

Many point reflections at infinity of a time changed reflecting diffusion

Masatoshi Fukushima
Osaka University

1 Introduction

The boundary problem of a Markov process X concerns all possible Markovian prolongations of X beyond its life time ζ whenever ζ is finite. Let $Z = (Z_t, \mathbf{Q}_z)$ be a conservative right process on a locally compact separable metric space E and Δ be the point at infinity of E . Suppose Z is transient relative to an excessive measure m : for the 0-order resolvent R of Z , $Rf(z) < \infty$, m -a.e. for some strictly positive function (or equivalently, for any non-negative function) $f \in L^1(E; m)$. Then

$$\mathbf{Q}_z(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = \Delta) = 1 \quad \text{for q.e. } x \in E,$$

if Rf is lower semicontinuous for any non-negative Borel function f ([FTa]). The last condition is not needed when X is m -symmetric ([CF2]).

Take any strictly positive bounded function $f \in L^1(E; m)$. Then $A_t = \int_0^t f(Z_s) ds$, $t \geq 0$ is a strictly increasing PCAF of Z with $\mathbf{E}_z^{\mathbf{Q}}[A_\infty] = Rf(x) < \infty$ for q.e. $x \in E$. The time changed process $X = (X_t, \zeta, \mathbf{P}_x)$ of Z by means of A is defined by

$$X_t = Z_{\tau_t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \tau = A^{-1}, \quad \zeta = A_\infty, \quad \mathbf{P}_x = \mathbf{Q}_x, \quad x \in E. \quad (1.1)$$

Since $\mathbf{P}_x(\zeta < \infty, \lim_{t \rightarrow \zeta} X_t = \Delta) = \mathbf{P}_x(\zeta < \infty) = 1$, the boundary problem for X at Δ makes perfect sense. For different choices of f , the corresponding processes X have the same geometric shapes related each other only by time changes. Thus a study of the boundary problem for X is a good way to make a close look at a geometric picture of a conservative transient process Z around Δ .

When a right process Z is m -symmetric, we can work with the associated Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ on $L^2(E; m)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_e$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}$ be its extended Dirichlet space and its reflected Dirichlet space ([CF2]). Then $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_e \subset \mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}$ and the inner product $\mathcal{E}$ is extended from $\mathcal{F}$ to both spaces. Define the subspace $\mathcal{H}^*$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}$ by

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \{u \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}} : \mathcal{E}(u, v) = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathcal{F}_e\}. \quad (1.2)$$

The stated boundary problem for Z is closely related to $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*)$. The process Z or the associated Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is said to satisfy a *Liouville property* if $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*) = 1$. We will be concerned with the cases where Z are the reflecting Brownian motion on an unbounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the distorted Brownian motion on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We first consider the reflecting Brownian motion (RBM) Z on the closure $\overline{D}$ of a Lipschitz domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ that is a special case of the reflecting diffusion process constructed in [FTo]. Z is always conservative. Z is symmetric with respect to the Lebesgue measure on D and the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}$ of Z on $L^2(D)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}, \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) = H^1(D) = \text{BL}(D) \cap L^2(D),$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}(u, v) = \int_D \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx, \quad \text{BL}(D) = \{u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(D) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(D)\}.$$

$\text{BL}(D)$ is the reflected Dirichlet space of Z .

We require that

(A.1) Z is transient,

and accordingly it must be that $n \geq 3$ and D is unbounded. When $d \geq 3$, an infinite cone D satisfies (A.1) but an infinite cylinder does not. Under (A.1), the extended Sobolev space $H_e^1(D)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}$ so that it does not contain any non-zero constant, while $\text{BL}(D)$ does. Hence $H_e^1(D)$ is a proper subspace of $\text{BL}(D)$ and the space $\mathcal{H}^*(D)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^*(D) = \{u \in \text{BL}(D) : \mathbf{D}(u, v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in H_e^1(D)\},$$

is a non-trivial family of harmonic functions on D .

In what follows, we assume that $n \geq 3$. A domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called a *uniform domain* if there exists $C > 0$ such that, for every $x, y \in D$, there is a rectifiable curve γ in D connecting x and y with $\text{length}(\gamma) \leq C|x - y|$, and moreover

$$\min\{|x - z|, |z - y|\} \leq C \text{dist}(z, D^c) \quad \text{for every } z \in \gamma.$$

A typical example of a unbounded uniform domain is an infinite cone.

According to [CF1],

- a domain D containing a unbounded uniform domain satisfies (A.1).
- Z satisfies the Liouville property $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*(D)) = 1$ whenever $D \setminus \overline{B_r(\mathbf{0})}$ is a unbounded uniform domain, for some $r > 0$.

The proof used the two facts that

- for an unbounded uniform domain D , any $u \in \text{BL}(D)$ admits a bounded linear extension to $\text{BL}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([HK]).
- any harmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite Dirichlet integral is constant, namely, the RBM on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the Liouville property $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 1$ ([B]).

On the other hand, $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*(D)) = 2$ for a domain with two symmetric cone branches ([CF2]):

$$D = B_1(\mathbf{0}) \cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n^2 > \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

This domain is not uniform because of the presence of a bottleneck.

2 RBM on a domain with N unbounded uniform branches

In this section, we consider a Lipschitz domain D of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, such that

$$(A.2) \quad D \setminus \overline{B_r(\mathbf{0})} = \bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j$$

for some $r > 0$ and an integer N , where $C_1, \dots, C_N$ are unbounded uniform domains whose closures are mutually disjoint.

Obviously D has the property (A.1).

Let ∂_j be the point at infinity of the unbounded closed set $\overline{C_j}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq N$. Denote the N -points set $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N\}$ by F and put $\overline{D}^* = \overline{D} \cup F$. $\overline{D}^*$ can be made to be a compact Hausdorff space if we employ as a local base of neighborhoods of each point $\partial_j \in F$ the neighborhoods of ∂_j in $\overline{C_j} \cup \{\partial_j\}$. $\overline{D}^*$ may be called the *N -points compactification of $\overline{D}$* .

For the RBM $Z = (Z_t, \mathbf{Q}_z)$ on $\overline{D}$, define the *approaching probabilities* $\varphi_j(x)$ by

$$\varphi_j(x) = \mathbf{Q}_x \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = \partial_j \right), \quad x \in \overline{D}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Theorem 2.1. *It holds that*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(x) = 1, & \varphi_j(x) > 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad \text{for every } x \in \overline{D}, \\ \dim(\mathcal{H}^*(D)) = N. & \mathcal{H}^*(D) = \{\sum_{j=1}^N c_j \varphi_j : c_j \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{cases}$$

We fix a strictly positive $f \in L^1(D)$ and let $X = (X_t, \zeta, \mathbf{P}_x)$ be the time changed process of Z by the PCAF $A_t = \int_0^t f(Z_s) ds$. X is then symmetric with respect to $m(dx) = f(x)dx$ and its Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^X, \mathcal{F}^X)$ on $L^2(D; m)$ is given by $\mathcal{E}^X = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}$, $\mathcal{F}^X = H_e^1(D) \cap L^2(D; m)$. The reflected Dirichlet space of X is still $\text{BL}(D)$. $\varphi_j(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$\varphi_j(x) = \mathbf{P}_x(\zeta < \infty, X_{\zeta-} = \partial_j), \quad x \in \overline{D}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

A map Π from the boundary set $F = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N\}$ onto a finite set $\widehat{F} = \{\widehat{\partial}_1, \dots, \widehat{\partial}_\ell\}$ with $\ell \leq N$ is called a *partition of F* . We let $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*} = \overline{D} \cup \widehat{F}$. We extend the map Π from F to $\overline{D}^*$ by setting $\Pi x = x$, $x \in \overline{D}$, and introduce the *quotient topology on $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$* by Π , in other words,

$$\mathcal{U}_\Pi = \{U \subset \overline{D}^{\Pi,*} : \Pi^{-1}(U) \text{ is an open subset of } \overline{D}^*\}$$

is taken to be the family of open subsets of $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$.

$\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$ is a compact Hausdorff space and may be called an *ℓ -points compactification of $\overline{D}$* obtained from $\overline{D}^*$ by identifying the points in the set $\Pi^{-1}\widehat{\partial}_i \subset F$ as a single point $\widehat{\partial}_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Given a partition Π of F , the approaching probabilities $\widehat{\varphi}_i$ of the time changed RBM $X = (X_t, \zeta, \mathbf{P}_x)$ to $\widehat{\partial}_i \in \widehat{F}$ are defined by

$$\widehat{\varphi}_i(x) = \sum_{j \in \Pi^{-1}\widehat{\partial}_i} \varphi_j(x), \quad x \in \overline{D}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

The measure $m(dx) = f(x)dx$ is extended from $\overline{D}$ to $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$ by setting $m(\widehat{F}) = 0$.

- $\widehat{\varphi}_i$ is strictly positive on $\overline{D}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$,
- m is a finite measure on $\overline{D}$
- $G^X g = G^Z(fg)$ is lower semicontinuous for the 0-order resolvent G^X (resp. G^Z) of X (resp. Z) and any non-negative Borel function g on $\overline{D}$.

Thus all requirements for the unique existence of ℓ -point extension of X from $\overline{D}$ to $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$ in Section 7.7 of [CF2] are fulfilled.

Theorem 2.2. *There exists a unique m -symmetric recurrent diffusion extension $X^{\Pi,*}$ of X from $\bar{D}$ to $\bar{D}^{\Pi,*}$. The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{\Pi,*}, \mathcal{F}^{\Pi,*})$ of $X^{\Pi,*}$ on $L^2(\bar{D}^{\Pi,*}; m)$ ($= L^2(D; m)$) admits the extended Dirichlet space expressed as*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_e^{\Pi,*} = H_e^1(D) \oplus \{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \hat{\varphi}_i : c_i \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \text{BL}(D), \\ \mathcal{E}^{\Pi,*}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}(u, v), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}_e^{\Pi,*}. \end{cases}$$

Actually the family $\{X^{\Pi,*} : \Pi \text{ is a partition of } F\}$ exhausts all possible m -symmetric conservative diffusion extensions of the time changed RBM X on $\bar{D}$ as will be formulated below. Let E be a Lusin space into which $\bar{D}$ is homeomorphically embedded as an open subset. The measure $m(dx) = f(x)dx$ on $\bar{D}$ is extended to E by setting $m(E \setminus \bar{D}) = 0$. Let $Y = (Y_t, \mathbf{P}_x^Y)$ be an m -symmetric conservative diffusion process on E whose part process on $\bar{D}$ is identical in law with X . The following theorem extends Theorem 3.4 in [CF1] (the case that $N = 1$).

Theorem 2.3. *There exists a partition Π of F such that E is quasi-homeomorphic with $\bar{D}^{\Pi,*}$ and Y is a quasi-homeomorphic image of $X^{\Pi,*}$.*

Outline of a proof of Theorem 2.3

Let $\mathcal{E}^Y$ be the Dirichlet form of Y on $L^2(E; m)$. Since $\mathcal{E}^Y$ is quasi-regular, we can use a quasi homeomorphism to assume

- E is a locally compact separable metric space,
- $\mathcal{E}^Y$ is a regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(E; m)$,
- Y is an associated Hunt process on E ,
- $\tilde{F} := E \setminus \bar{D}$ is quasi-closed.

As Y is a conservative extension of the non-conservative process X , $\tilde{F}$ is not $\mathcal{E}^Y$ -polar. Every function in $\mathcal{F}_e^Y$ will be taken to be $\mathcal{E}^Y$ -quasi continuous. By Theorem 7.1.6 of [CF2], one can conclude that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_e^Y \subset \text{BL}(D), \quad \mathcal{H}^Y := \{\mathbf{H}u : u \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y\} \subset \mathcal{H}^*, \\ \mathcal{E}^Y(u, u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}(u, u) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\langle \mathbf{H}u \rangle}^c(\tilde{F}), \quad u \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{H}u(x) = \mathbf{E}_x^Y[u(Y_{\sigma_{\tilde{F}}})]$, $x \in E$. We show that

$$\mu_{\langle u \rangle}^c(\tilde{F}) = 0 \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^Y. \quad (2.1)$$

Take any $u \in \mathcal{H}^Y$. Theorem 2.1 and the above inclusion imply that $u = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \varphi_j$ for some constants c_j . As u is continuous along the sample path of Y , u takes only the values $\{c_1, \dots, c_N\}$ on the boundary $\tilde{F}$ ν -almost everywhere where

$$\nu(B) = \int_{\bar{D}} \mathbf{P}_x^Y(Y_{\sigma_{\tilde{F}}} \in B, \sigma_{\tilde{F}} < \infty) m(dx), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(E).$$

Since $\tilde{F}$ is a *quasi-support* of ν , u takes only the values $\{c_1, \dots, c_N\}$ quasi-everywhere on $\tilde{F}$. (2.1) then follows from the *image measure density property* of $\mu_{\langle u \rangle}^c$ due to Bouleau-Hirsch.

Define a partition Π of F by means of the values taken by functions in $\mathcal{H}^Y$ along the path of X to obtain

$$(\mathcal{F}_e^Y, \mathcal{E}^Y) = (\mathcal{F}_e^{\Pi,*}, \mathcal{E}^{\Pi,*}).$$

Both being quasi-regular, they are related by a quasi-homeomorphism of their underlying spaces.

Remark 2.4. Given measurable functions $a_{ij}(x)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, on D such that

$$a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x), \quad \Lambda^{-1}|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \Lambda |\xi|^2, \quad x \in D, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some constant $\Lambda \geq 1$, we define a Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{A}, H^1(D))$ on $L^2(D)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}(u, v) = \int_D \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}(x) dx, \quad u, v \in H^1(D).$$

If we replace the Dirichlet form $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(D))$ on $L^2(D)$ and the associated RBM Z on $\overline{D}$, respectively, by $(\mathcal{A}, H^1(D))$ and the associated reflecting diffusion process on $\overline{D}$ constructed in [FT0], all assertions stated above remain valid with no essential change.

By this replacement, the extended Dirichlet space and the reflected Dirichlet space are still $H_e^1(D)$ and $\text{BL}(D)$, respectively, although the inner product $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{A}$. It suffices to notice that any function in $\text{BL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a sum of a function in $H_e^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a constant c and $\mathcal{A}(c, c) = 0$.

3 Liouville property of energy forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section, we consider a positive Borel function ρ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that is locally bounded above and locally uniformly bounded away from 0, and an associated form

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \rho(x) dx. \quad (3.1)$$

$(\mathcal{E}^\rho, C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is closable on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ and the closure $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, \mathcal{F}^\rho)$ (called an *energy form*) is a strongly local regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is irreducible ([FOT, Theorem 4.6.4]). In general, an irreducible recurrent Dirichlet form enjoys the Liouville property in view of [CF2, Lemma 6.7.3]. It therefore suffices to consider only the transient case in order to study the Liouville property of $\mathcal{E}^\rho$. We shall examine this property when $\rho(x)$ is a positive smooth function depending only on the radial part of the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 3.1. *For any positive smooth function η on $[0, \infty)$, let $\rho(x) = \eta(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ satisfies the Liouville property when $n \geq 2$.*

When $n = 1$, $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ satisfies the Liouville property in recurrent case but $\dim(\mathcal{H}^) = 2$ in transient case.*

Proof. According to Theorem 1.6.7 in the first edition of [FOT], $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ is transient if and only if

$$(T) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\eta(r)r^{n-1}} dr < \infty.$$

In what follows, we assume that η satisfies condition (T).

It then follows from $1/r = (r^{n-3}\eta(r))^{1/2}(\eta(r)r^{n-1})^{-1/2}$ and the Schwarz inequality that

$$\int_1^\infty r^{n-3}\eta(r) dr = \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

We use the polar coordinate

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = r \cos \theta_1 \\ x_2 = r \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \\ x_3 = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 \\ \dots \\ x_{n-1} = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1} \\ x_n = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

Then, for $u, v \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) \\ &= \int_{[0, \infty) \times [0, \pi]^{n-2} \times [0, 2\pi]} \left[u_r v_r + \frac{u_{\theta_1} v_{\theta_1}}{r^2} + \frac{u_{\theta_2} v_{\theta_2}}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1} + \dots + \frac{u_{\theta_{n-1}} v_{\theta_{n-1}}}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \dots \sin^2 \theta_{n-2}} \right] \\ & \quad \times \eta(r) r^{n-1} \sin^{n-2} \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{n-2} dr d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

For a smooth function u on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u)$ the value of the integral of the right hand side of (3.3) for $v = u$.

As in the case that $\rho = 1$, the reflected Dirichlet space of $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\rho, \text{ref}} = \{u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \eta(|x|) dx < \infty\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}^* = \{u \in \mathcal{F}^{\rho, \text{ref}} : \mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$, it follows from (3.3) that $u \in \mathcal{H}^*$ if and only if

$$u \text{ is smooth, } \mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{L}u(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}u(r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} (u_r \cdot \eta(r) r^{n-1})_r + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^{n-2} \theta_1} (u_{\theta_1} \sin^{n-2} \theta_1)_{\theta_1} + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^{n-3} \theta_2} (u_{\theta_2} \sin^{n-3} \theta_2)_{\theta_2} \\ &+ \dots + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \dots \sin^2 \theta_{n-3} \sin \theta_{n-2}} (u_{\theta_{n-2}} \sin \theta_{n-2})_{\theta_{n-2}} + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \dots \sin^2 \theta_{n-2}} (u_{\theta_{n-1}})_{\theta_{n-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Now take any function $u \in \mathcal{H}^*$. We claim that

$$u_{\theta_{n-1}} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Put $w = u_{\theta_{n-1}}$. Due to the expression (3.5) of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}w = (\mathcal{L}u)_{\theta_{n-1}} = 0$, namely, w is $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic. For $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| < r\}$ and the uniform probability measure $\Pi(d\xi)$ on ∂B_1 , w therefore admits the Poisson integral formula

$$w(x) = \int_{\partial B_1} K_r(x, r\xi) w(r\xi) \Pi(d\xi), \quad x \in B_r, \quad (3.7)$$

where $K_r(x, r\xi)$ is the Poisson kernel for B_r with respect to $\mathcal{L}$, which is known to be continuous in $(x, \xi) \in B_r \times \partial B_1$. We also note that $K_r(0, r\xi) = 1$ for any $\xi \in \partial B_1$ by the rotation invariance of $\mathcal{L}$ around the origin 0.

Fix $a > 0$. It then holds For any $r > a$ that

$$K_r(x, r\xi_2) = \int_{\partial B_a} K_a(x, a\xi_1) K_r(a\xi_1, r\xi_2) \Pi(d\xi_1), \quad x \in B_q, \quad \xi_2 \in \partial B_1.$$

Hence, if we let $\sup_{x \in B_{a/2}, \xi_1 \in \partial B_1} K_a(x, a\xi_1) = C_a < \infty$, then, for $x \in B_{a/2}$, $\xi_2 \in \partial B_1$,

$$K_r(x, r\xi_2) \leq C_a \int_{\partial B_1} K_r(a\xi_1, r\xi_2) \Pi(d\xi_1) = C_a K_r(0, r\xi_2) = C_a,$$

and it follows from (3.7) that

$$|w(x)| \leq C_a \int_{\partial B_1} |w(r\xi)| \Pi(d\xi), \quad x \in B_{a/2}, \quad r > a.$$

Recall that $w = u_{\theta_{n-1}}$. We multiply the both hand side of the above inequality by $r^{n-3}\eta(r)$, integrate in r from a to R , apply the Schwarz inequality and finally use the expression (3.3) to get

$$|u_{\theta_{n-1}}(x)| \leq \frac{C_a}{\sqrt{\sigma_n}} \left[\int_a^R r^{n-3} \eta(r) dr \right]^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u)}, \quad x \in B_{a/2},$$

which tends to 0 as $R \rightarrow \infty$ by (3.2). Since $a > 0$ is arbitrary, we arrive at (3.6).

It also holds that

$$u_{\theta_k} = 0 \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (3.8)$$

In fact, if we let $\xi_i = \frac{x_i}{r}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \partial B_1$, then θ_k , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, is an angle of two n -vectors $\xi^{(k)} = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_n)$, $\mathbf{e}_k = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Consider the

subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ spanned by ξ^k and $\mathbf{e}_k$ and take a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in V orthogonal to $\mathbf{e}_k$. Let O be an orthognal matrix whose $(n-1)$ -th and n -th column vectors are $\mathbf{e}_k$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$, respectively. We make the orthogonal transformation $\mathbf{y} = {}^t O \mathbf{x}$. Then θ_k equals an angle of two vectors on the (y_{n-1}, y_n) -plane in the new coordinate system $\mathbf{y}$ and (3.6) applies.

Thus u depends only on r and, in terms of a scale function $ds(r) = \frac{dr}{\eta(r)r^{n-1}}$ on $(0, \infty)$, (3.3) and (3.6) are reduced, respectively, to

$$\mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u) = \sigma_n \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{du(r)}{ds(r)} \right)^2 ds(r), \quad \mathcal{L}u(r) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \cdot \frac{du(r)}{ds(r)}.$$

By (3.4), $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ so that $u(r) = C_1 + C_2 s(r)$, $r > 0$, for some constant C_1, C_2 . Since $\mathcal{E}^\eta(s, s) = \sigma_n \cdot s(0, \infty)$ is finite if and only if $n = 1$, we get the desired conclusions from (3.4). $\square$

It is conjectured that the energy form $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ satisfies the Liouville property for any ρ prescribed in the above of (3.1) when $n \geq 2$.

The diffusion process Z on $\mathbb{R}^n$ associated with $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ is called the *distorted Brownian motion*. Let X be its time changed process defined as (1.1) by means of $m(dx) = f(x)dx$ for a strictly positive bounded function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\Delta\}$ be the one point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ satisfies the Liouville property, then it can be shown as [CF1, Theorem 3.4] that any m -symmetric proper diffusion extension of X shares the same finite dimensional distribution with the one-point reflection of X at Δ . See [F2] for more details on these points.

References

- [B] M. Brelot, Étude et extensions du principe de Dirichlet, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **3**(1953/54), 371-419
- [CF1] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, On unique extension of time changed reflecting Brownian motions, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **45** (2009), 864-875
- [CF2] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, *Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change and Boundary Theory*, Princeton University Press, 2011
- [CF3] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, One-point reflections, *Stochastic Process Appl.* **125**(2015), 1368-1393 f Dirichlet forms, *Nagoya Math. J* **136**(1994), 1-15
- [F1] M. Fukushima, From one dimensional diffusions to symmetric Markov processes, *Stochastic Process Appl.* **120**(2010), 590-604
- [F2] M. Fukushima, A note on Liouville property of harmonic functions of finite energy, at Workshop on Probability at Kansai University, January 31, 2015
- [FOT] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, de Gruyter, 1994, 2nd revised Edition, 2010
- [FTa] M. Fukushima and M. Takeda, *Markov Processes* (in Japanese), Baifukan, Tokyo, 2008, Chinese translation by P.He, ed. by J. Ying, Science Press, Beijing, 2011
- [FTo] M. Fukushima and M. Tomisaki, Construction and decomposition of reflecting diffusions on Lipschitz domains with Hölder cusps, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **106**(1996), 521-557
- [HK] D.A. Herron and P. Koskela, Uniform Sobolev extension and quasiconformal circle domains, *J. Anal. Math.* **57**(1991), 172-202
- [MR] Z.-M. Ma and M. Röckner, *Introduction to the Theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms*, Springer, 1992
- [P] Ross G. Pinsky, Transience/recurrence for normally reflected Brownian motion in unbounded domains, *Ann. Probab.* **37**(2009), 676-686

Osaka University

E-mail address: fuku2@mx5.canvas.ne.jp

大阪大学 福島 正俊