

Ramified Cauchy problem for a class of Fuchsian operators with tangent characteristics

千葉工大 山根英司 (Hideshi Yamane) *

In an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}_x^{n+1}$, $x = (x_0, x') = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we consider the following second order partial differential operator with holomorphic coefficients:

$$a(x, D) = x_0(D_0 + qx_0^{q-1}D_1)D_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x)D_j + b(x).$$

Here q is an integer ≥ 2 and D_j denotes the differentiation with respect to x_j ($0 \leq j \leq n$). It induces the following isomorphism:

$$a(x, D) : x_0^2\mathbb{C}\{x\} \xrightarrow{\sim} x_0\mathbb{C}\{x\},$$

where $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ denotes the stalk at the origin of the sheaf of holomorphic functions.

Following [7], we put

$$T : x_0 = x_1 = 0, K_0 : x_1 = 0, K_1 : x_1 - x_0^q = 0.$$

It is easy to see that K_0 and K_1 are characteristic hypersurfaces of $a(x, D)$ and that $K_0 \cap K_1 = T$.

Define a function $h(x)$ by $h(x) = -x_0^q/x_1$ for $x \notin T$. If $x_1 = 0$, we set $h(x) = \infty$ by convention. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{x; h(x) = 0\} \cup T, \\ K_0 &= \{x; h(x) = \infty\} \cup T, \\ K_1 &= \{x; h(x) = -1\} \cup T. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce two closed subsets A_0 and A_1 of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{x; -1 \leq h(x) \leq 0 \text{ or } h(x) = \infty\} \cup T \supset S \cup K_0 \cup K_1, \\ A_1 &= \{x; h(x) \geq 0 \text{ or } h(x) = \infty \text{ or } h(x) = -1\} \cup T \supset S \cup K_0 \cup K_1. \end{aligned}$$

*275-0023 習志野市芝園 2-1-1 千葉工大自然系数学教室, yamane@cc.it-chiba.ac.jp

We consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$(1) \quad a(x, D)u(x) = x_0 v(x), \quad D_0^j u|_{x_0=0} \equiv 0 \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

Here we assume that there exists an open connected neighborhood Ω of the origin such that $v(x)$ is holomorphic in the universal covering space of $\Omega \setminus (K_0 \cup K_1)$. In a neighborhood of $y \in \Omega \cap (S \setminus T)$, the Cauchy problem (1) admits a unique holomorphic solution.

Our main result is

Theorem 1 *There exists an open connected neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}_x^{n+1}$ such that for $j = 0, 1$ the solution $u(x)$ to (1) extends holomorphically to the universal covering space of $\mathcal{O} \setminus A_j$.*

Notice that the point y can be assumed to be arbitrarily close to the origin by [1].

Remark The above theorem means that $u(x)$ extends holomorphically along any path $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with $\gamma(0) = y$ and $\gamma(t) \notin A_j$ for $t > 0$. Here I is the closed interval $[0, 1]$.

Consider, for example, a path γ_0 with $\gamma_0(0) = y$ such that $h \circ \gamma_0(t) = 4t$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1/2$) and that $h \circ \gamma_0(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ rotates many times along the circle $|z| = 2$ as t increases from $1/2$ to 1 . This is a situation where $\gamma_0(t)$ moves around K_0 . So the theorem ($j = 0$) implies *the ramification of the solution $u(x)$ around K_0 .*

Next, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small and consider a path $\gamma_1 : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with $\gamma_1(0) = y$ such that $h \circ \gamma_1(t) = -2(1 - \varepsilon)t$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1/2$) and that $h \circ \gamma_1(t)$ rotates many times along $|z + 1| = \varepsilon$ for $t \geq 1/2$. This is a situation where $\gamma_1(t)$ moves around K_1 . So the theorem ($j = 1$) implies *the ramification of $u(x)$ around K_1 .*

Sketch of proof

Following [7], we will give an integral representation of the solution $u(x)$.

Let Δ_m ($m \geq 1$) be the standard m -dimensional simplex $\subset \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\Delta_m = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^m; 0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_m \leq 1\}, \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_m).$$

The system of coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^m$ is $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m)$ and the singular m -simplex $S_m = S_m(x_0)$, depending on the parameter $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, is defined by

$$S_m(x_0) : t \in \Delta_m \mapsto x_0 t \in \mathbb{C}_\sigma^m.$$

We set $d\sigma_{(m)} = d\sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\sigma_m$.

We introduce multiphase functions following [7]. Put $k_0(x) = \varphi_0(x) = x_1$, $k_1(x) = x_1 - x_0^q$ and for $m \geq 1$,

$$\varphi_m(\sigma, x) = k_m(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \sigma_j^q,$$

where $k_m = k_0$ if m is even and $k_m = k_1$ if m is odd. They satisfy the eikonal equation for $a(x, D)$ and we have

$$\varphi_{m+2}|_{\sigma_{m+2}=\sigma_{m+1}=x_0} = \varphi_{m+1}|_{\sigma_{m+1}=x_0} = \varphi_m \quad (m \geq 0).$$

We give the solution $u(x)$ to (1) near the point y in the form of a series of the type

$$(2) \quad u(x) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} I_m(x)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_m(x) &= \int_{S_m} u_m(\sigma_1, \varphi_m(\sigma, x), \sigma', x'') d\sigma_{(m)} \\ &= \int_{\Delta_m} u_m(x_0 t_1, \varphi_m(x_0 t, x), x_0 t_2, \dots, x_0 t_{m-1}, x'') x_0^m dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_m = u_m(\zeta, \sigma', x'')$ is a holomorphic function. As a matter of fact, if m is odd, then $u_m \equiv 0$ and $I_m(x) \equiv 0$.

We can prove that u_m ($m = 4, 6, 8, \dots$) is given by

$$(3) \quad u_2(\zeta, x'') = v(\zeta, x''),$$

$$(4) \quad \sigma_{m-1} u_m(\zeta, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}, x'') = R(\alpha, \beta, \zeta, x'', \partial_1, D'') u_{m-2} \quad (m \geq 4)$$

for $\alpha = \sigma_{m-1}$, $\beta = \psi_{2,m-2}(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m-2})$, where $R(\alpha, \beta, \zeta, x'', \partial_1, D'')$ is a first order operator with holomorphic coefficients in a neighborhood of the origin of $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha, \beta}^2 \times \mathbb{C}_{\zeta}^2 \times \mathbb{C}_{x''}^{n-1}$, $x'' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|u_m(x_0 t_1, \varphi_m(x_0 t, x), x_0 t_2, \dots, x_0 t_{m-1}, x'') x_0^m| \\ &\leq \frac{|x_0|^{1+\frac{m}{2}}}{t_3 t_5 t_7 \cdots t_{m-3} t_{m-1}} c^{m+1} \cdot \frac{m}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)! \end{aligned}$$

Then the convergence of the series (2) is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 1 For $m = 4, 6, 8, \dots$, we have

$$(5) \quad j_m = \int_{\Delta_m} \frac{dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{m-1} dt_m}{t_3 t_5 t_7 \cdots t_{m-3} t_{m-1}} = \left\{ \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)! \right\}^{-2} \left(\frac{m}{2} + 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

We extend the solution u analytically by deforming the singular simplex $S_m(x_0)$.

References

- [1] Baouendi M. S. and Goulaouic G., Cauchy Problems with Characteristic Initial Hypersurface, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **26**(1973), 455-475.
- [2] Fujiié S., Singular Cauchy problems of higher order with characteristic surface, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **33**(1993), 1-27.
- [3] Kobayashi T., On the Singularities of the Solution to the Cauchy Problem with Singular Data in the Complex Domain, *Math. Ann.*, **269**(1984), 217-234.
- [4] Ouchi S., Singularities of solutions of equations with noninvolution characteristics-I; the case of second order Fuchsian equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **45**(2)(1993), 215-251.
- [5] Tahara H., Fuchsian type equations and Fuchsian hyperbolic equations, *Japan. J. Math.*, **5**(1979), 245-347.
- [6] Urabe J., Meromorphic representations of the solutions of the singular Cauchy problems II, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **28-2**(1988), 335-342.
- [7] Wagschal C., Problème de Cauchy ramifié pour une class d'opérateurs à caractéristiques tangentes (I), *J. Math. pures et appl.*, **67**(1988), 1-21.