

Some results on Ehrhart polynomials of star-shaped triangulations of balls

Takayuki Hibi

日比孝之 (北海道大学
理学部)

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Hokkaido University

Kita-ku, Sapporo 060, Japan

In this paper we extend the work [Sta5] and [H4] on Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes.

First, we recall what the Ehrhart polynomial of a convex polytope is. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an integral convex polytope, i.e., a convex polytope any of whose vertices has integer coordinates, of dimension d and $\partial\mathcal{P}$ the boundary of $\mathcal{P}$. Given an integer $n > 0$ we write $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ for the number of rational points $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N)$ in $\mathcal{P}$ such that each $n\alpha_i$ is an integer. In other words, $i(\mathcal{P}, n) = \#(n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$. Here $n\mathcal{P} := \{n\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and $\#(X)$ is the cardinality of a finite set X . The systematic study of $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ originated in the work of Ehrhart (cf. [Ehr]), who established that the function $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ possesses the following fundamental properties :

(0.1) $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree d .

(Thus $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ can be defined for every integer n .)

(0.2) $i(\mathcal{P}, 0) = 1$.

(0.3) ("loi de réciprocité") $(-1)^d i(\mathcal{P}, -n) = \#(n(\mathcal{P} - \partial\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$
for every integer $n > 0$.

We say that $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ is the *Ehrhart polynomial* of $\mathcal{P}$.

We define the sequence $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$ of integers by the formula

$$(1 - \lambda)^{d+1} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i(\mathcal{P}, n) \lambda^n \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \lambda^i. \quad (*)$$

Thus, in particular, $\delta_0 = 1$ and $\delta_1 = \#(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) - (d + 1)$. Also, $\delta_i = 0$ for every $i > d$ by, e.g., [Sta3, Corollary 4.3.1], and $\delta_d = \#((\mathcal{P} - \partial\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$ by (0.3). Moreover, each δ_i is non-negative [Sta1]. When $d = N$, $(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} \delta_i)/d!$ is equal to the volume of $\mathcal{P}$ ([Sta3, Proposition 4.6.30]). We say that the sequence $\delta(\mathcal{P}) := (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ which appears in Eq. (*) is the δ -vector of $\mathcal{P}$.

Some linear inequalities on the δ -vector of $\mathcal{P}$ are known, e.g.,

(1.1) ([Sta5]) If $\delta_j \neq 0$ and $\delta_{j+1} = \delta_{j+2} = \dots = \delta_d = 0$, then $\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq i} \delta_\ell \leq \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq i} \delta_{j-\ell}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq [j/2]$.

(1.2) ([H4]) When $(\mathcal{P} - \partial\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ is non-empty, then $\delta_1 \leq \delta_i$ for every $1 \leq i < d$.

See also [Sta6], [B-M], [H1], [H2] and [H3] for further results on Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes.

On the other hand, let Γ be an integral polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^N$, i.e., a finite set of integral convex polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that (a) if $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is a face of $\mathcal{P}$, then $\mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma$, and (b) if $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}' \in \Gamma$, then $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$ is a face of $\mathcal{P}$ and of $\mathcal{P}'$. We write $|\Gamma|$ for the underlying space $\cup_{\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}$ ($\subset \mathbb{R}^N$) of Γ , and let $\partial|\Gamma|$ be the boundary of $|\Gamma|$ (in the usual topological sense with respect to the relative topology on $|\Gamma|$ inherited from the standard topology on $\mathbb{R}^N$). We call $d := \max\{\dim \mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P} \in \Gamma\}$ the dimension of Γ . In analogy with $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$, we define for $n > 0$ $i(\Gamma, n)$ to be the number of rational points $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N)$ in $|\Gamma|$ for which each $n\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, thanks to (0.3), we easily see

$$i(\Gamma, n) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma} (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} i(\mathcal{P}, -n).$$

Hence $i(\Gamma, n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree d , however, $i(\Gamma, 0) = \chi(\Gamma)$, the Euler characteristic of Γ .

Now, suppose that Γ is an integral polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of dimension d such that the underlying space $|\Gamma|$ is homeomorphic to the d -ball. Then $\chi(\Gamma) = 1$. Hence the δ -vector $\delta(\Gamma) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ of Γ can be defined by replacing $i(\mathcal{P}, n)$ with $i(\Gamma, n)$ in Eq. (*). Thus $\delta_0 = 1$, $\delta_1 = \#(|\Gamma| \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) - (d + 1)$ and $\delta_d = \#((|\Gamma| - \partial|\Gamma|) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$. Here $\partial|\Gamma|$ is the boundary, which is homeomorphic to the $(d-1)$ -sphere, of $|\Gamma|$. Also, each δ_i is non-negative [Sta4].

We are now in the position to state our main result in this paper.

THEOREM. Let Γ be an integral polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of dimension d such that the underlying space $|\Gamma|$ is homeomorphic to the d -ball. Suppose that $(|\Gamma| - \partial|\Gamma|) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ is non-empty, i.e., $\delta_d \neq 0$, and that $|\Gamma|$ is star-shaped relative to some $\alpha \in (|\Gamma| - \partial|\Gamma|) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$, i.e., for each β in $|\Gamma|$, the open line segment $\{(1-t)\alpha + t\beta; 0 < t < 1\}$ from α to β lies in $|\Gamma| - \partial|\Gamma|$. Let $\delta(\Gamma) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ be the δ -vector of Γ .

(2.1) We have the lower bound inequality

$$\delta_1 \leq \delta_i$$

for every $1 \leq i < d$.

(2.2) Moreover, the linear inequality

$$\delta_0 + \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i \leq \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \dots + \delta_{d-i}$$

holds for every $0 \leq i \leq [d/2]$.

The proof of (2.1) is based on the same combinatorial technique as in [H4]. On the other hand, in [Sta5], the canonical ideal $\Omega(A(\mathcal{P}))$ of a Cohen-Macaulay integral domain $A(\mathcal{P})$ associated with a convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ plays an essential role for the proof of (1.1).

More generally, in [Sta4, p.202], given an integral polyhedral complex Γ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, a noetherian graded ring $A(\Gamma)$ is defined as follows. Let $X_1, \dots, X_N$ and T be indeterminates over a field k . A basis of $A(\Gamma)$ as a vector space over k consists of 1 together with all monomials $X^{\alpha}T^n = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_N^{\alpha_N}T^n$, where $n > 0$ is an integer and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in (n|\Gamma| \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$. In $A(\Gamma)$, multiplication of two monomials $X^{\alpha}T^n$ and $X^{\beta}T^m$ is defined by (i) $(X^{\alpha}T^n)(X^{\beta}T^m) = X^{\alpha+\beta}T^{n+m}$ if $\alpha \in n\mathcal{P}$ and $\beta \in m\mathcal{P}$ for some $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$, and (ii) $(X^{\alpha}T^n)(X^{\beta}T^m) = 0$ otherwise. (Note that $A(\Gamma)$ is never an integral domain unless $|\Gamma| = \mathcal{P}$ for some $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$.) We define a grading on $A(\Gamma)$ by setting $\deg(X^{\alpha}T^n) = n$. Then the Hilbert function $H(A(\Gamma), n)$ of $A(\Gamma)$ is equal to $i(\Gamma, n)$ for every $n > 0$.

Thanks to [Sta4, Lemma 4.6], if $|\Gamma|$ is homeomorphic to the d -ball, then the algebra $A(\Gamma)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover, the canonical ideal $\Omega(A(\Gamma))$ of $A(\Gamma)$ can be expressed easily by virtue of Hochster's theorem ([Sta2, p.81]). The key lemma for our proof of (2.2) is the existence of an integral polyhedral complex Γ' in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'|$ such that the canonical ideal $\Omega(A(\Gamma'))$ possesses a certain non-zero divisor on $A(\Gamma')$ which is required in, e.g., [H5, Proposition (1.3)].

EXAMPLE. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the simplex with vertices $A = (1, 0, 0)$, $B = (0, 1, 0)$, $C = (0, 0, 1)$ and $D = (-1, -1, -1)$. Also, let $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the simplex whose vertices are A , B , C and $E = (1, 1, 0)$. We define Γ to be the integral polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^3$ of dimension 3 which consists of $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{Q}$, all faces of $\mathcal{P}$ and all faces of $\mathcal{Q}$. Then the underlying space $|\Gamma|$ is homeomorphic to the 3-ball, and $(|\Gamma| - \partial|\Gamma|) \cap \mathbb{Z}^3 = \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. However, $|\Gamma|$ is not star-shaped relative to the origin of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We have $\delta(\Gamma) = (1, 2, 1, 1)$, which fails to satisfy the inequality in (2.1) for $i = 2$ and that in (2.2) for $i = 1$.

The author is grateful to Professor Richard P. Stanley for valuable suggestion on the original version of the present paper.

REFERENCES

- [B-M] U. Betke and P. McMullen, Lattice points in lattice polytopes, *Monatsh. Math.* **99** (1985), 253-265.
- [Ehr] E. Ehrhart, "Polynômes arithmétiques et Méthode des Polyèdres en Combinatoire," Birkhäuser, Basel and Stuttgart, 1977.
- [H₁] T. Hibi, Some results on Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes, *Discrete Math.* **83** (1990), 119-121.
- [H₂] ———, Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes, *Combinatorica*, in press.
- [H₃] ———, A combinatorial self-reciprocity theorem for Ehrhart quasi-polynomials of rational convex polytopes, *European J. Combin.*, to appear.
- [H₄] ———, A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes, *Advances in Math.*, to appear.
- [H₅] ———, Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices, *Pacific J. Math.*, in press.
- [Sta₁] R. Stanley, Decompositions of rational convex polytopes, *Annals of Discrete Math.* **6** (1980), 333-342.
- [Sta₂] ———, "Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra," *Progress in Math.*, Vol. 41, Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Stuttgart, 1983.
- [Sta₃] ———, "Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume I," Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, Calif., 1986.
- [Sta₄] ———, Generalized h -vectors, intersection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results, in "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics" (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, eds.), *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.*, Vol 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 187-213.
- [Sta₅] ———, On the Hilbert function of a graded Cohen-Macaulay domain, *J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra* **73** (1991), 307-314.
- [Sta₆] ———, A monotonicity property of h -vectors and h^* -vectors, preprint (March, 1991).