

# A Typical Lower Bound for Odds Problem in Markov-dependent Trials <sup>1</sup>

Katsunori Ano

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Shibaura Institute of Technology

## 1 Introduction

We study a stopping problem for Markov-dependent trials of the odds problem. It may be described as follows. For a positive integer  $N$ , let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$  denote 0/1 random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . These 0/1 random variables appears according to non-homogenous Markov chain with the transition probability such that

$$\mathbf{P}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta_i & \beta_i \\ \alpha_i & 1 - \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta_i := P(X_{i+1} = 1 | X_i = 0)$ ,  $\alpha_i := P(X_{i+1} = 0 | X_i = 1)$ ,  $\beta_0 := P(X_1 = 0)$  and  $\alpha_0 := P(X_1 = 1) = 1 - \beta_0$ . Each  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are given. We assume  $0 < \alpha_i, \beta_i < 1$  for all  $i$ . We observe these  $X_i$ 's sequentially and claim that the  $i$ th trial is a *success* if  $X_i = 1$ . We want to find the optimal stopping rule that maximize the probability of obtaining the last success (we call this event *win*) and the probability of win.

If  $0 < \alpha_i + \beta_i < 1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  in the transition probability, then Hsiao and Yang [7] found the optimal rule, but it was not of odds form. Bruss [5] proved that the lower bound for odds problem in Bernoulli trials is  $1/e$  for any sequence of success probabilities,  $P(X_i = 1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

The main result of this paper is that the asymptotic lower bound of probability of win is also  $1/e$  for any transition probability of Markov chain under a certain condition. I think it is wonderful!

## 2 Main result

Recall that Ano, Kakie and Miyoshi [3] proved that even though it is for Markov-dependent trials, the optimal stopping rule can be expressed as of odds form. Let

$$p_{ij} := \begin{cases} P(X_{i+1} = 1 | X_i = 1, X_{i+2} = 0) = (1 - \alpha_i)\alpha_{i+1}, & j = i + 1, \\ P(X_{i+1} = 1 | X_{j-1} = 0, X_{j+1} = 0) = \beta_{j-1}\alpha_j, & j > i + 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $r_{ij} = p_{ij}/(1 - p_{ij})$ . This is our key setting inspired by the incredible insight in Ferguson [6] who studied the general dependent sequence of  $X_i$  in odds problem.

---

<sup>1</sup>This is an abbreviation of the original version.

**Theorem 1 (Ano, Kakie and Miyoshii [3])** Assume that  $0 < \alpha_i, \beta_i < 1$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{N}$ . The optimal single selecting strategy for the non-homogeneous Markov-dependent trials is given by

$$\tau^* = \min \left\{ i \in \mathcal{N} : X_i = 1 \text{ \& } \sum_{j=i+1}^N r_{ij} < 1 \right\} = \min \{ i \geq i^* : X_i = 1 \}.$$

Assume that  $X_1 = 1$  a.s., then the probability of win holds the inequality

$$P_N(\text{win}) = P_N(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{N-1}) \geq R_{i^*-1} V_{i^*-1},$$

where  $R_s = \sum_{j=s+1}^N r_{sj}$  and  $V_s = \alpha_s \prod_{k=s+1}^{N-1} (1 - \beta_k)$ .

Next theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2** Assume that  $X_1 = 1$ , a.s. If  $R_s = \sum_{j=s+1}^N r_{sj}$  with  $s = i^* - 1$ , then

- (i)  $P_N(\text{win}) \geq R_s V_s > R_s e^{-R_s}$ .
- (ii) If  $R_s = R_{s(N)} \rightarrow 1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P_N(\text{win}) > 1/e$ .

*Proof.*

- (i) By the optimality equation  $M_i = \max \left\{ V_i, \sum_{j=i+1}^N \mathbf{P}_{ij} M_j \right\}$  and since  $\sum_{j=i+1}^N \mathbf{P}_{ij} M_j$  is decreasing in  $i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_N(\text{win}) &= P_N(\text{win} | X_1 = 1) \\ &=: M_1 = \max \left\{ V_1, \sum_{j=2}^N \mathbf{P}_{1j} M_j \right\} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=2}^N \mathbf{P}_{1j} M_j \geq \sum_{j=2}^N \mathbf{P}_{1j} V_j, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $V_i = P_N(\text{win by stop at } X_i = 1 | X_1 = 1) = \alpha_i \prod_{j=i+1}^{N-1} (1 - \beta_j)$ . Using  $r_{ij} = (1 - \alpha_i) \alpha_{i+1} / \alpha_i (1 - \beta_{i+1})$  for  $j = i + 1$ ;  $= \alpha_{j-1} \beta_j / (1 - \beta_{j-1}) (1 - \beta_j)$  for  $j > i + 1$ , we have

$$P_N(\text{win}) \geq \sum_{j=2}^N \frac{\mathbf{P}_{1j} V_j}{V_1} V_1 = \sum_{j=2}^N r_{1j} V_j = R_1 V_1.$$

- (ii) Note that  $V_s = \prod_{k=s+1}^{N-1} q_{sk} / (\prod_{k=s+1}^{\tilde{N}-1} (1 - \beta_k))$ , where  $\tilde{N} = N$  if  $N$  is an even integer, and  $\tilde{N} = N - 1$  if  $N$  is an odd integer. Since  $1 - \beta_k < 1$ ,

$$P_N(\text{win}) \geq R_s V_s = \frac{R_s \prod_{k=s+1}^{N-1} q_{sk}}{\prod_{k=s+1}^{\tilde{N}-1} (1 - \beta_k)} > R_s \prod_{k=s+1}^{N-1} q_{sk}.$$

From  $R_s = \sum_{k=s+1}^N (1/q_{sk} - 1)$ , we have  $\sum_{k=s+1}^N (1/q_{sk}) = R_s + N - s$ . By the inequality for arithmetic mean and geometric mean, then

$$\left( \prod_{k=s+1}^N \frac{1}{q_{sk}} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-s}} = \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{k=s+1}^N q_{sk}} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-s}} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=s+1}^N \frac{1}{q_{sk}}}{N-s} = 1 + \frac{R_s}{N-s}$$

and thus  $\prod_{k=s+1}^N q_{sk} \geq (1 + R_s/(N-s))^{-(N-s)}$ . From  $(1 + R_s/(N-s))^{-(N-s)} \downarrow e^{-R_s}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that

$$P_N(\text{win}) > R_s \prod_{k=s+1}^{N-1} q_{sk} \geq R_s \left( 1 + \frac{R_s}{N-s} \right)^{-(N-s)} > R_s e^{-R_s} \rightarrow 1/e,$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

□

## References

- [1] ANO K. (2000). Mathematics of Timing – Optimal Stopping Problem (in Japanese). *Asakura publ. Tokyo*
- [2] ANO K., KAKINUMA H. AND MIYOSHI N. (2010). Odds theorem with multiple selection chances. *J. Appl. Probab.* **47** 1093–1104.
- [3] ANO K., KAKIE N. AND MIYOSHI N. (2010). Odds theorem in Markov-dependent trials with multiple selection chances. *Kokyuroku. RIMS, Kyoto University.* **1734** 212–219.
- [4] BRUSS F. T. (2000). Sum the odds to one and stop. *Ann. Probab.* **28** 1384–1391.
- [5] BRUSS F. T. (2003). A note on bounds for the odds theorem of optimal stopping. *Ann. Probab.* **31** 1859–1861.
- [6] FERGUSON T. S. (2008). The sum-the-odds theorem with application to a stopping game of Sakaguchi. Preprint.
- [7] HSIAU S.-R. and YANG J.-R. (2002). Selecting the last success in Markov-dependent trials. *J. Appl. Probab.* **39** 271–281.
- [8] MATSUI T. and ANO K. (2012). Lower bounds for Bruss' odds problem with multiple stoppings. *arXiv:1204.5537*, 2012.