

Singularities of the Bergman kernel for certain weakly pseudoconvex domains

By *Joe Kamimoto* (Univ. of Tokyo)

Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $B(\Omega)$ the set of holomorphic L^2 -functions on Ω . It is well-known that $B(\Omega)$ is a closed linear subspace of the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$. The *Bergman kernel* $K^B(z)$ of the domain Ω is defined by

$$K^B(z) = \sum_j |\phi_j(z)|^2,$$

where $\{\phi_j\}$ is a complete orthonormal basis for $B(\Omega)$. The above series converges uniformly on any compact subset of Ω . It is very important to investigate the singularities of $K^B(z)$. This is mainly because they contain much information about the analytic and geometric invariants of the domain Ω .

First we consider the case where Ω is a strongly pseudoconvex domain. In this case C. Fefferman [13], L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand [5] obtained the following asymptotic expansion for $K^B(z)$:

$$K^B(z) = \frac{\varphi^B(z)}{r(z)^{n+1}} + \psi^B(z) \log r(z), \quad (1)$$

where r is a defining function of Ω , i.e., $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; r(z) > 0\}$ and $\text{grad} r(z) \neq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. The functions $\varphi^B(z)$ and $\psi^B(z)$ can be expressed as a power series of r . If the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is real analytic, then their series are convergent. From the viewpoint of ordinary differential equations, this result may be interpreted that the Bergman kernel of a strongly pseudoconvex domain has the singularities of *regular singular type*.

Next we proceed to the case of weakly pseudoconvex domain of finite type (in the sense of J. J. Kohn [24] or J. P. D'Angelo [9]). In this case there is no such strong general result

that is comparable with (1) in the strongly pseudoconvex case ; yet there are many detailed results for specific domains. We refer to [2],[8],[16],[10],[14],[17] for explicit computations, to [18],[35],[12],[6],[19],[20] for estimates of the size and to [3] for boundary limits on non tangential cone. Especially D. Catlin [6] and G. Herbort [20] gave precise estimates of $K^B(z)$ from above and below for certain class of domains whose degenerate rank of the Levi form equals one. In general, however, the singularities of $K^B(z)$ are so complicated that a unified treatment of them seems to be difficult.

In this article, we pick up the specific domains

$$\mathcal{E}_m = \left\{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n ; \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^{2m_j} < 1 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $m_n \neq 1$, to clarify what is happening for the weakly pseudoconvex domains of finite type. Since $\mathcal{E}_m$ is a Reinhardt domain, the set of (normalized) monomials forms a complete orthonormal basis for $B(\mathcal{E}_m)$. Hence $K^B(z)$ can be represented by a convergent power series of $(|z_1|^2, \dots, |z_n|^2)$, whose coefficients were explicitly computed in [21],[8],[4]. A. Bonami and N. Lohoué [4] gave an important integral representation for the Bergman kernel $K^B(z)$ of $\mathcal{E}_m$. From this representation they deduced a detailed information about the singularities of $K^B(z)$, though their result is yet to be improved.

From our point of view, we briefly review the result of [4]. Let $z^0 = (z_1^0, \dots, z_n^0) \in \partial\mathcal{E}_m$ be any boundary point of $\mathcal{E}_m$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the degenerate rank of the Levi form at z^0 . We say that z^0 is a *strongly* (resp. *weakly*) *pseudoconvex point* if $k = 0$ (resp. if $k > 0$). Let I, P and Q be the subsets of $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} I &= \{j \in N; m_j = 1\}, \\ P &= \{j \in N; z_j^0 = 0\} \setminus I, \\ Q &= \{j \in N; z_j^0 \neq 0\} \cup I. \end{cases}$$

Then the degenerate rank k equals the cardinality $|P|$ of P . One of the main results in [4] (p.181) states that the restriction of $K^B(z)$ to the subset $V = \{z_j = 0; j \in P\}$ admits the following expression around z^0 :

$$K^B(z) = C_P^B \frac{\prod_{j \in Q} m_j^2 |z_j|^{2m_j-2}}{\{1 - \sum_{j \in Q} |z_j|^{2m_j}\}^{|Q| + \frac{1}{m}|P|+1}} + O(1), \quad (3)$$

where C_P^B is a positive constant and $|\frac{1}{m}|_P = \sum_{j \in P} \frac{1}{m_j}$. The formula (3) is quite explicit, but still weak in the sense that it is valid only on the thin set V , and that the error term $O(1)$ is somewhat too loose.

Besides [4] there are some studies on the Bergman kernel (or Szegő kernel) of the domain $\mathcal{E}_m$ ([2],[21],[8],[15]). In the case $m = (1, \dots, 1, m)$, explicit expressions for $K^B(z)$ are computed ([2],[8],[4]), while there seems to be no explicit one for general m . Recently, N. W. Gebelt [15] generalized the method of producing the asymptotic expansion (1) due to Fefferman [13] to the weakly pseudoconvex case of $\mathcal{E}_m$ and obtained the analogous results about $K^B(z)$ of $\mathcal{E}_m$ ($m = (1, \dots, 1, m)$).

Now we state our main results. Our essential idea is to introduce the new variables (t, r) , which we call the *polar coordinates* around z^0 . Here $t = (t_j)_{j \in P}$ is defined by

$$t_j(z)^{2m_j} = \frac{|z_j|^{2m_j}}{1 - \sum_{j \in Q} |z_j|^{2m_j}} \quad (j \in P),$$

and r is the defining function of $\mathcal{E}_m$, i.e.,

$$r(z) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^{2m_j}.$$

We call t the *angular variables* and r the *radial variable*, respectively. Then the map $F : z \mapsto (t, r)$ takes $\mathcal{E}_m$ onto the region:

$$D = \left\{ (t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^{|P|} \times (0, 1]; t_j \geq 0, \sum_{j \in P} t_j^{2m_j} \leq 1 - r \right\}.$$

The accumulation points of $F(z)$ as $\mathcal{E}_m \ni z \rightarrow z^0$ are precisely those points which belong to the set $\{0\} \times \overline{\Delta}$, where $\overline{\Delta}$ is the closure of the *locally closed* simplex:

$$\Delta = \left\{ t = (t_j)_{j \in P}; t_j \geq 0, \sum_{j \in P} t_j^{2m_j} < 1 \right\}.$$

Let $G = U \cap K$ be a locally closed subset of an Euclidean space, where U is open and K is closed, respectively. Then we say that $f \in C^\omega(G)$ if f is a real analytic function on some open neighborhood V of G in U , where V may depend on f .

The following theorem asserts that the asymptotic behavior of K^B as $\mathcal{E}_m \ni z \rightarrow z^0$ can be expressed most conveniently in terms of the polar coordinates (t, r) .

THEOREM 1 *There is a function $\Phi^B(t) \in C^\omega(\Delta)$ such that*

$$K^B(z) \equiv \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \prod_{j \in Q} m_j^2 |z_j|^{2m_j-2} \frac{\Phi^B(t(z))}{r(z)^{|Q|+|\frac{1}{m}|_P+1}} \quad \text{modulo } C^\omega(\{z^0\}). \quad (4)$$

Here $\Phi^B(t)$ satisfies (i) or (ii).

- (i) *If z^0 is a strongly pseudoconvex point (i.e. $P = \emptyset$), $\Phi^B(t) = 1$ identically.*
- (ii) *If z^0 is a weakly pseudoconvex point (i.e. $P \neq \emptyset$), then $\Phi^B(t)$ is positive on Δ and is unbounded as $t \in \Delta$ approaches $\overline{\Delta} \setminus \Delta$.*

Remark. The function $\Phi^B(t)$ is essentially the Laplace transform of a certain auxiliary function expressible in terms of Mittag-Leffler's function, i.e.

$$\Phi^B(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \left[1 - \sum_{j \in P} t_j^{2m_j} \right]^{|Q|+|\frac{1}{m}|_P+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \prod_{j \in P} F_{m_j}(t_j^2 s^{\frac{1}{m_j}}) s^{|Q|+|\frac{1}{m}|_P} ds, \quad (5)$$

where

$$F_m(u) = m \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^\nu}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{m} + \frac{1}{m})}.$$

We mention a few implications of the formula (4) in order to compare it with the known results stated previously. First, if z^0 is a strongly pseudoconvex point, i.e. $P = \emptyset$, then the angular variables t do not appear and $\Phi^B(t) = 1$ identically, and therefore (4) reproduces the asymptotic expansion (1) due to C. Fefferman [13], L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand [5]. We remark that the logarithmic term in (1) does not appear in the present case. Secondly, the restriction of (4) to the subset V is just the substitution $t(z) = 0$ into (4), which induces the formula (3) with the error term $O(1)$ replaced by a real analytic function. Thus the formula (4) improves that of Bonami and Lohoué [4] in the sense that it is valid in a wider domain and that the error term is more accurate.

From the above theorem we consider the behavior of $K^B(z)$ at a weakly pseudoconvex point from the following three angles: (a) *estimate*, (b) *boundary limit* and (c) *asymptotic formula*. We assume z^0 is a weakly pseudoconvex point and define the region $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(z^0)(=\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ by

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{E}_m; \sum_{j \in P} t_j(z) = \frac{\sum_{j \in P} |z_j|^{2m_j}}{1 - \sum_{j \in Q} |z_j|^{2m_j}} < \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\} \quad (\alpha > 1).$$

(a) By the boundedness of $\Phi^B(t)$ in (ii), we can precisely estimate the size of $K^B(z)$ on $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$. The region $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ reminds us of the *admissible approach regions* considered in [33],[34],[27],[28],[1] etc. (b) The limit of $K^B(z) \cdot r(z)^{|Q|+|\frac{1}{m}|_P+1}$ as $z \rightarrow z^0$ on each $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is not determined uniquely but depends on the angular variables t . Note that this boundary limit is uniquely determined on any nontangential cone. (c) In view of (1.4) the polar coordinates (t, r) is necessary to understand the asymptotic formula of $K^B(z)$ at z^0 . This fact may be interpreted that the Bergman kernel has a singularity of irregular singular type at a weakly pseudoconvex point. The degeneration from the strong pseudoconvexity to the weak pseudoconvexity corresponds to the process of confluence from the regular singularity to the irregular singularity ([30],[29]).

In more detail we investigate the structure of singularities of the Bergman kernel of $\mathcal{E}_m$. The singularities of $\Phi^B(t)$ at $\overline{\Delta} \setminus \Delta$. $\Phi^B(t)$ can also be expressed in a similar form to (4) by introducing new polar coordinates on the simplex Δ . Through the finite recursive procedure of this type we can completely understand the structure of the singularities of $K^B(z)$.

Acknowledgment I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Katsunori Iwasaki for his kind help during the preparation of this article.

References

- [1] G. Aladro : The compatibility of the Kobayashi approach region and the admissible approach region, Illinois J. Math. **33**, 27-41 (1989).
- [2] S. Bergman : Zur Theorie von pseudokonformen Abbildungen, Math. Sbornik. Akad. Nauk SSSR (1936), 79-96.
- [3] H. P. Boas, E. J. Straube and J. Yu : Boundary limits of the Bergman kernel and metric, Michigan Math. J. **42** (1995), 449-461.
- [4] A. Bomami and N. Lohoué : Projecteurs de Bergman et Szegő pour une classe de domaines faiblement pseudo-convexes et estimation L^p , Compositio Math. **46** Fasc 2, (1982), 159-226.

- [5] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand : Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö , Soc. Math. de France Astérisque **34-35** (1976), 123-164.
- [6] D. Catlin : Estimates of Invariant Metrics on Pseudoconvex Domains of Dimension Two. Math. Z. **200** (1989), 429-466.
- [7] B. L. Chalmers : On boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel function and related domain functionals, Pacific J. Math. **29** (1969), 243-250.
- [8] J. P. D'Angelo : A Note on the Bergman Kernel, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), 259-265.
- [9] ——— : Real hypersurfaces, orders of contact, and applications, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), 615-637.
- [10] ——— : An explicit computation of the Bergman kernel function, J. Geom. Analysis **4** (1994), 23-34.
- [11] K. Diederich and G. Herbort : Geometric and analytic boundary invariants. Comparison results, J. Geom. Analysis **3** (1993), 237-267.
- [12] K. Diederich, G. Herbort and T. Ohsawa : The Bergman kernel on uniformly extendable pseudconvex domains, Math. Ann. **273** (1986), 471-478.
- [13] C. Fefferman : The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains, Invent. Math. **26** (1974), 1-65.
- [14] G. Francsics and N. Hanges : Explicit formulas for the Szegö kernel on certain weakly pseudoconvex domains, Proc. AMS **123** (1995), 3161-3168.
- [15] N. W. Gebelt : The Bergman kernel on certain weakly pseudoconvex domains, Math. Z. **220** (1995), 1-9.
- [16] P. C. Greiner and E. M. Stein : On the solvability of some differential operators of $\square_b$, Proc. Internat. Conf. (Cortona, Italy, 1976-1977), Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, (1978), 106-165.

- [17] F. Haslinger : Szegő kernels of certain unbounded domains in $\mathbf{C}^2$, Rev. Roumain Math. Pures Appl., to appear.
- [18] G. Herbort : Logarithmic growth of the Bergman kernel for weakly pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbf{C}^3$ of finite type, Manuscripta Math. **45** (1983), 69-76.
- [19] ——— : The growth of the Bergman kernel on pseudoconvex domains of homogeneous finite diagonal type, Nagoya Math. J. **126** (1992), 1-24.
- [20] ——— : On the invariant differential metrics near pseudoconvex boundary points where the Levi form has corank one, Nagoya Math. J. **130** (1993), 25-54.
- [21] M. Ise : On Thullen domains and Hirzebruch manifolds I, J. Math. Soc. Japan **26** (1974), 508-522.
- [22] J. Kamimoto : Breakdown of analyticity for $\bar{\partial}_b$ and Szegő kernels, preprint. (UTMS 96-4).
- [23] H. Kimura, Y. Haraoka and K. Takano : The generalized confluent hypergeometric functions, Proc. Japan Acad., **68**, Ser. A (1992), 290-295.
- [24] J. J. Kohn : Boundary behavior of the $\bar{\partial}$ on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds of dimension two, J. Diff. Geom. **6** (1972), 523-542.
- [25] S. G. Krantz : *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1982.
- [26] ——— : *Geometric Analysis and Function Spaces*, CBMS, **81**.
- [27] ——— : Fatou theorems on domain in $\mathbf{C}^n$, Bull. A. M. S. **16** (1987), 93-96.
- [28] ——— : Invariant metrics and the boundary behavior of holomorphic functions on domains in $\mathbf{C}^n$, J. Geom. Analysis, **1** (1991), 71-97.
- [29] H. Majima : Asymptotic Analysis for Integrable Connections with Irregular Singular Points, Lect. Notes in Math. **1075**, Springer, (1984).

- [30] B. Malgrange : Remarques sur les équations différentielles à points singuliers irréguliers, Lect. Notes in Math., **712**, Springer, (1979), 77-86.
- [31] J. D. McNeal : Estimates on the Bergman kernels of convex domains, Adv. Math. **109** (1994), 108-139.
- [32] G. Mittag-Leffler : Sur la représentation analytique d'une fonction monogène, Acta Math. **29** (1905), 101-182.
- [33] A. Nagel, E. M. Stein and S. Wainger : Boundary behavior of functions holomorphic in domains of finite type, Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **78** (1981), 6596-6599.
- [34] ——— : Balls and metrics defined by vector field I : Basic properties, Acta Math. **155** (1985), 103-147.
- [35] T. Ohsawa : Boundary Behavior of the Bergman Kernel Function on Pseudoconvex Domains, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **20** (1984), 897-902.
- [36] ——— : On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions III: negligible weights, Math. Z. **219** (1995), 215-225.
- [37] G. Valiron : *Théorie des fonctions*, Paris, masson, 1955.