
The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues
for $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ in a strip domain

浅倉史興

学 位 審 査 報 告

氏 名	浅 倉 史 興
学 位 の 種 類	理 学 博 士
学 位 記 番 号	論 理 博 第 号
学位授与の日付	昭 和 年 月 日
学位授与の要件	学 位 規 則 第 5 条 第 2 項 該 当
(学 位 論 文 題 目) The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues for $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ in a strip domain (帯 領 域 に お け る $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ の 固 定 値 の 漸 近 分 布)	
論 文 調 査 委 員	主 査 溝 畑 茂 山 口 昌 哉 池 部 晃 生

(論文内容の要旨)

平面上の帯領域 $\{0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < \pi\}$ における偏微分作用素

$$A = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$$

のディリクレ境界条件下での固有値を $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1, 2, \dots}$ とし, 正数 λ 以下の λ_n の個数を $N(\lambda)$ として, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ のときの関数 $N(\lambda)$ の漸近的表現を固有値の漸近分布法則という。

$Q(x)$ は $0 \leq x < +\infty$ で正で, C^4 級かつ $x \rightarrow \infty$ のとき $Q(x) \rightarrow \infty$ をみたと仮定し, さらに $Q(x)$ の 3 階までの導関数に適当な条件を課すると, つぎの法則がなりたつことを主論文を示した: $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ のとき,

$$N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \sqrt{\lambda - n^2 Q(x)} \, dx + O(\sqrt{\lambda}) \quad (1)$$

ここで各項の種分は根号内が正の範囲でとるものとする。

申請者は参考論文〔1〕において, 領域の面積が無限大で, しかも遠方で細くなる場合について, Δ (ラプラシアン) の固有値分布を調べ, 遠方での領域の幅の縮まり方が固有値の漸近分布法則に深い関係を持つことを明らかにした。この場合, 適当な変換によって上記の問題にほぼ帰着され, Δ の変換された作用素は x が十分大きいと上記の A が第 1 近似となる。なお $Q(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ が遠方での領域の幅を示す。このように, Δ の無限領域における研究が主論文の動機であり, 得られた法則(1)も直接にこれに寄与するものである。

(1)は大略つぎの方針で導かれている。固有方程式 $Af + \mu f = 0$ に $f = \varphi(x) \sin(ny)$ を代入すると,

$$\varphi''(x) + (\mu - n^2 Q(x)) \varphi(x) = 0 \quad (2)$$

が得られる。 $N(\lambda)$ の大きさを調べるには各 n ごとに(2)の固有値 μ の分布法則を導き, これを n について加えればよい。したがって, 大きい 2 つのパ

ラメータ (n, μ) を含む(2)の解を変わり点 (turning point) $(n^2 Q(x) = \mu$ となる x の点) の近傍でエイリー関数を用いて近似し, その誤差を n と μ について一様に評価できることを示すのが最も重要な部分である。このことは申請者が参考論文〔1〕で示したものがあがるが, 主論文ではさらに改良を加え, 適用範囲を拡大した。

ところで法則(1)は一般的ではあるが, わかり易いものではない。主論文は(1)の簡略化を試みている。すなわち, 級数

$$\zeta_A(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-\alpha} \quad (3)$$

が収束であるような正数 α の下限を σ とすると, σ の大小は λ_n が ∞ に発散する速さを測る尺度となる。この σ は, 実は積分

$$\int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-\alpha + \frac{1}{2}} dx \quad (4)$$

が収束であるような α の下限と一致する。主論文では級数(3)に関する池原のタウバー型定理を拡張して, σ が有限となるある種の $Q(x)$ に対しては次の漸近法則がなりたつことが示されている:

$$N(x) \sim C \lambda^{\sigma} (\log \lambda)^{\rho}, \quad (\lambda \rightarrow \infty) \quad (5)$$

ここで C および ρ は $Q(x)$ に依存する定数である。このタウバー型定理は実関数論の分野への寄与であり, 上述の変わり点における考察とともに主論文の実質的な柱を構成している。

主論文では, さらに進んで次の考察がなされている。 $\sigma = \infty$ の場合, 級数(3)は如何なる α に対しても発散である。このとき固有値は非常に密に分布しており, $N(\lambda)$ は(5)の型と全く違った様相を呈する。本論文で示された例では $N(\lambda)$ はある指数関数より大きくなる。このような現象がおこることは今まで誰も指摘しなかったことである。また主論文で用いられている手法や推論は独自に開発したものが多いことにも, 申請者の創意・工夫がう

(論文審査の結果の要旨)

一般楕円型偏微分作用素の固有値の漸近分布法則に関しては、数多くの歴史的文献があり、現在もこの方面の研究が盛んで、証明の方法も種々のものがある。申請者が用いた常微分方程式の変わり点の近傍における解の性質の考察はTitchmarshの仕事にもすでに用いられている伝統的な方法のひとつである。しかしながら、この方法によって固有値の漸近分布法則についての詳しい結果を導くには、すぐれた直観力と計算力を必要とする。申請者が計算の過程で示した様々な工夫はそれ自身価値のあるものである。

申請者が、タウバー型定理の拡張を行なったことは主論文の大きな成果のひとつであり、申請者の広い学識と視野を示すものといえる。さらに主論文が、その主要結果である法則(1)を導くだけに止らず、この法則が含んでいる種々の様相を具体例を挙げながら説明してみせた所に新しい発見があり、この分野の今後の研究に寄与する所大であると思われる。

以上により、申請者の本論文は理学博士の学位に値するものであると認める。

なお、主論文及び参考論文に報告されている研究業績を中心とし、これに関連した研究分野について試問した結果、合格と認めた。

The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues for

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \quad \text{in a strip domain}$$

Fumioki ASAKURA

§ 0. Let $Q(x)$ be a positive function defined for $x \geq 0$. We consider

the following boundary-value problem in a strip domain $\Omega = (0, \infty) \times (0, \pi)$

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{cases} - (u_{xx} + Q(x)u_{yy}) = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we sometimes denote by A the operator $- (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2})$.

We say that a function $f \in C^k(G)$, if f has continuous derivatives up to

order k in G . If $Q(x) \in C^2([0, \infty))$ satisfies

$$(0.2) \quad Q(x) \geq Q_0 > 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) = \infty,$$

then there exist in $L^2(\Omega)$ a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions

$\{\varphi_n\}$ and corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfying

$$A \varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \varphi_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Let $N(\lambda)$ denote the number of eigenvalues not exceeding λ . We shall

study the asymptotic behavior of $N(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

When we regard $-Q(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ as a self-adjoint operator $\mathfrak{Q}(x)$ with a

parameter x and $u(x,y)$ as an $L^2(0,\pi)$ -valued function $U(x)$, (0.1) is

reduced to the Sturm-Liouville operator problem studied in Kostyuchenko-Levitan [8]

$$(0.4) \quad \begin{cases} U'' + (\lambda - Q(x))U = 0 & \text{for } x > 0 \\ U(0) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Under certain conditions on $Q(x)$, they obtained an asymptotic formula for

$N(\lambda)$ in the form

$$(0.5) \quad N(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda \geq \alpha_n(x)} (\lambda - \alpha_n(x))^{1/2} dx,$$

where $\alpha_n(x)$ is the n -th eigenvalue of $Q(x)$. Note that $\alpha_n(x) = n^2 Q(x)$

in our case. If we set

$$U(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) \sin ny,$$

then (0.4) is splitted into the following Sturm-Liouville problems

$$(0.6) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_n'' + (\lambda - n^2 Q(x)) \varphi_n = 0 & \text{for } x > 0 \\ \varphi_n(0) = 0 & (n \geq 1) \end{cases}.$$

Accordingly, our considerations in this article consist in studying the eigen-

values of the Sturm-Liouville problem (0.6) with particular care on the parameter

n .

The eigenvalue problem for A may be interesting in itself, but it also plays

an important role in studying the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues for the Laplace operator with Dirichlet condition in an unbounded domain such as

$$G = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < b(x)\} \quad .$$

$$(0.7) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{in } G \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial G . \end{cases}$$

We shall discuss the problem in § 4 of this article. Here we only mention that the large eigenvalues of (0.7) are, roughly speaking, asymptotically equal to the large eigenvalues of A with $Q(x) = \pi^2/b(x)^2$. H. Tamura [10] is the first one obtaining the asymptotic law of the distribution of eigenvalues in the form (0.5). In the previous paper F. Asakura [1], we also studied the problem by another means. In the course of the study, we obtained an asymptotic formula of the distribution of eigenvalues of A with remainder estimate in the form

$$8) \quad N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda \geq n^2 Q(x)} (\lambda - n^2 Q(x))^{1/2} dx + o(\lambda^{1/2}),$$

assuming $Q(x) \in C^4([0, \infty))$ to satisfy

$$(0.9) \quad \frac{A}{x} \leq \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \leq \frac{B}{x}, \quad \frac{|Q''(x)|}{Q'(x)} \leq \frac{C}{x}$$

$$\frac{|Q'''(x)|}{Q'(x)} \leq \frac{C}{x^2} \quad \text{for large } x .$$

Note that $Q(x) = x^{2k}$ satisfies (0.9).

In the present article, we study the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of A by different two methods. One is using the zeta function of the eigenvalues defined as

$$(0.10) \quad Z(a, A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-a} . .$$

The other is adopting a uniform asymptotic expansion of the solution to the Sturm-Liouville problem (0.6) in a neighborhood of $\sqrt{\lambda}$ turning point, which is employed F. Asakura [1] and covers where the zeta function does not work.

In the first place, we review some basic spectral properties of A in a strip domain $\Omega = (0, \infty) \times (0, \pi)$. In § 2, assuming $Q(x)$ to satisfy

$$(0.11) \quad \left| \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \right| \leq L, \quad Q(x) \geq (2L)^2,$$

we obtain some estimates of the resolvent kernel, which are crucial in studying the zeta function. We study the analytic extension of $Z(a, A)$ in § 3. We may well expect that the infinite sum (0.10) converges for sufficiently large a .

We shall show that (0.10) converges if and only if the integral

$$(0.12) \quad \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-a+1/2} dx$$

converges. Throughout this article we assume

$$(0.13) \quad \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-1/2} dx = \infty .$$

This corresponds to the condition that the area of G is infinite in the case of the Laplace operator in unbounded domains (see (0.7)).

Let $\sigma = \inf \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid \int_0^\infty Q(x)^{-\alpha+1/2} dx < \infty \right\}$ ($\sigma \geq 1$ by (0.13)). We

obtain the analytic continuation of the zeta function as the following

Theorem 0.1. Let $Q(x) \in C^2([0, \infty))$ satisfy (0.2), (0.11) and (0.13)

Then $Z(\alpha, A)$ has the analytic continuation across $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = \sigma$ of the form

$$(0.14) \quad Z(\alpha, A) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-1/2) \zeta(2\alpha-1)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty Q(x)^{-\alpha+1/2} dx + h(\alpha)$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the Gamma function, $\zeta(\alpha)$ the Riemann zeta function and $h(\alpha)$

is holomorphic in $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \sigma - \delta$ ($\delta > 0$).

The form of the singularity of the zeta function with the largest real part reflects the asymptotic nature of the eigenvalues of A . We shall see later that $Z(\alpha, A)$ may have various types of singularities at $\alpha = \sigma$. For example when $Q(x) = x^{2\kappa} (\log x)^{-2\gamma}$ for large x , the singularity is of the form

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa} \right)^{-(1+\frac{\gamma}{\kappa})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{nj} \left(\alpha - \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa} \right)^n (\log \left(\alpha - \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa} \right))^j.$$

In such cases we introduce an Ikehara Tauberian theorem of the following form.

Proof of the theorem is put off until § 6.

Theorem 0.2. Let $N(\lambda)$ be a non-negative, non-decreasing function. If

$$Z(\alpha) = \int_1^{\infty} \lambda^{-\alpha} dN(\lambda)$$

is convergent for $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \sigma$ and

$$(0.15) \quad h(\alpha) = Z(\alpha) - (\alpha - \sigma)^{-(1+\rho)} \sum_{n=0}^{[1+\rho]} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{nj} (\alpha - \sigma)^n (\log(\alpha - \sigma))^j \quad (\rho \geq 0)$$

can be extended to a continuous function in $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq \sigma$, then $N(\lambda)$ has the

asymptotic form

$$(0.16) \quad N(\lambda) \sim \frac{A_{00}}{\sigma \Gamma(1+\rho)} \lambda^{\sigma} (\log \lambda)^{\rho} \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

In §4, we turn our attention to the eigenvalue problem of the Laplace operator in unbounded domains (0.7)'. We show that if the zeta function of the eigenvalues of A with $Q(x) = \pi^2/b(x)^2$ has the same form as (0.15), then the asymptotic formula of the distribution of eigenvalues is described as (0.16).

Our results show by the way that if (0.12) is not finite for any α (for example $Q(x) = \log x$), $Z(\alpha, A)$ will not converge for any α , especially the growth of λ_n is slower than any small power of n . In §5, we study

those cases where the zeta functions are of no use in studying the asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues. We shall find the methods in F. Asakura [1] still work there and obtain

Theorem 0.3. Assume $Q(x) \in C^4([0, \infty))$ satisfy, instead of (0.9)

$$(0.17) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{A}{x \log x} \leq \left| \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \right| \leq \frac{B}{x \log x} \quad , \quad \left| \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{x} \quad , \\ \left| \frac{Q'''(x)}{Q'(x)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{x^2} \quad \text{for large } x \quad \text{with some constants } A, B \text{ and } C \quad . \end{cases}$$

Then (0.8) holds for large λ .

Under the condition (0.17), we shall get a uniform asymptotic expansion in λ of the solution to the Sturm-Liouville problem (0.6) using the Airy functions.

For $Q(x) = (\log x)^{2k}$ we obtain

$$(0.18) \quad N(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi}} \lambda^{1/k-1/4k} \exp(\lambda^{1/k}) (1 + o(\lambda^{-1/2k})) .$$

This time, the operator A with $Q(x) = \pi^2/b(x)^2$ is not a good approximation of the Laplace operator in an unbounded domain $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ such that G_1 is bounded and $G_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid R < x < \infty, 0 < y < (\log x)^{-k}\}$.

Here we can merely obtain the estimates of $N(\lambda)$ from above and below as the following.

$$(0.19) \quad c_1 e^{(1-\varepsilon)\lambda^{1/2k}} \leq N(\lambda) \leq c_2 e^{(1+\varepsilon)\lambda^{1/2k}}$$

or any ε with certain constants c_1 , c_2 .

In conclusion, I would like to express my hearty thanks to Professor S.

Mizohata and Professor N. Shimakura for valuable advice and incessant encouragement.

§ 1. In this section we shall review some basic spectral properties of the operator A in a strip domain $\Omega = (0, \infty) \times (0, \pi)$, assuming (0.2).

We denote by $L^2(\Omega)$ the Hilbert space of square integrable (real valued) functions in Ω . In this article we shall work with real Hilbert spaces. Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|^2 &= \iint_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx \, dy \\ E(u) &= \iint_{\Omega} u_x^2 + Q(x)u_y^2 \, dx \, dy.\end{aligned}$$

denote by $C_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ the space of functions defined as

$$C_0^1(\Omega, Q) = \left\{ u \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \|u\|^2, E(u) < \infty \right\}.$$

The space $H_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ is defined as the completion of $C_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ with respect to

$$\|u\|_1^2 = \|u\|^2 + E(u).$$

In the first place we show the following inequality

Proposition 1.1. If a function $Q(x)$ defined for $x \geq 0$ satisfies

(0.2), then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N \in L^2(\Omega)$ so that

$$(1.1) \quad \|u\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^N |(\omega_j, u)|^2 + \varepsilon E(u)$$

holds for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega, Q)$.

Proof. We have only to show the inequality for $u \in C_0^1(\Omega, Q)$.

Since $u(x,0) = u(x,\pi) = 0$ for any $x \geq 0$, it follows

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\pi u_y(x,y)^2 dy \geq \int_0^\pi u(x,y)^2 dy.$$

Multiplying $Q(x)$ to the both sides of (1.3) and integrating in x from R to infinity, we have

$$\int_R^\infty \int_0^\pi Q(x) u_y(x,y)^2 dy dx \geq \left(\inf_{x \geq R} Q(x) \right) \int_R^\infty \int_0^\pi u(x,y)^2 dy dx.$$

If we choose R such that $\inf_{x \geq R} Q(x) \geq \varepsilon^{-1}$, we find

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \iint_{x \geq R} u(x,y)^2 dx dy &\leq \varepsilon \iint_{x \geq R} Q(x) u_y^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \varepsilon \iint_{x \geq R} u_x^2 + Q(x) u_y^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\Omega \cap \{x < R\}$ is a bounded domain, then for any ε

there exist $\omega_1', \dots, \omega_N' \in L^2(\Omega \cap \{x < R\})$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \iint_{x \leq R} u(x,y)^2 dx dy \leq \sum_{j=1}^N |(\omega_j', u)|^2 + \varepsilon \iint_{x \leq R} u_x^2 + Q(x) u_y^2 dx dy.$$

Combining (1.3) and (1.4), we get the inequality.

From Proposition 1.1, it follows that any sequence $u_j \in H_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ such that $E(u_j) \leq L$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then employing variational methods to the form $E(u)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ (see Courant-Hilbert text book), we get a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_n\}$ of A such that $\varphi_n \in C^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ and corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ with

$$(1.5) \quad A \varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \varphi_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Later we shall make use of the boundary-value problem

$$(1.6) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} - (u_{xx} + Q(x)u_{yy}) = \lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \quad \text{for } y = 0, \pi \\ u_x = 0 \quad \text{for } x = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Employing the function spaces $\tilde{C}_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ and $\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ defined similarly as

$$\tilde{C}_0^1(\Omega, Q) = \{ u \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \mid u = 0 \text{ for } y = 0, \pi, \|u\|, E(u) < \infty \}$$

$$\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega, Q) = \text{the completion of } \tilde{C}_0^1(\Omega, Q) \text{ with respect to } \|u\|_1,$$

we obtain a complete system of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_n\}$ of A such that $\psi_n \in C^2(\Omega)$

$\cap \tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega, Q)$ and corresponding eigenvalues μ_n with

$$(1.7) \quad A\psi_n = \mu_n \psi_n \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \psi_n = 0 \quad \text{for } y = 0, \pi, \quad \psi_{nx} = 0 \quad \text{for } x = 0.$$

2. Let $R_n(x, y, \xi, \eta, \mu)$ be the kernel function of $(A + \mu)^{-n}$. R_n is,

in general, a certain distribution in (x, y, ξ, η) and represented as

$$R_n(x, y, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(x, y) \varphi_n(\xi, \eta)}{(\lambda_n + \mu)^n}$$

using the eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_n\}$ and corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$. We may expect

R_n to be a smooth function for large n . In this section we shall obtain

certain estimates of

$$R_n(x, y, x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(x, y)^2}{(\lambda_n + \mu)^n}.$$

We seek out the eigenfunctions in the form $\varphi(x, y) = \varphi_{nj}(x) \sin ny$. Then

$\varphi_{nj} \in L^2(0, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_{nj}' + (\lambda - n^2 Q(x)) \varphi_{nj} = 0 & \text{for } x > 0 \\ \varphi_{nj}(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\varphi_{nj}\}$ be a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions, $\{\lambda_{nj}\}$ be corresponding eigenvalues.

We observe that $\{\varphi_{nj}(x) \sin ny\}_{n,j=1}^{\infty}$ constitutes a complete

orthonormal system in $L^2(\mathcal{Q})$ with $\{\lambda_{nj}\}$ corresponding eigenvalues.

To proceed further, we assume $Q(x)$ to satisfy (0.11) and (0.13) in addition to (0.2).

Remark 2.1. Condition (0.2) guarantees the existence and regularity of

$$\lceil 10 + \frac{1}{2} \rceil$$

complete eigenfunctions. If $\int_0^\infty Q(x)^{-1/2} dx < \infty$, spectral properties of A are somewhat very similar to an elliptic operator in a bounded domain. We shall put aside such cases in the present article.

It is easy to verify the following properties of $Q(x)$.

Proposition 2.2. If $Q(x)$ satisfies (0.11), then there exists a constant

A independent of n, x and y , such that

$$(2.2) \quad |Q(x+y) - Q(x)| \leq A|y|Q(x) \quad \text{for } |y| \leq 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad n^2 Q(x+y) \leq A e^{n|y|} \sqrt{Q(x)} / 2 \quad \text{for } |y| \geq 1.$$

Proof. Set $R(x) = \log Q(x)$, then it follows by the mean-value theorem

$$\left| \log \frac{Q(x+y)}{Q(x)} \right| = |R(x+y) - R(x)| \leq L|y|.$$

Hence

$$e^{-L|y|} \leq \frac{Q(x+y)}{Q(x)} \leq e^{L|y|},$$

and then

$$e^{-L|y|} - 1 \leq \frac{Q(x+y) - Q(x)}{Q(x)} \leq e^{L|y|} - 1.$$

In this way we can choose a constant A so that (2.5) holds.

Since $Q(x+y) \leq A e^{L|y|} Q(x)$, we shall prove, instead of (2.3), a

stronger inequality

$$(2.4) \quad n^{2Q(x)} e^{L|y|} \leq A e^{n|y|\sqrt{Q(x)}/2}.$$

Setting $z = n\sqrt{Q(x)}$, we show that

$$(2.5) \quad A e^{yz/2} \geq z^2 e^{Ly} \quad \text{holds for } y \geq 1 \text{ and } z \geq 2L.$$

By Taylor expansion of e^x , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & A e^{yz/2} - z^2 e^{Ly} \\ &= e^{Ly} \left\{ A e^{(z/2 - L)y} - z^2 \right\} \\ &\geq e^{Ly} \left\{ A + A \left(\frac{1}{2} z - L \right) y + \frac{A}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} z - L \right)^2 y^2 - z^2 \right\} \\ &\geq e^{Ly} \left\{ A + \frac{A}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} z - L \right)^2 y^2 - z^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see that there exists a constant A so that (2.5) holds. (2.2) and

(2.3) corresponds to (17.10.3) and (17.10.4) in Chap. XVII of E. C. Titchmarsh [12].

We now give a brief review of the Fourier sine transformation. Let $f \in C^1(0, \infty)$

satisfying $f(0) = 0$, $x^i f^{(j)} \in L^2(0, \infty)$ for $i, j = 0, 1$. We define

the Fourier sine transform as

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \sin x\xi f(x) dx.$$

Then $f(x)$ is expressed by the Fourier inversion formula as

$$(2.7) \quad f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sin x\xi \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Moreover the Parseval formula holds as

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^\infty |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi .$$

We set

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} E_n(x, y, \mu) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x \xi \sin y \xi}{\left(\xi^2 + n^2 Q(x) + \mu \right)} d\xi \quad (\mu > 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa_n} \left\{ e^{-\kappa_n |x-y|} - e^{-\kappa_n (x+y)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_n^2 = n^2 Q(x) + \mu$. Then $E_n(x, y, \mu)$ satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} E_n(x, y, \mu) + \kappa_n^2 E_n(x, y, \mu) = \delta(x - y) \\ E_n(x, 0, \mu) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the Delta function.

Remark 2.3. For the equation (1.6), we obtain by separation of variables

$$(2.1)' \quad \begin{cases} \varphi'' + (\lambda - n^2 Q(x)) \varphi = 0 \text{ for } x > 0 \\ \varphi'(0) = 0 \\ \varphi, \varphi' \in L^2(0, \infty) . \end{cases}$$

In order to study (2.1)', we adopt the cosine transform instead, then the following

arguments are the same.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\varphi_{nj}(x)$ an eigenfunction of (2.1) with the eigenvalue λ_{nj} .

Then $\varphi_{nj}(x)$ is expressed as

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi_{nj}(x) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ n^2 Q(x) - n^2 Q(y) + \lambda_{nj} + \mu \right\} E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy .$$

Proof. Since φ_{nj} is an eigenfunction with the eigenvalue λ_{nj} , we

find

$$(2.12) \begin{cases} -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \varphi_{nj}(y) + \kappa_n^2 \varphi_{nj}(y) = \{n^2 Q(x) - n^2 Q(y) + \lambda_{nj} + \mu\} \varphi_{nj}(y) \\ \varphi_{nj}(0) = 0, \text{ where } \kappa_n^2 = n^2 Q(x) + \mu. \end{cases}$$

Substituting $y - x$ for y in (2.6), we have

$$n^2 Q(y) \leq A e^{n\sqrt{Q(x)}|x-y|/2} \text{ for } y \geq 1+x.$$

Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & E_n(x, y, \mu)^2 n^4 Q(y)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{A^2 e^{n\sqrt{Q(x)}|x-y|} - 2\sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu} |x-y|}{n^2 Q(x) + \mu} \\ & \leq \frac{A^2 e^{-\sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu} |x-y|}}{n^2 Q(x) + \mu} = \frac{A^2}{\kappa_n^2} e^{-\kappa_n |x-y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E_n(x, y, \mu) \{n^2 Q(x) - n^2 Q(y) + \lambda_{nj} + \mu\} \varphi_{nj}(y)$ is integrable in y for all $x > 0$.

Since $E_n(x, y, \mu)$ satisfies (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty E_n(x, y, \mu) \left\{ -\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \varphi_{nj}(y) + \kappa_n^2 \varphi_{nj}(y) \right\} dy \\ & = \int_0^\infty \{n^2 Q(y) - n^2 Q(y) + \lambda_{nj} + \mu\} E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \\ & = \varphi_{nj}(x). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11), we find

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{\varphi_{nj}(x)}{\lambda_{nj} + \mu} = \int_0^\infty E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy + \frac{n^2}{\lambda_{nj} + \mu} \int_0^\infty (Q(x) - Q(y)) E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy .$$

Differentiating m times in μ the both sides of (2.16), we obtain

Lemma 2.5. There exist constants C_{mlk} such that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{(-1)^m \varphi_{nj}(x)}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{m+1}} = \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^m E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy + \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{C_{mlk}}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{m-l+1} \kappa_n^{2l+1}} (K_{njlk}(x, \mu) - L_{njlk}(x, \mu)) ,$$

where

$$K_{njlk}(x, \mu) = n^2 \kappa_n^k \int_0^\infty (Q(x) - Q(y)) |x - y|^k e^{-\kappa_n |x-y|} \varphi_{nj}(y) dy$$

$$L_{njlk}(x, \mu) = n^2 \kappa_n^k \int_0^\infty (Q(x) - Q(y)) (x + y)^k e^{-\kappa_n (x+y)} \varphi_{nj}(y) dy$$

$$\text{and } \kappa_n = \kappa_n(x, \mu) = \sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu} .$$

Proof. Recall

$$E_n(x, y, \mu) = \frac{1}{2 \kappa_n} \left\{ e^{-\kappa_n |x-y|} - e^{-\kappa_n (x+y)} \right\} .$$

We observe that there exist constants C_{jlk} independent of a and z such that

$$(2.15) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^j \frac{e^{-z\sqrt{a+\mu}}}{\sqrt{a+\mu}} = \frac{e^{-z\sqrt{a+\mu}}}{(a+\mu)^{j+1/2}} \sum_{k=0}^j C_{jlk} z^k (a+\mu)^{k/2} .$$

Then by (2.15) together with the Leibniz formula, we obtain the lemma.

Now we carry out the estimates of (2.17). Firstly we settle the estimate of

the first term in (2.14).

Proposition 2.6.

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{m_{E_n}}(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2m+2)} \kappa_n^{-4m-3} + \frac{e^{-2\kappa_n x}}{\kappa_n^{4m+3}} \sum_{k=0}^{2m+1} C_{mk}(x) \kappa_n^k$$

where C_{mk} are constants independent of x and μ .

Proof. Since $\{\varphi_{nj}\}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(0, \infty)$, we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{m_{E_n}}(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right)^2 = \int_0^{\infty} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{m_{E_n}}(x, y, \mu) \right|^2 dy.$$

Differentiating (2.9) in μ m -times, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{m_{E_n}}(x, y, \mu) = \frac{2(-1)^m m!}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x \xi \sin y \xi}{(\xi^2 + \kappa_n^2)^{m+1}} d\xi.$$

By the Parseval formula for sine transform, we find

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \int_0^{\infty} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{m_{E_n}}(x, y, \mu) \right|^2 dy$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 x \xi}{(\xi^2 + \kappa_n^2)^{2m+2}} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\xi^2 + \kappa_n^2)^{2m+2}} d\xi - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2x \xi}{(\xi^2 + \kappa_n^2)^{2m+2}} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{B(2m+3/2, 1/2)}{2\pi} \kappa_n^{-4m-3} - \frac{1}{\pi(2m+1)!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{2m+1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2x \xi}{(\xi^2 + \kappa_n^2)} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2m+2)} \kappa_n^{-4m-3} + \frac{1}{(2m+1)!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{2m+1} \left(\frac{e^{-2\kappa_n x}}{\kappa_n} \right).$$

Employing (2.15) again, we obtain the proposition.

For the second terms in (2.14), we can readily verify

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad & \frac{|K_{njk}(x, \mu)|}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{m-2l+1} \kappa_n^{2l+1}} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \frac{G_{njk}(x, \mu)}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{m+1} \kappa_n}, \frac{G_{njk}(x, \mu)}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{1/2} \kappa_n^{2m+2}} \right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \frac{G_{njk}(x, \mu)}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{m+1} \kappa_n}, \frac{G_{njk}(x, \mu)}{\mu^{1/2} \kappa_n^{2m+2}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_{njk}(x, \mu) = n^2 \kappa_n^k \left| \int_0^\infty (Q(x) - Q(y)) |x-y|^k e^{-\kappa_n |x-y|} \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right|.$$

We shall estimate G_{njk} in different two ways.

(I) We divide the integral as the following

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{njk}(x, \mu) & \leq n^2 \kappa_n^k \int_0^\infty |Q(x) - Q(y)| |x-y|^k e^{-\kappa_n |x-y|} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\
& = n^2 \kappa_n^k \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} + \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} \right) \\
& = G_{njk}^{(1)}(x, \mu) + G_{njk}^{(2)}(x, \mu).
\end{aligned}$$

Employing (2.2) and by the Schwarz inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{njk}^{(1)}(x, \mu) \\
& \leq A n^2 Q(x) \kappa_n^k \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |x-y|^{k+1} e^{-\kappa_n |x-y|} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\
& \leq A \kappa_n^{k+2} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |x-y|^{2k+2} e^{-2\kappa_n |x-y|} dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq A \kappa_n^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 t^{2k+2} e^{-2t} dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad |G_{njk}^{(1)}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq c \kappa_n \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz.$$

Next applying (2.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{njk}^{(2)}(x, \mu) \\
& \leq n^{2Q(x)} k_n^k \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} |x-y|^k e^{-k_n|x-y|} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\
& \quad + n^2 k_n^k \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} Q(y) |x-y|^k e^{-k_n|x-y|} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\
& \leq k_n^{k+2} \left(\int_{|z| \geq 1} |z|^{2k} e^{-2k_n|z|} dz \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + k_n^k \left(\int_{|z| \geq 1} n^{4Q(x+z)^2} |z|^{2k} e^{-2k_n|z|} dz \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq k_n^{1/2} \left(\int_{k_n}^{\infty} t^{2k} e^{-2t} dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + A k_n^k \left(\int_{|z| \geq 1} |z|^{2k} e^{n\sqrt{Q(x)}|z| - 2\sqrt{n^2Q(x)+\mu}|z|} dz \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq k_n^{1/2} \left(\int_{k_n}^{\infty} t^{2k} e^{-2t} dt \right)^{1/2} + A k_n^{-1/2} \left(\int_{|z| \geq 1} |z|^{2k} e^{-k_n|z|} dz \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C k_n^{1/2} \left(\int_{k_n}^{\infty} t^{2k} e^{-t} dt \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for any N , we can choose a constant C_N which may depend on N , such that

$$(2.20) \quad |G_{njk}^{(2)}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq C_N k_n^{-2N-2}.$$

Combining (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain

$$(2.21) \quad |G_{njk}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq C k_n \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz + C_N k_n^{-2N-2}.$$

(II) By the Bessel inequality, we find for any J

$$\sum_{j=1}^J |G_{njk}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq n^4 k_n^{2k} \int_0^{\infty} |Q(x) - Q(y)|^2 |x-y|^{2k} e^{-2k_n|x-y|} dy.$$

Then similar computations as in (I) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & n^4 k_n^{2k} \int_0^\infty |Q(x) - Q(y)|^2 |x-y|^{2k} e^{-2k_n |x-y|} dy \\
 = & \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} + \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} \\
 \leq & c k_n + c_N k_n^{-2N-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{j=1}^J |G_{nj}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq c k_n + c_N k_n^{-2N-2}.$$

Employing (2.21) and (2.22), we get the estimate of $(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{-(m-l+1)} K_{nj}(x, \mu)$

x, μ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{K_{nj}(x, \mu)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m-l+1)}} k_n^{-4l-2} \\
 \leq & 2 k_n^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{G_{nj}(x, \mu)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} + 2 \mu^{-1} k_n^{-4(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^J |G_{nj}(x, \mu)|^2 \\
 \leq & c k_n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz \\
 & + c_N k_n^{-2N-4} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \\
 & + c \mu^{-1} k_n^{-4m-3} + c_N \mu^{-1} k_n^{-2N-4m-6}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

Proposition 2.7.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.23) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{K_{nj}(x, \mu)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m-l+1)}} k_n^{-4l-2} \\
 \leq & c \mu^{-1} k_n^{-4m-3} + c \mu^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+y)|^2 dz
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ c_N \kappa_n^{-2N-4} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}}$$

Let us come back to (2.14). As before we have

$$(2.24) \quad \frac{|L_{nj\mathbf{k}}(x, \mu)|}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{m-\ell+1} \kappa_n^{2\ell+1}} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{H_{nj\mathbf{k}}(x, \mu)}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{m+1} \kappa_n}, \frac{H_{nj\mathbf{k}}(x, \mu)}{\mu^{1/2} \kappa_n^{2m+2}} \right\}$$

where

$$H_{nj\mathbf{k}}(x, \mu) = n^2 \kappa_n^{\mathbf{k}} \left| \int_0^\infty (Q(x) - Q(y)) (x+y)^{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\kappa_n(x+y)} \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right|.$$

[III] We divide the integral as before

$$\begin{aligned} H_{nj\mathbf{k}}(x, \mu) &\leq n^2 \kappa_n^{\mathbf{k}} \int_0^\infty |Q(x) - Q(y)| (x+y)^{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\kappa_n(x+y)} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\ &= n^2 \kappa_n^{\mathbf{k}} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} + \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} \right) \\ &= H_{nj\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}(x, \mu) + H_{nj\mathbf{k}}^{(2)}(x, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

We find by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{nj\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}(x, \mu) \\ &\leq A n^2 Q(x) \kappa_n^{\mathbf{k}} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |x-y| (x+y)^{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\kappa_n(x+y)} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\ &\leq A \kappa_n^{k+2} \left(\int_0^\infty (x+y)^{2k+2} e^{-\kappa_n(x+y)} dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+y)|^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq A \kappa_n^{1/2} \left(\int_{x\kappa_n}^\infty t^{2k+2} e^{-2t} dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+y)|^2 dz \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$(2.25) \quad |H_{nj\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq A \kappa_n e^{-\kappa_n x} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+y)|^2 dz$$

Next applying (2.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_{njk}^{(2)}(x, \mu) \\
& \leq n^{2Q(x)} \kappa_n^k \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} (x+y)^k e^{-\kappa_n(x+y)} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\
& \quad + \kappa_n^k \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} (x+y)^k n^{2Q(y)} e^{-\kappa_n(x+y)} |\varphi_{nj}(y)| dy \\
& \leq \kappa_n^{k+2} \left(\int_{x+y \geq 1} (x+y)^{2k} e^{-2\kappa_n(x+y)} dy \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + \kappa_n^k \left(\int_{x+y \geq 1} (x+y)^{2k} e^{n\sqrt{Q(x)}|x-y| - 2\sqrt{n^2Q(x)+\mu}(x+y)} dy \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C \kappa_n^{3/2} \left(\int_{\kappa_n}^{\infty} t^{2k} e^{-2t} dt \right)^{1/2} + C \kappa_n^{-1/2} \left(\int_{\kappa_n}^{\infty} t^{2k} e^{-t} dt \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have for any N

$$(2.26) \quad H_{njk}^{(2)}(x, \mu)^2 \leq C_N \kappa_n^{-2N-2}$$

with a constant C_N depending on N .

Combining (2.25) and (2.26), we obtain

$$(2.27) \quad H_{njk}(x, \mu)^2 \leq C \kappa_n e^{-\kappa_n x} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+y)|^2 dz + C_N \kappa_n^{-2N-2}.$$

(IV) By the Bessel inequality, we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^J |H_{njk}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq n^4 \kappa_n^{2k} \int_0^{\infty} |Q(x) - Q(y)|^2 (x+y)^{2k} e^{-2\kappa_n(x+y)} dy.$$

Repeating the similar arguments as above, we obtain

$$(2.28) \quad \sum_{j=1}^J |H_{njk}(x, \mu)|^2 \leq C \kappa_n e^{-\kappa_n x} + C_N \kappa_n^{-2N-2}.$$

Employing (2.27) and (2.28), we obtain

Proposition 2.8.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.29) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{L_{njk}(x, \mu)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m-p+1)} \kappa_n^{4p+2}} \\
 & \leq c \mu^{-1} \kappa_n^{-4m-3} e^{-\kappa_n x} \\
 & \quad + c \mu^{-1/2} e^{-\kappa_n x} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+y)|^2 dz \\
 & \quad + c_N \kappa_n^{-2N-2} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (2.23) to (2.29), we observe that L_{njk} has better estimates than

K_{njk} in the point where $e^{-\kappa_n x}$ is multiplied to certain terms. But in this

article, we shall gain no advantages from this observation in the following arguments.

We recall (2.14). Square the both sides of (2.14) and set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\varphi_{nj}(x)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} &= \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^m E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + R_{nmj}(x, \mu) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Then for any δ satisfying $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_{nmj}(x, \mu)| &\leq \mu^{-\delta} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^m E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + c \mu^\delta \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{(K_{njk}(x, \mu)^2 + L_{njk}(x, \mu)^2)}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m-p+1)} \kappa_n^{4p+2}} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8, we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^J |R_{nmj}(x, \mu)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mu^{-\delta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{m_{E_n}} \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right)^2 \\
&\quad + c \mu^{-1+\delta} \kappa_n^{-4m-3} + c \mu^{-1/2+\delta} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz \\
&\quad + c_N \mu^{\delta} \kappa_n^{-2N-2} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \\
&\leq c(\mu^{-\delta} + \mu^{-1+\delta}) \kappa_n^{4m-3} \\
&\quad + c \mu^{-1/2+\delta} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz \\
&\quad + c_N \kappa_n^{-2N-2} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} .
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\delta = 1/4$, we have obtained the following lemma which is crucial in studying the zeta function.

Lemma 2.9. Assume $Q(x)$ satisfy (0.11). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\varphi_{nj}(x)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} &= \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \sum_{j=1}^J \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{m_{E_n}} \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^J R_{nmj}(x, \mu) ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $R_{nmj}(x, \mu)$ has the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.30) \quad &\sum_{j=1}^J |R_{nmj}(x, \mu)| \\
&\leq c_m \mu^{-1/4} \kappa_n^{-(4m+3)} \\
&\quad + c_{mN} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\mu^{-1/4}}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \left\{ \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz + \kappa_n^{-2N} \right\} \\
&(\kappa_n = \kappa_n(x, \mu) = \sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu}) .
\end{aligned}$$

§ 3. In this section we study the zeta function defined as (0.10). In our

case

$$Z(\alpha, A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{nj}^{-\alpha} .$$

In the first place we show

Theorem 3.1. Let $Q(x)$ satisfy the conditions (0.11) and (0.13), then

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{nj}^{-\beta} < \infty \quad (\beta > 1) ,$$

if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-\beta+1/2} dx < \infty .$$

Proof. Firstly assume (3.2). Pick an integer m such that $2m+2 \geq \beta$.

Then it follows $\int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-2m-3/2} dx < \infty$. By Lemma 2.9 and Proposition 2.6, we find

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\varphi_{nj}(x)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^m E_n(x, y, \mu) \varphi_{nj}(y) dy \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J R_{nmj}(x, \mu) \\ & \leq \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2m+2)} \kappa_n^{-(4m+3)} + c_m \kappa_n^{-(4m+3)} e^{-\kappa_n^x} \\ & \quad + c_{mN} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\mu^{-1/4}}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2(m+1)}} \left\{ \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+y)|^2 dz + \kappa_n^{-2N} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{c_m}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} + c_m \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\mu^{-1/4}}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \left\{ \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz + \frac{1}{n^{2N} Q(x)^N} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in x the both sides of (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \\ & \leq c_m \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx + c_m \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\mu^{-1/4}}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{n^{2N}} \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-N} dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we find that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \leq C_m \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx$$

holds with a constant C_m depending only on m for sufficiently large μ .

Recall the identity

$$\int_s^{\infty} \frac{(\mu - s)^{\gamma}}{(t + \mu)^{m+1}} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma)\Gamma(m-\gamma)}{(m+1)} \frac{1}{(t+s)^{m-\gamma}} \quad (-1 < \gamma < m).$$

Multiplying $(\mu - s)^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 2m+1-\beta$ to the both sides of (3.4) and

integrating in μ from s to ∞ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\beta)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2m+2)} \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + s)^{\beta}} &\leq C_m \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)\Gamma(\beta-1/2)}{(2m+2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + s)^{\beta-1/2}} dx \\ &\leq C_m \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)\Gamma(\beta-1/2)}{\Gamma(2m+2)} \frac{1}{n^{2\beta-1}} \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-\beta+1/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above expression from 1 to N , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + s)^{\beta}} \leq C_{\beta} \zeta(2\beta-1) \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-\beta+1/2} dx.$$

Fix $s = s_0$ with a large constant s_0 and let $N, J \rightarrow \infty$. Then we obtain (3.1).

Conversely assume (3.1). Pick an integer m such that $2m+2 \geq \beta$. By

Lemma 2.9 with $J = \infty$ and Proposition 2.6, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad &\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{nj}(x)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2m+2)(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-2x\sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu}}}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2m+1} C_{mk} (n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{k/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R_{nmj}(x, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with } & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |R_{nmj}(x, \mu)| \\ & \leq \frac{C_m \mu^{-1/4}}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} \\ & + C_{mN} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{-1/4}}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \left\{ \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz + \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows for $x \geq x_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{nj}(x)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \\ & \geq \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)}{2\pi \Gamma(2m+2)(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} (1 - C_m \mu^{-1/4}) \\ & - C_{mN} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{-1/4}}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz - C_{mN} \frac{-1/4}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{nj}^{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $(\mu - s)^r$ ($r = 2m+1-\beta$) to the both sides of (3.6) and then

integrating from s to ∞ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad & \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\beta) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(2m+2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{nj}(x)^2}{(\lambda_{nj} + s)^{\beta}} \\ & \geq \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\beta) \Gamma(\beta-1/2)}{2\pi \Gamma(2m+2)} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + s)^{\beta-1/2}} (1 - C_{\beta} s^{-1/4}) \\ & - C_{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^{-1/4}}{(\lambda_{nj} + s)^{\beta}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} |\varphi_{nj}(x+z)|^2 dz - C_{\beta} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + s)^{N+\beta-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{nj}^{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.6) in x from x_0 to X , we have

$$(1 - C_{\beta} s^{-1/4}) \int_{x_0}^X \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + s)^{\beta-1/2}} dx \leq C_{\beta} (1 + C_{\beta} s^{-1/4}) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{nj}^{-\beta}.$$

Fix $s = s_0$ with a large constant s_0 and let $X \rightarrow \infty$. Then we obtain (3.2).

If we are more careful in computing the constants which appear in the arguments

above, we can readily show the following formula.

Theorem 3.2. Let $Q(x)$ satisfy the conditions (0.2), (0.11) and (0.13) .

Then it follows

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + s)^{\beta}} \sim \frac{\Gamma(\beta-1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + s)^{\beta-1/2}} dx$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying the Keldysh Tauberian theorem to (3.8), we obtain the asymptotic formula of the distribution of the eigenvalues in the form

$$(3.9) \quad N(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda \geq n^2 Q(x)} (\lambda - n^2 Q(x))^{1/2} dx$$

(see for the details A. G. Kostyuchenko-B. M. Levitan [8]) .

Next we consider the analytic continuation of the zeta function. Recall the identity

$$(3.10) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{\gamma}}{(t + \mu)^{m+1}} d\mu = \frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma)\Gamma(m-\gamma)}{\Gamma(m+1)} t^{-m+\gamma} \quad (-1 < \operatorname{Re} \gamma < m) .$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $\varphi_n(\mu)$ and $\Phi_n(\mu)$ be bounded measurable functions

satisfying (i) $|\varphi_n(\mu)| \leq \Phi_n(\mu)$,

(ii) there exists a constant σ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mu^{\beta} \Phi_n(\mu) d\mu < \infty \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \beta < \sigma .$$

Then $F(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mu^{\alpha} \varphi_n(\mu) d\mu$ is a holomorphic function of α

in $0 < \operatorname{Re} \alpha < \sigma$.

Proof. Let us denote

$$F_{n,R,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)} = \int_{\varepsilon}^R \mu^{\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_n(\mu) d\mu, \quad F_n = F_{n,\infty,0}.$$

Then $F_{n,R,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)}$ is an entire function of α . For $R > 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $\alpha_1 < \sigma$,

we find that

$$|F_n(\alpha) - F_{n,R,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)}| \leq \int_0^{\varepsilon} \bar{\Phi}_n(\mu) d\mu + \int_R^{\infty} \mu^{\alpha_1} \bar{\Phi}_n(\mu) d\mu$$

holds for all α such that $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq \alpha_1$.

This shows that $F_{n,R,\varepsilon}$ is uniformly convergent to F_n for $R \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

in $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq \alpha_1$ and then $F_n(\alpha)$ is holomorphic in $0 < \operatorname{Re} \alpha < \alpha_1$.

Moreover since

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} F_n(\alpha)| &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mu^{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} \bar{\Phi}_n(\mu) d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \bar{\Phi}_n(\mu) d\mu + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mu^{\alpha_1} \bar{\Phi}_n(\mu) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} F_n(\alpha)$ converges to $F(\alpha)$ uniformly in $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq \alpha_1$. Hence $F(\alpha)$

is holomorphic in $0 < \operatorname{Re} \alpha < \alpha_1$.

Let $\sigma = \inf \left\{ \alpha \mid \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-\alpha+1/2} dx < \infty \right\}$. Now we carry out the

proof of Theorem 0.1.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Choose an integer m such that $2m+2 > \sigma$. We

start with (3.5). Integrating in x the both sides of (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2m+2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{2m+1} \frac{c_{mk}}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2m+2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2x\sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu}}}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} x^k (n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{k/2} dx \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} R_{nmj}(x, \mu) dx
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad \varphi_n(\mu) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2m+1} c_{mk} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2x\sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu}}}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} x^k (n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{k/2} dx \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} R_{nmj}(x, \mu) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad \Phi_n(\mu) &= c_m \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{n^2 Q(x) + \mu}}}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx \\
&+ c_m \mu^{-1/4} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x) + \mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx \\
&+ c_m \mu^{-1/4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^{2N}} \int_0^{\infty} Q(x)^{-N} dx \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\lambda_{nj} + \mu)^{2m+2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large c_m

we can readily see $|\varphi_n(\mu)| \leq \Phi_n(\mu)$. Multiplying μ^r ($r = 2m+1-\alpha$)

to the both sides of (3.12) and integrating in μ from 0 to ∞ , we find

by (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2m+2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{nj}^{-\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha-1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2m+2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x))^{\alpha-1/2}} dx + \int_0^{\infty} \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \varphi_n(x, \mu) d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

We want to use Lemma 3.3 to settle the last term of (3.15). Let α be real.

$$(3.16) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \Phi_n(\mu) d\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_m \int_0^\infty \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{n^2 Q(x)+\mu}}}{(n^2 Q(x)+\mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx d\mu \\
&+ C_m \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\alpha-1/4) \Gamma(\alpha-1/2+1/4)}{\Gamma(2m+2-1/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(n^2 Q(x))^{\alpha-1/2+1/4}} dx \\
&+ C_m \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^{2N}} \int_0^\infty Q(x)^{-N} dx \right) \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\alpha-1/4) \Gamma(\alpha+1/4)}{\Gamma(2m+2)} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \chi_{n_j}^{-\alpha-1/4} .
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term of (3.16), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\alpha \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{n^2 Q(x)+\mu}}}{(n^2 Q(x)+\mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx d\mu \\
&\leq \int_0^\alpha \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{n^2 Q_0+\mu}}}{(n^2 Q_0+\mu)^{2m+3/2}} dx d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2m+2)} \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha} Q_0^\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \Phi_n(\mu) d\mu \\
&\leq C_m \frac{\Gamma(2m+2-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha) \zeta(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(2m+2) Q_0^\alpha} \\
&+ C_m \frac{\Gamma(2m+7/4-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha-1/4) \zeta(2\alpha-1/2)}{\Gamma(2m+3/2)} \int_0^\infty Q(x)^{-\alpha+1/4} dx \\
&+ C_{mN} \left(1 + \zeta(2N) \int_0^\infty Q(x)^{-N} dx \right) \frac{\Gamma(2m+3/4-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha-1/4)}{\Gamma(2m+2)} Z(\alpha+1/4, A) .
\end{aligned}$$

In this way we have proved

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \Phi_n(\mu) d\mu < \infty \text{ for } \sigma - 1/4 < \alpha \leq 2m+1 .$$

Since m is arbitrary, we find by Lemma 3.3 that

$$h(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \mu^{2m+1-\alpha} \varphi_n(\mu) d\mu$$

is holomorphic in $\sigma - 1/4 < \operatorname{Re} \alpha < \infty$.

Now we introduce some examples.

Example 3.5. (i) $Q(x) = x^{2\kappa} \quad (0 < \kappa \leq 1)$ for large x

$$Z(\alpha, A) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2\kappa}) \zeta(\frac{1}{\kappa})}{4\sqrt{\pi} \kappa \Gamma(\frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa}) (\alpha - \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa})} + h(\alpha) & (0 < \kappa < 1), \\ \frac{1}{8(\alpha - 1)^2} + \frac{A_1}{(\alpha - 1)} + h(\alpha) & (\kappa = 1), \end{cases}$$

(ii) $Q(x) = x^{2\kappa} (\log x)^{-2\gamma} \quad (0 < \kappa < 1, \gamma > 0)$ for large x

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\alpha, A) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-1/2) \Gamma(2\alpha\gamma - \gamma + 1) \zeta(2\alpha-1)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha) (2\kappa\alpha - \kappa - 1)^{2\alpha\gamma - \gamma + 1}} + h(\alpha) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2\kappa}) \Gamma(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\kappa}) \zeta(\frac{1}{\kappa})}{2(2\kappa)^{1+\gamma/\kappa} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa}) (\alpha - \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa})^{1+\gamma/\kappa}} \\ &\quad \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor 1+\gamma/\kappa \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{nj} (\alpha - \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa})^n (\log(\alpha - \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa}))^j \right\} + \tilde{h}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{h}(\alpha)$ is holomorphic in $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa}$ and continuous in $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq \frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa}$.

When we know the form of the largest singularity of the zeta function, we

can get the asymptotic form of $N(\lambda)$ via Tauberian theorems. For me, it seems

difficult to describe beforehand what sort of singularity the zeta function has.

But anyhow concerning Example 3.5, we obtain the following by employing Theorem

0.2. Proof of the Tauberian theorem is put off until § 6.

(i) $Q(x) = x^{2\kappa} \quad (0 < \kappa \leq 1)$ for large x

$$N(\lambda) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2\kappa}) \zeta(\frac{1}{\kappa})}{2\sqrt{\pi} (\kappa+1) \Gamma(\frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa})} \lambda^{1/2+1/2\kappa} & (0 < \kappa < 1), \\ \frac{1}{8} \lambda \log \lambda & (\kappa = 1) \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad Q(x) = x^{2\kappa} (\log x)^{-2\gamma} \quad (0 < \kappa < 1, \gamma > 0)$$

$$N(\lambda) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2\kappa}) \zeta(\frac{1}{\kappa})}{(2\kappa)^{1+\gamma/\kappa} \sqrt{\pi} (\frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa}) \Gamma(\frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa})} \lambda^{1/2+1/2\kappa} (\log \lambda)^{\gamma/\kappa}.$$

§ 4. In this section, we discuss the eigenvalue problem for the Laplace operator

in an unbounded domain (see H. Tamura [10] and F. Asakura [1]). Let G be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We consider the following Dirichlet problem

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{in } G \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial G \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta u = u_{xx} + u_{yy}$ is the Laplace operator.

We assume G to satisfy the following conditions.

(4.2) (i) G is divided as $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ where G_1 is a bounded domain

with C^2 boundary and G_2 has the form

$$G_2 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid A < x < \infty, a_1(x) < y < a_2(x) \}$$

with C^2 functions $a_j(x)$ ($j = 1, 2$),

(4.3) (ii) $a_1(x), a_2(x)$ satisfy

$$(1) \quad b(x) = a_1(x) - a_2(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2) \quad a_1'(x), a_2'(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(3) \quad |a_1''(x)|, |a_2''(x)| \leq M \text{ with a constant } M,$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{|b'(x)|}{|b(x)|} \leq M \text{ with a constant } M ,$$

$$(5) \quad \int_A^\infty b(x) dx = \infty .$$

We denote by $L^2(G)$ the Hilbert space of square integrable functions in G and $H^j(G)$, $H_0^j(G)$ usual Sobolev spaces of order j . We can show the next proposition just in the same manner as Proposition 1.1.

Proposition 4.1. Under the conditions (i) and (ii)-(1), the inclusion map from $H_0^1(G)$ to $L^2(G)$ is compact.

We regard the Dirichlet integral $D(u)$ as a quadratic form in $H_0^1(G)$. Employing the variational method (see for example Courant-Hilbert text book), we find that there exists a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator in G with the Dirichlet condition (4.1).

Now let us consider the Laplace operator as a symmetric operator from $C^2(G) \cap C_0^0(\bar{G})$ to $L^2(G)$. We can show that the self-adjoint extension is unique in certain cases.

Theorem 4.2. Assume the conditions (i) and (ii) and assume $b'(x) \leq 0$ in addition. Then the closure of the Laplace operator defined in $C^2(G) \cap C_0^0(\bar{G})$ is a strictly self-adjoint operator with the domain $H^2(G) \cap H_0^1(G)$.

A proof of the theorem is found in F. Asakura [2] .

For sufficiently large R we set

$$G_R^{(1)} = \{ (x,y) \in G \mid x < R \} ,$$

$$G_R^{(2)} = \{ (x,y) \in G \mid x > R \}$$

For each j let $\bar{\lambda}_n^{(j)}$ the n -th eigenvalue of the problem

$$(4.4)_j \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 & (x,y) \in G_R^{(j)} \\ u = 0 & (x,y) \in \partial G_R^{(j)} . \end{cases}$$

In a similar fashion let $\underline{\lambda}_n^{(j)}$ the n -th eigenvalue of the problem

$$(4.5)_j \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 & (x,y) \in G_R^{(j)} \\ u = 0 & (x,y) \in \partial G_R^{(j)} \cap \partial G \\ u_x = 0 & (x,y) \in \partial G_R^{(j)} \text{ with } x = R . \end{cases}$$

We denote

$$\bar{N}_R^{(j)}(\lambda) = \# \{ n \mid \bar{\lambda}_n^{(j)} \leq \lambda \}$$

$$\underline{N}_R^{(j)}(\lambda) = \# \{ n \mid \underline{\lambda}_n^{(j)} \leq \lambda \} .$$

Then by Courant mini-max principle, we find

Proposition 4.3. Let $N(\lambda)$ be the number of the eigenvalues of (4.1)

not exceeding λ . Then $N(\lambda)$ is estimated as

$$(4.6) \quad \bar{N}_R^{(1)}(\lambda) + \bar{N}_R^{(2)}(\lambda) \leq N(\lambda) \leq \underline{N}_R^{(1)}(\lambda) + \underline{N}_R^{(2)}(\lambda) .$$

Consider the following change of the variables and functions

$$\begin{cases} \xi = x \\ \eta = \frac{\pi}{b(x)} (y - z_1(x)) , \quad \varphi = \frac{b(x)}{\pi} u \end{cases}$$

and set $G_R^{(2)} = G_R$, $\Omega_R = (R, \infty) \times (0, \pi)$. Then we find

$$\iint_{G_R} u(x,y)^2 dx dy = \iint_{\Omega_R} \varphi(\xi, \eta)^2 d\xi d\eta .$$

Moreover denote

$$\begin{aligned} D_R(u) &= \iint_{G_R} u_x^2 + u_y^2 dx dy \\ E_R(\varphi) &= \iint_{\Omega_R} \varphi_\xi^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{b(\xi)^2} \varphi_\eta^2 d\xi d\eta . \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

Proposition 4.4. For any small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants R and

L such that

$$(4.7) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) E_R(\varphi) - L \|\varphi\|^2 \leq D_R(u) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) E_R(\varphi) + L \|\varphi\|^2 .$$

Proof is carried out in the same manner as Proposition 3.2 in F. Asakura [1].

Let $\bar{\mu}_n$ denote the n -th eigenvalue of

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_{\xi\xi} + \frac{\pi^2}{b(\xi)^2} \varphi_{\eta\eta} + \mu \varphi = 0 & (\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_R \\ \varphi = 0 & (\xi, \eta) \in \partial\Omega_R , \end{cases}$$

similarly $\underline{\mu}_n$ the n-th eigenvalue of

$$(4.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_{\xi\xi} + \frac{\pi^2}{b(\xi)^2} \varphi_{\eta\eta} + \mu \varphi = 0 \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_R \\ \varphi = 0 \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \partial\Omega_R \text{ with } \eta = 0, \pi \\ \varphi_{\xi} = 0 \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \partial\Omega_R \text{ with } \xi = R, \end{array} \right.$$

By virtue of Proposition 4.4, we find employing the Courant min-max principle

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \varepsilon) \bar{\mu}_n - L &\leq \bar{\lambda}_n^{(2)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \bar{\mu}_n + L \\ (1 - \varepsilon) \underline{\mu}_n - L &\leq \underline{\lambda}_n^{(2)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \underline{\mu}_n + L \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}(\lambda) &= \# \{ n \mid \bar{\mu}_n \leq \lambda \} \\ \underline{c}(\lambda) &= \# \{ n \mid \underline{\mu}_n \leq \lambda \} . \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

Proposition 4.5. $N(\lambda)$ is estimated as

$$(4.11) \quad -c_1 \lambda + \bar{c}\left(\frac{\lambda - L}{1 + \varepsilon}\right) \leq N(\lambda) \leq c_2 \lambda + \underline{c}\left(\frac{\lambda + L}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) .$$

Proof. It follows from (4.10) that if $\underline{\lambda}_n^{(2)} \leq \lambda$, then $\underline{\mu}_n \leq \frac{\lambda + L}{1 - \varepsilon}$.

This shows $\underline{N}_R^{(2)}(\lambda) \leq \underline{c}\left(\frac{\lambda + L}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)$.

Similarly we have

$$\bar{N}_R^{(2)}(\lambda) \geq \bar{c}\left(\frac{\lambda - L}{1 + \varepsilon}\right)$$

Since $G_R^{(1)}$ is bounded, we know that $\bar{N}_R^{(1)}(\lambda) \leq c_1 \lambda$ and $\underline{N}_R^{(1)}(\lambda) \leq c_2 \lambda$

hold with constants c_1, c_2 . Hence we obtain the proposition.

Set $Q(\xi) = \frac{\pi^2}{b(\xi)^2}$. We observe that for large R

$$(i) \quad Q(\xi) \in C^2([R, \infty)),$$

$$(ii) \quad \left| \frac{Q'(\xi)}{Q(\xi)} \right| \leq 2 \left| \frac{b'(\xi)}{b(\xi)} \right| \leq 2M, \quad Q(\xi) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{\inf_{\xi \geq R} (b(\xi))^2} \geq (4M)^2,$$

$$(iii) \quad \int_R^\infty Q(\xi)^{-1/2} d\xi = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_R^\infty b(x) dx = \infty.$$

$$\text{Let } A_G \varphi = - \left(\varphi_{\xi\xi} + \frac{\pi^2}{b(\xi)^2} \varphi_{\eta\eta} \right), \quad \Omega_R = (R, \infty) \times (0, \pi).$$

Thus we have seen that the operator A_G falls into the previous considerations.

Theorem 4.6. Let $N(\lambda)$ be the number of eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in (4.1) not exceeding λ . Assume that the zeta function $Z(a, A_G)$

has the analytic continuation of the form

$$(4.12) \quad Z(a, A_G) = \frac{A}{(a - \sigma)^{1+\rho}} \sum_{n=1}^{[1+\rho]} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{nj} (a - \sigma)^n (\log(a - \sigma))^j + g(a) \quad (A_{00} = 1, \rho \geq 0)$$

where $g(a)$ is holomorphic in $\operatorname{Re} a > \sigma$ and continuous in $\operatorname{Re} a \geq \sigma$. Then

$N(\lambda)$ has the asymptotic form

$$(4.13) \quad N(\lambda) \sim \frac{A}{\sigma \Gamma(1+\rho)} \lambda^\sigma (\log \lambda)^\rho.$$

Proof. By Theorem 0.2, we find

$$\bar{c}(\lambda) \sim \underline{c}(\lambda) \sim \frac{A}{\sigma \Gamma(1+\rho)} \lambda^{\sigma} (\log \lambda)^{\rho}.$$

Since $c(\frac{\lambda \mp L}{1 \pm \varepsilon})$ has the asymptotic behavior

$$c(\frac{\lambda \mp L}{1 \pm \varepsilon}) \sim \frac{A}{\sigma \Gamma(1+\rho)(1 \pm \varepsilon)} \lambda^{\sigma} (\log \lambda)^{\rho},$$

then it follows from Proposition 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^{\sigma} (\log \lambda)^{\rho}} &\leq \frac{A}{(1-\varepsilon)^{\sigma} \sigma \Gamma(1+\rho)} \\ \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^{\sigma} (\log \lambda)^{\rho}} &\geq \frac{A}{(1+\varepsilon)^{\sigma} \sigma \Gamma(1+\rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^{\sigma} (\log \lambda)^{\rho}} = \frac{A}{\sigma \Gamma(1+\rho)}.$$

§ 5. In this section we study the distribution of eigenvalues of the operator (0.1) in certain cases where the integral (0.12) does not converge for any α (for example $Q(x) = (\log x)^{2k}$). In this case, we can not make use of the zeta function any more in studying the distribution of eigenvalues. Here we shall adopt the methods in F. Asakura [1], which is based on a uniform asymptotic expansion of the solution to the Sturm-Liouville problem developed in E. C. Titchmarsh [11].

We come back to the problem (2.1). Let $\Phi_n(x, \lambda)$ be the solution of

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_n'' + (\lambda - n^2 Q(x)) \Phi_n = 0 & \text{for } x > 0 \\ \int_0^\infty \Phi_n(x, \lambda)^2 dx < \infty. \end{cases}$$

We observe that the solution is determined uniquely up to constant multiples.

We also find that λ_{nj} is an eigenvalue of the problem (2.1) if and only if

$$\Phi_n(0, \lambda_{nj}) = 0.$$

Remark 5.1. For the problem (2.1)' we can see that λ_{nj} is an eigenvalue if and only if $\Phi_n'(0, \lambda_{nj}) = 0$. Hence we need the uniform asymptotic expansion of both $\Phi_n(x, \lambda)$ and $\Phi_n'(x, \lambda)$.

Now, we assume $Q(x)$ to satisfy (0.17). To make the explanations simpler, we may study the equation (2.1) in the interval (R, ∞) with the condition $\varphi(R)$

= 0 after we shift the interval $(0, \infty)$ to (R, ∞) by translation of the variable. Set $Q_n(x, \lambda) = \frac{n^2}{\lambda} Q(x)$. Then $Q_n(x, \lambda)$ itself satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad (i) \quad Q_n(x, \lambda) \in C^4([R, \infty)) \text{ in } x, \quad Q_n(x, \lambda) > 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x, \lambda) = \infty$$

$$(5.3) \quad (ii) \quad \frac{A}{x \log x} \leq \frac{Q'_n(x, \lambda)}{Q_n(x, \lambda)} \leq \frac{B}{x \log x} \text{ for } x \geq R,$$

$$(5.4) \quad (iii) \quad \left| \frac{Q''_n(x, \lambda)}{Q'_n(x, \lambda)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{x}, \quad \left| \frac{Q'''_n(x, \lambda)}{Q''_n(x, \lambda)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{x^2} \text{ for } x \geq R,$$

where A, B, C are independent of n and λ .

We observe by (5.3) that

$$(5.5) \quad 1 \leq \frac{Q_n(ax, \lambda)}{Q_n(x, \lambda)} \leq a^B \text{ holds for } 1 \leq a \leq a_0.$$

Set $P_n(x, \lambda) = 1 - Q_n(x, \lambda)$. Then the equation is expressed as

$$(5.6) \quad \Phi'_n + \lambda P_n(x, \lambda) \Phi_n(x, \lambda) = 0.$$

Since $P'_n(x, \lambda) < 0$, there exists the unique point $x = X_n(\lambda)$ satisfying

$P_n(X_n(\lambda), \lambda) = 0$ and $P_n(x, \lambda) < 0$ for $x > X_n(\lambda)$, $P_n(x, \lambda) > 0$ for $x < X_n(\lambda)$.

We introduce a function $\phi_n(x, \lambda)$ in the following fashion.

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)^{3/2} = \int_{X_n}^x (Q_n(t, \lambda) - Q_n(X_n(\lambda), \lambda))^{1/2} dt \\ \quad = \int_{X_n}^x (-P_n(t, \lambda))^{1/2} dt \quad \text{for } x \geq X_n(\lambda), \\ \frac{2}{3} (-\phi_n(x, \lambda))^{3/2} = \int_x^{X_n} (Q_n(X_n(\lambda), \lambda) - Q_n(t, \lambda))^{1/2} dt \\ \quad = \int_x^{X_n} (P_n(t, \lambda))^{1/2} dt \quad \text{for } x \leq X_n(\lambda). \end{cases}$$

We can readily see that $\phi_n(x, \lambda)$ is class C^3 in x and satisfies

$$(5.8) \quad \phi_n (\phi_n')^2 = -P_n.$$

Let Ai and Bi the Airy functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Ai(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}t^3 + zt\right) dt \\ Bi(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^3/3 + zt} + \sin\left(\frac{1}{3}t^3 + zt\right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which are linearly independent solutions to $y'' = xy$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(x, \lambda) &= \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{-1/2} Ai(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) \\ B_n(x, \lambda) &= \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{-1/2} Bi(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Then A_n and B_n are linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$(5.9) \quad Y''' + \lambda P_n(x, \lambda)Y + \frac{1}{2} \{\phi_n, x\} Y = 0$$

where $\{\phi, x\}$ is the Schwarzian derivative of ϕ defined by

$$\{\phi, x\} = \frac{\phi'''}{\phi'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\phi''}{\phi'} \right)^2.$$

In our case

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \{\phi_n, x\} = \frac{P_n'''}{4P_n} - \frac{5}{16} \left\{ \frac{P_n}{\phi_n^3} + \left(\frac{P_n'}{P_n} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

We shall take $A_n(x, \lambda)$ to be the first approximation to $\overline{\Phi}_n(x, \lambda)$ as

λ tends to ∞ . Set

$$K_n(x, t, \lambda) = -\pi \lambda^{1/3} [A_n(x, \lambda)B_n(t, \lambda) - A_n(t, \lambda)B_n(x, \lambda)].$$

Then the equation (5.1) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$(5.11) \quad \bar{\Phi}_n(x, \lambda) = A_n(x, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \int_x^\infty K_n(x, t, \lambda) \{\phi_n, t\} \bar{\Phi}_n(t, \lambda) dt.$$

For the solution of the integral equation (5.11), we can show

Proposition 5.1. Assume

$$(5.12) \quad \int_R^\infty |\{\phi_n, t\}| |P_n(t, \lambda)|^{-1/2} dt \leq L$$

with a constant L which is independent of n and λ . Then $\bar{\Phi}_n$ has the

following asymptotic forms

$$(5.13) \quad \bar{\Phi}_n(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{-1/2} \text{Ai}(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) \{1 + o(\lambda^{-1/2})\} \\ \text{for } x \geq x_n(\lambda), \\ (5.14) \quad \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{-1/2} \text{Ai}(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) \{1 + o(\lambda^{-1/2})\} \\ + o(\lambda^{-1/2} \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{-1/2} \text{Bi}(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda))) \\ \text{for } x \leq x_n(\lambda), \end{cases}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, which hold uniformly in n and x .

Proof. We observe that $A_n(x, \lambda) \neq 0$ for $x \geq x_n(\lambda)$. Set

$$Z_n(x, \lambda) = \frac{\bar{\Phi}_n(x, \lambda)}{A_n(x, \lambda)}.$$

Then $Z_n(x, \lambda)$ is the solution of the equation

$$Z_n(x, \lambda) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_x^\infty K_n(x, t, \lambda) \{\phi_n, t\} \frac{A_n(t, \lambda)}{A_n(x, \lambda)} Z_n(t, \lambda) dt.$$

Employing certain estimates of the Airy functions, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |K_n(x, t, \lambda) \{ \phi_n, t \} \frac{A_n(t, \lambda)}{A_n(x, \lambda)}| \\
 & \leq \frac{C | \{ \phi_n, t \} |}{\lambda^{1/2} |\phi_n'(t, \lambda)| |\phi_n(t, \lambda)|^{1/2}} \\
 & \leq \frac{C | \{ \phi_n, t \} |}{\lambda^{1/2} |F_n(t, \lambda)|^{1/2}} \quad (\text{ see A. Erdélyi [6] }).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then if we assume (5.12), we obtain

$$Z_n(x, \lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda^{-1/2}),$$

which shows (5.13).

For $x \leq X_n(\lambda)$, inserting (5.13) into (5.11), we find that the

equation is expressed as

$$\bar{\Phi}_n(x, \lambda) = \bar{\Phi}_n^{(0)}(x, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{X_n(\lambda)} K_n(x, t, \lambda) \{ \phi_n, t \} \bar{\Phi}_n(t, \lambda) dt$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\Phi}_n^{(0)}(x, \lambda) &= A_n(x, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{X_n(\lambda)}^{\infty} K_n(x, t, \lambda) \{ \phi_n, t \} \bar{\Phi}_n(t, \lambda) dt \\
 &= A_n(x, \lambda) + O(\lambda^{-1/2} A_n(x, \lambda)) + O(\lambda^{-1/2} B_n(x, \lambda)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $W_n(x, \lambda) = (1 + \lambda^{1/6} |\phi_n(x, \lambda)|^{1/4}) \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \bar{\Phi}_n(x, \lambda)$. Then

by the similar discussions as above, we obtain (5.13) (see for the details A.

Erdélyi [6] and F. Asakura [3]).

For (5.12) we can show the following lemma which is crucial in our discussion.

Lemma 5.2. Assume $Q(x)$ to satisfy (0.17). Then we have

$$(5.15) \quad \int_R^\infty |\{\phi_n, t\}| |P_n(t, \lambda)|^{-1/2} dt \leq C X_n(\lambda)^{-1} (\log X_n(\lambda))^{1/2}$$

with a constant independent of n and λ .

Proof. For simplicity we omit λ to denote $P_n(t) = P_n(t, \lambda)$, $X_n = X_n(\lambda)$ e.t.c. Pick $\alpha_0 > 1$. For $1 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, divide the integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R^\infty &= \int_{\alpha X_n}^\infty + \int_{X_n}^{\alpha X_n} + \int_{X_n/\alpha}^{X_n} + \int_R^{X_n/\alpha} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

In the following discussion, we abuse C to denote any constant which is independent of n and λ .

(I) Estimates of I_1 ($x \geq \alpha X_n$)

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} (5.16) \quad \frac{1}{2} \{\phi_n, t\} &= \frac{P_n'''}{4P_n} - \frac{5}{16} \left\{ \frac{P_n}{\phi_n^3} + \left(\frac{P_n'}{P_n} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= - \frac{Q_n'''}{4P_n} - \frac{5}{16} \left\{ \frac{P_n}{\phi_n^3} + \left(\frac{Q_n'}{P_n} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We carry out the estimates of (5.16) term by term.

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{\alpha X_n}^\infty \left| \frac{Q_n'''}{P_n} \right| |P_n|^{-1/2} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} |Q_n''(t)| (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{-3/2} dt \\
&\leq \frac{C}{X_n} \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} Q_n'(t) (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{-3/2} dt \\
&\leq \frac{C}{X_n} (Q_n(aX_n) - Q_n(X_n))^{-1/2} .
\end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem, we have

$$= \frac{C}{X_n^{3/2}} Q_n'(\xi) \quad \text{for some } X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n .$$

Since by (5.3),

$$(5.18) \quad \inf_{X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n} Q_n'(\xi) \geq \inf_{X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n} \frac{AQ_n(\xi)}{\xi \log \xi} \geq \frac{AQ_n(X_n)}{aX_n \log aX_n} \geq \frac{C}{X_n \log X_n} ,$$

we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{Q_n''}{P_n} \right| |P_n|^{-1/2} dt \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/2}}{X_n} .$$

$$(5.20) \quad \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_n}{\phi_n^3} \right| |P_n|^{-1/2} dt = \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} (-P_n(t))^{1/2} \phi_n(t)^{-3} dt .$$

$$\text{Set } \zeta_n = \frac{2}{3} \phi_n^{3/2} , \text{ then we find } \zeta_n' = (-P_n(t))^{1/2}$$

and the integral (5.20) is expressed as

$$\frac{9}{4} \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} \zeta_n'(t) \zeta_n(t)^{-2} dt = \frac{9}{4} \zeta_n(aX_n)^{-1} .$$

We observe

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_n(aX_n) &= \int_{X_n}^{aX_n} (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{1/2} dt \\
&\geq \inf_{X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n} Q_n'(\xi)^{1/2} \int_{X_n}^{aX_n} (t - X_n)^{1/2} dt .
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.18), we have

$$\zeta_n(aX_n) \geq \frac{CX_n}{(\log X_n)^{1/2}}.$$

Hence we find

$$(5.21) \quad \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_n}{\phi_n^3} \right| |P_n|^{-1/2} dt \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/2}}{X_n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (5.22) \quad & \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P'_n}{P_n} \right|^2 |P_n|^{-1/2} dt \\ &= \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} Q'_n(t)^2 (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{-5/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{X_n} \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} Q'_n(t) Q_n(t) (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{-5/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{X_n} \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} Q'_n(t) (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{-3/2} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{X_n} Q_n(X_n) \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} Q'_n(t) (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{-5/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{X_n} (Q_n(aX_n) - Q_n(X_n))^{-1/2} + \frac{C}{X_n} (Q_n(aX_n) - Q_n(X_n))^{-3/2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{X_n^{3/2}} \inf_{X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n} |Q'_n(\xi)|^{-1/2} + \frac{C}{X_n^{5/2}} \inf_{X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n} |Q'_n(\xi)|^{-3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (5.18)

$$(5.23) \quad \int_{aX_n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P'_n}{P_n} \right|^2 |P_n|^{1/2} dt \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/2}}{X_n}.$$

In this way we have proved

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/2}}{X_n}.$$

(II) Estimates of I_2 ($X_n \leq x \leq aX_n$).

Integrating (5.7) by parts twice, we find

$$(5.24) \quad \frac{2}{3} \phi_n^{3/2} = -(-P_n)^{3/2} (P'_n)^{-1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{5} P''_n (P'_n)^{-2} P_n + S_n \right\}$$

where $S_n = \frac{2}{5} P'_n (-P_n)^{-3/2} \int_{X_n}^x (-P_n)^{5/2} \{ P_n''' (P'_n)^{-3} - 3 (P_n'')^2 (P'_n)^{-4} \} dt$.

First we $\bigcap$ show that we can make the magnitude of $P_n' P_n (P'_n)^{-2}$ and

S_n arbitrarily small, if we choose a sufficiently close to 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.25) \quad & |P_n'' (P'_n)^{-2} P_n| \\
 &= |Q_n''| (Q'_n)^{-2} (Q_n(x) - Q_n(X_n)) \\
 &= \frac{|Q_n''(x)|}{Q'_n(x)} \frac{Q'_n(\xi)}{Q'_n(x)} (x - X_n) \quad (X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n) \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{X_n} \frac{\sup Q'_n(\xi)}{\inf Q'_n(x)} (x - X_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(5.26) \quad \sup_{X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n} Q'_n(\xi) \leq B \sup_{X_n \leq \xi \leq aX_n} \frac{Q_n(aX_n)}{\xi \log \xi} \leq \frac{C Q_n(aX_n)}{X_n \log X_n},$$

we find together with (5.18)

$$(5.27) \quad |P_n'' (P'_n)^{-2} P_n| \leq \frac{C}{X_n} \frac{Q_n(aX_n)}{Q'_n(X_n)} (x - X_n).$$

Then by virtue of (5.5), we find

$$(5.28) \quad |P_n'' (P'_n)^{-2} P_n| \leq \frac{C}{X_n} (x - X_n) \leq C(a - 1).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.29) \quad |S_n| &\leq C Q'_n(x) (Q_n(x) - Q_n(X_n))^{-3/2} \int_{X_n}^x \left(\left| \frac{Q_n'''(t)}{Q'_n(t)} \right| + \left| \frac{Q_n''(t)}{Q'_n(t)} \right|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad Q_n(t)^{-2} (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{5/2} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{X_n^2} Q'_n(x) (Q_n(x) - Q_n(X_n))^{-3/2} \int_{X_n}^x Q_n(t)^{-2} (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{5/2} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{X_n^2} \left(\frac{\sup Q'_n(\xi)}{\inf Q'_n(\xi)} \right)^{7/2} (x - X_n)^{-3/2} \int_{X_n}^x (t - X_n)^{5/2} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{X_n^2} \left(\frac{Q_n(\alpha X_n)}{Q_n(X_n)} \right)^{7/2} (x - X_n)^2 \leq \frac{C}{X_n^2} (x - X_n)^2.$$

Hence we have

$$(5.30) \quad |S_n| \leq C(\alpha - 1)^2.$$

By (5.28) and (5.30) we find

$$\phi_n^{3/2} = -(-P_n)^{3/2}(P'_n)^{-1}(1 + \theta), \quad \theta = O(\alpha - 1).$$

If we choose α sufficiently close to 1, we obtain

$$(5.31) \quad \phi_n^{-3} = (-P_n)^{-3}(P'_n)^2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{4}{5} P_n''(P'_n)^{-2} P_n + O(S_n) + O((P_n'')^2(P'_n)^{-4}(P_n)^2) \right\}$$

Hence we find by (5.31) that the Schwarzian derivative is estimated as

$$(5.32) \quad |\{\phi_n, x\}| \leq C \left\{ (P_n'')^2(P'_n)^{-2} + P_n^{-2}(P'_n)^2 S_n \right\}.$$

We carry out the estimates of the right side of (5.32).

$$(5.33) \quad \left(\frac{P_n''}{P'_n} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{Q_n''}{Q'_n} \right)^2 \leq \frac{C}{X_n^2}$$

$$(5.34) \quad |P_n^{-2}(P'_n)^2 S_n| \leq Q_n'(x)^2 (Q_n(x) - Q_n(X_n))^{-2} |S_n| \\ \leq C \frac{(\sup Q_n'(x))^2}{(\inf Q_n'(\xi))^2} (x - X_n)^{-2} |S_n|$$

Then it follows from (5.29)

$$|P_n^{-2}(P'_n)^2 S_n| \leq \frac{C}{X_n^2}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(5.35) \quad |\{\phi_n, x\}| \leq \frac{C}{X_n^2}.$$

It follows from (5.35)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X_n}^{aX_n} |\{\phi_n, t\}| |P_n(t)|^{-1/2} dt \\ & \leq \frac{c}{X_n^2} \int_{X_n}^{aX_n} (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{-1/2} dt \\ & \leq \frac{c}{X_n^2 (\inf_{X_n} Q'_n(\xi))^{1/2}} \int_{X_n}^{aX_n} (t - X_n)^{-1/2} dt . \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain

$$|I_2| \leq \frac{c (\log X_n)^{1/2}}{X_n} .$$

The estimates of I_3 and I_4 are carried out just in the same manner

as above. Hence we obtain the lemma.

Employing ^(Proposition) 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, we have shown

Theorem 5.3. The solution Φ_n of the problem (5.1) has the asymptotic

form

$$(5.36) \quad \Phi_n(x, \lambda) = \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{-1/2} \left\{ A_i(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) + o(\lambda^{-1/2}) \right\}$$

which holds uniformly in x and n as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

For the derivatives, we have

Proposition 5.4. Assume, in addition to (5.12)

$$(5.37) \quad \left| \frac{\phi_n''}{(\phi_n')^2} \right| \leq M$$

with a constant M which is independent of λ and n . Then the derivative

of Φ_n has the asymptotic form

$$(5.38) \quad \Phi'_n(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{1/3} \phi'_n(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \text{Ai}'(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) \{1 + o(\lambda^{-1/3})\} \\ \text{for } x \geq X_n, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.39) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda^{1/3} \phi'_n(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \text{Ai}'(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) \{1 + o(\lambda^{-1/3})\} \\ + o(\phi'_n(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \text{Ai}(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda))) + o(\lambda^{-1/6} \text{Bi}'(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda))) \\ + o(\lambda^{-1/2} \phi'_n(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \text{Bi}(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda))) \\ \text{for } x \leq X_n. \end{cases}$$

Outline of proof. Differentiating the both sides of (5.11), we find

$$(5.40) \quad \Phi'_n(x, \lambda) = A'_n(x, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \int_x^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_n(x, t, \lambda) \{\phi_n, t\} \Phi_n(t, \lambda) dt.$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} A'_n(x, \lambda) &= \lambda^{1/3} \phi'_n(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \left\{ \text{Ai}'(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) - \frac{\lambda^{-1/3} \phi_n''(x, \lambda)}{2 \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^2} \text{Ai}(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) \right\} \\ &= \lambda^{1/3} \phi'_n(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \left\{ \text{Ai}'(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) + o(\lambda^{-1/3} \text{Ai}(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda))) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (5.13) and (5.14) into (5.40) and estimating the integral, we

obtain the result.

In our case we can show

Lemma 5.5. Assume $Q(x)$ to satisfy (5.3) and (5.4). Then we have

$$(5.41) \quad \left| \frac{\phi_n''(x, \lambda)}{\phi_n'(x, \lambda)^2} \right| \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/3}}{X_n^{2/3}}$$

with a constant C which is independent of x , λ and n .

Proof. We observe

$$(5.42) \quad \frac{\phi_n'''}{(\phi_n')^2} = -\frac{1}{2\phi_n} - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\phi_n}{P_n} \right)^{3/2} \frac{P_n'}{\phi_n}.$$

Choose $\alpha_0 > 1$, consider the case $x \geq \alpha X_n$ for $1 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$.

$$(5.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)^{3/2} &= \int_{X_n}^x (-P_n(t))^{1/2} dt \\ &\geq \int_{X_n}^{\alpha X_n} (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{1/2} dt \\ &\geq \inf_{X_n \leq \xi \leq \alpha X_n} Q_n'(\xi)^{1/2} \int_{X_n}^{\alpha X_n} (t - X_n)^{1/2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (5.18)

$$(5.44) \quad \frac{1}{|P_n(x)|} \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/3}}{X_n}.$$

$$(5.45) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)^{3/2} &= \int_{X_n}^x \frac{1}{Q_n'(t)} (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{1/2} Q_n'(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\inf_{X_n \leq \xi \leq x} Q_n'(\xi)} \int_{X_n}^x (Q_n(t) - Q_n(X_n))^{1/2} Q_n'(t) dt \\ &\leq C(x \log x) (-P_n(x, \lambda))^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(5.46) \quad \left| \left(-\frac{\phi_n(x, \lambda)}{P_n(x, \lambda)} \right) \right| \leq C x^{2/3} (\log x)^{2/3}.$$

Using this we find

$$\left| \left(-\frac{\phi_n}{P_n} \right)^{3/2} \frac{P_n'}{P_n} \right| = \left| \frac{\phi_n}{P_n} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{P_n'}{P_n} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c (x \log x)^{1/3} \frac{Q'_n(x)}{Q_n(x) - Q_n(X_n)} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{(x \log x)^{2/3}} \frac{Q_n(x)}{Q_n(x) - Q_n(X_n)} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{(X_n \log X_n)^{2/3}} \frac{Q_n(aX_n)}{Q_n(aX_n) - Q_n(X_n)} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{X_n^{5/3} (\log X_n)^{2/3} \inf Q'_n(\xi)} \leq \frac{c Q_n(aX_n) (\log X_n)^{1/3}}{Q_n(X_n) X_n^{2/3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (5.5)

$$\left| \left(-\frac{\phi_n}{P_n} \right)^{3/2} \frac{P'_n}{\phi_n} \right| \leq \frac{c (\log X_n)^{1/3}}{X_n^{2/3}}.$$

In this way we have proved (5.37) for $x \geq aX_n$. We can proceed

in the same way in the case $R \leq x \leq a^{-1}X_n$.

Next consider the case $X_n \leq x \leq aX_n$

Recall the proof of Lemma 5.2, then it follows from (5.24)

$$(5.47) \quad \frac{\phi_n''}{(\phi_n')^2} = -\frac{1}{2\phi_n} \left\{ \frac{2}{5} P_n'' (P_n')^{-2} P_n + S_n \right\}.$$

and

$$(5.48) \quad \phi_n^{-1} = - (P_n')^{3/2} P_n^{-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{4}{15} P_n'' (P_n')^{-2} P_n + o(S_n) \right. \\
\left. + o((P_n'')^2 (P_n')^{-4} P_n^2) \right\}.$$

Inserting (5.48) into (5.47), we find

$$(5.49) \quad \frac{\phi_n''}{(\phi_n')^2} = o(P_n'' (P_n')^{-4/3}) + o((P_n')^{2/3} P_n^{-1} S_n).$$

We carry out the estimates of the right side of (5.48)

$$(5.50) \quad \left| \frac{P_n''}{(P_n')^{4/3}} \right| = \left| \frac{Q_n''}{(Q_n')^{4/3}} \right| \leq \frac{C}{x Q_n'(x)^{1/3}} \\ \leq \frac{C}{X_n \inf Q_n'(x)^{1/3}}.$$

Then by (5.18) we find

$$(5.51) \quad \left| \frac{P_n''}{(P_n')^{4/3}} \right| \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/3}}{X_n^{2/3}}.$$

$$(5.52) \quad \left| \frac{(P_n')^{2/3} S_n}{P_n} \right| \leq \frac{Q_n'(x)^{2/3} |S_n|}{Q_n(x) - Q_n(X_n)} \\ \leq \frac{C (\sup Q_n'(x))^{2/3}}{(\inf Q_n'(\frac{x}{2}))} \frac{|S_n|}{(x - X_n)}.$$

By (5.18), (5.26) and (5.29), we have

$$\left| \frac{(P_n')^{2/3} S_n}{P_n} \right| \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/3}}{X_n^{5/3}} \frac{Q_n(aX_n)^{2/3}}{Q_n(X_n)} (x - X_n) \\ \leq \frac{C(\log X_n)^{1/3}}{X_n^{2/3}}.$$

In this way we have proved (5.40) in the case $X_n \leq x \leq aX_n$. We can treat the case $a^{-1}X_n \leq x \leq X_n$ in the same way and then we obtain the lemma.

Employing Lemma 5.5 and ^(Proposition) 5.4, we obtain the asymptotic form of

the derivative of Φ_n .

Theorem 5.6. The derivative of the solution to the problem (5.1) has the asymptotic form

$$(5.53) \quad \Phi_n'(x, \lambda) = \lambda^{1/3} \phi_n'(x, \lambda)^{1/2} \left\{ \text{Ai}'(\lambda^{1/3} \phi_n(x, \lambda)) + o(\lambda^{-1/3}) \right\}.$$

The Airy function $\text{Ai}(z)$ has the asymptotic form

$$(5.54) \quad \text{Ai}(-z) = \pi^{-1/2} z^{-1/4} \left\{ \cos \left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3/2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + o(z^{-3/2}) \right\}$$

$$(5.55) \quad \text{Ai}'(-z) = \pi^{-1/2} z^{-1/4} \left\{ \sin \left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3/2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + o(z^{-3/2}) \right\}$$

(see A. Erdélyi [6]) .

These asymptotic forms say that, for large n , $\text{Ai}(-z)$ has one and only one zero around $(\frac{3}{2}(n - \frac{1}{4})\pi)^{2/3}$. The next lemma tells that it is really the n -th zero of $\text{Ai}(-z)$.

Lemma 5.7. If n is sufficiently large, $\text{Ai}(-x)$ has exactly n zeros in the interval $0 < x < \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right) \pi \right\}^{2/3}$.

For the proof of the lemma, we notice $\text{Ai}(-z) = \frac{1}{3} z^{1/2} \left\{ J_{1/3}(\zeta) + J_{-1/3}(\zeta) \right\}$, where $J_\nu(\zeta)$ is the Bessel function of order ν and $\zeta = \frac{2}{3} z^{3/2}$. The location of zeros of $z^{1/2} \left\{ J_{1/3}(z) + J_{-1/3}(z) \right\}$ is well studied in § 7.9 of E. C. Titchmarsh [11]. It is known that there exist exactly n zeros in the interval $0 < x < \left(n + \frac{1}{4} \right) \pi$, for sufficiently large integer n . Then the lemma follows.

Now we are well prepared to show the asymptotic formula of the distribution of the eigenvalues. We can see easily that Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.6 together with (5.54), (5.55) and Lemma 5.7 are all of what we need to employ

the arguments in § 7.8 ~ 7.10 in E. C. Titchmarsh [11] and § 4 of F. Asakura [1].

We just follow the arguments there and then we obtain

Proposition 5.8. Let $N_n(\lambda)$ be the number of the eigenvalues of (2.1)

not exceeding λ . Then we have

$$(5.56) \quad N_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_R^{X_n} (\lambda - n^2 Q(x))^{1/2} dx + o(1)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, where the remainder estimate is valid uniformly in n .

Now we can carry out the proof of Theorem 0.3.

Proof of Theorem 0.3. Let $N(\lambda)$ be the number of the eigenvalues of the original problem (0.1) not exceeding λ . We observe

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(\lambda).$$

Since $N_n(\lambda) = 0$ for $n \geq \lambda^{1/2} Q(R)^{-1/2}$, the summation is in fact finite

and then we find

$$\begin{aligned} N(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{[\lambda^{1/2} Q(R)^{-1/2}]} N_n(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_R^{X_n} (\lambda - n^2 Q(x))^{1/2} dx + o(\lambda^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain the theorem.

For $Q(x) = (\log x)^{2k}$, it follows from above

$$(5.57) \quad N(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi}} \lambda^{1/2-1/4k} e^{\lambda^{1/2k}} \left\{ 1 + o(\lambda^{-1/2k}) \right\}.$$

Finally I would like to make an additional remark on the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in a unbounded domain. If $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ where G_1 is bounded and G_2 is represented as

$$G_2 = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x < \infty, 0 < y < \frac{1}{(\log x)^k} \right\},$$

then the large eigenvalues of the Laplace operator with ^{the} Dirichlet condition are expected to behave like the large eigenvalues of the operator considered as the example above. But in this case we merely obtain

Proposition 5.9. $N(\lambda)$ has the estimates

$$(5.58) \quad c_1 e^{(1-\varepsilon)\lambda^{1/2k}} \leq N(\lambda) \leq c_2 e^{(1+\varepsilon)\lambda^{1/2k}}$$

for any ε with certain constants c_1, c_2 depending on ε .

To show (5.58), we shall follow the notations in the proof of Theorem 4.6.

Set $Q(x) = \pi^2 (\log x)^{2k}$. Then it follows from (5.57)

$$(5.59) \quad \overline{C}(\lambda) \sim \underline{C}(\lambda) \sim \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi}} \lambda^{1/2-1/4k} e^{\lambda^{1/2k}}.$$

Inserting (5.59) into (4.11), we have

$$\lambda^{1/2-1/4k} \exp\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2k}\right) (1 + o(1)) \leq N(\lambda) \leq \lambda^{1/2-1/4k} \exp\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2k}\right) (1 + o(1)).$$

Then (5.58) follows.

6. In this section we carry out the proof of Theorem 0.2. We follow the proof of the Ikehara Tauberian theorem in D. V. Widder [13].

A function $f(x)$ defined in $(-\infty, \infty)$ is said to be slowly decreasing, if

$$\liminf \left\{ f(x + \delta) - f(x) \right\} = 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty, \delta \rightarrow 0, \delta > 0).$$

Let $K(x)$ be a smooth, positive and even function so that the Fourier transform

$K^*(\xi)$ is positive, even decreasing in $\xi \geq 0$ satisfying $K^*(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$,

$\text{supp } K^*(\xi) \subset [-1, 1]$. Set $K_\lambda(x) = \lambda K(\lambda x)$, then the Fourier trans-

form $K_\lambda^*(\xi)$ of $K_\lambda(x)$ is $K^*\left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda}\right)$. We can readily verify

Proposition 6.1 (Theorem 9, Chap. V, D. V. Widder [13]). Let $f(x)$

be bounded and slowly decreasing satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\lambda(x - t) f(t) dt = A \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = A.$$

Let $N(t)$ be non-negative, non-decreasing function and let

$$Z(u) = \int_1^{\infty} t^{-u} dN(t)$$

satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 0.2. Without loss of generality we may

assume $\sigma = 1$ and $N(1) = 0$. We set $t = e^s$. Since $N(e^s) =$

$o(e^{as})$ for any $a > 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} Z(a) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-as} dN(e^s) \\ &= a \int_0^\infty e^{-as} N(e^s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove the Tauberian theorem of the form

Theorem 6.2 (see D. V. Widder [13], Chap. V, Theorem 17). Let $N(x)$ be

non-negative and non-decreasing. If

$$(6.1) \quad L(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} N(x) dx$$

converges for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ and with $\rho \geq 0$

$$(6.2) \quad h(s) = L(s) - (s-1)^{-(1+\rho)} \sum_{n=0}^{[1+\rho]} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{nj} (s-1)^n (\log(s-1))^j$$

can be extended to a continuous function in $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1$, then $N(t)$ has the

asymptotic behavior

$$(6.3) \quad N(t) \sim \frac{A_{00}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} e^{t\rho} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We may assume $\rho > 0$. Because we can skip directly to (6.8), if $\rho = 0$

For $1 < t < A$, $x > 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{2A} e^{-xs} (s-t)^{\rho-1} ds &= \int_t^\infty - \int_{2A}^\infty \\ &= \Gamma(\rho) x^{-\rho} e^{-xt} - e^{-xt} \int_{A-t}^\infty e^{-xu} u^{\rho-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that the both sides of above make sense for $1 < \operatorname{Re} t < A$, $x > 0$.

Then we have

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_t^{2A} e^{-xs} (s-t)^{\rho-1} ds = x^{-\rho} e^{-xt} + e^{-2Ax} G_A(x, t)$$

where $G_A(x, t)$ is continuous and uniformly bounded in $1 < x < \infty$, $1 < \operatorname{Re} t$

$< A$ and the integral is taken along the path satisfying $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \operatorname{Re} t$.

In a similar fashion we have

$$(6.5) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_t^{2A} (s-t)^{\rho-1} (s-1)^{-1-\rho} ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\rho)(t-1)} + H_A(t),$$

where $H_A(t)$ is continuous for $1 \leq \operatorname{Re} t \leq A$. We find that the integral

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_t^{2A} (s-t)^{\rho-1} (s-1)^{n-\rho-1} (\log(s-1))^m ds$$

converges for $1 \leq \operatorname{Re} t \leq A$ and continuous there, if $n \geq 2$. When $n = 1$,

we can see that the n principal part of (6.6) as $t \rightarrow 1$ is $\sum_{j=1,2} B_j (\log(t-1))^j$.

Then we find (6.6) is expressed as

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{j=1,2} B_j (\log(t-1))^j + H_A(t),$$

where $H_A(t)$ is continuous for $1 \leq \operatorname{Re} t \leq A$ and $B_j = 0$ ($j = 1, 2$) for

$n \geq 2$.

For $\operatorname{Re} t > 1$, multiplying to (6.2) $\Gamma(\rho)^{-1} (s-t)^{\rho-1}$ and integrating

from t to $2A$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.8) \quad f(t) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} x^{-\rho} N(x) dx \\
&= \frac{A_{00}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)(t-1)} + \sum_{n=1,2} c_n (\log(t-1))^n + h_A(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_A(t)$ is continuous in $1 \leq \operatorname{Re} t \leq A$. Set

$$a(t) = e^{-t} t^{-\rho} N(t) \chi_+(t),$$

$$A(t) = \frac{A_{00}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \chi_+(t)$$

where $\chi_+(t)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $(0, \infty)$. Then it

follows from the hypotheses that

$$e^{-\xi t} a(t) = e^{-(1+\xi)t} t^{-\rho} N(t) \chi_+(t)$$

is a summable function in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}^{(\xi)}(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(x-t)(a(t) - A(t))e^{-\xi t} dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(\xi) e^{-i(x-t)} d\xi \right) (a(t) - A(t)) e^{-\xi t} dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(\xi) e^{-ix\xi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (a(t) - A(t)) e^{-\xi t + i\xi t} dt \right) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (a(t) - A(t)) e^{-\xi t + i\xi t} dt \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(1+\xi)t + i\xi t} t^{-\rho} N(t) dt - \frac{A_{00}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\xi t + i\xi t} dt \\
&= f(1+\xi - i\xi) - \frac{A_{00}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)(\xi - i\xi)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1,2} c_n (\log(\xi - i\xi))^n + h_A(1+\xi - i\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

and $\text{supp } K_{\lambda}(\xi) \subset [-\lambda, \lambda]$, we find

$$I_{\lambda}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} K_{\lambda}(\xi) e^{-ix\xi} \left\{ \sum_{n=1,2} c_n (\log(\varepsilon - i\xi))^n + h_A(1 + \varepsilon - i\xi) \right\} d\xi$$

We observe that $h_A(1 + \varepsilon - i\xi)$ is uniformly bounded for $|\xi| \leq \lambda$,

$0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $h_A(1 + \varepsilon - i\xi)$ converges to $h_A(1 - i\xi)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(\varepsilon - i\xi)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\log(\varepsilon^2 + \xi^2)| + \frac{\pi}{2} \\ &\leq C \max\{\log|\xi|, 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\log(\varepsilon - i\xi)$ is dominated by a summable function which does not depend on ε .

Then it follows from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{\lambda}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} K_{\lambda}(\xi) e^{-ix\xi} \left\{ \sum_{n=1,2} c_n (\log(i\xi))^n + h_A(1 - i\xi) \right\} d\xi.$$

Set $I_{\lambda}(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{\lambda}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)$. Then we can express with a summable function

Φ that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}(x) &= \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \Phi(\xi) e^{-ix\xi} d\xi \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(x-t)(a(t) - A(t)) e^{-\varepsilon t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(x-t) a(t) e^{-\varepsilon t} dt \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{\lambda}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) + \frac{A_{00}}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(x-t) e^{-\varepsilon t} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= I_{\lambda}(x) + \frac{A_{\infty}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \int_{-\infty}^x K_{\lambda}(y) dy .$$

Since $K_{\lambda}(x-y)a(t)e^{-\xi t}$ is positive, increasing in ξ and converging to

$K_{\lambda}(x-t)a(t)$, then it follows from the Beppo-Levi theorem

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}(x) &= \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \Phi\left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda}\right) e^{-ix\xi} d\xi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(x-t)(a(t) - A(t)) dt . \end{aligned}$$

Employing the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, we find

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(x-t)(a(t) - A(t)) dt = 0 ,$$

which shows

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\lambda}(x-t)a(t) dt = \frac{A_{\infty}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)}$$

We can easily verify that $a(t)$ is bounded and slowly decreasing. Then it

follows from Proposition 6.1 that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} t^{-\rho} N(t) = \frac{A_{\infty}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} .$$

School of Economics

Otemon-Gakuin University

OSAKA

References

- [1] F. Asakura, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. Vol.19, 583-599, (1979).
- [2] F. Asakura, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. Vol. 21, 593-598, (1981).
- [3] F. Asakura, to appear Otemon Keizai Ron-shū, Vol. 17 (in Japanese).
- [4] R. Courant-D. Hilbert, Methoden der Mathematischen Physik, Band I,
Springer (1931).
- [5] R. Courant-D. Hilbert, ibid. Band II, Springer (1937).
- [6] A. S. Erdélyi, Asymptotic Expansions, Dover (1959).
- [7] D. S. Jones, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 49, 668-684, (1953).
- [8] A. G. Kostyuchenko-B. M. Levitan, Funct. Anal. Appl. Vol. 1, 75-83, (1967).
- [9] F. Rellich, Studies and Essays Presented to R. Courant, 329-344, (1948).
- [10] H. Tamura, Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 60, 7-33, (1976).
- [11] E. C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunction Expansions, Vol. 1, 2nd Ed. Oxford (1962).
- [12] E. C. Titchmarsh, ibid. Vol. 2, Oxford (1958).
- [13] D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton Univ. Press (1941) .