

Existence of Selfsimilar Shrinking Curves for Anisotropic Curvature Flow Equations

Noriko Mizoguchi

溝口紀子 (東京学芸大・教育)

1 Introduction

This is a joint work with Prof. C. Dohmen and Prof. Y. Giga.

We consider a simple looking ordinary differential equation of the form

$$u_{xx} + u - \frac{a(x)}{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R} \quad (1)$$

with a given positive function a . This equation arises in describing a selfsimilar solution of anisotropic curvature flow equations. Since x is the argument of the normal of the curve it is natural to impose 2π -periodicity for a in (1) and to ask for existence of 2π -periodic solutions. To simplicity the notation we notice that a 2π -periodic function can be regarded as a function on the flat torus $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$. For example the space $C^m(\mathbf{T})$ is the space of all 2π -periodic C^m -functions on $\mathbf{R}$. Let $C_+^m(\mathbf{T})$ denote the set of all positive functions in $C^m(\mathbf{T})$. In particular

$$C_+^2(\mathbf{T}) = \{u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}) : u(x+2\pi) = u(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathbf{R}, u > 0\}. \quad (2)$$

Using this notations, we want to investigate the existence of solutions of (1) in $C_+^2(\mathbf{T})$. As to this, we have the following

Theorem 1. Assume that a is a positive, continuous function on $\mathbf{T}$. Then there is a function $u \in C_+^2(\mathbf{T})$ solving (1).

The key step to prove this result is to derive a priori bounds for solutions of (1) :

Theorem 2. Let $0 < A_1 < A_2$ be two constants. Then there are two positive constants m and M , depending only on A_1 and A_2 , such that if $u \in C_+^2(\mathbf{T})$ solves (1) on $\mathbf{T}$ with

$$A_1 \leq a \leq A_2 \quad (3)$$

then

$$m \leq u \leq M \quad \text{on } \mathbf{T}. \quad (4)$$

The proof of this a priori estimate actually shows that the continuity of a is not needed.

Corollary 1. Let $a \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ and satisfy (3). Then there is a function $u \in C_+^{1,1}(\mathbf{T})$ solving (1).

Here $C_+^{1,1}(\mathbf{T})$ denotes the space of all positive, 2π -periodic functions whose derivative is Lipschitz continuous. The differential equation is solved in the sense of distributions and almost everywhere.

To prove this corollary, we approximate a by continuous functions a_j , keeping the bounds (3) and $a_j \rightarrow a$ in L_{loc}^p -sense for $p > 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let u_j be the solution of (1) taking a_j instead of a . By the a priori bounds (4) and the equation (1) the sequence u_j is bounded in L^∞ along with u_{jx} and u_{jxx} . Thus a subsequence of the u_j converges to some function u in $C_+^1(\mathbf{T})$; it is not difficult to show $u \in C_+^{1,1}(\mathbf{T})$ and that u solves (1).

To get a better understanding of the mechanisms we will carry out the proof of the a priori bounds considering the slightly more general equation

$$u_{xx} + u - a(x)g(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } I \subset \mathbf{R} \quad (5)$$

instead of (1). Here again a satisfies (3) on the interval I and g is assumed to be a positive, continuous, nonincreasing function on $(0, \infty)$. Defining

$$G(p) = \int_1^p g(s)ds, \quad (6)$$

we consider impose the following conditions on g :

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} G(p) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} G(p)p^{-2} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(p)p}{g(q)q^2} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} g(p) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Note that the second condition in (7) is automatically satisfied by (6) and the non-increasing property of g . Examples for functions satisfying these conditions are given by

$$g(p) = p^{-\sigma}, \quad 1 \leq \sigma < 2. \quad (10)$$

Our main existence theorem has an application for evolution equations for embedded colsed curves $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t>0}$ in $\mathbf{R}^2$ derived in [10].

Let V be the inward velocity of Γ_t in the direction of its unit inward normal vector

$$n(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta).$$

Let k be the inward curvature of Γ_t and let f and β be positive functions on $\mathbf{R}$, which are 2π -periodic. we consider an equation for Γ_t of the form

$$V = a(\theta)k, \quad a(\theta) = \frac{f''(\theta) + f(\theta)}{\beta(\theta)}.$$

Here $f'' + f$ is assumed to be positive so that the equation is parabolic. Such an equation arises in a model describing the motion of phase boundaries in an anisotropic medium (see [10]). The function f is called the surface energy density and β is called the cinetic coefficient.

If $a(\theta)$ is constant, the equation becomes the curvature flow equation and the evolution of Γ_t is well studied. No matter what initial curve is given, the solution stays smooth and embedded and eventually becomes convex ([10]). It then stays convex and

shrinks to a point in finite time ([8]). The type of shrinking is asymptotically similar to that of a shrinking circle $\{C_t\}$ ([6], [7], [8]), which is self-similar in the sense that

$$C_t = (t_* - t)^{1/2} C,$$

where C denotes the unit circle centered at the origin, the time t_* is the extinction time and λC denotes the dilatation of C with multiplier λ . Selfsimilar solutions are classified even for immersed curves ([2]) and the asymptotic shape of singularities of this type is classified ([1]). We are interested in finding such selfsimilar solutions

$$\Gamma_t = (t_* - t)^{1/2} \Gamma$$

for general $a(\theta)$. Such solutions exist in the case that $\beta(\theta)^{-1}$ equals a constant multiple of $f(\theta)$. Then Γ is the boundary of the so-called Wulff-shape W of f , i.e.,

$$W = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x \cdot n(\theta) \leq f(\theta) \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

This is explicitly stated in [12], including the multidimensional case where β and the second differential f'' are assumed continuous, so also a is continuous. It is not difficult to see that such results extend to $f \in C^{1,1}$, provided that $f'' + f$ is still bounded away from zero and if the definition of a solution is given in some appropriate sense.

Our main existence theorem yields the existence of selfsimilar solutions for arbitrary bounded a . Indeed every equation $V = a(\theta)k$ can be rewritten as

$$V = u(u'' + u)k,$$

where u is a solution of (1) with θ replacing x .

2 A priori estimates

To simplify the terminology let us define the following terms. A solution $u \in C_+^2(\mathbf{T})$ of (1) or (5) is called a singlepeak-solution if the set of points not being local extrema consists of two connected components in $\mathbf{T}$. Otherwise u is called a multipeak-solution.

To prove the a priori bounds these two types of solutions need essentially different techniques. Thus let us state the results separately.

Lemma 1. Let $u \in C_+^2(I)$ be a solutions of (5) on some open interval I and let (3) be satisfied. If u attains local minima in $\alpha, \beta \in I, \alpha < \beta$ and n_x changes its sign only once in (α, β) , then there is a positive constant M_0 depending only on A_1, A_2 and g such that

$$u \leq M_0 \quad \text{in } (\alpha, \beta) \quad (11)$$

provided that $\beta - \alpha \leq \pi$.

Lemma 2. Let $u \in C_+^2(\mathbf{T})$ be a singlepeak-solutions of (5) and let (3) be satisfied. Then there is a positive constant M_1 depending only on A_1, A_2 and g such that

$$u \leq M_1 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{T}. \quad (12)$$

Proposition 1. Let $u \in C_+^2(\mathbf{T})$ be a solution of (5) and let (3) be satisfied.

- i) If there is a constant $\tilde{M}$ depending only on A_1, A_2 and g such that one local maximum $u(\gamma)$ is estimated by $u(\gamma) \leq \tilde{M}$, then there are two other constants $0 < m < M$, also depending only on A_1, A_2 and g such that

$$m \leq u \leq M \quad \text{on } \mathbf{T}.$$

- ii) The conclusion in i) also holds if there is a constant $\tilde{m} > 0$ depending only on A_1, A_2 and g such that one local minimum $u(\alpha)$ is estimated by $u(\alpha) \geq \tilde{m}$.

See the proofs of Lemmas 1, 2 and Proposition 1 in [4]. Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1, 2 and Proposition 1 as can be seen as follows. If u is a multipeak solution, there exists at least one pair of local minima with a distance less or equal π . On these intervals Lemma 1 can be applied and due to Proposition 1 all extrema are estimated in terms of one extremum. The situation needed to apply Lemma

1 fails to exist only if u has exactly one local minimum, i.e., is a singlepeak solution. But in this case Lemma 2 yields the upper bound and due to Proposition 1 we again have a lower bound; thus the theorem is proved.

The results above also show that the set of all 2π -periodic solutions of (1) or (5) is bounded uniformly in the set of all a that satisfy (3).

3 Existence of solutions

In this chapter, we will prove the existence of a solution of (1) using the Leray-Schauder degree. Herein we make use of the uniform boundedness of solutions of (1) with respect to functions a satisfying (3) stated in Theorem 2. We define

$$E = \{v \in C_+^0(\mathbf{T}) : \frac{m}{2} \leq v \leq 2M \quad \text{in } \mathbf{T}\}. \quad (13)$$

Let F be a continuous mapping from $E \times [0, 1]$ into $C_+^0(\mathbf{T})$ defined by

$$F(u, \tau) = 2u - \frac{\tau a(x) + (1 - \tau)a_0}{u} \quad (14)$$

with a constant a_0 satisfying the bounds imposed on a in (3).

Let T denote a linear compact operator from $C_+^0(\mathbf{T})$ into itself given by $w = T(f)$, where w is the unique solution of

$$-w_{xx} + w = f \quad \text{in } \mathbf{T}.$$

Setting $S_\tau = S(\cdot, \tau) = T \circ F(\cdot, \tau)$, we have a continuous, compact mapping from E into $C_+^0(\mathbf{T})$. Clearly u is a fixed point of S_τ if and only if $u \in E$ solves

$$u_{xx} - u + 2u - \frac{\tau a(x) + (1 - \tau)a_0}{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{T},$$

which is (1) in case of $\tau = 1$. The a priori bounds in Theorem 2 now imply that S_τ has no fixed point on the boundary of E , in other words

$$(I - S_\tau)u \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial E, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1.$$

Thus the homotopy invariance of the Leray-Schauder degree yields

Proposition 2.

$$\deg(I - S_1, E, 0) = \deg(I - S_0, E, 0).$$

To show the existence of a solution of (5) it now suffices to prove that this degree is not equal zero.

Lemma 3. The number

$$\deg(I - S_0, E, 0) \tag{15}$$

is not zero ; in fact, it equals -1 .

Proof. As proved by Gage and Hamilton in [8] (see also [2], [5]), there is a unique solution $u \in E$ of

$$u_{xx} + u - \frac{a_0}{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{T},$$

which is given by the constant $a_0^{1/2}$. (Actually in [8] the setting is $a_0 = 1/2$, but our problem here reduces to theirs by changing from u to $(2a_0)^{1/2}u$.)

So $u_0 = a_0^{1/2}$ is the only zero of $I - S_0$ in E ; thus

$$\deg(I - S_0, E, 0) = \deg(I - S_0, B_\delta(u_0), 0)$$

for some sufficiently small δ . At u_0 the mapping $I - S_0$ is nondegenerate in the sense that the derivative $I - S'_0(u_0)$ is injective. Indeed, suppose that

$$(I - S'_0(u_0))v = 0.$$

Since $S'_0(u_0) = T \circ F'(u_0, 0)$, this implies

$$-v_{xx} + v = 2v + \frac{a_0}{u_0^2}v$$

or, using the definition of u_0

$$v_{xx} + 2v = 0.$$

But this problem has no nontrivial 2π -periodic solution. This nondegeneracy enables us to apply a standard degree theory result (see [11], Theorem 2.8.1, p.66 or [3], Example 2.8.3, p.65), which states

$$\deg(I - S_0, B_\delta(u_0), 0) = (-1)^\beta,$$

where β is the number of eigenvalue of S'_0 (counting algebraic multiplicity) greater than one.

We show the elementary computation of β . A number λ is an eigenvalue of $S'_0(u_0)$ if and only if there is a nontrivial solution $v \in C_+^0(\mathbf{T})$ of

$$\lambda v = S'_0(u_0)v$$

or equivalently

$$-v_{xx} = \frac{3 - \lambda}{\lambda} v.$$

Thus β equals the number of $\lambda > 1$ (counted with multiplicity) that solve $\frac{3 - \lambda}{\lambda} = n^2$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. As these λ are given by $\lambda = 3$ and $\lambda = 3/2$ with multiplicity 1 and 2, respectively, we have

$$\deg(I - S_0, B_\delta(u_0), 0) = (-1)^3 = -1. \quad \square$$

Remark 1. Concerning the uniqueness of solutions of (1) in $C_+^2(\mathbf{T})$, the implicit function theorem implies that the zero of $I - S_\tau$ is unique provided τ is small since no bifurcation from $(u_0, 0)$ occurs due to the nondegeneracy of the unique zero u_0 of $I - S_0$.

References

- [1] S. Angenent, On the formation of singularities in the curve shortening flow, J. Diff. Geometry 33 (1991), 601-633.

- [2] U. Abresch and J. Langer, The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions, *J. Diff. Geometry* 23 (1986), 175-196.
- [3] K. Deinling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Heidelberg, Berlin, New York (1985).
- [4] C. Dohmen, Y. Giga and N. Mizoguchi, Existence of selfsimilar shrinking curves for anisotropic curvature flow equations, preprint.
- [5] C. Epstein and M. Weinstein, A stable manifold theorem for the curve shortening equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 40 (1987), 119-139.
- [6] M. Gage, An isoperimetric inequality with application to curve shortening, *Duke M. J.* 50 (1983), 1225-1229.
- [7] M. Gage, Curve shortening makes convex curves circular, *Inv. Math.* 76 (1984), 357-364.
- [8] M. Gage and R. S. Hamilton, The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves, *J. Diff. Geometry* 23 (1986), 69-96.
- [9] The heat equation shrinks embedded plane curves to points, *J. Diff. Geometry* 26 (1987), 285-314.
- [10] M. E. Gurtin, *Thermodynamics of evolving phase boundaries in the plane*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1993).
- [11] L. Nirenberg, *Topics in nonlinear functional analysis*, Lecture Notes 1973/74, Courant Inst. of Math. Sciences.
- [12] H. M. Soner, Motion of a set by the curvature of its boundary, *J. Diff. Eq.* 101 (1993), 313-392.