

ARGUMENT ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Nak Eun Cho, Soon Young Woo and Shigeyoshi Owa

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to derive some argument properties of certain meromorphic functions in the punctured open unit disk. Furthermore, we investigate their integral-preserving property in a sector.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk $\mathcal{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$. We denote by $\Sigma^*(\gamma)$ the subclasses of Σ consisting of all functions which is meromorphic starlike order γ in $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{0\}$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$).

For analytic functions g and h with $g(0) = h(0)$, g is said to be subordinate to h if there exists an analytic function w such that $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$), and $g(z) = h(w(z))$. We denote this subordination by $g \prec h$ or $g(z) \prec h(z)$. Let

$$\Sigma^*[A, B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1) \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, we note that $\Sigma^*[1 - 2\gamma, -1] = \Sigma^*(\gamma)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$). Furthermore, from (1.1), we observe [5] that a function f is in $\Sigma^*[A, B]$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (1.2)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Argument properties, meromorphic starlike functions, subordination, meromorphic close-to-convex functions, univalent functions, integral operator.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\Sigma_c(\gamma, \beta)$ if there is a meromorphic starlike function g of order γ such that

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1; z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Libera and Robertson [2] showed that $\Sigma_c(0, 0)$, the class of meromorphic close-to-convex functions, is not univalent. Also, $\Sigma_c(\gamma, \beta)$ provides an interesting generalization of the class of meromorphic close-to-convex functions [6].

In the present paper, we give some argument properties of the aforementioned classes of meromorphic functions in the open unit disk. An application of a certain integral operator is also considered.

2. Main Results

In proving our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [1]. *Let h be convex univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$ ($\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$). If q is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ with $q(0) = 1$, then*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Lemma 2.2 [3]. *Let h be convex univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ and λ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda(z) \geq 0$. If q is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and $q(0) = h(0)$, then*

$$q(z) + \lambda(z)zq'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Lemma 2.3 [4]. *Let q be analytic in U with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ in U . Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that*

$$\left| \arg q(z) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \text{ for } |z| < |z_0| \quad (2.1)$$

ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

and

$$\left| \arg q(z_0) \right| = \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (0 < \eta \leq 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = ik\eta, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg q(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (2.4)$$

$$k \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left(a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg q(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} = \pm ia \quad (a > 0). \quad (2.6)$$

By using above lemmas, we now derive

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$(1 + B) > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta,$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation :

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left(\frac{1+A}{1+B} + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) \quad (2.7)$$

and

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

$$t(A, B, \alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{A - B}{(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)(1 - B^2) - (1 - AB)} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r(z) = -\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}.$$

Then, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1-\alpha)g(z)} + \beta \right) \\ &= q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-r(z) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, from (1.2), we have

$$r(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If we let

$$-r(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) = \rho e^{i\frac{\pi\phi}{2}} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1+B)-(1+A)}{1+B} < \rho < \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B} \\ -t(A, B, \alpha) < \phi < t(A, B, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

where $t(A, B, \alpha)$ is defined by (2.8).

Let h be a function which maps $\mathcal{U}$ onto the angular domain $\{w : |\arg w| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta\}$ with $h(0) = 1$. Applying Lemma 2.2 for this h with $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{-r(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}$, we see that $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$ in $\mathcal{U}$ and hence $q(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{U}$.

If there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied, then (by Lemma 1) we obtain (2.3) under the restrictions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

At first, we suppose that

$$\{q(z_0)\}^{\frac{1}{n}} = ia \quad (a > 0).$$

ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z_0(z_0 f'(z_0))' + (1-\alpha)z_0 f'(z_0)}{\alpha z_0 g'(z_0) + (1-\alpha)g(z_0)} - \beta \right) \\
&= \arg \left(q(z_0) + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{-r(z_0) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)} \right) \\
&= \arg \left\{ q(z_0) \left(1 + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} \frac{1}{-r(z_0) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)} \right) \right\} \\
&= \arg \{q(z_0)\} + \arg \left(1 + i\eta k(\rho e^{i\frac{\pi\phi}{2}})^{-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}\eta + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta k \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)]}{\rho + \eta k \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)]} \right) \\
&\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\eta + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B} \right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B, \alpha)$ are given by (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. This evidently contradict the assumption of Theorem 2.1.

Next, we suppose that

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} = -ia \quad (a > 0).$$

Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z_0(z_0 f'(z_0))' + (1-\alpha)z_0 f'(z_0)}{\alpha z_0 g'(z_0) + (1-\alpha)g(z_0)} - \beta \right) \\
&\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B} \right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B, \alpha)$ are given by (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. This also contradict the assumption of Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Letting $A = 1$, $B = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1-\alpha)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}; 0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition :

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

If we put $g(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ in Theorem 2.1, then, by letting $B \rightarrow A$ ($A < 1$), we obtain

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z^2(f'(z) + \alpha zf''(z))}{1-2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

then

$$|\arg \{-z^2 f'(z) - \beta\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta,$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation :

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(\alpha\eta). \quad (2.9)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 below is much akin to that of Theorem 2.1. The details may be omitted.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$(1+B) > \alpha(2+A+B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1-\alpha)g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (\beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta,$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

For a function f belonging to the class Σ , we define the integral operator F_α as follows :

$$F_\alpha(f) := F_\alpha(f)(z) = \frac{1-2\alpha}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} f(t) dt \quad (2.10)$$

$$(0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; z \in \mathcal{D}).$$

The following Lemma will be required for the proof of Theorem 2.3 below.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and let h be a convex (univalent) function in $\mathcal{U}$ with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} > 0$ in $\mathcal{U}$. If*

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\frac{zF'_\alpha(f)}{F_\alpha(f)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

for $\max_{z \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1$ ($0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$), where F_α is defined by (2.10).

Proof. From the definition (2.10), we get

$$\alpha z F'_\alpha(f)(z) + (1-\alpha)F_\alpha(f)(z) = (1-2\alpha)f(z) \quad (2.11)$$

Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{zF'_\alpha(f)}{F_\alpha(f)}.$$

Then (2.11) yields

$$q(z) - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \right) = - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 2 \right) \frac{f(z)}{F_\alpha(f)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Taking logarithmic derivatives in (2.12) and multiplying by z , we get

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-q(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} = -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we have that $q(z) \prec h(z)$ for $\max_{z \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1$ ($0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$). This evidently completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Next, we prove

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$(1 + B) > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta, \quad (2.13)$$

where F_α is given by (2.10) and η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

Proof. Since $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, by applying Lemma 2.4, the function $F_\alpha(g)$ belongs to the class $\Sigma[A, B]$. Then, from (2.11), we get

$$-\frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} = -\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}.$$

Hence, by the hypothesis and Theorem 2.1, we have (2.13), which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Taking $A = 1$, $B = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we have

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition :

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

Putting $g(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ in Theorem 2.3, and then, by letting $B \rightarrow A$ ($A < 1$), we obtain

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z^2(f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z))}{1 - 2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z^2(F'_\alpha(f) + \alpha z F''_\alpha(f))}{1 - 2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.9)

By a similar method of the proof in Theorem 2.3, we get

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$(1 + B) > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta, \quad (2.13)$$

where F_α is given by (2.10) and η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

Finally, we prove

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left[-\left(\alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) - \beta \right] \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (\alpha < 0; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi\eta}{2},$$

and η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation :

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\alpha\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - \sin^{-1}(\frac{A-B}{1-AB})\}]}{\frac{1+A}{1+B} - \alpha\eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - \sin^{-1}(\frac{A-B}{1-AB})\}]} \right).$$

Proof. Setting

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r(z) = -\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} + (1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \\ &= q(z) + \frac{\alpha z q'(z)}{-r(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 2.5 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, and so we omit it.

Acknowledgement. The first author wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1997.

References

1. P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **29**(1984), 567-573.
2. R. J. Libera and M. S. Robertson, Meromorphic close-to-convex functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **8**(1961), 167-176.
3. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **28**(1981), 157-171.
4. M. Nunokawa, On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **69**(1993), 234-237.
5. H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **37**(1985), 48-61.

ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

6. R. Singh, Meromorphic Close-to-convex functions, *J. Indian. Math. Soc.* **33**(1969), 13-20.

Nak Eun Cho and Soon Young Woo
Department of Applied Mathematics
Pukyong National University
Pusan 608-737
Korea
E-Mail : necho@pknu.ac.kr

Shigeyoshi Owa
Department of Mathematics
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502
Japan
E-Mail : owa@math.kindai.ac.jp