

Truth-table reductions and minimum sizes of forcing conditions (preliminary draft)

Masahiro Kumabe¹⁾, Toshio Suzuki^{2)*}, Takeshi Yamazaki³⁾

1): University of the Air,

31-1, Ōoka 2, Minami-ku, Yokohama 232-0061, Japan

kumabe@u-air.ac.jp

2): Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

toshio-suzuki@center.tmu.ac.jp

3): Department of Mathematics,

Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

yamazaki@math.tohoku.ac.jp

October 29, 2006

放送大学 教養 隈部正博, 首都大学東京 理工 鈴木 登志雄,

東北大学 理 山崎 武

Abstract

This note is a refinement of our former note [KSY05] “Logarithmic truth-table reductions and minimum sizes of forcing conditions (preliminary draft)” *Sūrikaiseki-kenkyūsho Kōkyūroku* 1442 (2005), 42-47. The current note extends and corrects [KSY05]. In our former works, for a given concept of reduction, we study the following hypothesis: “For a random oracle A , with probability one, the degree of the one-query tautologies with respect to A is strictly higher than the degree of A .” In our former works, the following three results

*Corresponding author. He was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 14740082 and No. 17540131), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

are shown: (1) the hypothesis for polynomial-time Turing reduction is equivalent to the assertion that the probabilistic complexity class R is not equal to NP , (2) the hypothesis for polynomial-time truth-table reduction implies that P is not NP , (3) [KSY05] the hypothesis holds for $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ -question truth-table-reduction (without polynomial-time bound). In this note, we show that if ε is an enough small positive number, then we can substitute $\varepsilon\ell$ for $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ in the statement of (3), where ℓ denotes the total number of occurrences of symbols in a relativized formula. We also show the hypothesis holds for monotone truth-table reduction.

1 Preface

In our former works [Su98, Su99, Su00, Su01, Su02, Su05, KSY05], by extending the work of Ambos-Spies [Am86] and related works, we consider the relationships with the canonical product measure of Cantor space and complexity of one-query tautologies. A formula F of the relativized propositional calculus is called a *one-query formula* if F has exactly one occurrence of a query symbol. For example,

$$(q_0 \Leftrightarrow \xi^3(q_1, q_2, q_3)) \Rightarrow (q_1 \Rightarrow q_0)$$

is a one-query formula, where q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 are usual propositional variables. We assume that each propositional variable takes the value 0 or 1 (0 denotes false and 1 denotes true). And, ξ^3 in the above formula is a query symbol. For a given oracle A , a function A^3 is defined as follows, where λ is the empty string, and the query symbol ξ^3 is interpreted as the function A^3 .

$$\begin{aligned} A^3(000) &= A(\lambda), & A^3(001) &= A(0), & A^3(010) &= A(1), & A^3(011) &= A(00), \\ A^3(100) &= A(01), & A^3(101) &= A(10), & A^3(110) &= A(11), & A^3(111) &= A(000). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, more informally, the following holds for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^3 - 1$, where the order of strings is defined as the canonical length-lexicographic order.

$$A^3(\text{ the } (j+1)\text{st 3-bit string}) = A(\text{ the } (j+1)\text{st string}).$$

For each n , an n -ary Boolean function A^n is defined in the same way, and an interpretation of the query symbol ξ^n is defined in the same way. For an oracle A , the concept of a *tautology with respect to A* is defined in a natural way. If a one-query formula F is a tautology with respect to A , then we say F is a *one-query tautology with respect to A* . The set of all one-query tautologies with respect to A is denoted by 1TAUT^A .

In [Su02], for a given concept $\leq_\alpha$ of reduction, we study the following hypothesis, where 1TAUT^X denotes the set of all one-query tautologies with respect to an oracle X .

One-query-jump hypothesis for $\leq_\alpha$: The class $\{X : 1\text{TAUT}^X \leq_\alpha X\}$ has measure zero.

For a given reduction $\leq_\alpha$, we denote the corresponding one-query-jump hypothesis by $[\leq_\alpha]$.

In [Su98], it is shown that the one query-jump hypothesis for p-T reduction is equivalent to “ $R \neq \text{NP}$.”

And, in [Su02], it is shown that the one query-jump hypothesis for p-tt reduction implies “ $P \neq \text{NP}$.”

In [Su05], we show that the one query-jump hypothesis for p-btt reduction holds, where p-btt denotes polynomial-time bounded-truth-table reduction. The anonymous referee of [Su05] noticed that the one query-jump hypothesis holds for bounded-truth-table reduction without polynomial-time bound, and Kumabe independently noticed the same result. The referee’s proof, which may be found in [Su05], uses some concepts of resource-bounded generic oracles in [AM97]. Kumabe’s proof is more simple.

In [KSY05] we show that the one query-jump hypothesis holds for $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ -question tt-reduction (without polynomial-time bound).

A Boolean formula is called *monotone* if every propositional connective in it is either disjunction or conjunction, and it does not have an occurrences of negation symbol. A tt-reduction is called a *monotone tt-reduction* if its truth table is monotone for every input. In §3, we show that the one query-jump hypothesis holds for monotone tt-reduction (without polynomial-time bound). In §4, we show the following. If ε is an enough small positive number then the one query-jump hypothesis holds for $\varepsilon\ell$ -question tt-reduction (without polynomial-time bound), where ℓ denotes the total number of occurrences of symbols in a relativized formula. In §5, we apply the result of §4 to minimum sizes of forcing conditions.

Corrigendum to our former note Theorem 4 in our former note [KSY05, p.45] has an error in its proof.

2 Notation

Most of our notation is the same as that of [Su02], [Su05] and [KSY05]. Almost all undefined notions may be found in these papers.

ω stands for $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, while $\mathbb{N}$ stands for $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. In some textbooks, the complexity class R is denoted by RP . For the detail of the class R , see for example [BDG88].

The definition of polynomial-time truth-table reduction and its variant may be found in [LLS75].

monotone tt-reduction

If A is tt-reducible to B via f and, if for any input x , propositional connectives used in the truth table (i.e., the φ_x of $f(x) = (\varphi_x, s_{x,1}, \dots, s_{x,k})$) is conjunction and

disjunction only, and negation is not used, then we say “ A is monotone tt-reducible to B via f ”. If A is monotone tt-reducible to B via some function, then we say “ A is monotone tt-reducible to B ”.

$\ell(F)$, length of a formula

In this note, a given relativised formula F , the symbol $\ell(F)$ denotes the total number of occurrences of propositional variables ($q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots$), propositional connectives ($\wedge, \vee, \neg, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$), query symbols ($\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3, \dots$) and punctuation marks (commas, parentheses). In the case of a given string x is not (the binary code of) a relativized formula, the symbol $\ell(x)$ denotes the binary length of x .

$\varepsilon\ell$ -question tt-reduction

Suppose that ε is a positive real number. If A is tt-reducible to B via f and, if for any input x it holds that

$$k \leq \varepsilon\ell(x),$$

where k is the norm of f at x , then we say “ A is $\varepsilon\ell$ -question tt-reducible to B via f ”. If A is $\varepsilon\ell$ -question tt-reducible to B via some function, then we say “ A is $\varepsilon\ell$ -question tt-reducible to B ”.

3 Monotone truth table reduction

Theorem 1 *The Lebesgue measure of the set*

$$\{X : 1\text{TAUT}^X \text{ is monotone tt-reducible to } X\}$$

is zero. In other words, one-query jump hypothesis holds for monotone tt-reduction (without polynomial-time bound).

4 The case where norm is linear of length of a formula

Theorem 2 (Main Theorem) *Let ε be a positive real number and suppose that ε is enough small. Then the Lebesgue measure of the following class is zero.*

$$\{X : 1\text{TAUT}^X \leq_{\varepsilon\ell\text{-tt}} X\}$$

In other words, the one-query-jump hypothesis holds for $\varepsilon\ell$ -question tt-reduction (without polynomial-time bound).

5 Lower bounds for forcing complexity

Theorem 3 *Let ε be a positive real number and suppose that ε is enough small. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon\ell}$ be the class of all oracles D such that there exists a positive integer c (c may*

depend on D) of the following property. For any $F \in 1\text{TAUT}^D$ such that $\ell(F) \geq c$, there exists a forcing condition S such that S is a subfunction of D , S forces F to be a tautology and such that $|\text{dom } S| \leq \varepsilon \ell(F)$, where the left-hand side denotes the cardinality of $\text{dom } S$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon\ell}$ has measure zero.

References

- [Am86] Ambos-Spies, K.: Randomness, relativizations, and polynomial reducibilities. In: *Structure in Complexity Theory*, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. **223** (A. L. Selman, Eds.), pp.23-34, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [AM97] Ambos-Spies, K., Mayordomo, E.: Resource-bounded measure and randomness. In: *Complexity, logic, and recursion theory*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **187** (A. Sorbi, Eds.), pp.1-47, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [BDG88] Balcázar, J. L., Díaz, J., Gabarró, J.: *Structural complexity I*. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [BG81] Bennett, C. H., Gill, J.: Relative to a random oracle A , $P^A \neq \text{NP}^A \neq \text{co-NP}^A$ with probability 1. *SIAM J. Comput.*, **10** (1981), pp. 96-113.
- [Do92] Dowd, M.: Generic oracles, uniform machines, and codes. *Information and Computation*, **96** (1992), pp. 65-76.
- [KSY05] Kumabe, M., Suzuki, T. and Yamazaki, T.: Logarithmic truth-table reductions and minimum sizes of forcing conditions (preliminary draft). *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, **1442** (2005), pp. 42-47.
- [LLS75] Ladner, R. E., Lynch, N. A., Selman, A. L.: A comparison of polynomial time reducibilities. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **1** (1975), pp.103-123.
- [Su98] Suzuki, T.: Recognizing tautology by a deterministic algorithm whose while-loop's execution time is bounded by forcing. *Kobe Journal of Mathematics*, **15** (1998), pp. 91-102.
- [Su99] Suzuki, T.: Computational complexity of Boolean formulas with query symbols. Doctoral dissertation (1999), Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba-City, Japan.
- [Su00] Suzuki, T.: Complexity of the r -query tautologies in the presence of a generic oracle. *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic*, **41** (2000), pp. 142-151.
- [Su01] Suzuki, T.: Forcing complexity: minimum sizes of forcing conditions. *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic*, **42** (2001), pp. 117-120.

- [Su02] Suzuki, T.: Degrees of Dowd-type generic oracles. *Inform. and Comput.*, **176** (2002), pp. 66-87.
- [Su05] Suzuki, T.: Bounded truth table does not reduce the one-query tautologies to a random oracle. *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, **44** (2005), pp. 751-762.