

EQUIVARIANT DEFINABLE MORSE FUNCTIONS ON DEFINABLE $C^\infty G$ MANIFOLDS

TOMOHIRO KAWAKAMI AND HIROSHI TANAKA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact affine definable C^∞ group, X a compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifold and f an equivariant definable Morse function on X . We prove that if f has no critical value in $[a, b]$, then $f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$ is definably $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphic to $f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$. Moreover we prove that if r is a positive integer greater than 1, then the set of equivariant definable Morse functions on X whose critical loci are finite unions of nondegenerate critical orbits is dense in the set of G invariant C^∞ functions on X with respect to the C^r Whitney topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider an equivariant definable C^∞ version of Morse theory. We refer the reader to the book by J. Milnor [16] for Morse theory on compact C^∞ manifolds. Its equivariant versions are studied in G. Wasserman [21], K.H. Mayer [15], M. Datta and N. Pandey [1], and its definable C^r versions are considered in T.L. Loi [14], Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko [17] when $2 \leq r < \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <, e^x, \dots)$ be an exponential o-minimal expansion of $\mathbf{R}_{exp} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <, e^x)$ admitting the C^∞ cell decomposition. General references on o-minimal structures are [2], [3], see also [20]. It is known in [18] that there exist uncountably many o-minimal expansions of $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$.

Every definable C^∞ manifold does not have boundary unless otherwise stated. Definable $C^r G$ manifolds are studied in [9], [7] when $0 \leq r \leq \omega$. Everything is considered in $\mathcal{M}$.

Let G be a definable C^∞ group, X a definable $C^\infty G$ manifold and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a G invariant definable C^∞ function on X . A closed definable $C^\infty G$ submanifold Y of X is called a *critical manifold* (resp. a *nondegenerate critical manifold*) of f if each $p \in Y$ is a critical point (resp. a nondegenerate critical point) of f . We say that f is an *equivariant definable Morse function* if the critical locus of f is a finite union of nondegenerate critical manifolds of f without interior.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a compact affine definable C^∞ group and f an equivariant definable Morse function on a compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifold X . If f has no critical value in $[a, b]$, then $f^a := f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$ is definably $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphic to $f^b := f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$.*

Theorem 1.1 is an equivariant definable version of Theorem 4.3 [21] and a definable C^∞ version of 1.1 [6].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14P10, 14P20, 57R35, 57S10, 57S15, 58A05, 03C64.

Keywords and Phrases. O-minimal, equivariant Morse theory, definable C^∞ groups, equivariant definable Morse functions, critical points, critical values.

In the non-equivariant definable case, T.L. Loi [14] proves the density of definable Morse functions.

Let r be a positive integer greater than 1, $Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of definable C^r functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For each $f \in Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for each positive definable continuous function $\epsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the ϵ -neighborhood $N(f; \epsilon)$ of f in $Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by $\{h \in Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid |\partial^\alpha(h - f)| < \epsilon, \forall \alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n, |\alpha| \leq r\}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n, |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \partial^\alpha F = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} F}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$. We call the topology defined by these ϵ -neighborhoods the *definable C^r topology*.

Theorem 1.2 ([14]). *Let r be a positive integer greater than 1 and X a definable C^r submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the set of definable C^r functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which are Morse functions on X and have distinct critical values are open and dense in $Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the definable C^r topology.*

Remark that the definable C^r topology and the C^r Whitney topology do not coincide in general. If X is compact, then these topologies of the set $Def^r(X)$ of definable C^r functions on X are the same (P156 [20]).

A nondegenerate critical manifold of an equivariant Morse function on a definable $C^\infty G$ manifold is called a *nondegenerate critical orbit* if it is an orbit. The following is the density of equivariant definable Morse functions.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a compact affine definable C^∞ group, X a compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifold and r a positive integer greater than 1. Then the set $Def_{\text{equiv-Morse}, o}(X)$ of equivariant definable Morse functions on X whose critical loci are finite unions of nondegenerate critical orbits is dense in the set $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ of G invariant C^∞ functions on X with respect to the C^r Whitney topology. Moreover $Def_{\text{equiv-Morse}, o}(X)$ is open and dense in the set $Def_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ of G invariant definable C^∞ functions with respect to the definable C^r topology.*

The following is a definable C^∞ version of a well-known topological result (e.g. 6.2.4 [5]).

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be an n -dimensional compact definable C^∞ manifold admitting a definable Morse function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with only two critical points.*

- (1) ([6]) X is definably homeomorphic to the n -dimensional unit sphere S^n .
- (2) If $n \leq 6$, then X is definably C^∞ diffeomorphic to S^n .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

A *definable C^∞ manifold* is a C^∞ manifold with a finite system of charts whose transition functions are definable, and definable C^∞ maps, definable C^∞ diffeomorphisms and definable C^∞ imbeddings are defined similarly ([9], [7]). A definable C^∞ manifold is *affine* if it is definably C^∞ imbeddable into some $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}$, a definable C^ω manifold (resp. an affine definable C^ω manifold) is called a *Nash manifold* (resp. an *affine Nash manifold*). By [8], every definable C^r manifold is affine when r is a non-negative integer. The definable C^ω case is complicated. Even if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}$, it is known that for every compact or compactifiable C^ω manifold of positive dimension admits a

continuum number of distinct nonaffine Nash manifold structures [19], and its equivariant version is proved in [10].

A group G is a *definable C^∞ group* if G is a definable C^∞ manifold such that the group operations $G \times G \rightarrow G$ and $G \rightarrow G$ are definable C^∞ maps. By definition, every definable C^∞ group is a Lie group. Let G be a definable C^∞ group. A *definable $C^\infty G$ manifold* is a pair (X, ϕ) consisting of a definable C^∞ manifold X and a group action $\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ such that ϕ is a definable C^∞ map. For simplicity, we write X instead of (X, ϕ) .

Let G be a definable C^∞ group. A *representation map* of G means a group homomorphism from G to some $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ which is a definable C^∞ map and the *representation* of this representation map is $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the orthogonal action induced by the representation map. In this paper, we always assume that every representation is orthogonal. A *definable $C^\infty G$ submanifold* of a representation Ω of G is a G invariant definable C^∞ submanifold of Ω . We say that a definable $C^\infty G$ manifold is *affine* if it is definably $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphic to a definable $C^\infty G$ submanifold of some representation of G .

In our assumption, every compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifold is affine.

Theorem 2.1 ([9]). *Let G be a compact affine definable C^∞ group. Then every compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifold is affine.*

Remark that if $\mathcal{M}$ is polynomially bounded, then Theorem 2.1 is not always true [10].

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a compact affine definable C^∞ group. Let X and Y be compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifolds possibly with boundary. If either $\partial X = \partial Y = \emptyset$ or X, Y are affine, then X and Y are definably $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphic if and only if they are $C^1 G$ diffeomorphic.*

To prove Theorem 2.2, we prepare several results.

Theorem 2.3 (2.24 [7]). *Let G be a compact definable C^∞ group.*

- (1) *Every definable $C^\infty G$ submanifold X possibly with boundary of a representation Ω of G has a definable $C^\infty G$ tubular neighborhood (U, p) of X in Ω .*
- (2) *Any compact affine definable $C^\infty G$ manifold X with boundary ∂X admits a definable $C^\infty G$ collar, namely there exists a definable $C^\infty G$ imbedding $\phi : \partial X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi(\partial X \times [0, 1])$ is a G invariant definable open neighborhood of ∂X in X and $\phi(x, 0) = x$ for all $x \in \partial X$, where the action on the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ is trivial.*

Let G be a compact definable C^∞ group. Let f be a map from a $C^\infty G$ manifold X to a representation Ω of G . Denote the Haar measure of G by dg and let $C^\infty(X, \Omega)$ denote the set of C^∞ maps from X to Ω . Define

$$A : C^\infty(X, \Omega) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \Omega), A(f)(x) = \int_G g^{-1} f(gx) dg.$$

We call A the *averaging function*. In particular, if $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$, then $A(f)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{-1} f(g_i x)$.

Observations similar to 2.6 [12], 4.3 [7] and 2.35 [13] show the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4 ([12], [7], [13]). *Let G be a compact definable C^∞ group.*

- (1) *$A(f)$ is equivariant, and $A(f) = f$ if f is equivariant.*

- (2) If $0 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $f \in C^r(X, \Omega)$, then $A(f) \in C^r(X, \Omega)$.
- (3) If f is a polynomial map, then so is $A(f)$.
- (4) If $0 \leq r < \infty$ and X is compact, then $A : C^r(X, \Omega) \rightarrow C^r(X, \Omega)$ is continuous in the C^r Whitney topology.
- (5) If G is a finite group, X is a definable $C^\infty G$ manifold and f is a definable C^∞ map, then $A(f)$ is a definable $C^\infty G$ map.

Theorem 2.5 (P 38 [5]). (1) Let X, Y be C^1 manifolds. Then the set of C^1 diffeomorphisms from X onto Y is open in the set $C^1(X, Y)$ of C^1 maps from X to Y with respect to the C^1 Whitney topology.

(2) Let X, Y be C^1 manifolds with boundary $\partial X, \partial Y$, respectively. Then the set of C^1 diffeomorphisms from X onto Y is open in $\{f \in C^1(X, Y) \mid f(\partial X) \subset f(\partial Y)\}$ with respect to the C^1 Whitney topology.

Theorem 2.6 (1.2 [4]). Let A, B be definable disjoint closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a definable C^∞ function $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi|_A = 1$ and $\phi|_B = 0$.

The following is an equivariant version of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.7 ([11]). Let G be a compact definable C^∞ group and X a compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifold. Suppose that A, B are G invariant definable disjoint closed subsets of X . Then there exists a G invariant definable C^∞ function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_A = 1$ and $f|_B = 0$.

Remark that if $\mathcal{M}$ is polynomially bounded, then Theorem 2.6 and 2.7 are not always true.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Assume first that $\partial X = \partial Y = \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.1, we may assume that X, Y are definable $C^\infty G$ submanifolds of a representation Ω of G . Using Theorem 2.3, we have a definable $C^\infty G$ tubular neighborhood (U, p) of Y in Ω .

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a $C^1 G$ diffeomorphism and $i : Y \rightarrow \Omega$ the inclusion. Applying the polynomial approximation theorem, we have a polynomial map $f' : X \rightarrow \Omega$ as a C^1 approximation of $i \circ f$. Applying the Haar measure and Proposition 2.4, there exists a polynomial G map $f'' : X \rightarrow \Omega$ approximating $i \circ f$. If this approximation is sufficiently close, then $i \circ f(X) \subset U$. By Proposition 2.4, $F := p \circ f'' : X \rightarrow Y$ is a definable $C^\infty G$ map which is a C^1 approximation of f . Hence using Theorem 2.5 and the inverse function theorem, $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a definable $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphism.

We now prove the second case. By Theorem 2.3, we have definable $C^\infty G$ collar neighborhoods $\phi_X : \partial X \times [0, 1) \rightarrow X, \phi_Y : \partial Y \times [0, 1) \rightarrow Y$ of $\partial X, \partial Y$ in X, Y , respectively.

By the first argument, we have a definable $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphism $F_{\partial X} : \partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$ as a C^1 approximation of $f|_{\partial X}$. Using these definable $C^\infty G$ collar neighborhoods, we have a definable $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphism $L_1 : \phi_X(\partial X \times [0, 1)) \rightarrow \phi_Y(\partial Y \times [0, 1))$ as a C^1 approximation of $f|_{\phi_X(\partial X \times [0, 1))}$. Since $X - \phi(\partial X \times [0, \frac{3}{4}))$ is a compact definable $C^\infty G$ manifold with boundary and by the first argument, there exists a definable $C^\infty G$ map $L_2 : X - \phi(\partial X \times [0, \frac{3}{4})) \rightarrow Y$ as a C^1 approximation of $f|(X - \phi(\partial X \times [0, \frac{3}{4})))$. By Theorem 2.7, we have a G invariant definable C^∞ function $k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$k|\phi(\partial X \times [0, \frac{1}{3}]) = 1$ and $k|(X - \phi(\partial X \times [0, \frac{1}{2}])) = 0$. Thus the map $H : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} p(k(t)L_1(x) + (1 - k(t))L_2(x)), & x \in \phi_X(\partial X \times [0, 1)) \\ L_2(x), & x \in X - \phi_X(\partial X \times [0, 1)) \end{cases}$$

is a definable $C^\infty G$ map such that $H(\partial X) = \partial Y$ and H is a C^1 approximation of f . Therefore H is the required definable $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphism. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 4.3 [21], $f^a = f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$ is $C^\infty G$ diffeomorphic to $f^b = f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$. Since X is compact and by Theorem 2.1, these two manifolds are compact affine definable $C^\infty G$ manifolds with boundary. Thus Theorem 2.2 proves Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Remark that the method of the proof Theorem 4.3 [21] is the integration of a G invariant C^∞ vector field. This method does not work in the definable setting because the integration of a G invariant definable C^∞ vector field is not always definable.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 AND 1.4

Theorem 3.1 ([21]). *Let G be a compact Lie group and X a compact $C^\infty G$ manifold. Then the set $C_{\text{equiv-Morse}, o}^\infty(X)$ of equivariant Morse functions on X whose critical loci are finite unions of nondegenerate critical orbits is open and dense in the set $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ of G invariant C^∞ functions on X with respect to the C^∞ Whitney topology.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $f \in C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ and $\mathcal{N} \subset C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ an open neighborhood of f in $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists an open subset $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that each $h \in \mathcal{N}'$ is an equivariant Morse function whose critical locus is a finite union of nondegenerate critical orbits. Let $C^\infty(X)$ denote the set of C^∞ functions on X . Since $A : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ is continuous and $A(C^\infty(X)) = C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$, $A : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ is continuous. Fix $h \in \mathcal{N}'$. Since $A(h) = h$, $A^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$ is an open neighborhood of h in $C^\infty(X)$. Applying the polynomial approximation theorem, we have a polynomial function h' lies in $A^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$. Applying the averaging function, we have a G invariant polynomial function $F := A(h')$ lies in $\mathcal{N}'$. Since F is a G invariant polynomial function, it is a G invariant definable C^∞ function. Thus F is an equivariant definable Morse function lies in $\mathcal{N}$.

We now prove the second part. By the first part, $\text{Def}_{\text{equiv-Morse}, o}^\infty(X)$ is dense in $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$. Thus it is dense in $\text{Def}_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$.

Let $h \in \text{Def}_{\text{equiv-Morse}, o}^\infty(X)$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of h in $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ such that each $h \in \mathcal{V}$ is an equivariant Morse function whose critical locus is a finite union of nondegenerate critical orbits. Thus $\mathcal{V} \cap \text{Def}_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$ is the required open neighborhood of h in $\text{Def}_{\text{inv}}^\infty(X)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Using classical results, if $n \leq 6$, then X is C^∞ diffeomorphic to S^n . Thus since X is compact and by Theorem 2.2, X is definably C^∞ diffeomorphic to S^n . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Datta and N. Pandey, *Morse theory on G -manifolds*, Topology Appl. **123** (2002), 351–361.
- [2] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, Lecture notes series **248** London Math. Soc. Cambridge Univ. Press (1998).
- [3] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 497–540.
- [4] A. Fischer, *Smooth functions in o-minimal structures*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), 496–514.
- [5] M.W. Hirsch, *Differential topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **33**, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [6] T. Kawakami, *Equivariant definable Morse functions on definable $C^r G$ manifolds*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) **28** (2008), 175–188.
- [7] T. Kawakami, *Equivariant differential topology in an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers*, Topology Appl. **123** (2002), 323–349.
- [8] T. Kawakami, *Every definable C^r manifold is affine*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **42** (2005), 165–167.
- [9] T. Kawakami, *Imbeddings of manifolds defined on an o-minimal structures on $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 183–201.
- [10] T. Kawakami, *Nash G manifold structures of compact or compactifiable $C^\infty G$ manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **48** (1996) 321–331.
- [11] T. Kawakami, *Relative properties of definable C^∞ manifolds with finite abelian group actions in an o-minimal expansion of R_{exp}* , Bull. Fac. Ed. Wakayama Univ. Natur. Sci. **59** (2009), 21–27.
- [12] T. Kawakami, *Simultaneous Nash structures of a compactifiable $C^\infty G$ manifold and its $C^\infty G$ submanifolds*, Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. Natur. Sci. **49** (1999), 1–20.
- [13] K. Kawakubo, *The theory of transformation groups*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1991.
- [14] T.L. Loi, *Density of Morse functions on sets definable in o-minimal structures*, Ann. Polon. Math. **89** (2006), 289–299.
- [15] K.H. Mayer, *G -invariante Morse-funktionen*, Manuscripta Math. **63** (1989), 99–114.
- [16] J. Milnor, *Morse theory*, Princeton Univ. Press (1963).
- [17] Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko, *Computing o-minimal topological invariants using differential topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 1375–1401.
- [18] J.P. Rolin, P. Speissegger and A.J. Wilkie, *Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes and o-minimality*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), 751–777.
- [19] M. Shiota, *Abstract Nash manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 155–162.
- [20] M. Shiota, *Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets*, Progress in Math. **150** (1997), Birkhäuser.
- [21] G. Wasserman, *Equivariant differential topology*, Topology **8** (1969), 127–150.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, WAKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, SAKAEDANI
WAKAYAMA 640-8510, JAPAN

E-mail address: kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp

ANAN NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, 265 AOKI MINOBAYASHI, ANAN, TOKUSHIMA 774-
0017, JAPAN

E-mail address: htanaka@anan-nct-u.ac.jp