

58

On the Higman-Sims simple group of

$$\text{order } 44,352,000 = 2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$$

$$= 176 \cdot 175 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 2$$

木村 浩 (北大理)

Let  $y$  be a field automorphism of  $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)$  of order 2, where  $q$  is a power of an odd prime number.

Theorem. Let  $G$  be a 2-transitive group on  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n$  is even. If  $G_{1,2}$  is  $\text{PGL}(2, q^2) \langle y \rangle$ , then either

(1)  $G$  has a RNS, or

(2)  $q = 3$ ,  $n = 176$  and  $G$  is the Higman-Sims simple group.

I gave an outline of a proof of this theorem

Notation: Let  $X$  be a subset of a permutation group  $Y$ .

Let  $F(X)$  denote the set of all fixed points of  $X$  and  $\alpha(X)$  be the number of points in  $F(X)$ .  $N_Y(X)$  acts on  $F(X)$ .

Let  $\chi_1(X)$  and  $\chi(X)$  be the kernel of this representation and its image, respectively.

# 1. Properties of $\text{PGL}(2, q^2) \langle y \rangle$ .

Let  $t'$  be an element of  $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)$  of order  $q^2 - 1$  and  $x$  be an involution of  $\text{PSL}(2, q^2)$  which normalizes  $\langle t' \rangle$ . Set  $\langle s \rangle = O_2(\langle t' \rangle)$  and  $\langle t \rangle = O(\langle t' \rangle)$ . We may assume  $\langle t' \rangle^y = \langle t' \rangle$  and  $[x, y] = 1$ . Let  $\tau$  be a unique involution of  $\langle s \rangle$ .

(1.1)  $\langle y, s \rangle$  is quasi-dihedral if  $4 \nmid q-1$  and

$\langle yx, s \rangle$  is quasi-dihedral if  $4 \mid q-1$

(1.2)  $\text{PGL}(2, q^2) \langle y \rangle$  has 3 classes of involutions.

$\tau$ ,  $y$  and  $xs$  are representatives of these classes.

2. Let  $I$  be an involution of  $G$  with the cycle structure  $(1, 2) \dots$ . Then  $I$  normalizes  $G_{1,2}$  and we may assume  $[I, G_{1,2}] = 1$ . By (1.2) every involution of  $G$  is conjugate to  $I$ ,  $I\tau$ ,  $Ixs$  or  $Iy$ .
3. Conjugation of involutions.

Lemma 3.1.  $\tau \sim y, xs$ .

Lemma 3.2.  $y \sim xs$

By Lemma 3.1 and a theorem of Witt  $\chi(\tau)$  is 2-transitive on  $F(\tau)$ .

4. Structure of  $\chi(\tau)$ .

Let  $\bar{g}$  denote the image in  $\chi(\tau)$  of an element  $g$  of  $C_G(\tau)$ .

Lemma 4.1.  $\chi(\bar{x})$  is 2-transitive on  $F(\bar{x})$ .

Let  $T$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\chi_1(\tau)$  contained in  $S = \langle x, s, y \rangle$ .

By the structure of  $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$  we have the following cases.

(I)  $s^2 \notin T \triangleleft \langle s \rangle$

(II)  $s^2 \in T \triangleleft \langle s \rangle$

(III)  $T \subset \langle s \rangle$ .

Lemma 4.5.  $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS} \Rightarrow I \sim \tau$ .

Lemma 4.6.  $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS} \Rightarrow q = 3$

$Iy \sim \tau$

Lemma 4.7.  $\alpha(\tau)$  is a power of 2  $\Rightarrow IXs \sim \tau$ .

Lemma 4.12.  $q = 3$  or  $\bar{t} \neq 1$ .

Lemma 4.4. In the case (I)  $T = \langle xys^j \rangle$  or  $\langle ys^j \rangle$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [s^j, xy] = 1 & & [y, s^j] = 1 \end{array}$$

where  $|s^j| = 4$ , and  $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS}$ .

5. The case (I)

Lemma 5.1.  $q = 3 \Rightarrow G$  is H-S

Lemma 5.2.  $q \neq 3 \Rightarrow G \supset \text{RNS}$ .

6. The case (II)

There is no group satisfying the conditions in Theorem.

7. The case (III).

Lemma 7.10.  $T = \langle \tau \rangle$ .

Lemma 7.11.  $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS} \Rightarrow q \neq 3$ .

Lemma 7.12.  $\chi(\bar{x}) \supset \text{RNS}$ .

From these lemmas we have that  $G$  has a RNS.

8. By the proof of Theorem we have the following.

Corollary. Let  $G$  be as in Theorem. If  $G$  has a RNS, the following conditions are satisfied.

$$(1) \quad n = \alpha(\tau)^2 = \alpha(y)^2.$$

$$(2) \quad \bar{t} \neq 1, \text{ and}$$

$$(3) \quad \chi_1(\tau) = \langle xys' \rangle \text{ if } \langle y, s \rangle \text{ is quasi-dihedral,}$$

$$\chi_1(\tau) = \langle ys' \rangle \text{ if } \langle xy, s \rangle \text{ is quasi-dihedral}$$

or

$$\chi_1(\tau) = \langle \tau \rangle, \text{ where } s' \text{ is an element of } \langle s \rangle \text{ of order } 4.$$

Important theorems for the proof of Theorem are the following:

1. Theorems of Aschbacher.

- (i) Doubly transitive groups in which the stabilizer of two points is abelian, J. Alg. 18 (1971).

(ii) 2-transitive groups whose 2-point stabilizer has 2-rank 1, J. Alg. 36 (1975).

2. A theorem of Baer.

Engelsche Elemente noethersche Gruppen, Math. Ann. 133 (1957).

3. A Theorem of Bender.

Endliche  $z$  weifach transitive Permutationsgruppen, deren Involutionen keine Fixpunkte haben, Math. Z. 104 (1968).

4. A theorem of Huppert.

$Z$  weifach transitive, auflösbare Permutationsgruppen, Math. Z. 68 (1957).

5. Degree formula (Ito-Nagao-Kimura).

Let  $G$  be a 2-transitive group on  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\zeta$  be an involution of  $G_{1,2}$ . Let  $\beta(\zeta)$  be the number of involutions of  $G$  with cycle structures  $(1,2)\dots$  which are conjugate to  $\zeta$  and  $\gamma(\zeta)$  be the number of involutions of  $G_{1,2}$  which are conjugate to  $\zeta$ . Then

$$n = \frac{\beta(\zeta)}{\gamma(\zeta)} \alpha(\zeta)(\alpha(\zeta)-1) + \alpha(\zeta), \text{ and}$$

$$|C_G(\zeta)| = \alpha(\zeta)(\alpha(\zeta)-1) \frac{|G_{1,2}|}{\gamma(\zeta)}.$$

Degree formula is useful in a proof of Theorem.

As an example we shall prove Lemma 3.1. and the case (I).

Proof of Lemma 3.1.

|                              | $\tau$                 | $y$        | $xs$                   |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| $ G_{1,2}: C_{G_{1,2}}(\ ) $ | $\frac{q^2(q^2+1)}{2}$ | $q(q^2+1)$ | $\frac{q^2(q^2-1)}{2}$ |
| $(q = 3)$                    | 45                     | 30         | 36                     |

(1) Assume  $\tau \sim y \not\sim xs$ . Since  $\gamma(\tau) = |G_{1,2}|(1/4(q^2 - 1) + 1/2q(q^2 - 1)) = |G_{1,2}|(q + 2)/4q(q^2 - 1)$ ,

$$|C_G(\tau)| = 4i(1 - 1)q(q^2 - 1)/(q + 2) \text{ by the degree formula.}$$

We next prove  $\chi(\tau)$  is a rank 3 permutation group on  $F(\tau)$  with subdegrees 1,  $q(1 - 1)/q + 2$  and  $2(1 - 1)/q + 2$ . Consider the length of the  $C_{G_1}(\tau)$ -orbit containing the point 2.

$$|2^{C_{G_1}(\tau)}| = |C_{G_1}(\tau) : C_{G_{1,2}}(\tau)|.$$

$$= |C_G(\tau) : C_{G_{1,2}}(\tau)| / |C_G(\tau) : C_{G_1}(\tau)|$$

$$= i(1 - 1)q/i'(q + 2), \text{ where } i' = |C_G(\tau) : C_{G_1}(\tau)|. \text{ Similarly}$$

$$|2^{C_{G_1}(y)}| = 2i(1 - 1)/i''(q + 2), \text{ where } i'' = |C_G(y) : C_{G_1}(y)|.$$

Set  $y^g = \tau$  with  $g$  in  $G$ . If  $1^{C_G(\tau)}$  and  $(1^{C_G(y)})^g$  are different  $C_G(\tau)$ -orbits, then  $i - 1 \leq i(1 - 1)q/i'(q + 2)$

$+ 2i(1 - 1)/i''(q + 2) \leq i - 2$ , a contradiction. Thus

$$1^{C_G(\tau)} = (1^{C_G(y)})^g (= 1^{gC_G(\tau)}) \text{ and } i' = i''. \text{ Since } |2^{C_{G_1}(\tau)}|$$

$\neq |2^{C_{G_1}(y)g}|$ ,  $C_{G_1}(\tau)$  has at least three orbits of length 1,

$$|2^{C_{G_1}(\tau)}| \text{ and } |2^{C_{G_1}(y)}|. \text{ Thus } i - 1 \leq i(1 - 1)q/i'(q + 2)$$

$+ 2i(1 - 1)/i'(q + 2) \leq i - 1$ . Hence  $i = i'$  and  $\chi(\tau)$  is of

rank 3.  $C_{G_{1,2}}(y)$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $C_{G_1}(\tau)$ . Since

$\langle s, x, y \rangle$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_{G_1}(\tau)$  and  $u^2 = \tau$  for every element  $u$  in  $\langle s, x, y \rangle$  of order 4, a square of every element in  $C_{G_{1,2}}(y)$  of order 4 is  $y$ . On the other hand  $C_{G_{1,2}}(y)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PGL}(2, q) \times \langle y \rangle$ , a contradiction.

(2). Assume  $\tau \sim xs \sim y$ . As in the case (1),  $\chi(\tau)$  is also of rank 3. Thus  $C_{G_{1,2}}(xs)$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $C_{G_1}(\tau)$ . A Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_{G_{1,2}}(xs)$  is  $\langle xs \rangle \times Z_4$ , which is a contradiction.

(3). Assume  $\tau \sim y \sim xs$ . As in the case (1),  $\chi(\tau)$  is of rank 4 and we have also a contradiction. This proves the lemma.

## The case I

Lemma 5.1. In the case I  $G$  is the Higman-Sims simple group if  $\bar{t} = 1$ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.12  $q = 3$ . By Lemma 4.4  $T$  is  $\langle xys^2 \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{x}, \bar{s} \rangle$  is dihedral of order 8 and  $\chi(\tau)$  has a RNS. Since  $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$  is non-abelian,  $\chi(\tau)$  is not solvable by [7]. By Lemma 4.1  $\chi(\tau)_1$  has two classes of involutions. By [5. Theorem 7.7.3]  $\chi(\tau)_1$  has a subgroup  $\bar{X}$  of index 2 and  $\bar{X}_{1,2}$  is a four-group. By [1]  $\chi(\tau)$  is a semi-direct product of  $V$  by  $\text{PSL}(2, 4)$ , where  $V$  is a 2-dimensional vector space over the field  $\text{GF}(4)$  of 4 elements, and  $i = 16$ . By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7  $\tau$  is not conjugate to  $I$  or  $Ixs$ .

By Lemma 3.3  $\chi(y)$  is a rank 3 group on  $F(y)$  with subdegrees 1,  $5(\alpha(y) - 1)/11$  and  $6(\alpha(y) - 1)/11$ . Set  $\alpha(y) = 11m + 1$ . If  $\tau \sim I\tau \sim Iy$ ,  $\gamma(\tau) = \beta(\tau)$  and  $n = 16^2$ . Since  $\gamma(y) = 66$ , by the degree formula  $11m(11m + 1) \beta(y)/66 + 11m + 1 = 16^2$ , where  $\beta(y)$  is a sum of elements in a subset of  $\{1, 30, 36\}$ . A calculation yields that there exists no integer  $m$  satisfying the above condition. Similarly we have a contradiction in the case  $\tau \sim I\tau \sim Iy$ . Thus  $\tau \sim Iy \not\sim I\tau$ ,  $y \sim I \not\sim I\tau \not\sim Ixs$ ,  $\chi(y) = 12$  and  $n = 30 \cdot 16 \cdot 15/45 + 16 = 176$ .

Finally we shall prove the simplicity of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup. If  $N$  is Frobenius group,  $G$  has

a RNS and  $n$  must be a power of 2. Therefore  $N_{1,2}$  contains  $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$ . If  $N_{1,2} = \text{PSL}(2, 9)$ , the image in  $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$  of  $C_N(\tau)_{1,2}$  is  $\langle \bar{x}, \bar{s}^2 \rangle$  since  $\chi_1(\tau) = \langle xys^2 \rangle$ . We have  $\bar{x} \sim \bar{s}^2$  since  $\chi(\tau)_1 = \text{PFL}(2, 4)$ . This contradicts Lemma 4.1. If  $N_{1,2} = \text{PSL}(2, 9)\langle ys \rangle$ , the image in  $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$  of  $C_N(\tau)_{1,2}$  is isomorphic to  $\langle x, s^2, ys \rangle / \langle \tau \rangle$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N_{1,2}$  contains  $y$ . Since  $y \sim xs$ ,  $N_{1,2} = G_{1,2}$ . Since  $\chi(\tau)$  is generated by  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{s}\chi(\tau)$ , the image in  $\chi(\tau)$  of  $C_N(\tau)$  is  $\chi(\tau)$ , that is,  $C_N(\tau) = C_G(\tau)$ . Since  $Z(\langle x, s, y \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle$ ,  $N_{G_1}(\langle x, s, y \rangle)$  is contained in  $C_{G_1}(\tau)$ . By the Frattini argument  $G_1 = N_1 N_{G_1}(\langle x, s, y \rangle) = N_1$ . Thus  $G = N$ . By Parrott-Wong  $G$  is the Higman-Sims simple group. This completes a proof of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. In the case I  $G$  has a RNS if  $\bar{t} \neq 1$ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.4  $\chi(\tau)$  has a RNS. Therefore by Lemma 4.5-Lemma 4.7  $\tau$  is not conjugate to  $I$ ,  $Iy$  or  $Ixs$  and  $\tau \sim I\tau$ . By the degree formula  $n = i^2$ . By Lemma 3.2  $\gamma(y) = \text{even}$ . Since  $\alpha(y)$  is even,  $\beta(y)$  must be even by the degree formula. Thus  $y \sim I$  and  $\alpha(I) = 0$ . Assume  $\langle y, s \rangle$  is quasis-dihedral.  $\bar{I}$  is contained in a RNS of  $\chi(\tau)$ . By Lemma 4.1  $\bar{x}$  is not conjugate to  $\bar{s}^j$ . If  $\bar{s}^j \sim \bar{x}s$ ,  $\chi(\tau)_1$  has three classes of involutions, it is solvable by [5, Theorem 7.7.3] and so is  $\chi(\tau)$ . This contradicts [8]. Thus  $\bar{s}^j \not\sim \bar{x}s$ . Since  $[\chi(\tau)_{1,2} : C_{\chi(\tau)_{1,2}}(\bar{u})]$  is

even, where  $\bar{u}$  is  $\bar{x}$  or  $\overline{xs}$  and it is 1 for  $\bar{u} = \bar{s}^j$ ,  $\gamma(\bar{s}^j)$  is odd and  $\gamma(\bar{x})$  is even. By the degree formula  $\beta(\bar{s}^j)$  is odd and  $\beta(\bar{x})$  is even, that is,  $\overline{\bar{s}^j} \sim \bar{s}^j$ . Since  $Is^jT = \{Is^j, Is^j\tau, Ixy, Ixy\tau\}$  and  $s^jT = \{s^j, s^j\tau, xy, xy\tau\}$ ,  $Ixy \sim xy$  and hence  $Iy \sim y$ . Since  $xT = \{x, x\tau, ys^j, ys^j\tau\}$  and  $IxsT = \{Ixs, Ixs\tau, Iys^{1+j}, Iys^{1+j}\tau\}$   $\bar{x} \sim \overline{Ixs}$ . Therefore  $\overline{Ixs} \sim \overline{\bar{s}^j}$  since  $\alpha(\overline{Ixs}) > 0$ , that is,  $Iy \sim Ixs$ . This prove that  $IG_{1,2}$  contains a unique fixed point free involution  $I$  and  $n$  is a power of 2. By Lemma 2.2  $G$  has a RNS. This completes a proof of the lemma.