

Partial regularity of $p(x)$ -harmonic maps

Maria Alessandra Ragusa

Atsushi Tachikawa *

1 Introduction

This note is concerned with the partial regularity of local minimizers of functionals which satisfies the so-called $p(x)$ -growth condition.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ($m \geq 2$) be a bounded open set, and $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$\lambda|\xi|^p \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq \Lambda(1 + |\xi|^q) \quad \text{for all } (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}, \quad (1.1)$$

for some constants $\Lambda \geq \lambda > 0$, $q \geq p > 1$. For $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the functional defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(u; \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) dx. \quad (1.2)$$

The functional $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be of *standard growth* if $q = p$. When $q > p$, it is said to be of *non-standard growth* or, more precisely, of (p, q) -growth.

As a particular case of non-standard growth, we consider the following $p(x)$ -growth condition.

$$\lambda|\xi|^{p(x)} \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq \Lambda(1 + |\xi|^{p(x)}), \quad \text{for all } (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $p(x)$ is a function defined on Ω . For $p(x)$ we assume always that $p(x) > 1$. In this note, by a technical reason, we treat only the case that $p(x) \geq 2$.

In recent years, functionals and problems with $p(x)$ -growth became of increasing interest. They appear in some problems of mathematical physics. For example, Zhikov [26] treated thermistor problems using functionals with $p(x)$ -growth, Rajagopal and Růžička (see also [22]) proposed some models of of electrorheological fluid using equations with $p(x)$ -growth term, and Acerbi and Mingione [3] treated stationary electrorheological fluid and obtained some regularity results.

In this note, we treat regularity problem for vector valued ($n \geq 2$) minimizers of functional with $p(x)$ -growth.

For the scalar valued case ($n=1$), see [17, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11] and the references therein.

About constant p -growth functionals defined for $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with general $m, n \geq 2$, roughly speaking, known regularity results differ from each

*This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22540207.

other by the structures of functionals. Let us consider the following 3 types of functionals.

$$\mathcal{F}_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} a(|Du|)dx, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2(u) = \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, g^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)h_{ij}(x, u)D_{\alpha}u^i D_{\beta}u^j)dx, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du)dx, \quad (1.6)$$

where $(g^{\alpha\beta})$, (h_{ij}) and the Hessian matrix of $A(x, u, \xi)$ with respect to ξ are uniformly positive definite, and $da(x, u, t)/dt \geq 0$. Moreover, we assume the following growth conditions on $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda t^p &\leq a(x, u, t) \leq \Lambda(1 + t^p), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty), \\ \lambda|\xi|^p &\leq A(x, u, \xi) \leq \Lambda(1 + |\xi|^p), \quad \text{for all } (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}, \end{aligned}$$

where Λ, λ are positive constants, and p is a constant or continuous function on Ω with $p \geq 2$. We have the following results for minimizers of the above types of functionals.

- (I) : (Uhlenbeck [25]) Let u be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_1$, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$.
- (II) : (Giaquinta-Modica [15], Fusco-Hutchinson [12] ($a(x, u, t) = t^{p/2}$)) Let u be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_2$, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega_0)$, where Ω_0 is an open subset of Ω with $\mathcal{H}^{m-p-\varepsilon}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Here, $\mathcal{H}^q$ denotes the q -dimensional Hausdorff measure.
- (III) : (Giaquinta-Giusti [14] ($p = 2$), Fusco-Hutchinson [12] ($p \geq 2$)) Let u be a bounded minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_2$ with $a(x, u, t) = t^{p/2}$ and $g^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) = g^{\alpha\beta}(x)$. Then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega_0)$ with $\mathcal{H}^{m-[p]-1}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$. Here, $[p]$ stands for the integer part of p .
- (IV) : (Giaquinta-Giusti [13]) Let u be a bounded minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_3$, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega_0)$, where Ω_0 is an open subset of Ω with $|\Omega \setminus \Omega_0| = 0$. Here, for a measurable set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $|D|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of D .

On the other hand, for $p(x)$ -growth cases, the results of Coscia-Mingione [5] and of Acerbi-Mingione [2] correspond to the above results (I) and (IV) respectively. In this note we present the regularity results of [21] that correspond to a part of (II).

Remark 1.1. *For the sake of simplicity, we are restricting ourselves to consider only the case that $p \geq 2$. There are also regularity results for $1 < p$ (constant) ≤ 2 (eg. [1]). Moreover, the results in [5] and [2] are valid for $p(x) > 1$.*

2 Some definitions

In the following we write

$$B(x, R) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m ; |x - y| \leq R\}$$

For $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ we set the integral mean $f_{x,R}$ by

$$f_{x,R} = \int_{\Omega \cap B(x,R)} f(y) dy = \frac{1}{|\Omega \cap B(x,R)|} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x,R)} f(y) dy$$

where $|\Omega \cap B(x,R)|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega \cap B(x,R)$.

If we are not interested in specifying which the center is, we only set f_R .

Definition 2.1. For a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and a function $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$, we define $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$L^{p(x)} := \{u \in L^1(\Omega) ; \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx < +\infty\}.$$

$$W^{1,p(x)} := \{u \in L^{p(x)} \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega) ; Du \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\}.$$

We also define $L_{\text{loc}}^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ similarly.

As mentioned in [6], if $p(x)$ is uniformly continuous and $\partial\Omega$ satisfies uniform cone property, then

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) ; Du \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\}.$$

In any case, if $p(x)$ is continuous in Ω we have

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) ; |Du|^{p(x)} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)\}.$$

Definition 2.2. We also define

$$W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) := \{u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) ; \int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\},$$

and for a given map φ

$$\varphi + W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) := \{u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) ; u - \varphi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)\}.$$

A map $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is called to be a *local minimizer* of $\mathcal{F}$ if it satisfies

$$\mathcal{F}(u; \text{supp}\varphi) \leq \mathcal{F}(u + \varphi; \text{supp}\varphi),$$

for any $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ with compact support in Ω .

It should be mentioned that in [21] the continuity of the coefficients $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is not assumed to get continuity of a minimizer. Under the condition that $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is in the class so-called *VMO*, the partial $C^{0,\alpha}$ -regularity of a minimizer u is shown. (About regularity results for standard growth problems with *VMO*-coefficients, see, for example, [7, 19, 18, 20].)

VMO is given as a particular subclass of *BMO*. Let us now give the definition of *BMO* and *VMO*. The function space *BMO* (bounded mean oscillation) has been first appeared in the article by John and Nirenberg [16].

Definition 2.3. Let $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$. We say that f belongs to $BMO(\Omega)$ if

$$\|f\|_* \equiv \sup_{B(x,R)} \frac{1}{|\Omega \cap B(x,R)|} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x,R)} |f(y) - f_{x,R}| dy < \infty.$$

VMO (vanishing mean oscillation) is given at first by Sarason in [23].

Definition 2.4. Let $f \in BMO(\Omega)$ and put

$$\eta(f, R) := \sup_{\rho \leq R} \sup_{B(x, \rho)} \frac{1}{|\Omega \cap B(x, \rho)|} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, \rho)} |f(y) - f_\rho| dy$$

where $B(x, \rho)$ ranges over the class of the balls of $\mathbb{R}^m$ of radius ρ . We say that $f \in VMO(\Omega)$ if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \eta(f, R) = 0.$$

Let us mention that $C^0 \subsetneq VMO$. For vector valued case, in general, we can not expect to get regularity of weak solutions for elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients. So, it should be interesting to consider the regularity problems for systems with VMO -coefficients.

3 Partial regularity results

In [21], we obtained partial regularity for minimizers of the $p(x)$ -energy functional defined as

$$\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) := \int_{\Omega} (g^{\alpha\beta}(x) h_{ij}(u) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j)^{p(x)/2} dx. \quad (3.1)$$

On the above functional we consider the following conditions.

(H-1) There exist constants $\lambda_0, \Lambda_0, \lambda_1, \Lambda_1$ ($0 < \lambda_i < \Lambda_i$, $i = 0, 1$) such that

$$\lambda_0 |\zeta|^2 \leq g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \zeta_\alpha \zeta_\beta \leq \Lambda_0 |\zeta|^2, \quad \lambda_1 |\eta|^2 \leq h_{ij}(u) \eta^i \eta^j \leq \Lambda_1 |\eta|^2$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, $u, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(H-2) For every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|h_{ij}(u) - h_{ij}(v)| \leq \omega_0(|u - v|^2),$$

where ω_h is some monotone increasing concave function with $\omega_h(0) = 0$.

(H-3) $g^{\alpha\beta}$ are in the class $L^\infty \cap VMO(\Omega)$.

In the sequel, we put

$$\eta(g, R) := \max_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m} \eta(g^{\alpha\beta}, R).$$

Moreover, we assume the following conditions on the exponent $p(x)$.

(H-4) The exponent $p(x)$ is bounded and satisfies $p(x) \geq 2$. In the following we put

$$p_1 := \inf_{\Omega} p(x) (\geq 2), \quad p_2 := \sup_{\Omega} p(x). \quad (3.2)$$

(H-5) For some constants $L > 0$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq L|x - y|^\sigma := \omega_p(|x - y|) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \Omega \quad (3.3)$$

In the following we use the following notation:

$$\rho_1(y, r) = \inf_{x \in B(y, r)} p(x), \quad \rho_2(y, r) = \sup_{B(y, r)} p(x) \quad \text{for } B(y, r) \subset \Omega. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, when there is no doubt of confusion, we omit the center y .

Theorem 3.1 ([21, Theorem 2.4]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Assume that $g^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ and $h_{ij}(u)$ satisfy the conditions (H-1)–(H-3). Let $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ be a local minimizer of the $p(x)$ -energy functional defined by (3.1), where $p(x) : \Omega \rightarrow [2, \infty)$ satisfies (H-4) and (H-5). Then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where Ω_0 is an open subset of Ω with $\mathcal{H}^{m-p_1}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$.*

Moreover, if $g^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ and $h_{ij}(u)$ are Hölder continuous, $u \in C^{1,\alpha'}(\Omega_0)$ for some $\alpha' \in (0, 1)$.

For the purpose of getting regularity results for minimizers, we frequently use the following theorem.

Theorem [Morrey's theorem on the growth of the Dirichlet integral] *Let u be in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and suppose that*

$$\rho^{-m+q} \int_{B(x,\rho)} |Du|^q dy \leq C \rho^{q\alpha} \quad \text{for all } \rho < \text{dist}(x, \Omega).$$

Then $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

For p -growth, we estimate

$$r^{-m+p} \int_{B(x,r)} |Du|^p dy,$$

to Hölder continuity of the minimizers. For $p(x)$ -growth the question “What quantity shall we employ?” arises. In earlier literatures on $p(x)$ -growth problems, [5, 3] etc., taking $R > 0$ sufficiently small, the authors used the quantity

$$r^{-m+\rho_2(x,R)} \int_{B(x,r)} |Du|^{\rho_2(x,R)} dy, \quad (B(x,r) \subset B(x_0, R)).$$

On the other hand, in [21], we employed another quantity,

$$r^{-m+\rho_2(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} |Du|^{\rho_2(x,r)} dy, \quad (3.5)$$

which enables us to use the iteration argument.

A brief sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.1.

First, we mention that, as standard p -growth problems, we have higher integrability result for $p(x)$ -growth case also: there exists a positive constant δ such that $u \in W^{1,(1+2\delta)p(x)}$.

For a fixed x_0 , choose $R_1 > 0$ sufficiently small so that

$$(1 + 2\delta)\rho_1(x_0, R_1) \geq (1 + \delta)\rho_2(x_0, R_1).$$

Then, we have

$$u \in W^{1,(1+2\delta)p(x)}(B(x_0, R_1)) \subset W^{1,(1+\delta)\rho_2(x_0, R_1)}(B(x_0, R_1)).$$

In order to show the assertion, we employ so-called “direct approach”. Namely, we consider *frozen functionals* which are sufficiently near to the original “wild” functional and sufficiently “tame” to get the regularity, and compare the minimizer of the original functional with those of frozen functionals.

For $x_1 \in B(x_0, R_1)$, choosing $R > 0$ so that $B_{2R} := B(x_1, 2R) \subset B(x_0, R_1)$, we define two “frozen functionals” as

$$f_1(\xi) := (g_R^{\alpha\beta} h_{ij}(u_R) \xi_\alpha^i \xi_\beta^j)^{p(x)/2}, \quad f_2(\xi) := (g_R^{\alpha\beta} h_{ij}(u_R) \xi_\alpha^i \xi_\beta^j)^{\rho_2(2R)/2},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1(v) := \int_{B_R} f_1(Dv) dx, \quad \mathcal{E}_2(v) := \int_{B_R} f_2(Dv) dx.$$

Let v be a minimizer of $\mathcal{E}_1$ in the class $u + W_0^{1,p(x)}(B_R)$. By virtue of the regularity result by Cosia-Mingione [5], we see that for every $\beta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\int_{B_s} |Dv|^{\rho_2(2R)} dx \leq c \left(\frac{s}{R} \right)^{m-\beta} \left[\int_{B_R} |Dv|^{\rho_2(2R)} dx + R^{m-\beta} \right] \quad (3.6)$$

holds for any $s \in [0, R)$.

In order to get a similar type of decay estimate for Du , we estimate $|Du - Dv|$. By Taylor’s theorem, we have

$$f_2(Du) = f_2(Dv) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \xi_\alpha^i}(Dv)(D_\alpha u^i - D_\beta v^i) \\ + \int_0^1 (1-s) \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial \xi_\alpha^i \partial \xi_\beta^j}(Du + s(Dv - Du)) \\ \cdot (D_\alpha u^i - D_\alpha v^i)(D_\beta u^j - D_\beta v^j) ds.$$

Here, we should mention that v is a minimizer of $\mathcal{E}_1$, not of $\mathcal{E}_2$, so the second term of the right-hand side of the above equality does not vanish. However, estimating the difference between the Euler-Lagrange equations of $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$, we can obtain

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^{\rho_2(2R)} dx \\ \leq c(\mathcal{E}_2(u) - \mathcal{E}_2(v)) + C(\varepsilon)R^\sigma \int_{B_R} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{(1+\varepsilon)\rho_2(2R)/2} dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Here, we also used the the fact that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $t > 0$ and $s \geq r > 0$

$$|t^r - t^s| \leq C(\varepsilon)(s - r)(1 + t^{(1+\varepsilon)s}). \quad (3.8)$$

Adding to and subtracting from (3.7) the terms $\mathcal{E}_1(u)$, $\mathcal{E}(v)$, $\mathcal{E}(v)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1(v)$, and using the minimality of $\mathcal{E}(u)$, we get

$$\int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^{\rho_2(2R)} dx \\ \leq c(\mathcal{E}_2(u) - \mathcal{E}_1(u) + \mathcal{E}_1(u) - \mathcal{E}(u) + \mathcal{E}(v) - \mathcal{E}_1(v) \\ + \mathcal{E}_1(v) - \mathcal{E}_2(v)) + cR^\sigma \int_{B_R} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{(1+\varepsilon)\rho_2/(2R)2} dx$$

By estimating $|\mathcal{E}_2(\cdot) - \mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)|$ and $|\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot) - \mathcal{E}(\cdot)|$, we see that, for some $\delta \in (0, \sigma/m)$ and $q > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} |Du - Dv|^{\rho_2(2R)} dx \\ & \leq c \left[R^{\sigma-m\delta} + \omega_0^{1/q} (c_1 R^{2-m} \int_{B_{2R}} |Dv|^2 dx) \right] \int_{B_{2R}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2(2R)/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

(See [21, pp.16–19].) We can estimate the quantity in $\omega_0(\cdot)$ as follows. First, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & R^{2-m} \int_{B_R} |Dv|^2 dx \\ & \leq c \left(R^{\rho_2(2R)-m} \int_{B_R} |Dv|^{\rho_2(2R)} dx \right)^{2/\rho_2(2R)} \\ & \leq c \left(R^{\rho_2(2R)-m} \int_{B_R} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{(1+\omega_p(2R))p(x)/2} dx \right)^{2/\rho_2(2R)} \\ & \leq c \left(R^{\rho_2(2R)-m} \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{(1+\omega_p(2R))p(x)/2} dx \right)^{2/\rho_2(2R)} \\ & \leq c \left(R^{\rho_2(2R)-m-\omega_p(2R)m} \left\{ \int_{B_{2R}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{p(x)/2} dx \right\}^{1+\omega_p(2R)} \right)^{2/\rho_2(2R)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality we used so-called *reverse Hölder inequality with increasing support* which is valid for the minimizers of certain $p(x)$ -growth functionals (see [21, Lemma 3.2]). Since u is a local minimizer, we can assume that

$$\int_{B_{2R}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{p(x)/2} dx$$

is bounded. Moreover, by an assumption on ω_p , we see that there exists a positive constant M such that

$$R^{-\omega_p(2R)} = R^{-CR^\sigma} < M.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R^{2-m} \int_{B_R} |Dv|^2 dx \\ & \leq c \left(R^{\rho_2(2R)-m} \int_{B_{2R}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{p(x)/2} dx \right)^{2/\rho_2(2R)} \\ & \leq c \left(R^{\rho_2(2R)-m} \int_{B_{2R}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2(2R)/2} dx \right)^{2/\rho_2(2R)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for some positive constant c .

Now, combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), and putting $r = 2R$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_s} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2(r)/2} dx \\
& \leq \int_{B_s} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\rho_2(r)/2} dx + \int_{B_s} |Du - Dv|^{\rho_2(r)} dx \\
& \leq c_0 \left[\left(\frac{s}{r} \right)^{m-\beta} + \omega_0^{1/q} (c'_1 (r^{\rho_2(r)-m} \int_{B_r} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2(r)/2} dx)^{2/\rho_2(r)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + r^{\sigma-m\delta} \right] \cdot \int_{B_r} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2(r)/2} dx + c_2 s^{m-\beta}. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we are in the position to use the iteration argument to get a decay estimate for the quantity defined by (3.5). Let us put

$$\Psi(r) := r \left(\int_{B_r} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2(r)/2} dx \right)^{1/\rho_2(r)}.$$

Then, putting $s = \tau r$ ($\tau \in (0, 1)$) in (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\tau r) & \leq c(m, p_1, p_2) \left(r^{\rho_2(r)-m} \int_{B_{\tau r}} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2(r)/2} dx \right)^{1/\rho_2(r)} \\
& \leq c_3 \tau^\gamma \left[1 + \tau^{(\beta-m)/p_1} \left\{ r^{(\sigma-m\delta)/p_2} + \tilde{\omega}_0(c_4 \Psi(r)) \right\} \right] \Psi(r) + c_5 (\tau r)^\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_0 := \omega_0^{1/(qp_2)}$, $p_1 := \inf p(x) \leq \rho_2(r) \leq \sup p(x) =: p_2$, $\gamma := 1 - (\beta/\rho_2(r))$ and $\alpha \in (0, \gamma)$. Fix $\nu \in (\alpha, \gamma)$ and take $\tau \in (0, 1)$ so that $c_3 \tau^\gamma \leq \tau^\nu/5$. Choose ε_0 and $r_0 > 0$ so that

$$\tau^{(\beta-m)/p_1} r_0^{(\sigma-m\delta)/p_2} < 1, \quad \tau^{(\beta-m)/p_1} \tilde{\omega}_0(c_4 \varepsilon_0) < 1, \quad c_5 r_0^\alpha < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{5}.$$

If $\Psi(r) < \varepsilon_0$ for some $r \in (0, r_0)$, we have

$$\Psi(\tau r) \leq \frac{3}{5} \tau^\nu \Psi(r) + c_5 r^\alpha < \varepsilon_0.$$

Thus, by an iteration argument, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\tau^{k+1} r) & \leq (\tau^{k+1})^\nu \Psi(r) + c_5 r^\alpha \tau^{k\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^k \tau^{j(\nu-\alpha)} \\
& \leq (\tau^{k+1})^\nu \Psi(r) + c_6 (\tau^k r)^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we get the following estimate which imply the Hölder continuity.

$$\Psi(s) < C_7 s^\alpha$$

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0 & := \left\{ y \in \Omega ; \left(r^{\rho_2(y,r)-m} \int_{B(y,r)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\rho_2/2} dx \right)^{1/\rho_2} \leq \varepsilon_0 \right. \\
& \quad \left. \text{for some } r < r_0 \text{ with } B(y, r) \in \Omega \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for every $x_1 \in \Omega_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s^{-m+p_1-\alpha p_1} \int_{B(x_1, s)} |Du|^{p_1} dx \\ & \leq \left[s^{-\alpha} \left(s^{p_1-m} \int_{B_s} (1 + |Du|^2)^{p_1/2} dx \right)^{1/p_1} \right]^{p_1} \\ & \leq (s^{-\alpha} \Psi(s))^{p_1} \leq C_7^{p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we conclude that $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0)$.

By a standard argument on the Hausdorff measure, we can see that

$$\mathcal{H}^{m-p_1}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0.$$

Once we have shown the $C^{0,\alpha}$ -regularity on Ω_0 , we can show the $C^{1,\beta}$ -regularity on Ω_0 by standard arguments, estimating the quantity

$$\int_{B_\rho} |Du - (Du)_\rho|^{\rho_2(2R)} dx,$$

for $\rho < R$. □

It seems that many regularity results for minimizers or weak solutions to the standard p -growth problems can be generalized to for those of $p(x)$ -growth problems. In fact, in preprint [24], it is shown that, when $p(x) \in C^{0,1}$, $g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \in C^{0,\tau}$ and $h_{ij}(u) \in C^{0,\tau'}$ ($0 < \tau, \tau' \leq 1$), we can improve the estimate on the Hausdorff-dimension of the singular set for bounded minimizers as in [14, 12].

References

- [1] E. Acerbi and N. Fusco. Regularity for minimizers of nonquadratic functionals: the case $1 < p < 2$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 140(1):115–135, 1989.
- [2] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione. Regularity results for a class of quasiconvex functionals with nonstandard growth. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 30(2):311–339, 2001.
- [3] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione. Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 164(3):213–259, 2002.
- [4] V. Chiadò Piat and A. Coscia. Hölder continuity of minimizers of functionals with variable growth exponent. *Manuscripta Math.*, 93(3):283–299, 1997.
- [5] A. Coscia and G. Mingione. Hölder continuity of the gradient of $p(x)$ -harmonic mappings. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 328(4):363–368, 1999.
- [6] A. Coscia and D. Mucci. Integral representation and Γ -convergence of variational integrals with $P(X)$ -growth. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 7:495–519 (electronic), 2002.

- [7] P. Di Gironimo, L. Esposito, and L. Sgambati. A remark on $L^{2,\lambda}$ regularity for minimizers of quasilinear functionals. *Manuscripta Math.*, 113(2):143–151, 2004.
- [8] M. Eleuteri. Hölder continuity results for a class of functionals with non standard growth. *Bollettino U. M. I.*, pages 129–157, 2004.
- [9] M. Eleuteri and J. Habermann. Calderón-Zygmund type estimates for a class of obstacle problems with $p(x)$ growth. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 372(1):140–161, 2010.
- [10] M. Eleuteri and J. Habermann. A Hölder continuity result for a class of obstacle problems under non standard growth conditions. *Math. Nachr.*, 284(11-12):1404–1434, 2011.
- [11] M. Eleuteri, P. Harjulehto, and T. Lukkari. Global regularity and stability of solutions to elliptic equations with nonstandard growth. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 56(7-9):599–622, 2011.
- [12] N. Fusco and J. Hutchinson. Partial regularity for minimisers of certain functionals having nonquadratic growth. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 155:1–24, 1989.
- [13] M. Giaquinta and E. Giusti. Differentiability of minima of non-differentiable functionals. *Invent. Math.*, 72:285–298, 1983.
- [14] M. Giaquinta and E. Giusti. The singular set of the minima of certain quadratic functionals. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 9:45–55, 1984.
- [15] M. Giaquinta and G. Modica. Remarks on the regularity of the minimizers of certain degenerate functionals. *Manuscripta Math.*, 57(1):55–99, 1986.
- [16] F. John and L. Nirenberg. On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14:415–426, 1961.
- [17] P. Marcellini. Regularity of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations with nonstandard growth conditions. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 105(3):267–284, 1989.
- [18] M. A. Ragusa and A. Tachikawa. On continuity of minimizers for certain quadratic growth functionals. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 57(3):691–700, 2005.
- [19] M. A. Ragusa and A. Tachikawa. Partial regularity of the minimizers of quadratic functionals with VMO coefficients. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 72(3):609–620, 2005.
- [20] M. A. Ragusa and A. Tachikawa. Regularity of minimizers of some variational integrals with discontinuity. *Z. Anal. Anwend.*, 27(4):469–482, 2008.
- [21] M. A. Ragusa, A. Tachikawa, and H. Takabayashi. Partial regularity of $p(x)$ -harmonic maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(6):3329–3353, 2013.
- [22] M. Růžička. *Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory*, volume 1748 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

- [23] D. Sarason. Functions of vanishing mean oscillation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 207:391–405, 1975.
- [24] A. Tachikawa. On the singular set of minimizers of $p(x)$ -energy. *preprint*.
- [25] K. Uhlenbeck. Regularity for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems. *Acta Math.*, 138:219–240, 1977.
- [26] V. V. Zhikov. On some variational problems. *Russian J. Math. Phys.*, 5:105–116, 1997.

Maria Alessandra Ragusa
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica,
Università di Catania,
Viale Andrea Doria, 6-95128 Catania, Italy,
e-mail:maragusa@dmf.unict.it

Atsushi Tachikawa
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Tokyo University of Science,
Noda, Chiba, 278-8510, Japan,
e-mail:tachikawa_atsushi@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp