

## Article

# Enhanced Photocatalytic Activity of BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> Heterojunction under Visible Light

Sopheak Meng , Takaya Ogawa , Hideyuki Okumura and Keiichi N. Ishihara \*

Graduate School of Energy Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan;  
ogawa.takaya.8s@kyoto-u.ac.jp (T.O.); okumura@energy.kyoto-u.ac.jp (H.O.)

\* Correspondence: sopheak@social-system.energy.kyoto-u.ac.jp (S.M.); ishihara@energy.kyoto-u.ac.jp (K.N.I.)

Received: 1 October 2020; Accepted: 6 November 2020; Published: 9 November 2020



**Abstract:** Heterojunction photocatalysts have attracted a significant amount of attention due to their advantages over a single photocatalyst and, particularly, their superior spatial charge separation. Herein, the BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction was synthesized via solvothermal synthesis with different ratios of BiVO<sub>4</sub> to SnS<sub>2</sub>. The photodegradation rate of the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> sample for rhodamine B removal is 2.3 times or 2.9 times greater than that of a single SnS<sub>2</sub> or BiVO<sub>4</sub>, respectively. The chemical bond between photocatalysts is confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and the synchronized shift observed in binding energies strongly indicates the electron screening effect at the heterojunction. A Z-scheme model is proposed to explain charge transfer pathway in the system, in which the formation of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> plays a crucial role in the enhanced photocatalytic performance of the heterojunction.

**Keywords:** BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction; visible light; Z-scheme

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, a tremendous effort has been made to develop efficient photocatalysts due to their potential applications in water splitting [1–3], CO<sub>2</sub> reduction [4,5], and pollutant removal [6–8]. A good photocatalyst needs a suitable bandgap to work in the visible light region, in addition to satisfying other important criteria, e.g., abundance, low cost, nontoxicity, low electron–hole recombination rate, and stability. Photocatalysts such as SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub> fit most of these conditions; in particular, they can absorb visible light because their bandgaps are 2.18–2.4 eV [1,9]. Although both materials have many advantages as a single photocatalyst, incorporating them with different photocatalysts to form a heterojunction has proved very effective to attain superior photocatalytic activity [1,10–12]. The interface can act as a bridge allowing electrons to migrate between photocatalysts, which, in turn, suppress the photoinduced electron–hole recombination and improve the performance of photocatalysts [11,13]. In addition, the heterojunction may also induce a Z-scheme system in which the redox ability is enhanced via coupling two or more narrow-bandgap semiconductors [1,14].

To date, no attempt has been made to fabricate the BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction despite many publications on SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub> [7,15]. One of the reasons for this could be an occurrence of the reaction between Bi<sup>3+</sup> and S<sup>2−</sup> that leads to formation of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> at elevated temperatures [6,16]. It would be challenging to obtain a BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction without formation of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. However, the composites containing Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, such as Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/ZnS [17], Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> [5,18], and TiO<sub>2</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> [3], have been shown to promote the photocatalytic activity. Furthermore, earlier researchers have demonstrated that both SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub> were successfully fabricated with Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> to produce Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> and Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/BiVO<sub>4</sub>, respectively, exhibiting enhanced photocatalytic performance in dye degradation [15,19–21]. Additionally, ternary heterojunction based on Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (e.g., Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> [2], Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [6], and Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> [16]) have also been proven to improve the photocatalytic


activity. Therefore, viewed from a different perspective,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  formation from the reaction between  $\text{SnS}_2$  and  $\text{BiVO}_4$  might enhance photocatalytic performance of the composite via formation of a ternary heterojunction.

In this study, the  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{SnS}_2$  heterojunction was thus synthesized by combining  $\text{SnS}_2$  and  $\text{BiVO}_4$  via ultrasonic mixing and solvothermal synthesis. The formation of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  during the synthesis would then constitute a ternary heterojunction with  $\text{SnS}_2$  and  $\text{BiVO}_4$ . Various molar ratios of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  to  $\text{SnS}_2$  were prepared to investigate the properties of three-phase photocatalysts ( $\text{BiVO}_4$ ,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ , and  $\text{SnS}_2$ ) and to optimize the amount of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  in the composite. Photocatalytic performance of the composite was compared with pure  $\text{BiVO}_4$  and  $\text{SnS}_2$ , and the charge transfer pathway in the heterojunction system was examined. A Z-scheme model was then proposed to consistently explain the enhanced photocatalytic activity of  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{SnS}_2$  heterojunctions.

## 2. Results and Discussions

### 2.1. Crystal Structure of the Samples

The crystalline phases of as-prepared samples with different ratios of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  to  $\text{SnS}_2$  were investigated through XRD (X-ray diffraction) patterns as shown in Figure 1. The characteristic peaks at  $14.9^\circ$ ,  $28.3^\circ$ ,  $32.3^\circ$ ,  $49.5^\circ$ , and  $52.4^\circ$  correspond to (001), (100), (101), (110), and (111) planes of hexagonal  $\text{SnS}_2$ , respectively (ICDD PDF No. 00-023-0677). Other visible peaks can be observed at  $18.7^\circ$ ,  $19.0^\circ$ ,  $28.8^\circ$ , and  $30.5^\circ$ , assigned to (110), (011),  $(\bar{1}21)$ , and (040) planes of monoclinic  $\text{BiVO}_4$ , respectively (ICDD PDF No. 00-014-0688). In addition to these obvious peaks, there are four small peaks at  $22.3^\circ$ ,  $23.6^\circ$ ,  $24.9^\circ$ , and  $25.2^\circ$ , which can be assigned to (202), (101), (130), and (310) planes of orthorhombic  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ , respectively (ICDD PDF No. 01-089-8965). The XRD patterns of individual synthesized materials ( $\text{BiVO}_4$ ,  $\text{SnS}_2$ , and  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ ) are shown in Figure S1. These characteristic diffraction peaks confirm three crystal phases of  $\text{SnS}_2$ ,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ , and  $\text{BiVO}_4$  in the composites. As the content of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  during the synthesis is reduced, the diffraction intensities of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  and  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  decrease and almost disappear for the 0.01  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  sample due to the very low content of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  and  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  in the composite. According to the result, the content of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  depends on the initial concentration of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  during the hydrothermal synthesis.




**Figure 1.** XRD pattern of  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  samples with various ratios of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  and  $\text{SnS}_2$ .

### 2.2. Morphology and Microstructure of the Samples

Figure 2a,b reveals agglomerates of  $\text{SnS}_2$  that were composed of ultrafine nanoparticles with diameter of approximately 50 nm. On the other hand,  $\text{BiVO}_4$  exhibits micron-sized polyhedral particles with a smooth surface, i.e., particular features of microcrystals with many facets, as observed in


Figure 2c. The ultrafine nanoparticles of  $\text{SnS}_2$  and microparticles of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  explain a large difference (more than 100 times) in the specific surface area of  $\text{SnS}_2$  and  $\text{BiVO}_4$  in Table 1. Furthermore, Figure 2d shows a micrograph image of the 0.03  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  composite, in which the nanoparticles of  $\text{SnS}_2$  are attached to, and cover, the particles of  $\text{BiVO}_4$ . Based on the EDS elemental mapping of 0.03  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  in Figure 3, the elements of Sn, S, Bi, V, and O are uniformly dispersed in the composite, indicating good distribution of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  and  $\text{SnS}_2$  after the incorporation.



**Figure 2.** FE-SEM micrographs of (a)  $\text{SnS}_2$ , (b) magnified image of  $\text{SnS}_2$ , (c)  $\text{BiVO}_4$ , and (d) 0.03  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  with schematic illustration.

**Table 1.** Comparison of molar/weight percent  $\text{BiVO}_4$ , specific surface area, and pseudo-first-order-kinetic degradation constant, for  $\text{SnS}_2$ ,  $\text{BiVO}_4$ , and  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  composites with various  $\text{BiVO}_4$ -to- $\text{SnS}_2$  ratios.

| Sample                            | Molar Percent of $\text{BiVO}_4$ (mole %) | Weight Percent of $\text{BiVO}_4$ (wt %) | BET Specific Surface Area ( $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ ) | Degradation Rate Constant $k$ ( $10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$ ) | $R^2$  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| $\text{SnS}_2$                    | 0                                         | 0                                        | 75.7                                                | 0.60                                                         | 0.9408 |
| 0.01 $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ | 0.99                                      | 1.74                                     | 83.3                                                | 1.40                                                         | 0.9990 |
| 0.03 $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ | 2.91                                      | 5.05                                     | 74.7                                                | 1.60                                                         | 0.9975 |
| 0.06 $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ | 5.66                                      | 9.60                                     | 68.5                                                | 1.25                                                         | 0.9965 |
| 0.12 $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ | 10.71                                     | 17.53                                    | 56.3                                                | 0.68                                                         | 0.9955 |
| $\text{BiVO}_4$                   | 100                                       | 100                                      | 0.6                                                 | 0.55                                                         | 0.9625 |




**Figure 3.** EDS elemental mapping of Sn, S, Bi, V, and O elements in 0.03  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ .

### 2.3. Optical Absorption Properties

UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were used to study optical properties of as-prepared samples. The reflectance spectra were converted to absorption spectra via the Kubelka–Munk function as shown below, in Equation (1) [19,22]:

$$F(R) = \alpha/S = (1 - R)^2/2R, \quad (1)$$

where  $F(R)$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $S$ , and  $R$  are the Kubelka–Munk function, absorption coefficient, scattering coefficient, and reflectance, respectively. As illustrated in Figure 4a, all composites exhibit absorption edges around the wavelength of 550 nm, indicating that they are photoactive in the visible light region. The curves of 0.06 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> and 0.12 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> are noticeably different from the remainder as their absorption regions extend beyond 550 nm. This could be attributed to an increase in the content of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> in the composite.



**Figure 4.** (a) UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra and (b)  $(F(R)hv)^2$  vs.  $hv$  plot of SnS<sub>2</sub>, BiVO<sub>4</sub>, and the BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composites with various ratios of BiVO<sub>4</sub>.

The bandgaps ( $E_g$ ) can be estimated using the following formula:


$$\alpha h\nu = B(h\nu - E_g)^{n/2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $h\nu$  and  $B$  are incident photon energy and a constant associated with the material, respectively. The coefficient  $\alpha$  can be obtained via the Kubelka–Munk function from Equation (1). The  $F(R)$  is commonly used to replace  $\alpha$  in the Tauc plot  $((\alpha h\nu)^{2/n}$  vs.  $h\nu$ ) [11,19,23]. In addition, SnS<sub>2</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and BiVO<sub>4</sub> have been reported to be direct transition semiconductors, thus  $n = 1$  is used in the equation [19,24]. Thus, the  $(F(R)hv)^2$  vs.  $hv$  graph can be plotted as in Figure 4b, and the  $E_g$  values are evaluated by extrapolating the linear part of the curve to intercept the  $F(R) = 0$  line. The  $E_g$  values of BiVO<sub>4</sub>, SnS<sub>2</sub>, and Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> are accordingly estimated to be 2.38, 2.18, and 1.46 eV, respectively, which are similar to those in previous reports [22,25]. In addition, the effective  $E_g$  values of 0.01 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>, 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>, 0.06 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>, and 0.12 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> are estimated to be 2.17, 2.17, 2.11, and 2.04 eV, respectively. It appears that the apparent  $E_g$  value of the composite is decreased with an increase in BiVO<sub>4</sub>. This bandgap modification may be ascribed to small composition variation of SnS<sub>2</sub>, BiVO<sub>4</sub>, and Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, especially near the interface. This phenomenon is also reported in previous publications [3,16,19].

### 2.4. X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

The XPS survey spectrum of 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite is shown in Figure 5a, demonstrating that it consists of Sn, S, Bi, V, and O elements in agreement with the EDS result. The chemical state of each element, investigated via the high-resolution XPS analyses, is shown in Figure 5b,c. As observed

in Figure 5b, two separate spectra with peaks at 494.89 and 486.49 eV with an energy difference of 8.4 eV correspond to Sn 3d<sub>3/2</sub> and Sn 3d<sub>5/2</sub> of Sn<sup>4+</sup>, respectively, for a common SnS<sub>2</sub> [4,10,19]. On the other hand, for the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite, it is noted that both Sn 3d peaks shift to the higher binding energy side by about 0.30–0.35 eV, compared with those of pure SnS<sub>2</sub>. The blueshift observed in the Sn 3d spectra is also found in, and synchronous with, the S 2p spectra.



**Figure 5.** (a) XPS survey spectra of 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> and pure SnS<sub>2</sub> and high-resolution XPS spectra of (b) Sn 3d orbital and (c) Bi 4f and S 2p orbital.

As shown in Figure 5c, the S 2p<sub>3/2</sub> (161.34 eV) and S 2p<sub>1/2</sub> (162.52 eV) peaks, corresponding to typical Sn-S bonds of pure SnS<sub>2</sub> [4,9,10], also exhibit a blueshift in the binding energy by 0.1–0.3 eV for the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite. Furthermore, the synchronized binding energy shift is also observed, with an opposite direction, for the Bi 4f spectra. The spectral peaks for the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite at 158.75 and 164.34 eV, which belong to Bi 4f<sub>7/2</sub> and Bi 4f<sub>5/2</sub> of BiVO<sub>4</sub>, respectively, exhibit the redshift, by 0.2–0.5 eV, compared with Bi 4f peaks (159.23 and 164.53 eV) of pure BiVO<sub>4</sub> [15]. Importantly, the synchronous phenomena are reproducible.


The shift of binding energy in the core-level of XPS spectra should be largely attributed to the change in electron concentration of semiconductors due to the interaction between SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub> [26]. As the electron concentration decreases, the binding energy of the semiconductor increases, and vice versa, due to the so-called electron screening effect [27]. When a heterojunction is formed through chemical interaction, the Fermi energy levels of both materials are adjusted, allowing the electron transfer between materials to achieve equilibrium [10,26,27]. In the case of the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite, it implies that SnS<sub>2</sub> has a higher Fermi energy level than that of BiVO<sub>4</sub>, thus the electron migrates from SnS<sub>2</sub> to BiVO<sub>4</sub>. This consequently reduces the electron concentration of SnS<sub>2</sub> and increases that of BiVO<sub>4</sub>. As a result, the Sn 3d and S 2p spectra of the composite exhibit the blueshift, while Bi 4f spectra exhibit the redshift.

Furthermore, the broad peaks of the Bi 4f exhibited in the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite may be caused by the presence of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. The Bi 4f<sub>7/2</sub> and Bi 4f<sub>5/2</sub> spectral peaks of a typical Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> are

reported to be at 158.4 and 163.8 eV [28], respectively, which are slightly lower than those of BiVO<sub>4</sub>. Moreover, the S 2p peaks at 161.45 and 162.81 eV in the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite could also correspond to S<sup>2−</sup> of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> [29]. The results from XPS spectra and XRD patterns (Section 2.1) strongly suggest the coexistence of SnS<sub>2</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and BiVO<sub>4</sub> in the composite. Additionally, the shifts in binding energy indicate that the interaction among SnS<sub>2</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and BiVO<sub>4</sub> in the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite is a chemical bonding rather than a physical contact only.

## 2.5. Photocatalytic Activity

Photocatalytic activity was evaluated via concentration reduction in RhB (rhodamine B) dye solution over time, as shown in Figure 6a, where C<sub>0</sub> is the initial RhB concentration at the time of light irradiation (t = 0), and C<sub>t</sub> is the RhB concentration at any sampling time during irradiation. Blank RhB (a control test) in Figure 6a demonstrates that the RhB dye solution was stable under this test condition without any photocatalyst in the solution and that the photolysis of RhB dye was negligible over 240 min of visible light irradiation. Conversely, the concentration of RhB decreased over time for all other RhB solutions containing any photocatalyst, evidencing that photocatalysts used in this study respond to visible light (λ > 420 nm). Importantly, all composited powders exhibit higher photocatalytic degradation of RhB than that of bare SnS<sub>2</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, or BiVO<sub>4</sub> under visible light irradiation for over 240 min. The temporal evolution of RhB absorption spectra for each photocatalyst can be seen in Figure S2.



**Figure 6.** (a) Photocatalytic RhB degradation for various photocatalysts; (b) pseudo-first-order-kinetics for RhB photodegradation; (c) RhB degradation efficiency of 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> over four cycles; and (d) the effect of methanol, ascorbic acid (AA), and isopropyl alcohol (IPA) on RhB photodegradation by 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> under visible light irradiation (λ > 420 nm) for 240 min.

The degradation rates were compared using a photodegradation rate constant (k), assuming a pseudo-first-order reaction model,  $\ln(C_0/C_t) = kt$ , as shown in Figure 6b. The kinetic model fits the data well, and the k value of the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> sample is about 2.3 times or 2.9 times greater than that of single SnS<sub>2</sub> or BiVO<sub>4</sub>, respectively (Table 1). The photocatalytic activity of the composite is enhanced as the content of BiVO<sub>4</sub> is increased from 0.01 to 0.03, whereas further addition of BiVO<sub>4</sub> leads to reduction in photocatalytic activity of the composites (0.06 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> and 0.12 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>). It is thus reasonable to consider that an optimal molar ratio exists at a relatively low concentration of BiVO<sub>4</sub>. One possible

reason could be the variation in the “effective” specific surface area. As shown in Table 1, the specific surface area of the composites mostly decreases with an addition of BiVO<sub>4</sub>, ascribed to the significantly small value of bare BiVO<sub>4</sub> (0.6 m<sup>2</sup>/g). Furthermore, the interfacial reaction and agglomeration of powders may affect the effective active area for photocatalytic activity. As previously mentioned, the formation of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> is intensive as the amount of BiVO<sub>4</sub> in the composite is increased. Although a small amount of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> would be favorable for the composite, excess content may lead to deterioration of the photocatalytic performance because Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> has low photocatalytic activity of RhB degradation compared to SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub>, possibly due to its rapid recombination rate of the photogenerated electron-hole [17]. Thus, excessive Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> could act as a recombination center for electron-hole pairs in the composite, which is similar to the findings of previous studies [13,19].

In addition to the photocatalytic activity of the material, stability and reusability are also important to determine its value in practical applications. Thus, the reusability test was also conducted by collecting the used sample (0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>) after irradiation via centrifuge and putting it back into the fresh RhB solution. The same procedure for the photocatalytic activity measurement was repeated in this reusability test. Figure 6c shows the degradation efficiencies of 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite over four cycles, illustrating stability and reusability of the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composite. A slight loss (around 6%) of the degradation efficiency in the 4th run could be due to a loss of photocatalyst during the recovery process. Furthermore, the stability of the composite was also confirmed by XRD pattern before and after irradiation (Figure S3), in which the crystal structure of 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> was not significantly altered after RhB degradation process.

To determine main reactive species responsible for RhB photodegradation by 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> during irradiation, scavenger tests were conducted using various types of scavengers. In this study, methanol (10 mM) [6], ascorbic acid (AA, 10 mM) [30], and isopropyl alcohol (IPA, 10 mM) [16] were used as the scavengers for superoxide radicals (•O<sub>2</sub><sup>−</sup>), holes (h<sup>+</sup>), and hydroxyl radicals (•OH), respectively. As shown in Figure 6d, an addition of methanol into the system has only a slight effect on RhB degradation, indicating that •O<sub>2</sub><sup>−</sup> radicals play a minor role in the degradation process. Conversely, AA (h<sup>+</sup> scavenger) and IPA (•OH scavenger) greatly suppress photocatalytic RhB degradation. The result strongly suggests that h<sup>+</sup> and •OH radicals are the main reactive species for the RhB photodegradation process with the 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst under visible light (λ > 420 nm) irradiation.


## 2.6. Possible Mechanism for Photocatalytic Activity Enhancement of BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> Heterojunction

A plausible photocatalytic mechanism of the BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction could be explained by understanding the band energy structures of the photocatalysts as illustrated in Figure 7. The empirical Equations (3) and (4) are often used to estimate the band edge of the semiconductor such as conduction band edge potential ( $E_{CB}$ ) and valence band edge potential ( $E_{VB}$ ) [15,19]:

$$E_{VB} = \chi - E^e + 0.5E_g \quad (3)$$

$$E_{CB} = E_{VB} - E_g \quad (4)$$


where,  $\chi$  is absolute electronegativity of a semiconductor, determined by the geometric mean of absolute electronegativity values of constituent atoms. In this study (Section 2.3),  $E_g$  values of SnS<sub>2</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and BiVO<sub>4</sub> are 2.18, 1.46, and 2.38 eV, respectively. The absolute electronegativity values of Bi, V, O, Sn, and S are 4.69, 3.6, 7.54, 4.3, and 6.22 eV, respectively, taken from Pearson’s experimental data [31]. The value  $E^e$  is the energy of the free electron on the hydrogen scale, which is 4.5 eV. According to this calculation,  $E_{CB}$  and  $E_{VB}$  of SnS<sub>2</sub> are estimated to be −0.09 and 2.09 eV, respectively, whereas BiVO<sub>4</sub> exhibits  $E_{CB}$  of 0.47 eV and  $E_{VB}$  of 2.85 eV, and Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> possesses  $E_{CB}$  of 0.05 eV and  $E_{VB}$  of 1.51 eV. The  $E_{CB}$  and  $E_{VB}$  values of these photocatalysts in the present study are similar to those of previous reports [11,16,19].



**Figure 7.** Band energy structures of BiVO<sub>4</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and SnS<sub>2</sub> before and after contact.

Fermi level positions of SnS<sub>2</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and BiVO<sub>4</sub> with respect to their VBs (valence bands) can be determined from the potential difference between VBM (VB maximum) and Fermi level from the valence band XPS spectra in Figure S4 [12]. The difference between the Fermi level and VBMs of SnS<sub>2</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and BiVO<sub>4</sub> is found to be 1.3, 0.3, and 2 eV, respectively. The result indicates that SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub> are n-type semiconductors, whereas Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> is a p-type semiconductor, which is in agreement with prior studies [2,6,16]. Our XPS results suggest that the photocatalysts are chemically bonded at the interface, and their Fermi levels are aligned after contact; as a result, their band energy structures are accordingly adjusted, creating a heterojunction as depicted in Figure 7 [12,16].

To understand the charge-transfer pathway in the BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction system, we explore and examine two possible models for the charge transfer process as proposed in Figure 8. All of the photocatalysts in both models are capable of generating electron-hole pairs upon visible light illumination due to their narrow bandgaps. In model A, the photogenerated electrons (e<sup>-</sup>) would migrate from the CB (conduction band) of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> to CBs of SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub>. Thus, •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> radicals would not be produced by the heterojunction since the CBs of both SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub> are incapable of reducing dissolved O<sub>2</sub> to produce •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> because their CBs are less negative than -0.33 eV (O<sub>2</sub>/•O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) [16]. On the other hand, the generated holes (h<sup>+</sup>) would move from the VBs of both SnS<sub>2</sub> and BiVO<sub>4</sub> to the VB of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. In this scenario, the heterojunction also could not oxidize H<sub>2</sub>O to form •OH because VB of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> is less positive than 2.72 eV (for •OH/H<sub>2</sub>O) [8,16]. It has been reported that •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, •OH, and h<sup>+</sup> play major roles in the RhB photodegradation process [16,32,33]. Although model A might improve charge separation of photoinduced electrons and holes, it would be less efficient as the composite would be unable to produce •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and •OH to degrade the RhB dye. Moreover, the model is inconsistent with our trapping experiment (our scavenger test in Section 2.5) in which •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and •OH were observed in the RhB degradation process.



**Figure 8.** Proposed charge-transfer pathway models and RhB degradation mechanism of BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> under visible light illumination.

A more plausible scenario might be that of model B in Figure 8, involving a Z-scheme process. Based on this model, we can explain the presence of  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$ ,  $\bullet\text{OH}$ , and  $\text{h}^+$  for the RhB degradation process. In the Z-scheme process, the photoinduced  $\text{e}^-$  from the CBs of both  $\text{SnS}_2$  and  $\text{BiVO}_4$  could migrate to and recombine with  $\text{h}^+$  in the VB of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ . Consequently,  $\text{e}^-$  from the CB of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  would be able to react and reduce the dissolved  $\text{O}_2$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to produce  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  because the CB of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  is more negative than  $-0.33$  eV. According to our scavenger test,  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  played only a minor role in the RhB degradation process by the 0.03  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  heterojunction, which fits well with the current model due to the low optimal content of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  in the composite. Meanwhile, since  $\text{h}^+$  in the VB of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  quickly recombine with  $\text{e}^-$  of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  and  $\text{SnS}_2$ , it would leave  $\text{h}^+$  in the VB of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  to oxidize  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and generate  $\bullet\text{OH}$  (2.72 vs. NHE); similarly,  $\text{h}^+$  are also left behind in the VB of  $\text{SnS}_2$ . As the VB of  $\text{SnS}_2$  is not negative enough to produce  $\bullet\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{h}^+$  would decompose RhB directly due to its strong oxidation ability [16,32]. Thus, the Z-scheme model is consistent with the experimental result, and may be used to explain the charge-transfer pathway of the  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{SnS}_2$  heterojunction system.

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. Materials

Thioacetamide ( $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{NS}$ , 98%), acetic acid ( $\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ , 99.7%), ethylene glycol ( $(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})_2$ , 99.5%), L-ascorbic acid ( $\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6$ , 99.6%), and thiourea ( $\text{H}_2\text{NCSNH}_2$ , 98%) were purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (Osaka, Japan). The tin(IV) chloride pentahydrate ( $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 98%), ammonium vanadate (V) ( $\text{NH}_4\text{VO}_3$ , 99.0%), bismuth (III) nitrate pentahydrate ( $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 99.5%), methanol ( $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ , 99.8%), and isopropyl alcohol ( $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHOH}$ , 99.7%) were supplied by Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). The rhodamine B ( $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{31}\text{ClN}_2\text{O}_3$ , > 95%) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan). All chemicals were analytical grade and used without further purification. Deionized water (DI water) was obtained from the Direct-Q water purification system (Millipore).

#### 3.2. Preparation of $\text{SnS}_2$

Preparation of  $\text{SnS}_2$  was conducted via a typical synthesis method. The powder of  $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (5 mmol) was dissolved in 40 mL of 5% (v/v%) acetic acids under magnetic stirring. Then, 10 mmol amount of thioacetamide was added to the solution. After 30 min of vigorous stirring to achieve a homogeneous solution, the solution was transferred to a stainless-steel autoclave attached with a Teflon liner to fill 80% of its maximum capacity (50 mL). It was then put in a preheat electric oven at  $150^\circ\text{C}$  for 12 h under autogenous pressure. After letting the autoclave cool to room temperature,  $\text{SnS}_2$  precipitate was collected via centrifuge at 4000 rpm for 5 min. The  $\text{SnS}_2$  was then washed several times with DI water and ethanol and dried at  $90^\circ\text{C}$  overnight.

#### 3.3. Preparation of $\text{BiVO}_4$

Typical hydrothermal synthesis was used to prepare  $\text{BiVO}_4$ . The first solution was prepared by dissolving 2.43 g of  $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in 20 mL of 2 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  acid, and the second solution was made by dissolving an equimolar amount of  $\text{NH}_4\text{VO}_3$  in 2 M  $\text{NaOH}$  solution. Then, the second solution was poured drop by drop into the first solution. The clear solution turned yellow as  $\text{BiVO}_4$  precipitate was formed. The mixture solution was continually stirred for another 10 min before adding 1 mL of acetic acid into the solution. After 1 h of stirring, the solution was transferred to the stainless-steel autoclave with a Teflon liner. The autoclave was heated at  $180^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h. Finally,  $\text{BiVO}_4$  precipitate was collected, washed, and dried, following the same procedure described in Section 3.2.

#### 3.4. Preparation of $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{SnS}_2$ Composites

The composite was prepared using an ultrasonic mixing and a solvothermal synthesis. A specific amount of  $\text{BiVO}_4$  (0.01, 0.03, 0.06, and 0.12 mmol) was mixed with 1 mmol of  $\text{SnS}_2$  in 40 mL of ethylene glycol. The mixture was thoroughly mixed via ultrasonication at 45 kHz for 1 h before transferring to

the autoclave. It was then sealed and heated at 150 °C for 8 h. The final product of the composite was obtained through washing and drying, following the same procedure as stated in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. The composites with different ratios of BiVO<sub>4</sub> to SnS<sub>2</sub> were denoted, respectively, as 0.01 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>, 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>, 0.06 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>, and 0.12 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub>. For reference, a bare Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was synthesized using solvothermal synthesis (detailed in the Supplementary Information).

### 3.5. Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was conducted using a Rigaku RINT2100 at 40 kV and 30 mA with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$ ), where the diffractogram was obtained via scanning the sample in a  $2\theta$  angle range from 10° to 80°. Microstructures and morphologies of the samples were investigated using an FE-SEM (Hitachi SU6600 Scanning Electron Microscope) equipped with Bruker EDX operated at 15 and 20 kV for SEM and EDX, respectively, where all samples were coated with Au via sputtering prior to the analyses. UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were obtained using a Lambda 750S UV/Vis/NIR Spectrophotometer with BaSO<sub>4</sub> as reference. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, JPS-9030 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer) was conducted with Mg K $\alpha$  radiation using C 1s = 284.8 eV as reference. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area was measured and evaluated using nitrogen gas adsorption with a FlowSorb III 2305 Micromeritics Instrument (Shimadzu, Japan).

### 3.6. Photocatalytic Activity Measurement

Evaluation of photocatalytic activity was conducted via the photodegradation of RhB dye under visible light irradiation using a 500 W Xe lamp (Ushio, UXL-500D-O) equipped with a cutoff filter ( $\lambda > 420 \text{ nm}$ ). The intensity of light from the Xe lamp was 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> calibrated using a Spectroradiometer (S-2440 model II). After passing through the cutoff and water filters, the sample solution received around 40 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> of light intensity. In each measurement process, 30 mg of the photocatalyst was put into 80 mL of RhB solution (5 mg/L, 0.01 mmol/L) in a beaker with 100 mL capacity. The solution was magnetically stirred and maintained at 25 °C during irradiation. About 3 mL of sample solution was taken every 60 min, and the photocatalyst powder was filtered out by syringe filter (0.22  $\mu\text{m}$ , PTFE). The absorbance of each RhB solution was measured using a UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Lambda 750S UV/Vis/NIR). Then, the concentration of RhB was determined from the absorbance intensity at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 554 \text{ nm}$ . Before irradiation, the establishment of adsorption–desorption equilibrium between photocatalyst and RhB solution was achieved to ensure an accurate result of photocatalytic activity.

Since SnS<sub>2</sub> exhibits exceptionally strong adsorptivity for RhB dye [23], an initial dye concentration ( $C_0$ ) for each photocatalytic test would be different, depending on the ratio of BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> composites, after achieving an adsorption–desorption equilibrium. To ensure that all samples had relatively similar initial concentrations at the start of illumination, all samples were subjected to the adsorption–desorption process twice. Each sample was added to a RhB solution, which was then agitated by sonication for 10 min and magnetically stirred for 60 min in the dark. Then, the sample was collected via centrifuge and put into a fresh RhB solution, where the adsorption–desorption procedure was performed again prior to the photocatalytic test. This devised method allowed an initial RhB concentration ( $C_0$ ) to be similar for each photocatalytic test, using various composite ratios.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, three-phase photocatalysts consisting of BiVO<sub>4</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and SnS<sub>2</sub> were prepared by chemical reaction between BiVO<sub>4</sub> and SnS<sub>2</sub> via solvothermal synthesis. The composite photocatalyst produced via a molar ratio of 1:0.03 (SnS<sub>2</sub>:BiVO<sub>4</sub>) demonstrates the highest photocatalytic performance for RhB degradation among our prepared samples. The composite is proved to be stable after several cycles under visible light irradiation. The main reactive species for the photocatalytic degradation of RhB for 0.03 BiVO<sub>4</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> are h<sup>+</sup> and •OH, whereas •O<sub>2</sub><sup>−</sup> also plays a minor role in the degradation

process. The enhanced photocatalytic activity is attributed to the formation of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ , allowing a suitable condition for the electron pathway (Z-scheme) in the  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{SnS}_2$  heterojunction. We believe that our discovery of the beneficial formation of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  could encourage more research that focuses on materials prone to reaction with each other at elevated temperature and pressure. The finding may provide a different approach for preparation of ternary heterojunctions by taking advantage of the chemical reaction between combined photocatalysts.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at <http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/10/11/1294/s1>, Figure S1: XRD pattern comparison of  $\text{SnS}_2$ ,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ ,  $\text{BiVO}_4$ , and 0.12  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  composite, Figure S2: Absorbance spectra of RhB solution as a function of time during the degradation process under visible light ( $\lambda > 420$  nm) in the presence of (a) 0.01  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ , (b) 0.03  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ , (c) 0.06  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ , (d) 0.12  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$ , (e)  $\text{SnS}_2$ , and (f)  $\text{BiVO}_4$ , Figure S3: XRD patterns of 0.03  $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SnS}_2$  before and after RhB photodegradation, Figure S4: Valence band XPS spectra of bare  $\text{BiVO}_4$ ,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ , and  $\text{SnS}_2$ .

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.M.; methodology, S.M. and H.O.; formal analysis, S.M.; investigation, S.M.; writing—original draft preparation, S.M.; writing—review and editing, T.O. and H.O.; visualization, S.M. and K.N.I.; supervision, K.N.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank to the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) for AUN/Seet-net PhD scholarship program for the first author.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Kumar, N.; Krishnarao, N.; Singh, S.A. Cocatalyst free Z-schematic enhanced  $\text{H}_2$  evolution over  $\text{LaVO}_4/\text{BiVO}_4$  composite photocatalyst using Ag as an electron mediator. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2018**, *220*, 512–523. [CrossRef]
2. Ke, J.; Liu, J.; Sun, H.; Zhang, H.; Duan, X.; Liang, P.; Li, X.; Tade, M.O.; Liu, S.; Wang, S. Facile assembly of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{MoS}_2$  n-p heterojunction with layered n- $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  and p - $\text{MoS}_2$  for enhanced photocatalytic water oxidation and pollutant degradation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *200*, 47–55. [CrossRef]
3. Ai, G.; Mo, R.; Chen, Q.; Xu, H.; Yang, S.; Li, H.; Zhong, J.  $\text{TiO}_2/\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$  core-shell nanowire arrays for photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 13544–13549. [CrossRef]
4. Shown, I.; Samireddi, S.; Chang, Y.C.; Putikam, R.; Chang, P.H.; Sabbah, A.; Fu, F.Y.; Chen, W.F.; Wu, C.I.; Yu, T.Y.; et al. Carbon-doped  $\text{SnS}_2$  nanostructure as a high-efficiency solar fuel catalyst under visible light. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Kim, R.; Kim, J.; Do, J.Y.; Seo, M.W.; Kang, M. Carbon Dioxide Photoreduction on the  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{MoS}_2$  Catalyst. *Catalysts* **2019**, *18*, 998. [CrossRef]
6. Yu, C.; Wang, K.; Yang, P.; Yang, S.; Lu, C.; Song, Y.; Dong, S.; Sun, J.; Sun, J. One-pot facile synthesis of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3/\text{SnS}_2/\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  ternary heterojunction as advanced double Z-scheme photocatalytic system for efficient dye removal under sunlight irradiation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *420*, 233–242. [CrossRef]
7. Kgoetlana, C.M.; Malinga, S.P.; Dlamini, L.N. Photocatalytic Degradation of Chlorpyrifos with Mn- $\text{WO}_3/\text{SnS}_2$  Heterostructure. *Catalysts* **2020**, *10*, 699. [CrossRef]
8. Cao, J.; Xu, B.; Lin, H.; Luo, B.; Chen, S. Novel  $\text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ -sensitized  $\text{BiOCl}$  with highly visible light photocatalytic activity for the removal of rhodamine B. *Catal. Commun.* **2012**, *26*, 204–208. [CrossRef]
9. Lin, C.; Zhu, M.; Zhang, T.; Liu, Y.; Lv, Y.; Li, X.; Liu, M. Cellulose/ $\text{SnS}_2$  composite with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic activity prepared by microwave-assisted ionic liquid method. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 12255–12264. [CrossRef]
10. Zhang, Z.; Shao, C.; Li, X.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, M.; Mu, J.; Zhang, P.; Guo, Z.; Liu, Y. Hierarchical assembly of ultrathin hexagonal  $\text{SnS}_2$  nanosheets onto electrospun  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanofibers: Enhanced photocatalytic activity based on photoinduced interfacial charge transfer. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 606–618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Zhang, Y.C.; Du, Z.N.; Li, K.W.; Zhang, M.; Dionysiou, D.D. High-performance visible-light-driven  $\text{SnS}_2/\text{SnO}_2$  nanocomposite photocatalyst prepared via in situ hydrothermal oxidation of  $\text{SnS}_2$  nanoparticles. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2011**, *3*, 1528–1537. [CrossRef]

12. Safaei, J.; Ullah, H.; Aida, N.; Firdaus, M.; Noh, M.; Fairus, M.; Tahir, A.A.; Ahmad, N.; Adib, M. Enhanced photoelectrochemical performance of Z-scheme g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/BiVO<sub>4</sub> photocatalyst. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2018**, *234*, 296–310. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Li, X.; Li, Y.; Shen, J.; Ye, M. A controlled anion exchange strategy to synthesize Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles/plate-like Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> heterostructures with enhanced visible light photocatalytic activities for Rhodamine B. *Ceram. Int.* **2016**, *42*, 3154–3162. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Xu, Q.; Zhang, L.; Yu, J.; Wageh, S.; Al-Ghamdi, A.A.; Jaroniec, M. Direct Z-scheme photocatalysts: Principles, synthesis, and applications. *Mater. Today* **2018**, *21*, 1042–1063. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Ma, D.K.; Guan, M.L.; Liu, S.S.; Zhang, Y.Q.; Zhang, C.W.; He, Y.X.; Huang, S.M. Controlled synthesis of olive-shaped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/BiVO<sub>4</sub> microspheres through a limited chemical conversion route and enhanced visible-light-responding photocatalytic activity. *Dalt. Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 5581–5586. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Wang, J.; Jin, J.; Wang, X.; Yang, S.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, Y.; Dong, S.; Sun, J.; Sun, J. Facile fabrication of novel BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> n-p heterojunction with enhanced photocatalytic activities towards pollutant degradation under natural sunlight. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2017**, *505*, 805–815. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Wu, Z.; Chen, L.; Xing, C.; Jiang, D.; Xie, J.; Chen, M. Controlled synthesis of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/ZnS microspheres by an in situ ion-exchange process with enhanced visible light photocatalytic activity. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalt. Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 12980–12988. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Vattikuti, S.V.P.; Byon, C. Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> nanorods embedded with MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets composite for photodegradation of phenol red under visible light irradiation. *Superlattices Microstruct.* **2016**, *100*, 514–525. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Gao, X.; Huang, G.; Gao, H.; Pan, C.; Wang, H.; Yan, J.; Liu, Y.; Qiu, H.; Ma, N.; Gao, J. Facile fabrication of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction photocatalysts with efficient photocatalytic activity under visible light. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2016**, *674*, 98–108. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Ren, L.Z.; Zhang, D.E.; Hao, X.Y.; Xiao, X.; Gong, J.Y.; Wang, M.Y.; Tong, Z.W. Synthesis and photocatalytic performance of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/SnS<sub>2</sub> heterojunction. *Funct. Mater. Lett.* **2017**, *10*, 3–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Zhuang, C.; Tang, L.; Yu, Z.; Peng, T.; Zhang, Y.; Li, L.; Zhou, Y.; Zou, Z. Hollow BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> cruciate heterostructures with enhanced visible-light photoactivity. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *9*, 182–187. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Zhang, Y.C.; Du, Z.N.; Li, K.W.; Zhang, M. Size-controlled hydrothermal synthesis of SnS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with high performance in visible light-driven photocatalytic degradation of aqueous methyl orange. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2011**, *81*, 101–107. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Zhang, G.; Du, X.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Wang, W.; Fu, Z. Controllable synthesis of SnS<sub>2</sub> nanostructures with high adsorption and photocatalytic activities. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **2017**, *64*, 77–84. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Walsh, A.; Yan, Y.; Huda, M.N.; Al-Jassim, M.M.; Wei, S.H. Band edge electronic structure of BiVO<sub>4</sub>: Elucidating the role of the Bi s and V d orbitals. *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 547–551. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Chen, H.Q.; Lin, L.Y.; Chen, S.L. Direct Growth of BiVO<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> Nanorod Array on Conductive Glass as Photocatalyst for Enhancing the Photoelectrochemical Performance. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2018**, *1*, 6089–6100. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Zheng, Y.; Zheng, L.; Zhan, Y.; Lin, X.; Zheng, Q.; Wei, K. Ag/ZnO heterostructure nanocrystals: Synthesis, characterization, and photocatalysis. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 6980–6986. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Li, X.H.; Xu, H.Y.; Zhang, X.T.; Liu, Y.C.; Sun, J.W.; Lu, Y.M. Local chemical states and thermal stabilities of nitrogen dopants in ZnO film studied by temperature-dependent x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *95*. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Vattikuti, S.V.P.; Shim, J.; Byon, C. Synthesis, characterization, and optical properties of visible light-driven Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> nanorod photocatalysts. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2017**, *28*, 14282–14292. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Vattikuti, S.V.P.; Shim, J.; Byon, C. 1D Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> nanorod/2D e-WS<sub>2</sub> nanosheet heterojunction photocatalyst for enhanced photocatalytic activity. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2018**, *258*, 526–535. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Zhang, Z.; Xu, R.; Wang, Z.; Dong, M.; Cui, B.; Chen, M. Visible-Light Neural Stimulation on Graphitic-Carbon Nitride/Graphene Photocatalytic Fibers. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 34736–34743. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Pearson, R.G. Absolute electronegativity and hardness: Application to inorganic chemistry. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 734–740. [[CrossRef](#)]

32. Yu, L.; Zhang, X.; Li, G.; Cao, Y.; Shao, Y.; Li, D. Highly efficient Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/BiOCl photocatalyst based on heterojunction with enhanced dye-sensitization under visible light. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2016**, *187*, 301–309. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Cheng, H.; Huang, B.; Dai, Y.; Qin, X.; Zhang, X. One-step synthesis of the nanostructured AgI/BiOI composites with highly enhanced visible-light photocatalytic performances. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 6618–6624. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).