) dS(\theta) = 0.$$

Let $\mu_\Omega = S_\Psi$ with

$$(3.2) \quad \Psi(x) = r(x)^{-\gamma+a} \Omega(x') \chi_{(0,1]}(r(x)), \quad a > 0,$$

where $x' = A_{r(x)^{-1}}x$ for $x \neq 0$. The spaces $L^p(\Sigma)$, $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Sigma)$ are defined with respect to the measure dS .

We recall a result of Ding and Wu [11].

Theorem G. *We assume in (3.2) that $a = 1$ and that Ω is a function in $L \log L(\Sigma)$ satisfying (3.1). Then μ_Ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{H})$ for $p \in (1, 2]$ and is of weak type $(1, 1)$.*

The result on the L^p boundedness of Theorem G was improved by [10] as follows.

Theorem 1. *μ_Ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{H})$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ if Ω is in $L(\log L)^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ and satisfies (3.1).*

To prove Theorem 1 we decompose $\Psi(x) = \sum_{k < 0} 2^{ka} \Psi^{(k)}(x)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$\Psi^{(k)}(x) = 2^{-ka} r(x)^{a-\gamma} \Omega(x') \chi_{(1,2]}(2^{-k} r(x)).$$

A change of variables and the property $\delta_s \delta_t = \delta_{st}$ of operators δ_t imply

$$S_{\Psi^{(k)}} f(x) = S_{\Psi^{(k)}_{2^{-k}}} f(x) = S_{\Psi^{(0)}} f(x).$$

Thus, by the sublinearity we have

$$S_{\Psi} f(x) \leq \sum_{k < 0} 2^{ka} S_{\Psi^{(k)}} f(x) = c_a S_{\Psi^{(0)}} f(x).$$

(See [16] for this observation.) So, we consider a function of the form

$$(3.3) \quad \Psi(x) = \ell(r(x)) \frac{\Omega(x')}{r(x)^{\gamma}},$$

where ℓ is in Λ_{∞}^{η} (see [33]) for some $\eta > 0$ and supported in the interval $[1, 2]$.

Now we recall the definition of Λ_{∞}^{η} (the definition of Λ_q^{η} , $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, can be found in [33]). Let h be a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t > 0\}$. For $t \in (0, 1]$, define

$$\omega(h, t) = \sup_{|s| < tR/2} \int_R^{2R} |h(r-s) - h(r)| \frac{dr}{r},$$

where the supremum is taken over all s and R such that $|s| < tR/2$ (see [34]). Define Λ^{η} , $\eta > 0$, to be the family of the functions h such that

$$\|h\|_{\Lambda^{\eta}} = \sup_{t \in (0, 1]} t^{-\eta} \omega(h, t) < \infty.$$

Let $\Lambda_{\infty}^{\eta} = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \Lambda^{\eta}$ with $\|h\|_{\Lambda_{\infty}^{\eta}} = \|h\|_{\infty} + \|h\|_{\Lambda^{\eta}}$ for $h \in \Lambda_{\infty}^{\eta}$. Then $\Lambda_{\infty}^{\eta_1} \subset \Lambda_{\infty}^{\eta_2}$ if $\eta_2 \leq \eta_1$.

Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following.

Theorem 2. *Let Ψ be as in (3.3). Then S_{Ψ} is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{H})$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ if Ω is in $L(\log L)^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ and satisfies (3.1).*

Extrapolation arguments using the following estimates can prove Theorem 2 (see [32]).

Theorem 3. *Suppose that Ψ is as in (3.3) with Ω belonging to $L^s(\Sigma)$ for some $s \in (1, 2]$ and satisfying (3.1). Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$\|S_{\Psi} f\|_p \leq C_p (s-1)^{-1/2} \|\Omega\|_s \|f\|_p,$$

where the constant C_p is independent of s and Ω .

For $F \in L(\log L)^a(\Sigma)$, $a > 0$, recall that

$$\|F\|_{L(\log L)^a} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \left[\log \left(2 + \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^a dS \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then, under the assumptions of Theorem 2, we can in fact prove that

$$(3.4) \quad \|S_{\Psi}f\|_p \leq C_p \|\Omega\|_{L(\log L)^{1/2}} \|f\|_p$$

for a constant C_p independent of Ω , which is not stated explicitly in Theorem 2. We shall give a proof of (3.4) in Section 6 by applying Theorem 3.

To prove Theorem 3 we apply certain vector valued inequalities, which will be controlled by a maximal function of the form

$$M_{\psi}(f)(x) = \sup_{t>0} |f * |\psi|_t(x)|.$$

Lemma 1. *Let Ψ be as in (3.3) and $p > 1$. Suppose that Ω is in $L^1(\Sigma)$. Then*

$$\|M_{\Psi}f\|_p \leq C_p \|\Omega\|_1 \|f\|_p.$$

For $\theta \in \Sigma$, let

$$M_{\theta}f(x) = \sup_{s>0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s |f(x(A_t\theta)^{-1})| dt$$

be the maximal function on $\mathbb{H}$ along a curve homogeneous with respect to the dilation A_t . To prove Lemma 1, we apply a result of M. Christ [7].

Lemma 2. *Let $p > 1$. Then, there exists a constant C_p independent of θ such that*

$$\|M_{\theta}f\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p.$$

We can easily prove Lemma 1 by applying Lemma 2.

Proof of Lemma 1. By a change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f * |\Psi|_t(x) &= \int f(xy^{-1}) |\Psi|_t(y) dy \\ &= \int_1^2 \int_{\Sigma} f(x(A_{st}\theta)^{-1}) |\Omega(\theta)\ell(s)| s^{-1} dS(\theta) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$M_{\Psi}f(x) \leq C \|\ell\|_{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} M_{\theta}f(x) |\Omega(\theta)| dS(\theta).$$

Thus, Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 2 imply the conclusion. $\square$

As indicated in [7], if we consider the Heisenberg group with 2-step dilation, then Lemma 2 can be proved by the boundedness of a maximal function along a curve in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see (7.5)), which was studied by [40]. In Section 7, we shall give a straightforward proof of this fact.

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2((0, \infty), dt/t)$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho \geq 2$ we consider an operator T_k defined by

$$(T_k(f)(x))(t) = T_k(f)(x, t) = f * \Psi_t(x) \chi_{[1, \rho)}(\rho^{-k}t),$$

where Ψ is as in (3.3). The operator T_k maps functions on $\mathbb{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ -valued functions on $\mathbb{H}$ and we see that

$$|T_k(f)(x)|_{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\int_{\rho^k}^{\rho^{k+1}} |f * \Psi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_1^\rho |f * \Psi_{\rho^k t}(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 1, we have the following vector valued inequality, which will be useful in proving Theorem 3.

Lemma 3. *Let $1 < s < \infty$. Then*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_k |T_k(f_k)|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_s \leq C(\log \rho)^{1/2} \|\Omega\|_1 \left\| \left(\sum_k |f_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_s.$$

We can apply the converse of Hölder's inequality and Lemma 1 to prove this (see [13]).

§ 4. Outline of the proof of Theorem 3

Let ϕ be a C^∞ function supported in $\{1/2 < r(x) < 1\}$ such that $\int \phi = 1$, $\phi(x) = \tilde{\phi}(x)$, $\phi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{H}$. For $\rho \geq 2$, we define

$$\Delta_k = \delta_{\rho^{k-1}} \phi - \delta_{\rho^k} \phi, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, $\text{supp}(\Delta_k) \subset \{\rho^{k-1}/2 < r(x) < \rho^k\}$, $\Delta_k = \tilde{\Delta}_k$ and

$$\sum_k \Delta_k = \delta,$$

where δ is the delta function.

We decompose

$$f * \Psi_t(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_j(x, t),$$

where

$$F_j(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f * \Delta_{j+k} * \Psi_t(x) \chi_{[\rho^k, \rho^{k+1})}(t).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_j f(x) &= \left(\int_0^\infty |F_j(x, t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^\rho |f * \Delta_{j+k} * \Psi_{\rho^k t}|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_k |T_k(f * \Delta_{j+k})|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $1 < s \leq 2$ and $\rho = 2^{s'}$. Then, there exist positive constants C, ϵ independent of s and $\Omega \in L^s(\Sigma)$ such that*

$$\|U_j f\|_2 \leq C(s-1)^{-1/2} 2^{-\epsilon|j|} \|\Omega\|_s \|f\|_2.$$

We choose $\psi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\psi_j) &\subset \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \rho^j \leq t \leq \rho^{j+2}\}, \quad \psi_j \geq 0, \\ \log 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_j(t) &= 1 \quad \text{for } t > 0, \\ |(d/dt)^m \psi_j(t)| &\leq c_m |t|^{-m} \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where c_m is a constant independent of $\rho \geq 2$. Decompose

$$\frac{\Omega(x')}{r(x)^\gamma} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_j(x),$$

where

$$S_j(x) = \int_0^\infty \psi_j(t) \delta_t K_0(x) \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{\Omega(x')}{r(x)^\gamma} \int_{1/2}^1 \psi_j(tr(x)) \frac{dt}{t}$$

with

$$K_0(x) = \frac{\Omega(x')}{r(x)^\gamma} \chi_{[1,2]}(r(x)).$$

We observe that S_j is supported in $\{\rho^j \leq r(x) \leq 2\rho^{j+2}\}$. Let

$$L_m^{(t)}(x) = \ell(t^{-1}r(x)) S_m(x).$$

Then by the restraint of the support of ℓ we have

$$\Psi_t(x) \chi_{[\rho^k, \rho^{k+1})}(t) = \sum_{m=k-3}^{k+3} L_m^{(t)}(x) \chi_{[\rho^k, \rho^{k+1})}(t).$$

Consequently,

$$F_j(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=k-3}^{k+3} f * \Delta_{j+k} * L_m^{(t)}(x) \chi_{[\rho^k, \rho^{k+1})}(t).$$

Using this expression of F_j and an analogue of the estimates in Lemma 1 of [33] (see also [9] for related results on product homogeneous groups), which can be proved by methods based on Tao [41], we can prove Lemma 4.

Now we are able to prove Theorem 3. First we recall the Littlewood-Paley inequality

$$\left\| \left(\sum_k |f * \Delta_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_r \leq C_r \|f\|_r, \quad 1 < r < \infty,$$

where C_r is independent of ρ . Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\rho = 2^{s'}$, $1 < s \leq 2$. By Lemma 3 and the Littlewood-Paley inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \|U_j(f)\|_r &= \left\| \left(\sum_k |T_k(f * \Delta_{j+k})|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_r \\ &\leq C(\log \rho)^{1/2} \|\Omega\|_1 \left\| \left(\sum_k |f * \Delta_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_r \\ &\leq C(\log \rho)^{1/2} \|\Omega\|_1 \|f\|_r \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in (1, \infty)$. Also, by Lemma 4

$$(4.2) \quad \|U_j f\|_2 \leq C(\log \rho)^{1/2} 2^{-\epsilon|j|} \|\Omega\|_s \|f\|_2.$$

Thus, interpolating between (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\|U_j f\|_p \leq C(\log \rho)^{1/2} 2^{-\epsilon|j|} \|\Omega\|_s \|f\|_p$$

with some $\epsilon > 0$, which implies

$$\|S_{\Psi} f\|_p \leq \sum_j \|U_j f\|_p \leq C_p (s-1)^{-1/2} \|\Omega\|_s \|f\|_p.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

§ 5. A proof of Proposition 1

Let

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} dx$$

be the Fourier transform of f , where

$$\langle x, \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \xi_j, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

To prove Proposition 1 we apply the following Fourier transform estimates.

Lemma 5. *Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that ψ is compactly supported and satisfies (2.1). Then*

$$\int_1^2 |\hat{\psi}(t\xi)|^2 dt \leq C \min(|\xi|^\epsilon, |\xi|^{-\epsilon}) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Also, we need the following.

Lemma 6. *Suppose that ψ is a function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support. Let $w \in A_1$. If $v = w$ or w^{-1} , then we have*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^2 |f * \psi_{t2^k}(x)|^2 dt v(x) dx \leq C \|f\|_{L_v^2}^2.$$

For a proof of Lemma 5 see [28].

Proof of Lemma 6. When $v = w$, Lemma 6 was proved in [28] (the author has learned from [12] that Lemma 6 is also valid for $v = w^{-1}$ and that it is useful for application). Now we recall the proof. We may assume that $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset \{|x| \leq 1\}$. Then, by Schwarz's inequality we see that

$$|f * \psi_t(x)|^2 \leq t^{-n} \|\psi\|_2^2 \int_{|y| < t} |f(x - y)|^2 dy.$$

Since $w \in A_1$, integration with respect to the measure $w(x) dx$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad \int |f * \psi_t(x)|^2 w(x) dx &\leq \|\psi\|_2^2 \int |f(y)|^2 t^{-n} \int_{|x-y| < t} w(x) dx dy \\ &\leq C_w \|\psi\|_2^2 \int |f(y)|^2 w(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in t . Also, by duality we can prove the uniform estimate

$$(5.2) \quad \int |f * \psi_t(x)|^2 w^{-1}(x) dx \leq C_w \|\psi\|_2^2 \int |f(y)|^2 w^{-1}(y) dy.$$

The conclusion easily follows from the estimates (5.1) and (5.2). □

We choose $\Psi \in C^\infty$ that is supported in $\{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ and satisfies

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi(2^j \xi) = 1 \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0.$$

Define

$$\widehat{D_j(f)}(\xi) = \Psi(2^j \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and decompose

$$f * \psi_t(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_j(x, t),$$

where

$$F_j(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{j+k}(f * \psi_t)(x) \chi_{[2^k, 2^{k+1})}(t).$$

Let

$$T_j(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_j(x, t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We write $A_j = \{2^{-1-j} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{1-j}\}$. Then, by the Plancherel theorem and Lemma 5 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad \|T_j(f)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} |D_{j+k}(f * \psi_t)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C \int_{A_{j+k}} \left(\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} |\hat{\psi}(t\xi)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C \int_{A_{j+k}} \min(|2^k \xi|^\epsilon, |2^k \xi|^{-\epsilon}) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C 2^{-\epsilon|j|} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{A_{j+k}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sets A_j are finitely overlapping, (5.3) implies that

$$(5.4) \quad \|T_j(f)\|_2^2 \leq C 2^{-\epsilon|j|} \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 = C 2^{-\epsilon|j|} \|f\|_2^2.$$

Let $w \in A_1$. If $v = w$ or w^{-1} , by Lemma 6 and the Littlewood-Paley inequality for L_v^2 (note that $v \in A_2$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad \|T_j(f)\|_{L_v^2}^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} |D_{j+k}(f * \psi_t)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} v(x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_{j+k}(f)(x)|^2 v(x) dx \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L_v^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by interpolation with change of measures between (5.4) and (5.5)

$$(5.6) \quad \|T_j(f)\|_{L_{v^a}^2} \leq C 2^{-\epsilon(1-a)|j|/2} \|f\|_{L_{v^a}^2}$$

for $a \in (0, 1)$. Choosing a so that $w^{1/a} \in A_1$, by (5.6) we have

$$\|T_j(f)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C 2^{-\epsilon(1-a)|j|/2} \|f\|_{L_v^2}.$$

From this it follows that

$$(5.7) \quad \|S_\psi(f)\|_{L_v^2} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|T_j(f)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L_v^2}.$$

Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (see Section 2) and $M_s(f) = (M(|f|^s)(x))^{1/s}$. To prove Proposition 1, by Theorem D we may assume that $p < 2$. Now we apply the idea of [12]. If $1 < s < p/(2-p)$, then $M_s(|f|^{2-p})$ is in A_1 (we may assume that $0 < M_s(|f|^{2-p}) < \infty$) and M_s is bounded on $L^{p/(2-p)}$. Thus by Hölder's inequality and (5.7) with $v = M_s(|f|^{2-p})^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int S_\psi(f)(x)^p dx &= \int S_\psi(f)(x)^p M_s(|f|^{2-p})(x)^{-p/2} M_s(|f|^{2-p})(x)^{p/2} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int S_\psi(f)(x)^2 M_s(|f|^{2-p})(x)^{-1} dx \right)^{p/2} \left(\int M_s(|f|^{2-p})(x)^{p/(2-p)} dx \right)^{1-p/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int |f(x)|^2 M_s(|f|^{2-p})(x)^{-1} dx \right)^{p/2} \|f\|_p^{p(1-p/2)} \\ &\leq C \left(\int |f(x)|^2 |f(x)|^{p-2} dx \right)^{p/2} \|f\|_p^{p(1-p/2)} \\ &= C \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

§ 6. Proof of (3.4)

We can prove Theorem 2 by extrapolation arguments using Theorem 3. More specifically, we can prove the estimate (3.4).

Let $a > 0$. We define the space $\mathcal{N}_a(\Sigma)$ to be the class of the functions $F \in L^1(\Sigma)$ for which we can find a sequence $\{F_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of functions on Σ and a sequence $\{b_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of non-negative real numbers such that

- (1) $F = \sum_{m=1}^\infty b_m F_m$,
- (2) $\sup_{m \geq 1} \|F_m\|_{1+1/m} \leq 1$,
- (3) $\int_\Sigma F_m dS = 0$,
- (4) $\sum_{m=1}^\infty m^a b_m < \infty$.

For $F \in \mathcal{N}_a(\Sigma)$, let

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a} = \inf_{\{b_m\}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty m^a b_m,$$

where the infimum is taken over all such non-negative sequences $\{b_m\}$. We note that $\int_{\Sigma} F dS = 0$ if $F \in \mathcal{N}_a(\Sigma)$.

By well-known arguments we have the following (see [43, Chap. XII, pp. 119–120] for relevant results).

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $F \in L^1(\Sigma)$ and $a > 0$. Then, the following two statements (1), (2) are equivalent:*

(1) $F \in L(\log L)^a(\Sigma)$ and $\int_{\Sigma} F dS = 0$;

(2) $F \in \mathcal{N}_a(\Sigma)$.

Moreover,

(3) *there exist positive constants A, B such that*

$$\|F\|_{L(\log L)^a} \leq A\|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a}, \quad \|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a} \leq B\|F\|_{L(\log L)^a}$$

for $F \in \mathcal{N}_a(\Sigma)$.

To prove Proposition 2 we use the following two elementary results.

Lemma 7. *Let $1 < p < \infty, a > 0, x \geq 2$. Then, there exists a positive constant C_a depending only on a such that*

$$x(\log x)^a \leq C_a(p-1)^{-a}x^p.$$

This was also used in [32].

Lemma 8. *Let f be a continuous, non-negative, convex function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Suppose that a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k a_k$ converges, where $c_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \leq 1$, $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$f\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k a_k\right|\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k f(|a_k|).$$

Proof of Proposition 2. We first see that part (1) follows from part (2). Let $F \in \mathcal{N}_a(\Sigma)$. We have already noted that $\int_{\Sigma} F dS = 0$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a sequence $\{b_m\}$ of non-negative real numbers and a sequence $\{F_m\}$ of functions on Σ with the properties required in the definition of $\mathcal{N}_a(\Sigma)$ such that

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^a b_m < \|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a} + \epsilon.$$

Let $\lambda = \|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a} + \epsilon$. By Lemma 8 with $f(x) = x[\log(2+x)]^a$ and $c_k = b_k/\lambda$, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \left[\log \left(2 + \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^a dS \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1} b_m \int_{\Sigma} |F_m| [\log(2 + |F_m|)]^a dS.$$

It follows from Lemma 7 with $p = 1 + 1/m$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_m| [\log(2 + |F_m|)]^a &\leq C_a m^a (2 + |F_m|)^{1+1/m} \\ &\leq C_a m^a 2^{1/m} (2^{1+1/m} + |F_m|^{1+1/m}) \\ &\leq 2C_a m^a (4 + |F_m|^{1+1/m}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \left[\log \left(2 + \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^a dS &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1} b_m 2C_a m^a \int_{\Sigma} (4 + |F_m|^{1+1/m}) dS \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1} b_m 2C_a m^a (4S(\Sigma) + \|F_m\|_{1+1/m}^{1+1/m}) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1} b_m 2C_a m^a (4S(\Sigma) + 1) \\ &\leq 2C_a (4S(\Sigma) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that F belongs to $L(\log L)^a(\Sigma)$ and

$$\|F\|_{L(\log L)^a} \leq A\lambda = A(\|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a} + \epsilon)$$

for some $A > 0$. Letting ϵ tend to 0, we see that the first inequality of part (3) holds.

Next we prove that part (1) implies part (2). We take $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \left[\log \left(2 + \frac{|F|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^a dS \leq 1.$$

Let $F_{\lambda} = F/\lambda$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} U_m &= \{\theta \in \Sigma : 2^{m-1} < |F_{\lambda}(\theta)| \leq 2^m\} \quad \text{for } m \geq 2, \\ U_1 &= \{\theta \in \Sigma : |F_{\lambda}(\theta)| \leq 2\} \end{aligned}$$

and decompose $F_{\lambda} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_{\lambda,m}$, where

$$\tilde{F}_{\lambda,m} = F_{\lambda} \chi_{U_m} - S(\Sigma)^{-1} \int_{U_m} F_{\lambda} dS.$$

Note that $\int \tilde{F}_{\lambda,m} dS = 0$. If we put $e_m = S(U_m)$, $m \geq 1$, then

$$(6.1) \quad \|\tilde{F}_{\lambda,m}\|_{1+1/m} \leq 22^m e_m^{m/(m+1)} \quad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$

Define

$$F_{\lambda,m} = \begin{cases} 2^{-m-1} e_m^{-m/(m+1)} \tilde{F}_{\lambda,m}, & \text{if } e_m \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } e_m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $b_m = 2^{m+1}e_m^{m/(m+1)}$ for $m \geq 1$. Then

$$F_\lambda = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m F_{\lambda,m}, \quad \int_{\Sigma} F_{\lambda,m} dS = 0.$$

Also, by (6.1) we see that $\sup_{m \geq 1} \|F_{\lambda,m}\|_{1+1/m} \leq 1$. Furthermore, applying Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^a b_m &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^a 2^{m+1} e_m^{m/(m+1)} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m/(m+1)) m^a 2^{(m+1)(1+1/m)} e_m + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^a 2^{-m-1}/(m+1) \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^a 2^m e_m + C \\ &\leq C \int_{\Sigma} |F_\lambda| (\log(2 + |F_\lambda|))^a dS + C \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting results, we see that $F \in \mathcal{N}_a$ and, since $F = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda b_m F_{\lambda,m}$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^a b_m \geq \lambda^{-1} \|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a},$$

which combined with (6.2) implies that $\|F\|_{\mathcal{N}_a} \leq B\lambda$ for some $B > 0$. So, taking the infimum over λ , we get the second inequality of part (3). $\square$

Let Ω and Ψ be as in Theorem 2. By Proposition 2 we can decompose Ω as

$$\Omega = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \Omega_m,$$

where $\sup_{m \geq 1} \|\Omega_m\|_{1+1/m} \leq 1$ and each Ω_m satisfies (3.1), while $\{b_m\}$ is a sequence of non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{1/2} b_m < \infty$. Accordingly,

$$\Psi = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Psi_m, \quad \Psi_m(x) = b_m \ell(r(x)) \frac{\Omega_m(x')}{r(x)^\gamma}.$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$. By Theorem 3 with $s = 1 + 1/m$ we have

$$\|S_{\Psi_m} f\|_p \leq C_p m^{1/2} b_m \|\Omega_m\|_{1+1/m} \|f\|_p \leq C_p m^{1/2} b_m \|f\|_p,$$

which implies

$$\|S_\Psi f\|_p \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|S_{\Psi_m} f\|_p \leq C_p \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{1/2} b_m \right) \|f\|_p.$$

Taking the infimum over $\{b_m\}$ and applying Proposition 2, we get

$$\|S_\Psi f\|_p \leq C_p \|\Omega\|_{\mathcal{N}_{1/2}} \|f\|_p \leq C_p B \|\Omega\|_{L(\log L)^{1/2}} \|f\|_p.$$

This completes the proof of (3.4).

§ 7. Maximal functions on the Heisenberg group with two-step dilation

We give a proof of Lemma 2 for the maximal function M_θ on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_1$ with 2-step dilation by applying the boundedness of the maximal function $\mathfrak{M}g$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see (7.5)).

Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \in S^2$ and $d_\theta = |\theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3|$. We may assume that $d_\theta \neq 0$. Let

$$T_\theta x = (\theta_1^{-1} x_1, \theta_2^{-1} x_2, \theta_3^{-1} x_3).$$

It is convenient to define a group law $u \circ_\theta v$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ so that

$$T_\theta x \circ_\theta T_\theta y = T_\theta(xy).$$

If $u = T_\theta x$, $v = T_\theta y$, this requires that

$$\begin{aligned} u \circ_\theta v &= T_\theta x \circ_\theta T_\theta y = T_\theta(xy) \\ &= T_\theta(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3 + (x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2)/2) \\ &= (\theta_1^{-1}(x_1 + y_1), \theta_2^{-1}(x_2 + y_2), \theta_3^{-1}(x_3 + y_3) + \theta_3^{-1}(x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2)/2) \\ &= (u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, u_3 + v_3 + (2\theta_3)^{-1} \theta_1 \theta_2 (u_1 v_2 - v_1 u_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_t x = (tx_1, tx_2, t^2 x_3)$, if $a(t) = (t, t, t^2)$,

$$f(x(A_t \theta)^{-1}) = f(T_\theta^{-1}((T_\theta x) \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1})) = f_\theta((T_\theta x) \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1}),$$

where $f_\theta(x) = f(T_\theta^{-1}x)$ and $a(t)^{-1} = (-t, -t, -t^2)$. Thus, by a change of variables, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |f(x(A_t \theta)^{-1})| dt \right)^p dx = d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |f_\theta(y \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1})| dt \right)^p dy.$$

Let $c_\theta = (2\theta_3)^{-1} \theta_1 \theta_2$. Then we note that

$$y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (0, y_2 - y_1, 0) \circ_\theta (y_1, y_1, y_3 + c_\theta y_1(y_2 - y_1)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (7.2) \quad y \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1} &= ((0, y_2 - y_1, 0) \circ_\theta (y_1, y_1, y_3 + c_\theta y_1(y_2 - y_1))) \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1} \\ &= (0, y_2 - y_1, 0) \circ_\theta ((y_1, y_1, y_3 + c_\theta y_1(y_2 - y_1)) \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By (7.1) and (7.2), applying a change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.3) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |f(x(A_t\theta)^{-1})| dt \right)^p dx \\
 &= d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |f_\theta((0, y_2 - y_1, 0) \circ_\theta ((y_1, y_1, y_3 + c_\theta y_1(y_2 - y_1)) \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1}))| dt \right)^p dy \\
 &= d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |f_\theta((0, y_2, 0) \circ_\theta ((y_1, y_1, y_3) \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1}))| dt \right)^p dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$(y_1, y_1, y_3) \circ_\theta a(t)^{-1} = (y_1 - t, y_1 - t, y_3 - t^2).$$

Thus (7.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.4) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |f(x(A_t\theta)^{-1})| dt \right)^p dx \\
 &= d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |f_\theta((0, y_2, 0) \circ_\theta (y_1 - t, y_1 - t, y_3 - t^2))| dt \right)^p dy \\
 &= d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathfrak{M}f_{\theta, y_2}(y_1, y_3))^p dy_1 dy_3 \right) dy_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\theta, y_2}(y_1, y_3) = f_\theta((0, y_2, 0) \circ_\theta (y_1, y_1, y_3))$ and

$$(7.5) \quad \mathfrak{M}g(y_1, y_3) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |g(y_1 - t, y_3 - t^2)| dt.$$

It is known that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad p > 1$$

(see [40]). Applying this and a change of variables, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.6) \quad & d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathfrak{M}f_{\theta, y_2}(y_1, y_3))^p dy_1 dy_3 \right) dy_2 \\
 &\leq C_p^p d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_{\theta, y_2}(y_1, y_3)|^p dy_1 dy_3 \right) dy_2 \\
 &= C_p^p d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} |f_\theta(y_1, y_1 + y_2, y_3 - c_\theta y_1 y_2)|^p dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \\
 &= C_p^p d_\theta \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} |f_\theta(y)|^p dy \\
 &= C_p^p \int_{\mathbb{H}_1} |f(y)|^p dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (7.4) and (7.6), we get the conclusion.

§ 8. Littlewood-Paley operators related to Bochner-Riesz means and spherical means

Let

$$S_R^\delta(f)(x) = \int_{|\xi| < R} \widehat{f}(\xi) (1 - R^{-2}|\xi|^2)^\delta e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi = H_{R^{-1}}^\delta * f(x)$$

be the Bochner-Riesz mean of order δ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\delta > -1$, where

$$H^\delta(x) = \pi^{-\delta} \Gamma(\delta + 1) |x|^{-(n/2+\delta)} J_{n/2+\delta}(2\pi|x|)$$

with J_ν denoting the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν .

For $\beta > 0$, let

$$M_t^\beta(f)(x) = c_\beta t^{-n} \int_{|y| < t} (1 - t^{-2}|y|^2)^{\beta-1} f(x-y) dy,$$

where

$$c_\beta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\beta)}.$$

By taking the Fourier transform, we can embed these operators in an analytic family of operators in β so that

$$M_t^0(f)(x) = c \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty) d\sigma(y).$$

Now we define a Littlewood-Paley operator σ_δ , $\delta > 0$, from the Bochner-Riesz means as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\delta(f)(x) &= \left(\int_0^\infty |(\partial/\partial R) S_R^\delta(f)(x)|^2 R dR \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty |-2\delta (S_R^\delta(f)(x) - S_R^{\delta-1}(f)(x))|^2 \frac{dR}{R} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and also another Littlewood-Paley operator ν_β , $\beta + n/2 - 1 > 0$, from the spherical means as

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\beta(f)(x) &= \left(\int_0^\infty |(\partial/\partial t) M_t^\beta(f)(x)|^2 t dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty |-2(\beta + n/2 - 1) (M_t^\beta(f)(x) - M_t^{\beta-1}(f)(x))|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

These Littlewood-Paley functions are related as follows.

Theorem H. *Suppose that $\delta = \beta + n/2 - 1 > 0$. Then, there exist positive constants A, B such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the Schwartz space) we have*

$$\sigma_\delta(f)(x) \leq A \nu_\beta(f)(x), \quad \nu_\beta(f)(x) \leq B \sigma_\delta(f)(x).$$

This was proved by Kaneko and Sunouchi [21].

Also, we recall a result of Carbery, Rubio de Francia and Vega [5].

Theorem I. *If $\delta > 1/2$ and $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\sigma_\delta(f)(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx \leq C_{\delta, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx.$$

See Rubio de Francia [27] for a different proof. Theorems H and I imply the following.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that $\beta > 3/2 - n/2$ and $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nu_\beta(f)(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx \leq C_{\beta, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx.$$

Let

$$M_*^\beta(f)(x) = \sup_{t>0} \left| M_t^\beta(f)(x) \right|.$$

The following weighted L^2 estimate can be deduced from Proposition 3.

Proposition 4. *Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 3/2 - n/2$ and $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| M_*^{\beta-1/2}(f)(x) \right|^2 |x|^\alpha dx \leq C_{\beta, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx.$$

This is due to [38] when $\alpha = 0$.

To prove Proposition 4 we use the following relation.

Lemma 9. *If $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > \operatorname{Re}(\alpha') > -n/2$,*

$$M_t^\alpha(f)(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + n/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \alpha')\Gamma(\alpha' + n/2)} \int_0^1 M_{st}^{\alpha'}(f)(x) (1-s^2)^{\alpha-\alpha'-1} s^{n+2\alpha'-1} ds.$$

See [38] and [40, p. 1270].

Proof of Proposition 4. Let k be the smallest non-negative integer such that $1 < \operatorname{Re}(\beta) + k$. Let $3/2 - n/2 < \eta < \operatorname{Re}(\beta)$. Then, by Lemma 9 and the Schwarz inequality we have

$$M_*^{\beta-1/2}(f)(x) \leq C M^{\eta-1}(f)(x),$$

where

$$M^{\eta-1}(f)(x) = \sup_{t>0} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |M_s^{\eta-1}(f)(x)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

Also, we easily see that

$$M^{\eta-1}(f)(x) \leq C\nu_\eta(f)(x) + C\nu_{\eta+1}(f)(x) + \cdots + C\nu_{\eta+k}(f)(x) + CM^{\eta+k}(f)(x).$$

Note that $M^{\eta+k}(f)$ is bounded by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function if η is sufficiently close to $\operatorname{Re}(\beta)$. Thus, applying Proposition 3, we get the weighted inequality as claimed. $\square$

Define the spherical maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = \sup_{t>0} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ty) d\sigma(y) \right|.$$

We note that $\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = cM_*(f)(x)$. The following weighted norm inequality for $\mathcal{M}$ is due to Duoandikoetxea and Vega [15].

Theorem J. *Suppose that $n \geq 2$ and $n/(n-1) < p$. Then the inequality*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{M}(f)(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx$$

holds for $1 - n < \alpha < p(n-1) - n$.

This was partly proved by Rubio de Francia [26].

When $\alpha = 0$, Theorem J was proved by Stein [38] for $n \geq 3$ and by Bourgain [3] for $n = 2$. We can find in Sogge [35] a proof of the result of Bourgain which has some features in common with a proof, also given in [35], of Carbery's result [4] for the maximal Bochner-Riesz operator on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We can give a different proof of Theorem J when $n \geq 3$, $1 - n < \alpha \leq 0$ and $p > n/(n-1)$ by applying Proposition 4. To see this, first we note that

$$(8.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_*^\beta(f)(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx$$

when $1 < p < \infty$, $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq 1$, since $M_*^\beta(f)$ is pointwise bounded by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. On the other hand, by Proposition 4 we have

$$(8.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_*^\beta(f)(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx,$$

if $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > (2-n)/2$ and $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$. By an interpolation argument involving (8.1) and (8.2), we see that for any $p > n/(n-1)$ and $\alpha \in (1-n, 0)$, there exist $r \in (n/(n-1), p)$ and $\tau \in (1-n, \alpha)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{M}(f)(x)|^r |x|^\tau dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^r |x|^\tau dx.$$

Interpolating between this estimate and the unweighted L^r estimate for $\mathcal{M}$, since $\tau < \alpha < 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{M}(f)(x)|^r |x|^\alpha dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^r |x|^\alpha dx.$$

Since $r < p < \infty$, interpolating between this and the obvious $L^\infty(|x|^\alpha)$ estimate for $\mathcal{M}$, we get the $L^p(|x|^\alpha)$ boundedness of $\mathcal{M}$ as claimed. (A similar argument can be found in [29]; see also [30].)

Finally, we prove Theorem J when $n \geq 2$, $0 \leq \alpha < p(n-1)-n$ and $p > n/(n-1)$ by the methods of [15]. We write $w_\alpha(x) = |x|^\alpha$. It is known that the pointwise inequality $\mathcal{M}(w_\alpha) \leq Cw_\alpha$ holds if and only if $\alpha \in (1-n, 0]$ (see [15]). Let

$$T_\alpha(g) = w_\alpha^{-1} \mathcal{M}(w_\alpha g)$$

for $\alpha \in (1-n, 0]$. Then, T_α is bounded on L^∞ , as we see that

$$(8.3) \quad \|T_\alpha(g)\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty \|w_\alpha^{-1} \mathcal{M}(w_\alpha)\|_\infty \leq C \|g\|_\infty.$$

Let $r \in (n/(n-1), p)$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on L^r , we have

$$(8.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_\alpha(g)(x)|^r w_\alpha^r(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{M}(w_\alpha g)(x)|^r dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|^r w_\alpha^r(x) dx.$$

Interpolation between (8.3) and (8.4) will imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_\alpha(g)(x)|^p w_\alpha^r(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|^p w_\alpha^r(x) dx.$$

This can be expressed as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{M}(f)(x)|^p w_\alpha^{r-p}(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w_\alpha^{r-p}(x) dx$$

for any $\alpha \in (1-n, 0]$ and $r \in (n/(n-1), p)$, which implies the result as claimed.

References

- [1] A. Al-Salman, H. Al-Qassem, L. C. Cheng and Y. Pan, L^p bounds for the function of Marcinkiewicz, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), 697–700.

- [2] A. Benedek, A. P. Calderón and R. Panzone, *Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **48** (1962), 356–365.
- [3] J. Bourgain, *Averages in the plane over convex curves and maximal operators*, J. Analyse Math. **47** (1986), 69–85.
- [4] A. Carbery, *The boundedness of the maximal Bochner-Riesz operator on $L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$* , Duke Math. J. **50** (1983), 409–416.
- [5] A. Carbery, J. L. Rubio de Francia and L. Vega, *Almost everywhere summability of Fourier integrals*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **38** (1988), 513–524.
- [6] L. C. Cheng, *On Littlewood-Paley functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 3241–3247.
- [7] M. Christ, *Hilbert transforms along curves I. Nilpotent groups*, Ann. of Math. **122** (1985), 575–596.
- [8] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Astérisque no. 57, Soc. Math. France, 1978.
- [9] Y. Ding and S. Sato, *Singular integrals on product homogeneous groups*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, **76** (2013), 55–79.
- [10] Y. Ding and S. Sato, *Littlewood-Paley functions on homogeneous groups*, preprint, 2013.
- [11] Y. Ding and X. Wu, *Littlewood-Paley g -functions with rough kernels on homogeneous groups*, Studia Math. **195** (2009), 51–86.
- [12] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Sharp L^p boundedness for a class of square functions*, Rev Mat Complut **26** (2013), 535–548.
- [13] J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 541–561.
- [14] J. Duoandikoetxea and E. Seijo, *Weighted inequalities for rough square functions through extrapolation*, Studia Math. **149** (2002), 239–252.
- [15] J. Duoandikoetxea and L. Vega, *Spherical means and weighted inequalities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **53** (1996), 343–353.
- [16] D. Fan and S. Sato, *Weak type $(1,1)$ estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernels*, Tôhoku Math. J. **53** (2001), 265–284.
- [17] D. Fan and S. Sato, *Remarks on Littlewood-Paley functions and singular integrals*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **54** (2002), 565–585.
- [18] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J. 1982.
- [19] L. Hörmander, *Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces*, Acta Math. **104** (1960), 93–139.
- [20] J.-L. Journé, *Calderón-Zygmund Operators, Pseudo-Differential Operators and the Cauchy Integral of Calderón*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 994, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [21] M. Kaneko and G. Sunouchi, *On the Littlewood-Paley and Marcinkiewicz functions in higher dimensions*, Tôhoku Math. J. **37** (1985), 343–365.
- [22] J. E. Littlewood and R.E.A.C. Paley, *Theorems on Fourier series and power series*, J. London Math. Soc. **6** (1931), 230–233.
- [23] J. E. Littlewood and R.E.A.C. Paley, *Theorems on Fourier series and power series (II)*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **42** (1936), 52–89.
- [24] J. E. Littlewood and R.E.A.C. Paley, *Theorems on Fourier series and power series (III)*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **43** (1937), 105–126.
- [25] A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, *Lectures on Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Mathematical Notes 24, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1979.

- [26] J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities for homogeneous families of operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 781–790.
- [27] J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Transference principles for radial multipliers*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), 1–19.
- [28] S. Sato, *Remarks on square functions in the Littlewood-Paley theory*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **58** (1998), 199–211.
- [29] S. Sato, *Some weighted estimates for Littlewood-Paley functions and radial multipliers*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **278** (2003), 308–323.
- [30] S. Sato, *Singular integrals and Littlewood-Paley functions*, Selected papers on differential equations and analysis, Translations. Series 2. **215** (2005), 57–78, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [31] S. Sato, *Estimates for Littlewood-Paley functions and extrapolation*, Integr. equ. oper. theory **62** (2008), 429–440.
- [32] S. Sato, *A note on L^p estimates for singular integrals*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **71** (2010), 343–348.
- [33] S. Sato, *Estimates for singular integrals on homogeneous groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **400** (2013) 311–330.
- [34] A. Seeger, *Singular integral operators with rough convolution kernels*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 95–105.
- [35] C. D. Sogge *Fourier Integrals in Classical Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1993
- [36] E. M. Stein, *On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 430–466.
- [37] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.
- [38] E. M. Stein, *Maximal functions: Spherical means*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **73** (1976), 2174–2175.
- [39] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [40] E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 1239–1295.
- [41] T. Tao, *The weak-type $(1, 1)$ of $L \log L$ homogeneous convolution operator*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), 1547–1584.
- [42] T. Walsh, *On the function of Marcinkiewicz*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 203–217.
- [43] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, New York and Melbourne, 1977.