

GO-spaces and orderability of compactifications

東京学芸大学 田中祥雄 (Yoshio Tanaka)

In this paper, we give some characterizations for certain compactifications of GO-spaces to be orderable by means of "cuts" in GO-spaces.

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a linearly ordered set. Then, a *linearly ordered topological space* (abbreviated LOTS) is a triple $(X, \tau(\leq), \leq)$, where $\tau(\leq)$ is the usual *order topology* (i.e., open-interval topology) by the order $\leq$. Also, $(X, \tau, \leq)$, τ is a topology on X , is a *generalized ordered space* (abbreviated GO-space) if (i) $\lambda(\leq) \subset \tau$; and (ii) every point of X has a local τ -base consisting of (possibly degenerate) intervals of X . For a space (X, τ) , there exists a linear order $\leq$ of X such that $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is a GO-space iff it is a (closed) subspace of a LOTS; see [L].

A space X is *orderable* (resp. *suborderable*) if X is homeomorphic to a LOTS (resp. GO-space) [N]. Thus, a space X is orderable iff the topology of X coincides with the order topology by some linear order of X [VRS].

For a space X , a compactification $c(X)$ of X is a compact space such that X is homeomorphic to a dense subset of $c(X)$. We call a compactification $c(X)$ of X *orderable* if the topology of $c(X)$ is the order topology by some order of $c(X)$.

If no confusion, for a GO-space (or LOTS) $(X, \tau, \leq)$, we shall omit " τ " or " $\leq$ ". Also, we shall sometimes use "LOTS" (resp. "GO-spaces") instead of "orderable spaces" (resp. "suborderable spaces").

Let $(X, \tau, \leq)$ be a GO-space. Let us consider a space Y containing a subspace (X, τ) such that the order $\leq$ on X can be so extended to some linear order $\preceq$ on Y as to yield the given topology of Y as the order topology $\tau(\preceq)$ by $\preceq$. Then, we say that Y is a *linearly ordered extension* of X . Also, let us call a compactification $c(X)$ of X a *linearly ordered compactification* of X if $c(X)$ is a linearly ordered extension of X . (When a GO-space $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is homeomorphic to a dense subspace D of $c(X)$ under a map f , we shall consider a GO-space $(D, f(\tau), \leq_f)$ instead of $(X, \tau, \leq)$, here $f(\tau) = \{f(G) : G \in \tau\}$, and $d <_f d'$ if $x < x'$ for $d = f(x)$, $d' = f(x')$).

Let $(X, \tau, \leq)$ be a GO-space, and $\lambda = \tau(\leq)$ be the order topology on X defined by $\leq$. Let $R = \{x \in X : [x, +\infty) \in \tau - \lambda\}$, and $L = \{x \in X :$

$(-\infty, x] \in \tau - \lambda\}$, and Z be the set of all integers.

Define subsets X^* and $\widetilde{X}$ of $X \times Z$ as follows. Let X^* ; $\widetilde{X}$ be a LOTS having the order topology by the lexicographic order on X^* ; $\widetilde{X}$ respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} X^* &= (X \times \{0\}) \cup \{\langle x, n \rangle : x \in R, n < 0\} \cup \{\langle x, m \rangle : x \in L, m > 0\}. \\ \widetilde{X} &= (X \times \{0\}) \cup (R \times \{-1\}) \cup (L \times \{1\}). \end{aligned}$$

If X is not a LOTS, then $\widetilde{X}$ is not a subspace of X^* , and X^* is not a linearly ordered extension of $\widetilde{X}$ under the natural correspondence. For X^* ; $\widetilde{X}$, see [L] (or [N]); [MK] respectively.

Remark 1. (1) For a GO-space X , X^* (resp. $\widetilde{X}$) is a minimal (in the sense of inclusion) linearly ordered extension of X containing X as a closed (resp. dense) subset [L] (resp. [MK]).

(2) For the Sorgenfrey line S , S is separable, and perfect (i.e., every closed subset is a G_δ -set), and so is $\widetilde{S}$. But, S^* is neither separable nor perfect ([L]).

We note that there exists a perfect, GO-space X , but X has no perfect, linearly ordered extensions containing X as a closed or dense subset ([MK]).

(3) For a GO-space X , if X is metrizable; first countable; locally compact; paracompact, then so is X^* respectively ([L]). But, $\widetilde{X}$ need not be metrizable even if X is a discrete, GO-space ([MK]).

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a linearly ordered set. A pair $(A|B)$ of subsets of X is called a *cut* of X , if $X = A \cup B$, $A \neq \emptyset$, $B \neq \emptyset$, and if $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, then $x < y$.

For every cut $(A|B)$ of X , exactly one of the following four cases arises. A cut $(A|B)$ is a *jump* if it satisfies (C1), and a *gap* if it satisfies (C4); see ([E]). Note that, for cuts $(A|B)$ and $(C|D)$ of X , $A \subset C$ or $C \subset A$.

- (C1) There exist *Max* A and *min* B .
- (C2) There exists *Max* A , but no *min* B .
- (C3) There exists *min* B , but no *Max* A .
- (C4) There exists neither *Max* A nor *min* B .

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a GO-space. A cut $(A|B)$ of X is called a *pseudo-gap* if A and B are disjoint *open sets* satisfying (C2) or (C3); see [N]. We note that a GO-space $(X, \leq)$ is a LOTS iff $(X, \leq)$ has no pseudo-gaps.

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a GO-space. Define a subset $X^\sim$ of $X \times \{0, \pm 1\}$ by

$$X^\sim = (X \times \{0\}) \cup \{\langle \text{Max} A, 1 \rangle : (A|B) \text{ is a pseudo-gap of } X \text{ having } \text{Max} A\} \cup \{\langle \text{min} B, -1 \rangle : (A|B) \text{ is a pseudo-gap of } X \text{ having } \text{min} B\}.$$

Let $X^\sim$ be a LOTS having the order topology defined by the lexicographic order on $X^\sim$. Then $\widetilde{X} = X^\sim$.

For a LOTS $(X, \leq)$, define

$$X^+ = X \cup \{c = (A|B) : c \text{ is a gap of } X\} \cup \{\pm\infty\}.$$

Let X^+ be a LOTS having the order topology by a linear order $\preceq$ on X^+ as follows: (i) For a gap $c = (A|B)$, $a \prec c$ for all $a \in A$, and $c \prec b$ for all $b \in B$; and (ii) For gaps $c = (A|B)$ and $c' = (A'|B')$, $c \prec c'$ if $A \subset A'$ and $A \neq A'$. Also, let $-\infty \prec x$ and $x \prec +\infty$ for all $x \in X$, but put $-\infty = \min X$ if $\min X$ exists, and put $+\infty = \max X$ if $\max X$ exists.

For a GO-space $(X, \leq)$, X^+ is defined by the closure of X in $(X^*)^+$. Then, $X^+ = (X^+, \tau(\preceq), \preceq)$ is a linearly ordered compactification of X . See [N; Example VIII.3]. X^+ is called *Dedekind compactification* of X .

Let $(X^\sim)^+ = X^\sim \cup \{\langle \alpha, 0 \rangle : \alpha = (A|B) \text{ is a gap of } X\} \cup \{\langle \pm\infty, 0 \rangle\}$ be a subset of $X^+ \times \{0, \pm 1\}$. Let $(X^\sim)^+$ be a LOTS having the order topology by the lexicographic order on $(X^\sim)^+$. Then, $X^+ = (X^\sim)^+ = (\widetilde{X})^+$, so X^+ is a linearly ordered compactification of $\widetilde{X}$.

Remark 2. For a GO-space $(X, \leq)$, a compact LOTS ℓX was defined in [K1] as the *minimal* linearly ordered compactification of X in the following sense: For each linearly ordered compactification L of X , there exists a continuous map $f : L \rightarrow \ell X$ such that $f|X$ is the identity map on X . (In [K1], ℓX is used in the study on normality of products of GO-spaces and cardinals). We can assume that $X^+ = \ell X$ (in view of [K1]).

For a space X , let us consider the following compactifications of X .

$\alpha(X)$: Alexandroff's one-point compactification.

$\beta(X)$: Stone-Ćech compactification.

X^+ : Dedekind compactification, but X is a GO-space.

The following facts are well-known. See [E] or [N], for example.

Fundamental Facts: (1) Every GO-space is hereditarily (collectionwise) normal, and hereditarily countably paracompact.

(2) For a LOTS $(X, \leq)$, X is compact $\Leftrightarrow X$ has no gaps, and there exist $\min X$ and $\max X \Leftrightarrow$ For every $A \subset X$, there exists $\sup A$, here $\sup \emptyset = \min X$, and $\sup X = \max X$.

(3) For a LOTS $(X, \leq)$, X is connected $\Leftrightarrow X$ has no jumps and no gaps.

(4) For a GO-space $(X, \tau, \leq)$, $\tau = \tau(\leq)$ if X is compact or connected.

Example 1. (1) (i) Let $X = (0, 1) \cup \{2\}$ be a space with the usual topology. Then, X is a GO-space which is the topological sum of LOTS $(0, 1)$ and $\{2\}$.

But, X is not orderable.

(ii) None of the following subspaces of the Euclidean plane is suborderable: The circle S^1 ; The square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$; The space obtained from the topological sum of n (≥ 3) many intervals $[0, 1]$ by identifying all zero-points.

(2) The Sorgenfrey line and the Michael line are GO-spaces, but none of them is orderable (in view of [L]).

(3) (i) Let $X = \{0\} \cup (1, 2]$ be a space with the usual topology. Hence X is a GO-space, but not a LOTS by the usual order. While, X is orderable by the usual order $\leq$, but let $x < 0$ for all $x \in (1, 2]$.

(ii) Let $Y = ([0, \omega_1], \leq)$, where $\leq$ is the usual order. Let τ be the topology on Y obtained from the order topology by isolating every countable limit ordinal. Then, $(Y, \tau, \leq)$ is a GO-space, but not a LOTS. While, (Y, τ) is orderable by the lexicographic order on $([0, \omega_1) \times \mathbb{Z}) \cup \{(\omega_1, 0)\}$ ([L]).

(4) (i) Let X be the unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, and define the order topology on X by the the lexicographic order. Then, as is well-known, X is a first countable, compact, connected LOTS, but X is not separable, hence not metrizable.

(ii) Let Y be $[0, 1] \times \{0, 1\}$, and define the order topology on Y by the lexicographic order. Then, as is well-known, Y is a first countable, compact, separable LOTS, but Y is not metrizable.

Remark 3. (1) Related to (1) of Example 1, the following modifications hold: (i) Let Y be a topological sum of a connected LOTS $(X, \leq)$ and a point p . Then Y is suborderable, and Y is orderable iff $\text{Max } X$ or $\text{min } X$ exists. (ii) Any connected space X with $|X| \geq 2$ is not orderable if $X - \{p\}$ is connected for any point $p \in X$, or $X - \{q\}$ has at least three components for some point $q \in X$.

(2) Let X be suborderable. Then X is orderable if X is a topological group ([LiSaT]), or X is a metrizable space which is totally disconnected (i.e., any connected subset of X is a singleton).

(3) ([VRS]) If $X \times Y$ is suborderable, then X is totally disconnected, or Y is discrete. Conversely, for any orderable (resp. suborderable) space X , $X \times Y$ is so respectively if Y is discrete. While, even if $X \times Y$ is orderable with Y discrete, X need not be orderable. (In fact, let X be the space $(0, 1) \cup \{2\}$ in Example 1(1), and let Y be a countably infinite discrete space).

Proposition 1. Let X be a GO-space. If X is separable metrizable, then X^* , and X^+ are separable metrizable, hence so is $\bar{X}$.

Corollary 2. Let $(X, \leq)$ be a GO-space. If X is separable metrizable, then X has at most countably many jumps and pseudo-gaps.

Remark 4. (1) Let X be a separable metrizable space. Then, as is well-known, $\alpha(X)$ is metrizable if X is locally compact, but, $\beta(X)$ is not even first countable if X is not compact.

(2) For a compactification Y of a space X , if Y is first countable, then $|Y| \leq c = 2^\omega$ (thus, $|X| \leq c$).

Proposition 3. For a LOTS $(X, \leq)$, the following are equivalent.

- (a) $\alpha(X)$ is a linearly ordered compactification of $(X, \leq)$.
- (b) One of the following (i), (ii), and (iii) holds.
 - (i) X has no gaps, and there exists $\min X$, but no $\max X$.
 - (ii) X has no gaps, and there exists $\max X$, but no $\min X$.
 - (iii) X has only one gap, and there exist $\min X$ and $\max X$.
- (c) $\alpha(X) = X^+$.

Remark 5. The linearly ordered extension for $\alpha(X)$ in Proposition 3 is essential (by Example 2 below).

Example 2. Let $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Let N be a LOTS $(N, \leq)$ with the usual order $\leq$. Let $X = (N, \preceq)$ be a LOTS, but the order $\preceq$ is defined as follows: $\dots \prec 4 \prec 2 \prec 1 \prec 3 \prec 5 \prec \dots$. Then, $\alpha(N) = N^+$, but a linearly ordered compactification $\alpha(X)$ of $X = (N, \preceq)$ doesn't exist (by Proposition 3). While, $N \cong X$, so $\alpha(N) \cong \alpha(X)$, but $N^+ \not\cong X^+$. Hence, $\alpha(X)$ is orderable, but $\alpha(X) \not\cong X^+$.

Proposition 4. ([VRS]) Let Y be a space having a dense subset X . If Y is suborderable, then the following hold.

- (1) If $|X| \geq \omega$, then the character $\chi(Y) \leq |X|$, and $|Y| \leq 2^{|X|}$.
- (2) If X is connected, then Y is connected and $|Y - X| \leq 2$.

The following lemma is shown by referring to [E; 6.3.2].

Lemma 5. (1) Let X be a separable connected, compact space. If X is orderable, then X is homeomorphic to the closed unit interval $[a, b]$ in the Euclidean line $\mathbf{R}$.

(2) Let X be a separable connected space. If X is orderable, then X is homeomorphic to an interval of $\mathbf{R}$.

(3) Let X be a separable metrizable space. If X is suborderable, then X is homeomorphic to a subspace of $\mathbf{R}$.

Remark 6. (1) Not every separable compact LOTS is metrizable, also, not every compact connected LOTS is metrizable (by Example 1(4)).

(2) As is well-known, every separable suborderable space X is first countable, hereditarily separable, hereditarily Lindelöf, and $|X| \leq 2^\omega$.

Remark 7. (1) For a separable connected LOTS $(X, \leq)$, $X \cong \mathbf{R} \Leftrightarrow X$ has no Maximal point and no minimal point $\Leftrightarrow X$ is a topological group.

(2) Let $(K, +, \times)$ be a *field*, here $(K, +)$ is an additive Abelian group, and $(K, \times)$ is a multiplicative Abelian group with respect to $K - \{0\}$. Then, K with a linearly order $\leq$ on K is called an *ordered field* if it is a LOTS $(K, \tau(\leq), \leq)$ satisfying: For any $a, b, c \in K$, $a < b \Rightarrow a + c < b + c$; and $a < b$ and $c > 0 \Rightarrow a \times c < b \times c$. An order field $(K, \leq)$ is *Archimedean* if, for each $a, b (> 0) \in K$, there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ with $a < n \times b$. Every Archimedean order field is a separable metrizable LOTS, thus it is homeomorphic to a subspace of $\mathbf{R}$ (by Lemma 5(3)).

Let $(K, \tau(\leq), \leq)$ be an ordered field. For $x \in K$, define the *absolute value* $|x|$ by $|x| = x$ if $x \geq 0$, and $|x| = -x$ if $x < 0$. Then, $\{V_\varepsilon(a) : a, \varepsilon \in K \text{ with } \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a base for the order topology $\tau(\leq)$, here $V_\varepsilon(a) = \{x \in K : |x - a| < \varepsilon\}$. For a function $f : K$ (or $[a, b] \subset K$) $\rightarrow K$, using absolute values, the following can be defined by the same way as in $\mathbf{R}$: f is *bounded*, *continuous*, *differentiable*, or *integrable*.

Let $K = (K, \tau(\leq), \leq)$ be an ordered field. Let us say that K is a *real number field* if it has no gaps (i.e., K is connected). As is well-known, every real number field is isomorphic, hence, homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$ (by (1)). We know many equivalent conditions for K to be $\mathbf{R}$ (for example, every upper bounded subset A of K has $\sup A$). Besides, we have the following equivalences by means of cuts of K . Here, a *map* means a *continuous* function defined on a closed interval $[a, b]$ in K .

(Theorem): ([T2]) For an ordered field K , K is $\mathbf{R} \Leftrightarrow$ Any *map* to K is bounded and K is Archimedean $\Leftrightarrow$ Any *map* to $\mathbf{R}$ is bounded $\Leftrightarrow$ For any *map* f to K (or $\mathbf{R}$), $f([a, b])$ has the Maximal (minimal) value $\Leftrightarrow$ For any *map* f to K (or $\mathbf{R}$), $f([a, b]) = [f(a), f(b)]$ if $f(a) \leq f(b) \Leftrightarrow$ Any differentiable *map* to K satisfies the Rolle's theorem $\Leftrightarrow$ Any bounded *map* to K is integrable.

Proposition 6. For a space X , the following are equivalent.

- (a) X is a locally separable, metrizable, suborderable space.
- (b) X is the topological sum of subspaces of $\mathbf{R}$.

Proposition 7. Let X be a separable connected space, and let $c(X)$ be a compactification of X . Then, (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b), and (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) hold.

- (a) $c(X)$ is orderable.
- (b) $c(X) \cong [0, 1]$.
- (c) X is homeomorphic to an interval of $\mathbf{R}$, and $|c(X) - X| \leq 2$

Remark 8. The implication (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) (or (b)) in Proposition 7 doesn't hold. (In fact, put $c(\mathbf{R}) = \alpha(\mathbf{R})$, then $|c(\mathbf{R}) - \mathbf{R}| = 1$, but $c(\mathbf{R}) \cong S^1$ is not orderable (by Example 1(1))).

Lemma 8. ([Sh]) Let $(X, \leq)$ and $(Y, \preceq)$ be connected LOTS. For a homeomorphism $f : X \cong Y$, (a) or (b) below holds.

- (a) For all $x, y \in X$, $x < y$ iff $f(x) \prec f(y)$.
- (b) For all $x, y \in X$, $x < y$ iff $f(y) \prec f(x)$.

Theorem 9. ([Sh]) Let X be a connected LOTS, and let $c(X)$ be a compactification of X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) $c(X)$ is orderable.
- (b) $c(X) \cong X^+ (= X \cup \{\pm\infty\})$

Remark 9. (1) The connectedness of X in Theorem 9 is essential. (In fact, for a case $c(X) = \alpha(X)$ (resp. $c(X) = \beta(X)$), see Example 2 (resp. Example 3(2))).

(2) Let $c(X)$ be a linearly ordered compactification of a connected LOTS X such that $|c(X) - X| \leq 2$. If $c(X) = \beta(X)$, then $c(X)$ is orderable (by Corollary 19), however, if $c(X) = \alpha(X)$, $c(X)$ need not be orderable (by Remark 8).

For the following lemma, refer to [GJ], [E], or [T1]. Recall that a space X has countable tightness (abbreviated $t(X) \leq \omega$) if, whenever $x \in clA$, there exists a countable subset C of A with $x \in clC$.

Lemma 10. (1) Let X be a normal space. If X is not countably compact, then $\beta(X) - X$ contains a copy of $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ as well as $\beta(\mathbb{N}) - \mathbb{N}$.

(2) $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ is neither hereditarily normal nor hereditarily countably paracompact, in particular, $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ is not orderable. Also, $|\beta(\mathbb{N})| = 2^c$ ($c = 2^\omega$), and $t(\beta(\mathbb{N})) > \omega$.

Lemma 11. For a suborderable space X , as is known, the following hold.

- (1) If X is countably compact, then X is sequentially compact.
- (2) If $t(X) \leq \omega$, then X is first countable, thus, every countably compact subset is closed.

Proposition 12. ([VRS]) Let $\beta(X)$ be orderable. Then X is countably compact, hence sequentially compact.

Corollary 13. Let $\beta(X)$ be orderable. Then X is compact if (a) or (b) below holds. (For F -spaces and P -spaces, see [GJ]).

- (a) $\beta(X)$ has countable tightness.
- (b) X satisfies one of the following properties: Paracompact space; Realcompact space; Separable space; F -space; P -space.

For a GO-space $(X, \leq)$, define a subset $X^\sharp$ of $X^+ \times \{0, \pm 1\}$ by the following. Let $X^\sharp$ be a LOTS having the order topology defined by the lexicographic

order on $X^\sharp$.

$X^\sharp = (X \times \{0\}) \cup \{\langle \text{Max}A, 1 \rangle : (A|B) \text{ is a pseudo-gap of } X \text{ having } \text{Max}A\} \cup \{\langle \text{min}B, -1 \rangle : (A|B) \text{ is a pseudo-gap of } X \text{ having } \text{min}B\} \cup \{\langle c, 1 \rangle, \langle c, -1 \rangle : c = (A|B) \text{ is a gap of } X\} \cup \{\langle \pm\infty, 0 \rangle\}$.

Namely, $X^\sharp = \widetilde{X} \cup \{\langle c, 1 \rangle, \langle c, -1 \rangle : c = (A|B) \text{ is a gap of } X\} \cup \{\langle \pm\infty, 0 \rangle\}$. Obviously, if X has no gaps, $X^\sharp = X^+$. If X has a gap, then $X^\sharp$ is not *minimal* (in the sense of Remark 2).

Proposition 14. Let $(X, \leq)$ be a GO-space. Then the following hold.

- (1) $X^\sharp$ and X^+ are linearly ordered compactifications of X , as well as $\widetilde{X}$.
- (2) $X^\sharp$ is connected $\Leftrightarrow \beta(X)$ is connected $\Leftrightarrow X$ is connected. While, X^+ is connected $\Leftrightarrow X$ has no jumps and no pseudo-gaps.
- (3) $X^\sharp$ is metrizable $\Leftrightarrow X$ is a separable metrizable space having at most countably many gaps $\Leftrightarrow X$ is a separable metrizable space with $|X^\sharp - X| \leq \omega$.

Lemma 15. For a countably compact GO-space $(X, \leq)$, the following (1) and (2) hold.

- (1) For every continuous real-valued function f on X , there exist $a, b \in X$ with $a \leq b$ such that f is constant on $R_b = \{x \in X : x \geq b\}$, and on $L_a = \{x \in X : x \leq a\}$.
- (2) Every continuous real-valued function f on X can be continuously extendable over $X^\sharp$ (hence, $\beta(X) \cong X^\sharp$).

(In fact, for (1), assuming X has no Maximal point, we show that each real valued function f on X is constant on some R_b as in the poof of the Vickery's result on the ordinal space $[0, \omega_1]$ (see [D; p.81], etc.). For (2), note that for a cut $c = (A|B)$ of X , A and B are clopen in X (so, they are countably compact GO-spaces) if c is a gap, a pseudo-gap, or a jump. Then, using (1), we can define a continuous extension F of f over $X^\sharp$ naturally).

Theorem 16¹. Let $(X, \leq)$ be a GO-space. Then following are equivalent.

- (a) $\beta(X)$ is orderable.
- (b) X is countably compact (equivalently, sequentially compact).
- (c) $\beta(X) \cong X^\sharp$.
- (d) $\beta(X)$ is a linear ordered compactification of X .
- (e) $\beta(\widetilde{X})$ is orderable with $\beta(X) \cong \beta(\widetilde{X})$.
- (f) $\beta(X) \cong \beta(\widetilde{X}) \cong X^\sharp$.

¹S. Purisch [P] (resp. R. Kaufman [Ka]) has already proved that the equivalence (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) for a GO-space (resp. LOTS) holds by a different proof.

Corollary 17. For a GO-space X , let $R(X) = \beta(X) - X$ be the remainder of $\beta(X)$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) $\beta(X)$ is orderable.
- (b) $R(X)$ is suborderable.
- (c) $R(X)$ is hereditarily normal.
- (d) $R(X)$ is hereditarily countably paracompact.
- (e) $R(X)$ contains no copy of $\beta(\mathbb{N})$.

Corollary 18. For a GO-space X , $\beta(X)$ is orderable if $R(X)$ satisfies one of the following properties: $|R(X)| < 2^c$; $t(R(X)) \leq \omega$; Each point of $R(X)$ is a G_δ -set in $R(X)$.

Corollary 19. For a connected LOTS X , the following are equivalent.

- (a) $\beta(X)$ is orderable.
- (b) $|R(X)| \leq 2$.
- (c) $\beta(X) \cong X^+ (= X \cup \{\pm\infty\})$.

Corollary 20. For a GO-space X , $\beta(X) \cong X^+ \Leftrightarrow X$ is a countably compact space with $X^\# \cong X^+$.

Corollary 21. For GO-spaces $(X, \leq)$ and $(Y, \preceq)$ with $X \cong Y$, if X is countably compact, then $X^\# \cong Y^\#$.

Remark 10. (1) In Theorem 16, even if $\beta(\widetilde{X})$ is orderable with $\beta(\widetilde{X}) \cong X^\#$, $\beta(X)$ need not be orderable (by Example 3(1)). Note that, for a GO-space Y , if $\beta(Y)$ is orderable, then so is $\beta(\widetilde{Y})$, but the converse doesn't hold.

(2) In Corollary 17, we can replace " $R(X)$ " by " $\beta(X)$ ". We can't omit "hereditarily" in (c) and (d). (In fact, let X be a GO-space which is locally compact, in particular, connected, but X is not countably compact).

(3) (i) Related to Corollary 18, as a special case, the following holds².

For $|R(X)| = 1$, X is *orderable* iff $\beta(X)$ is orderable. But, for $|R(X)| = 2$, the "if" part need not hold (by Example 3(2)).

(ii) For Corollary 18, even if $|R(X)| = 2^c$, $\beta(X)$ need not be orderable. (In fact, let X be a GO-space which is separable, but not compact).

The author has the following question³: Is there a GO-space X such that $|R(X)| = 2^c$, but $\beta(X)$ is orderable (equivalently, X is countably compact)?

(4) In Corollary 19, for the implications (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) or (c), and (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c), the connectedness of X is essential (by Example 3(2)). Also, in Corollary 21, the countable compactness of X is essential (by Example 2).

²K. Miyazaki announced this fact (with a different proof).

³N. Kemoto gave an affirmative answer to this question (in general, for any cardinal κ with $cf\kappa \geq \omega_2$, there exists a countably compact GO-space X with $|R(X)| = \kappa$) in [K2].

Example 3. (1) Let $X = [0, 1] \cup (2, 3]$. Then X is a GO-space by the usual topology (also, X is orderable). Then, $\widetilde{X} = X^\# = X^+ = X \cup \{\langle 1, 1 \rangle\}$ is a compact LOTS. Thus, $\beta(\widetilde{X}) = X^\#$ is orderable. But, $\beta(X)$ is not orderable (by Proposition 11).

(2) Let Ω be the Long line; that is, Ω is a space $(\Omega, \tau(\leq), \leq)$ obtained by replacing all jumps in the ordinal space $[0, \omega_1)$ by the closed intervals, where $\leq$ is the obvious order. Then Ω is a connected and countably compact LOTS, but Ω is neither separable nor compact. Also, $\alpha(\Omega) \cong \beta(\Omega) \cong \Omega^+ = \Omega \cup \{+\infty\}$ (by means of Lemma 15).

(i) Define $(-\Omega)$ by a LOTS $(\Omega, \tau(\leq'), \leq')$, but $\leq'$ is defined as follows: $x' <' x$ if $x < x'$. Let $\Sigma = (-\Omega) \cup \Omega$ be a LOTS defined by an order $\preceq$: $x \prec x'$ if $x <' x'$ in $(-\Omega)$, $y \prec y'$ if $y < y'$ in Ω , and $x \prec y$ if $x \in (-\Omega)$ and $y \in \Omega$. Then, Σ is a countably compact, connected space having no Maximal point and no minimal point. Let T be the topological sum of $(\Sigma, \preceq)$ and a point $+\infty$. Let τ be the topology of the space T , and define the obvious order $\preceq'$ of T with the Maximal point $+\infty$. Then $(T, \tau, \preceq')$ is a countably compact GO-space which is not orderable, and $\beta(T) \cong T^+ = T \cup \{\pm\infty\} \cup \{\langle +\infty, -1 \rangle\}$ (hence, $|R(T)| = 2$).

(ii) For $n \in N$ ($n \neq 1$), let X be the topological sum of n many LOTS $(\Sigma, \preceq)$. Then X is a countably compact disconnected LOTS having gaps but no jumps. Then, X^+ is a connected space with $|X^+ - X| = n + 1$. While, $\beta(X)$ is a disconnected space with $|\beta(X) - X| = 2n$. Thus, $\beta(X)$ is orderable such that $|\beta(X) - X| = 2n$ ($|X^+ - X| = n + 1$), but $\beta(X) \not\cong X^+$.

(iii) Let $\Gamma = \Omega \cup (-\Omega)$ be a LOTS defined by a similar way as Σ . Then Γ is a countably compact space having only one gap $\omega_1 = (\Omega | (-\Omega))$ and no jumps. Then, $\Gamma^+ = \Gamma \cup \{\omega_1\}$ is connected. While, $\beta(\Gamma) \cong \Gamma \cup \{\langle \Omega, \pm 1 \rangle\}$ is disconnected. Hence, $\beta(\Gamma)$ is orderable, but $\beta(\Gamma) \not\cong \Gamma^+$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professors N. Kemoto and T. Miwa for their valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [D] J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1967.
- [E] R. Engelking, General Topology, PWN-Polish Scientific Pub. Warszawa, 1977.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of continuous functions, van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
- [Ka] R. Kaufman, Ordered sets and compact spaces, Colloquim Math., 17(1967), 35-39.

- [K1] N. Kemoto, Normality of products of GO-spaces and cardinals, *Topology Proc.*, 18(1993), 133-142.
- [K2] N. Kemoto, personal communication.
- [LiSaT], C. Liu, M. Sakai, and Y. Tanaka, Orderability of topological groups and biradial spaces, to appear in *Questions and Answers in General Topology*, 19(2001).
- [L] D. J. Lutzer, On generalized ordered spaces, *Dissertationes Math.*, Warszawa, 1971, 1-36.
- [MK] T. Miwa and N. Kemoto, Linearly ordered extensions of GO-spaces, *Topology and Appl.*, 54(1993), 133-140.
- [N] J. Nagata, *Moderan General Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, Newyork, Oxford, 1983.
- [P] S. Purisch, On the orderability of Stone-Čech compactifications, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1973, 55-56,
- [Sh] T. Shinoda, Linearly ordered topological spaces and their generalization, Master-thesis (Tokyo Gakugei univ.) 2000, 1-55.
- [T1] Y. Tanaka, On closedness of C - and C^* -embeddings, *Pacific J. Math.*, 68(1977), 283-292.
- [T2] Y. Tanaka, Ordered fields and the axiom of continuity, *Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ.*, Sect. 4, 46(1994), 1-6.
- [VRS] M. Venkataraman, M. Rajagopalan and T. Soundararajan, Orderable spaces, *Gen. Topology and Appl.*, 2(1972), 1-10.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Gakugei University, Koganei, Tokyo, 184-8501, JAPAN

e-mail address: ytanaka@u-gakugei.ac.jp