

1 Prefrontal spatial working memory network predicts
2 animal's decision-making in a free choice saccade task.

3 Kei Mochizuki¹ and Shintaro Funahashi^{1,2}

4 1. Kokoro Research Center,
5 Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

6 2. Laboratory of Cognitive Brain Science,
7 Department of Cognitive and Behavioral Sciences,
8 Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies,
9 Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

10 Running Head: Decision-making in a free choice saccade task

11 Address for Correspondence:

12 Shintaro Funahashi
13 Kokoro Research Center, Kyoto University
14 46 Yoshida-Shimoadachi-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
15 funahashi.shintaro.2z@kyoto-u.ac.jp

16 **Abstract**

17 While neurons in the lateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) encode spatial information during
18 the performance of working memory tasks, they are also known to participate in
19 subjective behavior such as spatial attention and action selection. In the present study,
20 we analyzed the activity of primate PFC neurons during the performance of a free
21 choice memory-guided saccade task in which the monkeys needed to choose a saccade
22 direction by themselves. In trials when the receptive field location was subsequently
23 chosen by the animal, PFC neurons with spatially selective visual response started to
24 show greater activation before cue onset. This result suggests that the fluctuation of
25 firing before cue presentation prematurely biased the representation of a certain spatial
26 location, and eventually encouraged the subsequent choice of that location. In addition,
27 modulation of the activity by the animal's choice was observed only in neurons with
28 high sustainability of activation, and was also dependent on the spatial configuration
29 of the visual cues. These findings were consistent with known characteristics of PFC
30 neurons in information maintenance in spatial working memory function. These results
31 suggest that pre-cue fluctuation of spatial representation was shared and enhanced
32 through the working memory network in the PFC, and could finally influence the
33 animal's free choice of saccade direction. The present study revealed that the PFC
34 plays an important role in decision-making in a free choice condition, and that the
35 dynamics of decision-making is constrained by the network architecture embedded in
36 this cortical area.

37 Keywords:

38 Prefrontal cortex, Spatial representation, Decision-making, Memory-guided

39 saccade

40

41 **Introduction**

42 Neurophysiological investigations of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) have shown that
43 neurons in the lateral PFC exhibit a persistent activation during the delay period
44 (delay-period activity) when the monkey is remembering a particular spatial location
45 in memory-guided saccade tasks (Funahashi et al. 1989, 1990, 1991, 1993b;
46 Goldman-Rakic et al. 1990; Constantinidis et al. 2001a, 2001b). This delay-period
47 activity has been proposed to be a neuronal correlate of active maintenance of
48 visuospatial information. The role of the PFC in information maintenance has been
49 further supported by lesion studies in monkeys (Funahashi et al. 1993a; Sawaguchi
50 and Iba 2001) and humans (D'Esposito and Postle 1999; Mottaghy et al. 2002; Müller
51 et al. 2002), and by functional brain imaging studies (Courtney et al. 1998; Zarahn
52 et al. 1999, 2000; Sakai et al. 2002). Thus, the maintenance of task-relevant spatial
53 information could be one of the key features that could help us to understand the
54 function of the PFC (Fuster 2008).

55 Spatially selective activity of PFC neurons has also been proposed to be related to
56 decision-making process such as response selection (Rowe et al. 2000) and spatial
57 attention (Lebedev et al. 2004; Messinger et al. 2009). Especially, in human
58 neuroimaging studies, the PFC has been reported to play a role in self-initiated
59 behavior and internally-driven decision-making (Frith et al. 1991; Hyder et al. 1997;
60 Lau et al. 2004a, 2004b; Haynes et al. 2007; Soon et al. 2008). Therefore, the known

61 characteristic activation of spatially selective PFC neurons could also be related to
62 decision-making under these situations. Previous studies have investigated the
63 neuronal underpinning of decision-making under these situations in the PFC
64 (Watanabe et al. 2006; Watanabe and Funahashi 2007) and other related areas such as
65 frontal eye field, supplemental eye field and lateral intraparietal cortex (Coe et al.
66 2002). These studies reported that the activity of spatially selective neurons is related
67 to the animal's own decision about saccade direction. However, in these studies, a
68 fixed set of spatial locations were repeatedly presented as options for a saccade in a
69 block of trials. Under this setup, presentation of the spatial cues was less informative
70 since the available saccade directions were obvious without seeing the actual cue
71 presentation. The monkey could indeed decide the saccade direction before the
72 presentation of the visual cues. In addition, due to the predetermined and less various
73 configuration of the cues, precise analysis about the relationship between neuron's
74 receptive field and chosen location was limited. Therefore, further experiment which
75 enables a close examination of how prefrontal working memory network represents
76 multiple spatial information and how the activity of PFC neurons is related to the
77 animal's own decision about saccade direction is needed.

78 In the present study, we established a free choice memory-guided saccade task in
79 which the monkeys by themselves chose the direction of the saccade among multiple
80 locations changing trial to trial. By varying the options for a choice in each trial, we
81 could examine the precise time course of decision-making process taken in the

82 network of spatially selective PFC neurons. We found that the activity of lateral PFC
83 neurons could predict the animal's decision about subsequent eye movement direction
84 even before cue presentation. This suggests that pre-existing activation state of PFC
85 neurons immediately before cue presentation influenced the construction of spatial
86 representation, and eventually biased the animal's subsequent choice of the saccade
87 direction. Furthermore, we found that the impact of neuron's activity on the animal's
88 choice was stronger in neurons that showed greater persistent activity in a control
89 spatial working memory task. In addition, while PFC neurons tended to represent
90 unchosen spatial location more weakly from the beginning of the trial, this suppression
91 of unchosen location was modest when chosen and unchosen locations were placed in
92 the same side of the visual field. This finding was in accord with the known
93 contralateral organization of spatial working memory network in the PFC. These
94 results indicate that the role of PFC neurons in a free choice of saccade direction is
95 linked to their firing property and network background as a neuronal underpinning of
96 spatial working memory function. Our present study showed a possible overlap of
97 cellular mechanisms for maintenance and decision-making of spatial information, and
98 offers a clue to a further investigation on the nature of the spatial information
99 processing taken place in the PFC.

100 **Materials and Methods**

101 **Animals**

102 We used two female Japanese monkeys (*Macaca fuscata*; monkeys O and E). The
103 monkeys were housed in individual stainless steel home cages. Water intake was
104 restricted in the home cage and provided as a reward in the laboratory. Additional
105 vegetables and fruits were provided to fulfill the daily requirement of water intake if
106 necessary. All experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with the
107 guidelines provided by the Primate Research Institute of Kyoto University and were
108 approved by the Animal Research Committee at the Graduate School of Human and
109 Environmental Studies, Kyoto University.

110 **Apparatus**

111 During experimental sessions, the monkey sat in a primate chair in a dark
112 sound-attenuated room with its head movements restricted by a head-holding
113 apparatus. We used TEMPO software (Reflective Computing, Olympia, WA, USA)
114 for task control and data acquisition. Visual stimuli were presented on a 20-inch CRT
115 monitor (Dell UltraScan D2026T-HS, Dell, Round Rock, TX, USA) that was placed
116 40 cm from the subject's face. A scleral search coil system (Enzanshi Kogyo, Tokyo,
117 Japan) was used to monitor the monkeys' eye movements (Robinson 1963; Judge et al.
118 1980).

119 **Tasks**

120 We used two types of memory-guided saccade tasks (Fig. 1a): an Instructed Choice
121 Task (ICT) and a Free Choice Task (FCT). The tasks were similar to those used in
122 previous studies (Watanabe et al. 2006; Watanabe and Funahashi 2007; Mochizuki and
123 Funahashi 2014). In both tasks, a trial started with the presentation of a fixation point
124 (white cross, 0.5° in visual angle) at the center of the monitor. After the monkey
125 maintained fixation on the fixation point for 1.0 s (fixation period), eight peripheral
126 targets (white cross, 0.75°) were presented at an eccentricity of 13° (0° – 315° ,
127 separated by 45°). The monkey had to neglect these targets and keep watching the
128 fixation point for another 1.0 s (pre-cue period). Next, one or two visual cues (filled
129 white circle, 2.5°) were briefly blinked over the peripheral targets for 0.5 s (cue
130 period). In the ICT, one cue was presented at one of the eight target locations. In the
131 FCT, two identical cues were simultaneously presented at two peripheral locations.
132 After the cues disappeared, the monkey had to maintain fixation for 1.5–3.0 s random
133 length of delay (delay period). At the end of the delay period, the fixation point was
134 turned off, and the monkey was required to make a memory-guided saccade toward the
135 cued location within 0.5 s. The reward was delivered after the monkey maintained
136 fixation on the correct target location for 0.3 s. In FCT trials, a saccade to either of the
137 two locations was regarded as correct. Every correct response was rewarded by a drop
138 of juice, and there was no difference in the amount of reward regardless of the
139 monkey's choice in the FCT or the type of the task.

140 The location of the cue in ICT trials was randomly determined as one of the eight
141 peripheral target locations. Possible cue locations in FCT trials were limited to four
142 locations (0°, 90°, 180° and 270°) to reduce the number of combinations of cue
143 locations. In an FCT trial, cues were presented at two of these four possible locations.
144 Accordingly, trials consisted of eight cue conditions in the ICT and six pair conditions
145 in the FCT. ICT and FCT trials were intermingled in random order.

146 During a recording session, we first presented only ICT trials using the eight
147 possible cue locations as explained above. After we isolated the activity of a single
148 neuron, we examined whether it had a directionally selective task-related activity
149 during performance of the ICT. We collected on average 8.3 trials for each of the eight
150 direction conditions for this screening. We then quantitatively analyzed the activity of
151 the neuron during several task epochs: cue (0–500 ms after the onset of the cue), early
152 delay (0–1000 ms after the start of the delay), late delay (1000–500 ms before the end
153 of the saccade), early response (300–0 ms before the end of the saccade) and late
154 response (0–300 ms after the end of the saccade). If the neuron exhibited a
155 significantly different firing rate during any of these epochs compared to the baseline
156 period (0–1000 ms before the onset of the cue, Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons,
157 $p < .05$), we categorized it as a task-related neuron. The neuron was then further tested
158 for directional selectivity. We used a modified circular normal distribution (von Mises
159 distribution) as a tuning curve to evaluate the modulation of the neuron’s firing rate
160 across the eight cue conditions:

$$f(d | \mu, \beta, B, R) = B + R \cdot \frac{\exp(\beta \cdot \cos(d - \mu))}{\exp(\beta)}$$

where the firing rate of a neuron (f) was determined as a function of the direction of the cue (d), based on the baseline ($0 \leq B$) and magnification (R) factors for the firing rate, and the location (μ) and concentration ($0 \leq \beta$) factors for the von Mises distribution. The peak direction estimated as the μ parameter by fitting of the tuning curve during the epoch in which the firing rate was highest was regarded as the neuron's preferred direction. The size of the receptive field was also quantified from the estimated parameter by $1/\sqrt{\beta}$ which can be regarded as an analog of standard deviation parameter of a normal distribution (σ). If the fitting did not converge, the neuron was considered to lack directional selectivity.

Once the neuron's preferred direction was determined, we rotated the cue locations so that one of the eight possible locations was placed at the neuron's preferred direction. The monkey then performed randomly intermingled ICT and FCT trials using these rotated cue locations. We only used the data recorded in these post-screening trials with rotated cue locations, except for the estimated receptive field of the neurons which was calculated from the activity during screening ICT trials. The four orthogonal cue locations for the FCT are now referred to as T_{in} , T_{ipsi} , T_{contra} and T_{opp} ; where T_{in} is the neuron's preferred direction, T_{ipsi} and T_{contra} are the perpendicular directions ipsilateral and contralateral to T_{in} , respectively, and T_{opp} is

180 the opposite direction 180° away from T_{in} . The directions other than T_{in} (i.e., T_{ipsi} ,
181 T_{contra} and T_{opp}) were also collectively referred to as T_{out} .

182 Since the focus of the present study was to determine how the spatial
183 representation in the PFC was involved in the animal's own decision-making in
184 choosing saccade directions, we only analyzed FCT trials that included T_{in} , where the
185 neuron being recorded was responsible to represent, as one of the two cues. Therefore,
186 only three pair conditions (T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} , T_{in} vs T_{contra} , and T_{in} vs T_{opp}) out of six
187 possible pair conditions were considered in the present analysis. For the ICT, we only
188 used the data for trials in which the visual cue was presented at one of the four
189 locations appeared in the FCT. Each neuron's directional selectivity was confirmed by
190 a post-recording offline analysis as a larger firing rate in T_{in} cue trials than in T_{out} cue
191 trials in the ICT with rotated cue locations (*t*-test, $p < .05$). Neurons that did not show
192 higher activation in T_{in} than in T_{out} cue trials in the ICT were excluded from further
193 analysis.

194 **Surgery and Training Procedure**

195 We implanted a stainless steel head-holding device and a scleral search coil in the
196 monkeys. A scleral search coil was implanted onto the right eye globe by dissecting
197 the conjunctiva (Judge et al. 1980). The monkeys were first anesthetized by an
198 intramuscular injection of ketamine hydrochloride (10 mg/kg) and then an intravenous
199 injection of pentobarbital sodium (10–15 mg/kg). Heart rate and respiration were

200 monitored during the surgery. Stainless steel screws were put into the skull to ensure
201 firm adhesion of the head-holding device. The connector for the search coil and the
202 head-holding device were fixed to the skull with dental acrylic. All of the surgical
203 procedures were performed under aseptic conditions.

204 After the monkeys recovered from surgery, we started training of the tasks. We
205 first trained the monkeys with the ICT. When the monkeys learned to perform the ICT
206 (about 85% correct for more than 5 consecutive experimental sessions), we started to
207 intermingle FCT trials with ICT trials.

208 After we completed the task training, we performed the second surgery to implant
209 a stainless steel cylinder (MO-903E, Narishige, Tokyo) for the recording of neuronal
210 activity. The monkeys were anesthetized with the same procedure as the first surgery
211 and then fixed to the stereotaxic apparatus. We made a small hole (20 mm in diameter)
212 on the skull with a trephine. The stereotaxic coordination of the center of the hole was
213 set approximately 30.0 mm anterior from the interaural plane and 15.0 mm lateral
214 from the midline, and determined by referring structural magnetic resonance imaging
215 (MRI) pictures of the monkey's brain. We attached the stainless steel cylinder to the
216 hole with stainless steel screws and dental acrylic. All of the surgical procedures were
217 performed under aseptic conditions. After the monkeys recovered from surgery, we
218 started neuronal recordings.

219 **Data Collection**

220 We recorded single-neuron activity from the cortex within and surrounding the
221 principal sulcus. The area of the recording in the lateral PFC was determined based on
222 MRI pictures of the brains. We used glass-coated Elgiloy microelectrodes (0.5–3.0 M
223 at 1 kHz) to record single-neuron activity. An electrode was advanced with a hydraulic
224 microdrive (MO-95, Narishige, Tokyo). Raw neuronal activity was amplified using an
225 amplifier (DAM80, WPI, Sarasota, FL, USA) and monitored on an oscilloscope
226 (SS-7802, IWATSU, Tokyo) and an audio monitor. During experiments, we isolated
227 single-neuron activity from raw activity using a window discriminator (DIS-1, BAK
228 Electronics, Mount Airy, MD, USA) and monitored the isolated single-neuron activity
229 together with raw activity using an oscilloscope. Single-neuron activity and task events
230 were stored as a data file on a laboratory computer.

231 **Data Analysis**

232 All statistical analyses and data-plotting were performed using the statistical software
233 R 3.2.1 (R Core Team 2015). Before testing the difference in central values among
234 groups, we performed Shapiro-Wilk tests to examine normality of the data in each
235 group. We also performed Bartlett’s test or Fligner-Killeen test to examine the
236 homogeneity of variances. Based on the results of these tests, we selected
237 nonparametric test when appropriate. We used Holm’s correction method for p -values
238 on statistical results taken from a set of multiple comparisons unless otherwise noted.

239 ***Behavioral Analysis***

240 The proportion correct was calculated separately for the ICT and FCT by dividing the
241 number of trials with correct target capture by the number of trials in which the animal
242 reached the response period.

243 To examine the animal's preference toward four directions in the FCT, we defined
244 preference indices based on the proportion of chosen direction. For a given direction,
245 we calculated the proportion of trials in which that direction was chosen by the animal
246 from the total number of trials in which that direction was available in the FCT.
247 Calculated four proportions were then divided by their sum. We call these normalized
248 proportions of choosing each direction as preference indices. Preference index was
249 expected to be 0.25 if the animal chose each direction equally in the FCT. Preference
250 indices were separately calculated for the behavior obtained during recordings of each
251 neuron because the absolute angles of the four directions differed based on the location
252 of the receptive field of neurons.

253 To compare the behaviors in different recording sessions with different cue
254 configurations, we grouped the absolute directions of responses by eight bins of 45°
255 width. Then we averaged the preference indices categorized into each bin. We used the
256 same bins to calculate averaged response times in the ICT and FCT with different
257 response directions. Response times were measured as the latency from disappearance
258 of the fixation point to the onset of a saccade detected by the method in a previous
259 study (Martinez-Conde et al. 2000). We further tested the animal's task performance in

260 the FCT based on the relative directions from each neuron's receptive fields. For each
261 of the T_{in} , T_{ipsi} , T_{contra} and T_{opp} directions, we calculated the mean response times in
262 correct FCT trials. Response times for these relative directions could vary reflecting
263 the difference in motor execution processes toward different absolute directions.
264 Therefore, for each of the T_{in} , T_{ipsi} , T_{contra} and T_{opp} directions, we also calculated the
265 normalized response times by subtracting the mean response time in the ICT from that
266 in the FCT, and then dividing it by the standard deviation of the response times for that
267 direction in the ICT. This tested whether the animal's speed of responses was different
268 among the four response directions in the FCT, cancelling out the effect of the
269 difference in motor processes for different absolute saccade directions.

270 ***Task-Related Activity and ROC Analysis***

271 We used a 100-ms time window sliding in 25-ms steps to make peri-event time
272 histograms to examine task-related activities of the neurons. Constructed histograms
273 were then averaged across neurons to create population histograms. We also used a
274 receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis to compare the strength of neuronal
275 activity between two different trial conditions (Britten et al. 1992; Shadlen and
276 Newsome 1996). For each time window, we constructed an ROC curve and calculated
277 the ROC value (area under the ROC curve) using 100 criterion firing rates. To
278 evaluate the onset of ROC elevation, we repeatedly tested the significance of
279 differences in the ROC values of the neurons from 0.5 (one-sample t -test, $\alpha=.05$). If

280 the ROC values were larger than 0.5 in five consecutive bins, the time of the first bin
281 was regarded as the onset of ROC elevation.

282 We applied an ROC analysis to the data from both the ICT and FCT. In the ICT,
283 we compared the neuronal firing between T_{in} cue trials and T_{out} cue trials. Therefore,
284 the calculated ROC value is an index of traditional memory-related activity that
285 encoded the spatial location of the cue instructed in that trial. In the FCT, we
286 compared the neuronal firing between T_{in} choice trials and T_{out} choice trials in each
287 pair condition. Therefore, the calculated ROC value is an index of decision-related
288 activity that encoded the subsequently chosen spatial location from the same set of
289 cues.

290 ***Baseline Sustainability of Firing***

291 Previous studies have suggested that the dynamics of the spontaneous fluctuation in
292 neural activity reflect the background structural and functional architecture of the
293 network (Tsodyks et al. 1999; Kenet et al. 2003). In the present study, we were
294 particularly interested in the relationship between the persistence of spontaneous
295 activity and the neuron's role in memory and decision-making functions. To quantify
296 the persistence of a neuron's activity at a baseline state, we examined the temporal
297 correlation of firing rates within a trial (Ogawa and Komatsu 2010). We divided the
298 first 800 ms of the pre-cue period (1000–200 ms before cue onset) into eight
299 successive 100-ms time bins. We calculated the trial-to-trial variation in activity within
300 each bin by subtracting the mean firing rate of the given bin across trials from the

301 firing rate for each trial in the same bin. We then calculated the Pearson's correlation
302 coefficient of these values between two different bins interposed by a given length of
303 interval. Seven intervals (0–600 ms in 100-ms steps) were available depending on the
304 combination of the bins, where “0-ms interval” meant two successive bins and
305 “600-ms interval” meant the longest interval between the first and the last bins of the
306 800-ms period used in this analysis. Different pairs of bins with the same interval were
307 pooled to calculate a single correlation coefficient for each interval length. Therefore,
308 seven correlation coefficients were calculated, one for each of the interval lengths, for
309 each neuron. We refer to the calculated correlation coefficient as “baseline
310 sustainability”, since it reflects how the activity within a time bin could be sustained
311 until another temporally distant bin.

312 ***Serial Correlation of the Inter-Spike Interval***

313 We also measured the sustainability of the activity of each neuron by calculating a
314 serial correlation of the inter-spike interval (ISI). In this analysis, we first calculated
315 the ISIs of a neuron using all of the collected data including those from non-task
316 epochs such as the inter-trial interval. Next, we calculated Pearson's correlation
317 coefficient between the lengths of successive ISIs. Since the ISI is a measure of the
318 momentary level of activation, a stronger serial correlation of ISI indicates that the
319 activation state once achieved by the neuron tended to persist for a while.

320 ***Dimensional Reduction in Population Activity***

321 We used a dimensional reduction technique with a principal component analysis
322 (PCA) to compare the activation patterns of PFC neurons among different task
323 conditions (Briggman et al. 2005; Broome et al. 2006; Churchland et al. 2007; Shenoy
324 et al. 2013). For each neuron, we first calculated the average firing rate in each task
325 condition (four conditions for the ICT and six conditions for the FCT) during ± 2000
326 ms from the cue onset. We used 50-ms time bins sliding in 25-ms steps to calculate the
327 mean firing rates. We then stacked these averaged firing rates for each neuron and
328 each condition into an $M \times N$ matrix, where M is the number of bins in a trial multiplied
329 by 10 (total number of task conditions) and N is the number of neurons under interest.
330 We applied a PCA to this matrix. The first three principal components were used to
331 create the principal component state space. The activation state and its transition were
332 represented as a trajectory inside the state space. To evaluate how the neuronal
333 activation patterns differed between the conditions, we calculated the Euclidean
334 distances between trajectories.

335 ***Correlation Analysis between Tasks***

336 To investigate how spatial representation was constructed in the network of PFC
337 neurons during an FCT trial, we applied a correlation analysis to the activation patterns
338 of PFC neurons in different tasks. In this analysis, we tested the similarity of the
339 neuronal activation patterns during the FCT to those at the end of the delay period of
340 the ICT. At the end of the delay period in an ICT trial, spatially selective PFC neurons

341 were expected to represent a sole spatial location to which a saccade was going to be
342 directed soon thereafter. Therefore, the activation pattern of PFC neurons in this period
343 could be regarded as a built template when the network had already finished
344 representing a single spatial location. On the other hand, the pattern of neuronal
345 activation in the cue period of an FCT trial should be more ambiguous because two
346 spatial locations are represented in the network. As the delay period progressed in an
347 FCT trial, the activation pattern should gradually become similar to that in the ICT,
348 since the monkey was required to prepare a saccade toward only one of the two
349 locations. By testing how the neuronal activity was similar between these different
350 periods in different tasks, we tried to examine how the spatial information needed for a
351 subsequent saccade was constructed from the two locations presented in the FCT.

352 For the ICT, we used a 500-ms time bin in a pre-response period ranging from
353 -1000 to -500 ms from the end of the saccade. For the FCT, we used 250-ms time bins
354 sliding through a trial in 1-ms steps. In a given time bin, we first calculated each
355 neuron's average firing rates in each task condition. We then subtracted each neuron's
356 grand average firing rate among task conditions in that bin from its firing rates in each
357 task condition, which gave the discrepancies of each neuron's firing rates in different
358 conditions from its average. Finally, we calculated the rank correlation (Kendall's tau)
359 between each time bin of the FCT and the pre-response period of the ICT between task
360 conditions in which the monkey made the same response (e.g., T_{ipsi} cue trials in the
361 ICT and T_{out} choice trials in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition in the FCT). To evaluate

the onset of significant correlation, we tested the significance of the correlation in each bin with $\alpha=.05$. If there was a significant correlation between the FCT and pre-response period activity in the ICT, and if the correlation remained significant until the last time bin of the peri-cue period in the FCT, we regarded the onset of the first bin of these periods as the onset of significant correlation.

We also examined the correlation between the activation patterns in T_{out} choice trials in the FCT and those in T_{in} cue trials in the ICT. These trial conditions differed with respect to the final saccade direction, and thus were expected to result in different activation patterns of directionally selective PFC neurons.

Results

Behavioral Performance

We analyzed the behavioral performance of the animals during the recording sessions. The average proportion of correct performance in the ICT and FCT was 98.0% and 98.3% for monkey O and 99.8% and 99.9% for monkey E, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference in task performance between the ICT and FCT in either monkey (paired t -test, corrected $p = .50$ and $.13$). In correct ICT and FCT trials, the mean response time from the disappearance of the fixation point to the onset of a saccade was 263 ms and 265 ms for monkey O and 231 ms and 232 ms for monkey E,

380 respectively. There was also no significant difference in the response time between the
381 tasks (paired t -test, corrected $p = .42$ and $.50$).

382 We further examined the relationship between the animals' behavior and response
383 directions in the tasks. Figure 1b shows the response times (lines) and preference
384 indices (bars) for each direction. Two-way ANOVA on each animal's response times
385 revealed a significant main effect of direction (uncorrected $p < .001$ for both monkeys),
386 but there were no main effect of the type of the tasks (uncorrected $p = .12$ and $.24$ for
387 monkey O and E, respectively) nor the interaction between task and direction
388 (uncorrected $p = .70$ and $.35$). In addition, there was no significant effect of direction
389 on the preference indices calculated from the proportion of choices for each direction
390 in the FCT (one-way ANOVA, uncorrected $p = .13$ and $.10$). Therefore, the observed
391 difference in response times for each direction were more likely to be attributed to the
392 difference in motor execution process rather than the effect of the animal's
393 unequivalent motivation for responses toward each direction.

394 **Neuronal Database**

395 We recorded neurons in and around the principal sulcus during performance of the
396 tasks. Out of 444 neurons recorded, 107 exhibited directionally selective activation
397 during at least one epoch in the screening ICT trials. These neurons were further
398 recorded in randomly intermingled ICT and FCT trials with rotated cue locations (see
399 Tasks in Materials and Methods section). Eighty-four neurons had at least five correct
400 trials for each of the six FCT conditions (three pair conditions $\times$ two choice results)

401 and were confirmed to have directional selectivity in the post-recording offline
402 analysis. We used these neurons for further analysis. We only used the activity of PFC
403 neurons recorded during intermingled ICT and FCT trials for the analysis below.

404 Based on the rotated cue locations (T_{in} , T_{ipsi} , T_{contra} and T_{opp}) determined by the
405 receptive field of each neuron, we further tested the animals' task performance in the
406 FCT for each direction (Fig. 1c). There was no difference in the proportion of T_{in}
407 choice in all the three pair conditions (one-way ANOVA, $p = .11$). The proportion of
408 T_{in} choice was not significantly different from 0.5 (one-sample Wilcoxon rank sum
409 test, corrected $p > .05$ for all the pair conditions). Also, there was no difference in the
410 response times (one-way ANOVA, $p = .11$) and the normalized response times
411 (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p = .11$) for each response direction. The normalized response
412 times were not significantly different from 0 (one-sample Wilcoxon rank sum test,
413 corrected $p > .05$ for all the directions), meaning that the responses toward each of the
414 four relative directions in the FCT were comparable to those to the same direction in
415 the ICT. These results indicate that observed characteristics in neuronal activity
416 reported below could not be attributed to the animal's preference toward a particular
417 direction nor to the difference in the degree of motor preparation toward each
418 direction.

419 **Choice-Predictive Activity**

420 Figure 2 shows the activity of two representative neurons. Both neurons exhibited a
421 larger firing rate in T_{in} than in T_{out} cue trials (t -test, 0–500 ms from cue onset, $p < .05$)
422 during the cue period of the ICT (top row: ICT trials). Thus, they were more activated
423 during the cue period when the visual cue was presented at T_{in} . We examined whether
424 the activity of these neurons was related to the monkey's choice in the FCT (bottom
425 three rows: FCT trials for three pair conditions that included T_{in}). The neuron shown
426 in Fig. 2a exhibited activation in response to the presentation of cues in FCT trials.
427 The magnitude of cue-period activity was almost identical in trials in which the
428 monkey chose T_{in} (T_{in} choice trials) and trials in which the monkey chose T_{out} (T_{out}
429 choice trials). This is not surprising because one of the two cues was always presented
430 at the T_{in} location (neuron's preferred direction) in all of these three pair conditions.
431 Therefore, this neuron was likely to exhibit a similar magnitude of cue-period activity
432 when the visual cue was presented at T_{in} regardless of whether the monkey was going
433 to choose T_{in} or T_{out} later in that trial.

434 The other neuron in Fig. 2b showed cue-period activity that was related to the
435 animal's subsequent choice in the FCT. In all three pair conditions, the strength of the
436 transient response to the same two cues was significantly different depending on the
437 monkey's subsequent choice. While the neuron was strongly activated during the cue
438 period in T_{in} choice trials, this activation was not observed in T_{out} choice trials, even

439 though one of the cues was simultaneously presented at T_{in} (t -test between T_{in} and
440 T_{out} choice trials, 0–500 ms from cue onset, $p < .05$, pair conditions collapsed). We
441 refer to this firing pattern of PFC neurons (i.e., strong activation in T_{in} choice trials
442 compared to T_{out} choice trials in the FCT) as “choice-predictive”. In every FCT trial
443 with a given pair condition, the monkey was presented with two physically identical
444 cues at the same spatial locations regardless of which of them was chosen later in that
445 trial. Therefore, choice-predictive activity can not be explained as a mere reflection of
446 the physical stimuli. Rather, the strong correlation between neuronal activity and the
447 animal’s subsequent choice suggests that PFC neurons play an active role in the free
448 choice of a spatial location. Choice-predictive activity was also observed in the
449 pre-cue period (Fig. 2b). The activity of the neuron was slightly, but significantly,
450 higher before the start of the cue period when the monkey was going to choose the
451 neuron’s preferred direction in the current trial (t -test between between T_{in} and T_{out}
452 choice trials, 1000–0 ms before cue onset, $p < .05$, pair conditions collapsed).

453 **Population Activity**

454 We confirmed the presence of choice-predictive activity in the cue and pre-cue periods
455 of the FCT in a population analysis. Figure 3 shows population histograms and ROC
456 transition of 59 PFC neurons that exhibited directionally selective cue-period activity.
457 Differential firing in response to the cues presented in the FCT was consistently
458 observed between T_{in} choice trials and T_{out} choice trials (Fig. 3b). We analyzed the

459 activity of these neurons during the cue period in each task condition (0–500 ms from
 460 cue onset). In the ICT, cue-period activity in T_{in} trials (average 20.2 spikes/s) was
 461 significantly stronger than that in T_{ipsi} (12.0 spikes/s), T_{contra} (11.6 spikes/s) and T_{opp}
 462 (9.8 spikes/s) trials (paired t -test, corrected $p < .001$ for all comparisons). In the FCT,
 463 cue-period activity in T_{in} choice trials was also significantly stronger than that in T_{out}
 464 choice trials in all three pair conditions (corrected $p < .001$ for all pair conditions). In
 465 comparisons of different FCT pair conditions, the activity in T_{in} choice trials were
 466 comparable among the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} (19.4 spikes/s), T_{in} vs T_{contra} (20.2 spikes/s) and
 467 T_{in} vs T_{opp} (20.6 spikes/s) pair conditions (corrected $p = .94$, $.89$ and $.94$, respectively).
 468 However, in the T_{out} choice trials, neurons tended to be more activated in the T_{in} vs
 469 T_{ipsi} pair condition (16.9 spikes/s) than in the T_{in} vs T_{contra} (14.9 spikes/s, corrected
 470 $p = .060$) and T_{in} vs T_{opp} (14.9 spikes/s, corrected $p = .065$) pair conditions, while there
 471 was no significant difference between the latter two pair conditions (corrected $p = .97$).

472 We also performed an ROC analysis on the cue-period activity of these neurons in
 473 T_{in} and T_{out} choice trials in the FCT. In all the three pair conditions, average ROC
 474 values (0.57, 0.61 and 0.63 for each of the three pair condition) were all significantly
 475 larger than 0.5 (one-sample t -tests, corrected $p < .001$ for all of the conditions) in the
 476 cue period (0–500 ms from cue onset). By examining the change in the ROC value
 477 throughout the entire trial epoch using a sliding window, we observed early elevation
 478 of the ROC value that started before the presentation of the cues (Fig. 3d). A

479 significant increase in the ROC value from 0.5 was observed 750 ms before the onset
480 of the cues. The same analysis of activation during the ICT revealed that an elevation
481 of the ROC value (calculated between the T_{in} and T_{out} cue trials) was observed 150
482 ms after the onset of the cue when the direction of the saccade was instructed (Fig. 3c).
483 These results indicate that the choice-predictive activity of PFC neurons in the pre-cue
484 period of the FCT was not an artifact of the task structure, but rather reflected the
485 influence of these neurons on the animal's decision-making regarding the saccade
486 direction when the choice was left to the animal.

487 **Relationship between Choice-Predictive Activity and** 488 **Persistent Delay-Period Activity**

489 To further investigate the role of PFC neurons in the decision-making regarding the
490 saccade direction, we compared the activities and firing properties of neurons with and
491 without choice-predictive activity in the FCT. We categorized a neuron as
492 choice-predictive if it exhibited a differential activation between the T_{in} and T_{out}
493 choice trials during the cue and pre-cue periods (-1000 to 500 ms from cue onset) in at
494 least one of the three FCT pair conditions (*t*-test). Figure 4 shows population
495 histograms of neurons with and without choice-predictive activity. PFC neurons with
496 choice-predictive activity also showed directionally selective persistent delay-period
497 activity. On the other hand, neurons without choice-predictive activity were activated
498 only during cue presentation, and did not exhibit persistent delay-period activity.

Based on this difference in task-related activity between neurons with and without choice-predictive activity, we further compared these two groups in terms of the persistence of activation (Fig. 5). We first quantified the strength of directionally selective persistent activity during the delay period of the ICT for each neuron by calculating the ROC value between T_{in} and T_{out} cue trials at the middle of the delay (1000–1500 ms from the start of the delay period of the ICT). When compared among all of the directionally selective neurons (Fig. 5a, $n = 84$), the strength of directionally selective persistent activity in the ICT was closely correlated (Pearson's $R=0.345$, $p<.001$) with the strength of the choice-predictive difference in activity in the cue and pre-cue periods of the FCT (quantified by the ROC value calculated between T_{in} and T_{out} trials within -1000 to 500 ms from cue onset, pair conditions collapsed). When compared between groups, choice-predictive neurons had stronger directional selectivity in the delay period in the ICT than choice-unpredictive neurons (Fig. 5c, Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p<.001$). In addition, the choice-predictive neurons showed a higher baseline sustainability of activation even in the pre-cue period (Fig. 5d, t -test, $p<.05$) and a stronger serial correlation of the ISI (Fig. 5e, Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p<.05$). Choice-predictive neurons were characterized by a higher sustainability of activation even between temporally distant time bins (Fig. 5b). The persistence of activation as measured by the baseline sustainability and the serial correlation of the ISI could be important for retention of the spatial information as sustained firing during the delay, and thus can be regarded as a key feature of PFC neurons in spatial

520 working memory function. The coupling of these measures to the presence of
521 choice-predictive activity in the early task epochs of the FCT suggests that the firing
522 properties of PFC neurons that are essential to the memory function might also lead to
523 a distinctive role of these neurons in the selection of spatial locations in a free-choice
524 condition.

525 We examined the possible effect of behavioral difference as well as the difference
526 in receptive field properties between choice-predictive and unpredictable neurons.
527 However, there were no difference between the two groups of neurons in the
528 distribution of the preferred directions (Fig. 5f, Watson's test for homogeneity of
529 circular data, $p > .10$) nor the size of the receptive fields (Fig. 5g, Wilcoxon rank sum
530 test, $p = .21$). Also, two-way ANOVA on the proportion of T_{in} choices revealed no
531 main effects of neuron groups (choice-predictive/unpredictive neurons) and pair
532 conditions, nor the interaction of these two factors (Fig. 5h, $p > .05$ for both main
533 effects and the interaction). One-sample t -tests revealed that the proportion of T_{in}
534 choices was not significantly different from 0.5 in any of the neuron groups and pair
535 conditions (corrected $p > .05$ for all the 2×3 combinations of neuron groups and pair
536 conditions). The same comparison on the difference of the response times between T_{in}
537 choice and T_{out} choice trials also revealed no significant main effects nor interaction
538 of neuron groups and pair conditions (Fig. 5i, $p > .05$ for both main effects and the
539 interaction). One-sample t -tests revealed that the difference of the response times
540 between T_{in} choice and T_{out} choice trials was not significantly different from zero in

any of the neuron groups and pair conditions (corrected $p > .05$ for all the combinations).

Comparison of the Neuronal Activation Pattern in a State Space

To gain further insight into how spatial representations are held and integrated in the network of the PFC to perform a final saccadic response in the FCT, we used a dimensional reduction technique. The change in neuronal activation in each task condition in the ICT and FCT was expressed as a trajectory in a principle component state space (Fig. 6). In the ICT (Fig. 6a), the four trajectories that represented the neuronal activation patterns in T_{in} , T_{ipsi} , T_{contra} and T_{opp} cue trials remained within the neighboring area until the time of cue onset. The trajectory for T_{in} cue trials then started to diverge from those for the other three cue conditions, which reflected a strong transient activity of directionally selective neurons in response to cue presentation (Fig. 3a). In the FCT (Fig. 6b), the trajectories for T_{in} choice and T_{out} choice trials reached slightly distant points in the space even at the beginning of the cue period. After the presentation of the cues, the trajectories for T_{in} choice trials further deviated from those for T_{out} choice trials and tracked similar paths to the trajectory for T_{in} cue trials in the ICT. Conversely, the trajectories for T_{out} choice

559 trials returned to the initial state during the cue and delay periods, in a similar manner
560 to T_{out} cue trials in the ICT.

561 However, in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition, the trajectory for T_{out} choice trials
562 remained relatively adjacent to that for T_{in} choice trials, compared to the other two
563 pair conditions. To evaluate the difference in neuronal activation patterns, we
564 calculated the distance between the trajectories for the T_{in} choice and T_{out} choice trials
565 in each of the three pair conditions in the FCT (Fig. 6c). In the T_{in} vs T_{contra} and T_{in}
566 vs T_{opp} pair conditions, the distance between the trajectories for T_{in} choice and T_{out}
567 choice trials increased in the cue period. In the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition, the distance
568 between T_{in} and T_{out} choice trials remained relatively small in the cue period and
569 gradually increased during the delay period. The average distance between T_{in} and
570 T_{out} trajectories during the cue period was 24.7, 66.5 and 72.8 for the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} , T_{in}
571 vs T_{contra} , and T_{in} vs T_{opp} pair conditions, respectively. Paired t -tests revealed that
572 there was a significantly less distance between the trajectories for T_{in} and T_{out} choice
573 trials in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition than in the other two pair conditions (corrected
574 $p < .001$). The distance between the T_{in} and T_{out} trajectories was also greater in the T_{in}
575 vs T_{opp} pair condition than in the T_{in} vs T_{contra} condition (paired t -test, corrected
576 $p < .001$), but this difference was small. These results support the observation in the
577 population histograms and ROC analysis that choice-predictive activity was

578 established more slowly when the two cues were presented in the same hemifield (T_{in}
579 vs T_{ipsi} pair condition).

580 **Effect of Cue Configuration**

581 Previous analyses suggested that the time course of the establishment of
582 choice-predictive activity was dependent on the configuration of the cues. To
583 investigate how the final spatial representation was constructed in each pair condition
584 of the FCT, we used a between-task correlation analysis on the firing patterns of
585 directionally selective PFC neurons. In this analysis, we examined the correlation of
586 neuronal activity between the FCT and the pre-response period of the ICT. For each
587 task condition and each time bin in the FCT, we calculated the correlation coefficient
588 between the activation of directionally selective PFC neurons ($n = 84$) in that time bin
589 and that in the pre-response period (1000–500 ms before the end of the saccade) of the
590 ICT. As the decision-making process regarding the subsequent saccade direction
591 progressed in the FCT, the correlation coefficient was expected to increase because the
592 activation pattern of PFC neurons should have been similar to that when the animal
593 was ready to make a saccade in the ICT. By measuring the transition of correlation
594 coefficients in each task condition, we tried to clarify how the configuration of the
595 cues might influence the dynamics of visuospatial decision-making in FCT trials.

596 Figure 7 shows the correlation in the neuronal activation pattern between the FCT
597 and the pre-response period of the ICT. We first examined the correlation between the

598 ICT and FCT in which the monkey eventually made a response to the same direction
 599 (correlation between T_{in} cue/choice trials for Fig. 7a, T_{out} cue/choice trials for Fig. 7b).
 600 In the T_{in} vs T_{contra} and T_{in} vs T_{opp} pair conditions, the correlation coefficients started
 601 to rise from zero at around the beginning of the cue period. In the T_{in} vs T_{contra} pair
 602 condition, a significant correlation started to be observed 142 ms before the start of the
 603 cue period in T_{in} choice trials, and 48 ms after cue onset in T_{out} choice trials. In the
 604 T_{in} vs T_{opp} pair condition, a significant correlation started to be observed 90 ms before
 605 and 113 ms after cue onset in T_{in} choice and T_{out} choice trials, respectively. However,
 606 in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition, the development of a correlated activation pattern
 607 was weak. In T_{in} choice trials, the onset of significant correlation was 408 ms after cue
 608 presentation. In T_{out} choice trials, significant correlation that lasted stably during the
 609 delay period was not observed. This result indicates that, in the network of spatially
 610 selective PFC neurons, a sole spatial representation was constructed from the
 611 presented two locations more slowly when the two cues were located in the same
 612 hemifield, consistent with the observation in the previous analyses on population
 613 activity (Fig. 3d) and the neuronal state space (Fig. 6c). Especially, the slower
 614 development of choice-predictive activity in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition may be the
 615 result of the disarranged construction of spatial representation in T_{out} choice trials in
 616 this pair condition.

617 For T_{out} choice trials in the FCT, we also examined the correlation of the neuronal
618 activation pattern with that in T_{in} cue trials in the ICT (Fig. 7c). In all three pair
619 conditions of the FCT, T_{in} cue was presented during the cue period. However, since
620 the final saccade directions were not in the neurons' receptive fields in T_{out} choice
621 trials, the activity of PFC neurons were expected to gradually become different
622 compared to when T_{in} was instructed in the ICT. We confirmed this prediction as an
623 early negative correlation of the neuronal activation patterns in the T_{in} vs T_{contra} and
624 T_{in} vs T_{opp} pair conditions. In T_{out} choice trials, the population activity of PFC
625 neurons started to differ from that in T_{in} cue trials in the ICT at 296 ms and 158 ms
626 before the onset of the cues in the T_{in} vs T_{contra} and T_{in} vs T_{opp} pair conditions,
627 respectively. In contrast, the onset of a significant negative correlation was 941 ms
628 after cue onset (441 ms after the start of the delay period) in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair
629 condition. This means that the activation state of PFC neurons in T_{out} choice trials in
630 the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition remained indistinguishable from that in T_{in} cue trials in
631 the ICT for a longer period. This result also suggested that the construction of spatial
632 representation for T_{out} was slower in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition than in the other
633 pair conditions.

634 **Discussion**

635 In the present study, we investigated the role of spatially selective PFC neurons in
636 animal's decision about saccade direction in a free choice condition. When T_{in} was
637 later chosen as a saccade direction, PFC neurons were strongly activated by cue
638 presentation despite the presence of another cue outside their preferred direction.
639 Choice-predictive activity was distinct from the very beginning of the cue period and
640 was observed even before cue onset.

641 The positive correlation between the strength of the delay-period activity in the
642 ICT (memory-related activity) and the strength of the choice-predictive activity in the
643 cue and pre-cue periods of the FCT (decision-related activity) revealed that PFC
644 neurons with stronger memory-related activity in the ICT tended to show stronger
645 choice-predictive activity in the peri-cue periods of the FCT. The stronger
646 sustainability of firing in neurons with choice-predictive activity suggested that
647 memory and decision functions are supported by a common feature of PFC neurons to
648 sustain their activation state within the circuitry. In addition, when to-be-chosen T_{out}
649 was located in a hemifield different from that in which T_{in} was located, the transient
650 response to cue presentation was weak. However, when to-be-chosen T_{out} was in the
651 same hemifield as T_{in} , the cue-period activity was stronger, even though the neuron's
652 preferred direction was not going to be chosen. This indicates that unnecessary spatial
653 information tended to be suppressed from the beginning of its representation in the

654 PFC, but this adaptive modulation was modest if the two spatial locations were in the
655 same hemifield. Our present study revealed that the role of the PFC in
656 decision-making process is closely linked to its role in information maintenance
657 process, and these different processes share the same functional characteristics that
658 emerged from the underlying cellular mechanism.

659 **Activity of PFC Neurons Related to the Animal's Decision**

660 In the present study, we found that the strength of neurons' activity in pre-cue and cue
661 periods was correlated with the animal's subsequent decision regarding the saccade
662 direction (choice-predictive activity). However, in the FCT, one of the six pair
663 conditions was randomly assigned in each trial. Also, FCT trials were randomly
664 intermingled with ICT trials. Only after cue presentation could the animal know
665 whether they were allowed to choose the saccade direction by themselves or where the
666 options for the choice would be. Therefore, it was impossible for the animal to make a
667 reasonable decision before cue onset. We propose that this early choice-predictive
668 activity can be explained as an influence of fluctuating neuronal firing before the start
669 of a trial. In each trial, the activity of directionally selective neurons can randomly
670 fluctuate during the pre-cue period. This fluctuation of activity can be regarded as
671 baseline random noise in spatial representation in the PFC. If the activity of neurons
672 that are responsible for a particular direction happen to be elevated during the pre-cue
673 period of an FCT trial, these neurons should be able to more quickly respond to the
674 presentation of cues one of which appeared at their preferred direction. In the network

675 of the PFC, neurons responsible to different spatial locations have inhibitory
676 connections, so that the PFC retains only the most relevant spatial information in a
677 winner-take-all manner (Rao et al. 1999; Compte et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2004).
678 Therefore, the faster construction of a spatial representation will disturb the formation
679 of other spatial representations through mutual competition, resulting in the adoption
680 of the prematurely biased location as the direction of the saccade in the current trial.
681 As a result, trials in which directionally selective PFC neurons show slightly stronger
682 activation before the cue period should be over-represented among trials in which their
683 preferred direction was later chosen by the animal.

684 Previous studies have reported the activity of neurons in the PFC, frontal eye field,
685 supplemental eye field and lateral intraparietal cortex using memory-guided
686 (Watanabe et al. 2006; Watanabe and Funahashi 2007) or visually guided (Coe et al.
687 2002) free choice tasks. However, in these studies, a same set of fixed locations were
688 repeatedly presented in a block of trials. Therefore, a detailed investigation of the time
689 course of neuronal activity and the interpretation of its role in decision-making were
690 difficult since the animal could easily predict the available options independently of
691 the progress of a trial. Also, in those task setups, the animal could exhibit a strong
692 tendency or strategy to repeatedly choose the same option. Therefore, the previous
693 studies used particular reinforcement rules to prohibit the animal from choosing the
694 same option repeatedly, and forced the animal to choose different options. This
695 procedure can be regarded as a trained allocation of choices administrated by a reward

696 schedule. In the present study, we intermingled ICT and FCT trials and changed the
697 combination of the options for a decision. In addition, the absolute locations of the
698 four options changed randomly for the animal depending on the receptive field of the
699 neuron. As a result, the monkeys were presented with different decision contexts from
700 trial to trial, and their choices were substantially varied among options without
701 restrictive rules for decision. We propose that our current experimental design is more
702 appropriate for the investigation of the neuronal mechanisms of internally driven
703 decision-making compared to the previous studies.

704 In other cortical areas, a biasing effect of baseline fluctuation of the neuronal
705 activity on the subsequent animal's behavior has been reported (Platt and Glimcher
706 1999; Shadlen and Newsome 2001). For example, Shadlen and Newsome (2001)
707 reported that the activity of primate lateral intraparietal neurons before the onset of
708 random-dot motion stimulus was higher when motion coherency was weak and the
709 neurons' preferred motion direction was going to be chosen. They argued that this was
710 because the existing neuronal fluctuation before stimulus presentation biased the
711 subsequent competition between the representations of different motion directions.
712 Rolls and Deco (2011) recently reported that this kind of bias based on random
713 fluctuation could actually take place in an integrate-and-fire attractor network model.
714 They confirmed the relationship between pre-existing random fluctuation in
715 spontaneous activity and the result of the subsequent neuronal competition in an
716 artificial network in which there was no potential confounding such as a subject's

717 specific behavioral strategies. Our present results are in accord with these previous
718 reports.

719 **Relationship between Decision-Making and Memory**

720 **Maintenance**

721 We found that neurons with choice-predictive activity during cue and pre-cue periods
722 showed higher sustainability of firing such as an elevated delay-period activity (Fig. 4
723 and 5). The persistent delay-period activity of PFC neurons while the monkey is
724 remembering a particular spatial location is thought to be the neural basis of spatial
725 working memory (Funahashi et al. 1989, 1990; Goldman-Rakic et al. 1990; Miller and
726 Cohen 2001; Fuster 2008). An elevated firing rate sustained during several seconds of
727 delay is not likely to be supported only by subcellular mechanisms, and is instead
728 attributed to the network property of the PFC with recurrent feedback inputs
729 (Constantinidis and Wang 2004; Wang 2013). We propose that the strong correlation
730 between memory-related activity (persistent delay-period activity in the ICT) and
731 decision-related activity (choice-predictive activity in the FCT) is a consequence of
732 this network property of the PFC. Since PFC neurons are mutually interconnected, the
733 incidental activation of a group of neurons before the start of a trial could persist for
734 some time through this network. Heterogeneity in the activation level among neurons
735 might further be amplified during the pre-cue period through mutual facilitation and
736 competitive inhibition of neurons with the same and different directional selectivities,

737 respectively. The premature imbalance of activation will then result in a difference in
738 the strength of cue representations in the cue period and the animal's final choice
739 toward the strongly represented direction in the FCT.

740 In recent electrophysiological research, there has been a debate about the role of
741 the lateral PFC in spatial information processing. Several studies have proposed that
742 lateral PFC is more related to the spatial attention than spatial working memory
743 (Lebedev et al. 2004; Messinger et al. 2009; Everling et al. 2002; DeSouza and
744 Everling 2004; Lennert and Martinez-Trujillo 2011, 2013; Tremblay et al. 2015). For
745 instance, by using a behavioral task in which a location to remember and a location to
746 allocate a visually-guided attention are separated, Lebedev et al. (2004) showed that
747 large proportion of lateral PFC neurons are selective to attended rather than
748 remembered spatial location. In their study, spatial working memory process was
749 depicted as maintenance memory, and separated from attention process by preventing
750 the animal from paying attention to the remembered location. However, the concept of
751 working memory includes both active maintenance and manipulation of information
752 (Baddeley and Hitch 1974; Baddeley 1986, 2003). Working memory tasks in animals
753 (Dudchenko 2004) and humans (Miyake et al. 2000) typically consist of attentional
754 shifting, updating or inhibitory control of the maintained information. This is because
755 a mere maintenance of information is unlikely in a variety of cognitive operations, and
756 the maintenance of task-relevant information necessarily requires attentional control.
757 This joint formularization of memory and attention is the essence of the working

758 memory, and the validity of working memory concept in clinical, developmental and
759 experimental psychology (Baddeley 2003; Saperstein et al. 2006; Conway et al. 2003;
760 Kane and Engle 2003) suggests that maintenance and attentional manipulation of
761 information can not be dissociated as independent processes.

762 Based on these psychological backgrounds, the elucidation of how maintenance
763 and manipulation of information are simultaneously and jointly performed in the
764 activity of cortical neurons is essential for the understanding of the neuronal
765 mechanism of working memory. Therefore, in the present study, we used a traditional
766 memory-guided saccade task combined with subjective decision-making process. As a
767 result, we observed that fluctuation of the activity of PFC neurons before cue
768 presentation induced an early bias in the representation of spatial cues, and eventually
769 influenced the animal's decision. Importantly, this task-related activity was correlated
770 with more fundamental firing characteristic of PFC neurons to sustain its activation
771 state for relatively longer period. If there is no such persistence in neuronal activity,
772 the premature fluctuation of activity before a trial could not survive until presentation
773 of the cues and should never influence the animal's behavior. In this sense, the
774 capability of the PFC network to maintain spatial information played a pivotal role in
775 the decision-making process under a free-choice condition. This is a succinct example
776 that the network property of the PFC that enables the maintenance of information can
777 be regarded as a key feature in understanding the PFC's roles in other cognitive
778 processes (Procyk and Goldman-Rakic 2006; Wang 2008). Especially, the effect of

779 pre-existing neural state on subsequent decision-making is a prevailing subject in
780 recent noninvasive electrophysiological studies in human (Hesselmann et al. 2008;
781 Bode et al. 2012; Bengson et al. 2014). Our present report directly demonstrated the
782 neuronal underpinning of such phenomena from the viewpoint of the known function
783 and characteristics of PFC neuron's activity, and also showed that the influence of
784 pre-existing fluctuation takes place in the order of hundreds milliseconds in
785 task-related neuronal activity. Our report provides a clue for integrated understanding
786 of lateral PFC's role in spatial decision-making and working memory functions, from
787 a viewpoint of basic characteristics of neuronal firing in this cortical area.

788 **Competition of Spatial Representations Within or Between**

789 **Hemifields**

790 In previous studies regarding the role of the PFC in working memory function, a single
791 visual cue has been used to inform the animals of the location to be remembered for an
792 upcoming saccade (Boch and Goldberg 1989; Funahashi et al. 1989, 1990; Rainer et al.
793 1998). In these studies, PFC neurons with mnemonic visuospatial activity tended to
794 have directional selectivity toward locations contralateral to the side of the hemisphere
795 being recorded. A unilateral lesion to the PFC was reported to result in disrupted
796 performance of the memory-guided saccade to the contralateral hemifield (Funahashi
797 et al. 1993a). These findings suggest that the PFC is organized to participate in the
798 processing of spatial information in the contralateral hemifield (Funahashi 2013).

799 The activity of PFC neurons during the performance of a memory-guided saccade
800 task in which the monkeys chose the saccade direction by themselves has been
801 previously reported (Watanabe et al. 2006; Watanabe and Funahashi 2007). However,
802 in those experiments, the locations of the cues were fixed to the four perpendicular
803 directions and all the four cues were repeatedly presented in a block of trials.
804 Therefore, the influence of contralateral organization of the PFC on the representation
805 of multiple pieces of spatial information could not be examined. In the present study,
806 we compared the time course of the emergence of choice-predictive activation among
807 the three FCT pair conditions. We found that choice-predictive activity developed
808 more slowly in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition than in the T_{in} vs T_{contra}/T_{opp} conditions
809 (Fig. 6). The slow construction of the final spatial representation was especially
810 obvious in T_{out} choice trials in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition (Fig. 7). We propose that
811 this stronger representation of the unchosen direction when the two cues are located in
812 the same hemifield is the result of the contralateral organization of the PFC. Since
813 neurons with directional selectivity toward a particular side of the visual space are
814 assembled in the contralateral hemisphere of the PFC, they may be more tightly
815 interconnected through local circuits than neurons with preferences for different
816 hemifields, which are more likely to be distributed in different hemispheres and thus
817 require callosal connections to interact with each other. A recent study on the
818 concurrent memorization of multiple spatial locations has also suggested a stronger
819 interaction between spatial representations within the same hemifield (Matsushima and

820 Tanaka 2014). Through this stronger local connection, the spontaneous fluctuation of
821 neuronal activity can be more frequently shared by neurons with a preference for the
822 same hemifield. The shared fluctuation between neurons before the start of a trial can
823 be regarded as unbiased pre-existing spatial representation, which leads to strong
824 representations of both subsequently chosen and unchosen locations in response to the
825 presented cues. In contrast, the pre-existing activation levels may vary between
826 neurons with preferences for locations in different hemifields, which can then cause
827 suppression of the representation of the subsequently unchosen location by amplifying
828 the premature bias. Therefore, the difference in the time course of the development of
829 choice-predictive activity among pair conditions can be explained by uneven
830 lateralization of directionally selective neurons in the PFC. Future studies with
831 simultaneous recordings of PFC neurons are needed for quantitative investigation of
832 correlated fluctuation in the spontaneous activity of spatially selective neurons.

833 **Grants**

834 This research was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (21240024 and
835 25240021) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology
836 (MEXT) of Japan to S. F., and by a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (23·7155) from the
837 Japan Society for the Promotion of Science to K. M. The animals were provided by the
838 National BioResource Project “Japanese Monkeys” supported by the MEXT, Japan.

839 **Disclosures**

840 There are no competing financial interests.

841

842 **References**

- 843 Baddeley A. *Working Memory*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 1986.
- 844 Baddeley A. Working memory: looking back and looking forward. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 4: 829–839, 2003.
- 845 Baddeley AD, Hitch G. Working memory. In: *Recent Advances in Learning and Motivation.*, edited by
846 Bower GH, New York: Academic Press, 1974, volume 8 of *Psychology of Learning and*
847 *Motivation*, pp. 47–89.
- 848 Bengson JJ, Kelley TA, Zhang X, Wang JL, Mangun GR. Spontaneous neural fluctuations predict
849 decisions to attend. *J Cogn Neurosci* 26: 2578–2584, 2014.
- 850 Boch RA, Goldberg ME. Participation of prefrontal neurons in the preparation of visually guided eye
851 movements in the rhesus monkey. *J Neurophysiol* 61: 1064–1084, 1989.
- 852 Bode S, Sewell DK, Lilburn S, Forte JD, Smith PL, Stahl J. Predicting perceptual decision biases from
853 early brain activity. *J Neurosci* 32: 12488–12498, 2012.
- 854 Briggman KL, Abarbanel HDI, Kristan WB. Optical imaging of neuronal populations during
855 decision-making. *Science* 307: 896–901, 2005.
- 856 Britten KH, Shadlen MN, Newsome WT, Movshon JA. The analysis of visual motion: a comparison of
857 neuronal and psychophysical performance. *J Neurosci* 12: 4745–4765, 1992.
- 858 Broome BM, Jayaraman V, Laurent G. Encoding and decoding of overlapping odor sequences. *Neuron*
859 51: 467–482, 2006.
- 860 Churchland MM, Yu BM, Sahani M, Shenoy KV. Techniques for extracting single-trial activity patterns
861 from large-scale neural recordings. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 17: 609–618, 2007.
- 862 Coe B, Tomihara K, Matsuzawa M, Hikosaka O. Visual and anticipatory bias in three cortical eye fields
863 of the monkey during an adaptive decision-making task. *J Neurosci* 22: 5081–5090, 2002.
- 864 Compte A, Brunel N, Goldman-Rakic PS, Wang XJ. Synaptic mechanisms and network dynamics
865 underlying spatial working memory in a cortical network model. *Cereb Cortex* 10: 910–923, 2000.
- 866 Constantinidis C, Franowicz MN, Goldman-Rakic PS. Coding specificity in cortical microcircuits: a
867 multiple-electrode analysis of primate prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci* 21: 3646–3655, 2001a.
- 868 Constantinidis C, Franowicz MN, Goldman-Rakic PS. The sensory nature of mnemonic representation
869 in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 4: 311–316, 2001b.
- 870 Constantinidis C, Wang XJ. A neural circuit basis for spatial working memory. *Neuroscientist* 10:
871 553–565, 2004.
- 872 Conway ARA, Kane MJ, Engle RW. Working memory capacity and its relation to general intelligence.
873 *Trends Cogn Sci* 7: 547–552, 2003.

874 Courtney SM, Petit L, Maisog JM, Ungerleider LG, Haxby JV. An area specialized for spatial working
875 memory in human frontal cortex. *Science* 279: 1347–1351, 1998.

876 DeSouza JFX, Everling S. Focused attention modulates visual responses in the primate prefrontal cortex.
877 *J Neurophysiol* 91: 855–862, 2004.

878 D’Esposito M, Postle BR. The dependence of span and delayed-response performance on prefrontal
879 cortex. *Neuropsychologia* 37: 1303–1315, 1999.

880 Dudchenko PA. An overview of the tasks used to test working memory in rodents. *Neurosci Biobehav*
881 *Rev* 28: 699–709, 2004.

882 Everling S, Tinsley CJ, Gaffan D, Duncan J. Filtering of neural signals by focused attention in the
883 monkey prefrontal cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 5: 671–676, 2002.

884 Frith CD, Friston K, Liddle PF, Frackowiak RS. Willed action and the prefrontal cortex in man: a study
885 with PET. *Proc Biol Sci* 244: 241–246, 1991.

886 Funahashi S. Space representation in the prefrontal cortex. *Prog Neurobiol* 103: 131–155, 2013.

887 Funahashi S, Bruce CJ, Goldman-Rakic PS. Mnemonic coding of visual space in the monkey’s
888 dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 61: 331–349, 1989.

889 Funahashi S, Bruce CJ, Goldman-Rakic PS. Visuospatial coding in primate prefrontal neurons revealed
890 by oculomotor paradigms. *J Neurophysiol* 63: 814–831, 1990.

891 Funahashi S, Bruce CJ, Goldman-Rakic PS. Neuronal activity related to saccadic eye movements in the
892 monkey’s dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 65: 1464–1483, 1991.

893 Funahashi S, Bruce CJ, Goldman-Rakic PS. Dorsolateral prefrontal lesions and oculomotor
894 delayed-response performance: evidence for mnemonic “scotomas”. *J Neurosci* 13: 1479–1497,
895 1993a.

896 Funahashi S, Chafee MV, Goldman-Rakic PS. Prefrontal neuronal activity in rhesus monkeys
897 performing a delayed anti-saccade task. *Nature* 365: 753–756, 1993b.

898 Fuster JM. *The Prefrontal Cortex*. San Diego: Academic Press, 2008, 4th edition.

899 Goldman-Rakic PS, Funahashi S, Bruce CJ. Neocortical memory circuits. *Cold Spring Harb Symp*
900 *Quant Biol* 55: 1025–1038, 1990.

901 Haynes JD, Sakai K, Rees G, Gilbert S, Frith C, Passingham RE. Reading hidden intentions in the
902 human brain. *Curr Biol* 17: 323–328, 2007.

903 Hesselmann G, Kell CA, Eger E, Kleinschmidt A. Spontaneous local variations in ongoing neural
904 activity bias perceptual decisions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105: 10984–10989, 2008.

905 Hyder F, Phelps EA, Wiggins CJ, Labar KS, Blamire AM, Shulman RG. “Willed action”: a functional
906 MRI study of the human prefrontal cortex during a sensorimotor task. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
907 94: 6989–6994, 1997.

908 Judge SJ, Richmond BJ, Chu FC. Implantation of magnetic search coils for measurement of eye
909 position: an improved method. *Vision Res* 20: 535–538, 1980.

910 Kane MJ, Engle RW. Working-memory capacity and the control of attention: the contributions of goal
911 neglect, response competition, and task set to stroop interference. *J Exp Psychol Gen* 132: 47–70,
912 2003.

913 Kenet T, Bibitchkov D, Tsodyks M, Grinvald A, Arieli A. Spontaneously emerging cortical
914 representations of visual attributes. *Nature* 425: 954–956, 2003.

915 Lau HC, Rogers RD, Haggard P, Passingham RE. Attention to intention. *Science* 303: 1208–1210,
916 2004a.

917 Lau HC, Rogers RD, Ramnani N, Passingham RE. Willed action and attention to the selection of action.
918 *Neuroimage* 21: 1407–1415, 2004b.

919 Lebedev MA, Messinger A, Kralik JD, Wise SP. Representation of attended versus remembered
920 locations in prefrontal cortex. *PLoS Biol* 2: e365, 2004.

921 Lennert T, Martinez-Trujillo J. Strength of response suppression to distracter stimuli determines
922 attentional-filtering performance in primate prefrontal neurons. *Neuron* 70: 141–152, 2011.

923 Lennert T, Martinez-Trujillo JC. Prefrontal neurons of opposite spatial preference display distinct target
924 selection dynamics. *J Neurosci* 33: 9520–9529, 2013.

925 Martinez-Conde S, Macknik SL, Hubel DH. Microsaccadic eye movements and firing of single cells in
926 the striate cortex of macaque monkeys. *Nat Neurosci* 3: 251–258, 2000.

927 Matsushima A, Tanaka M. Different neuronal computations of spatial working memory for multiple
928 locations within versus across visual hemifields. *J Neurosci* 34: 5621–5626, 2014.

929 Messinger A, Lebedev MA, Kralik JD, Wise SP. Multitasking of attention and memory functions in the
930 primate prefrontal cortex. *J Neurosci* 29: 5640–5653, 2009.

931 Miller EK, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 24:
932 167–202, 2001.

933 Miyake A, Friedman NP, Emerson MJ, Witzki AH, Howerter A, Wager TD. The unity and diversity of
934 executive functions and their contributions to complex "frontal lobe" tasks: a latent variable
935 analysis. *Cogn Psychol* 41: 49–100, 2000.

936 Mochizuki K, Funahashi S. Opposing history effect of preceding decision and action in the free choice
937 of saccade direction. *J Neurophysiol* 112: 923–932, 2014.

938 Mottaghy FM, Gangitano M, Sparing R, Krause BJ, Pascual-Leone A. Segregation of areas related to
939 visual working memory in the prefrontal cortex revealed by rTMS. *Cereb Cortex* 12: 369–375,
940 2002.

941 Müller NG, Machado L, Knight RT. Contributions of subregions of the prefrontal cortex to working
942 memory: evidence from brain lesions in humans. *J Cogn Neurosci* 14: 673–686, 2002.

- 943 Ogawa T, Komatsu H. Differential temporal storage capacity in the baseline activity of neurons in
944 macaque frontal eye field and area V4. *J Neurophysiol* 103: 2433–2445, 2010.
- 945 Platt ML, Glimcher PW. Neural correlates of decision variables in parietal cortex. *Nature* 400: 233–238,
946 1999.
- 947 Procyk E, Goldman-Rakic PS. Modulation of dorsolateral prefrontal delay activity during self-organized
948 behavior. *J Neurosci* 26: 11313–11323, 2006.
- 949 R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical
950 Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2015.
- 951 Rainer G, Asaad WF, Miller EK. Memory fields of neurons in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Proc Natl*
952 *Acad Sci U S A* 95: 15008–15013, 1998.
- 953 Rao SG, Williams GV, Goldman-Rakic PS. Isodirectional tuning of adjacent interneurons and
954 pyramidal cells during working memory: evidence for microcolumnar organization in PFC. *J*
955 *Neurophysiol* 81: 1903–1916, 1999.
- 956 Robinson DA. A method of measuring eye movement using a scleral search coil in a magnetic field.
957 *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 10: 137–145, 1963.
- 958 Rolls ET, Deco G. Prediction of decisions from noise in the brain before the evidence is provided. *Front*
959 *Neurosci* 5: 33, 2011.
- 960 Rowe JB, Toni I, Josephs O, Frackowiak RS, Passingham RE. The prefrontal cortex: response selection
961 or maintenance within working memory? *Science* 288: 1656–1660, 2000.
- 962 Sakai K, Rowe JB, Passingham RE. Active maintenance in prefrontal area 46 creates distractor-resistant
963 memory. *Nat Neurosci* 5: 479–484, 2002.
- 964 Saperstein AM, Fuller RL, Avila MT, Adami H, McMahon RP, Thaker GK, Gold JM. Spatial working
965 memory as a cognitive endophenotype of schizophrenia: assessing risk for pathophysiological
966 dysfunction. *Schizophr Bull* 32: 498–506, 2006.
- 967 Sawaguchi T, Iba M. Prefrontal cortical representation of visuospatial working memory in monkeys
968 examined by local inactivation with muscimol. *J Neurophysiol* 86: 2041–2053, 2001.
- 969 Shadlen MN, Newsome WT. Motion perception: seeing and deciding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93:
970 628–633, 1996.
- 971 Shadlen MN, Newsome WT. Neural basis of a perceptual decision in the parietal cortex (area LIP) of
972 the rhesus monkey. *J Neurophysiol* 86: 1916–1936, 2001.
- 973 Shenoy KV, Sahani M, Churchland MM. Cortical control of arm movements: a dynamical systems
974 perspective. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 36: 337–359, 2013.
- 975 Soon CS, Brass M, Heinze HJ, Haynes JD. Unconscious determinants of free decisions in the human
976 brain. *Nat Neurosci* 11: 543–545, 2008.

977 Tremblay S, Pieper F, Sachs A, Martinez-Trujillo J. Attentional filtering of visual information by
978 neuronal ensembles in the primate lateral prefrontal cortex. *Neuron* 85: 202–215, 2015.

979 Tsodyks M, Kenet T, Grinvald A, Arieli A. Linking spontaneous activity of single cortical neurons and
980 the underlying functional architecture. *Science* 286: 1943–1946, 1999.

981 Wang XJ. Decision making in recurrent neuronal circuits. *Neuron* 60: 215–234, 2008.

982 Wang XJ. The prefrontal cortex as a quintessential “cognitive-type” neural circuit: Working memory
983 and decision making. In: *Principles of Frontal Lobe Function.*, edited by Stuss DT, Knight RT,
984 Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2013.

985 Wang XJ, Tegnér J, Constantinidis C, Goldman-Rakic PS. Division of labor among distinct subtypes of
986 inhibitory neurons in a cortical microcircuit of working memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101:
987 1368–1373, 2004.

988 Watanabe K, Funahashi S. Prefrontal delay-period activity reflects the decision process of a saccade
989 direction during a free-choice ODR task. *Cereb Cortex* 17 Suppl 1: i88–100, 2007.

990 Watanabe K, Igaki S, Funahashi S. Contributions of prefrontal cue-, delay-, and response-period activity
991 to the decision process of saccade direction in a free-choice ODR task. *Neural Netw* 19:
992 1203–1222, 2006.

993 Zarah E, Aguirre G, D’Esposito M. Replication and further studies of neural mechanisms of spatial
994 mnemonic processing in humans. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* 9: 1–17, 2000.

995 Zarah E, Aguirre GK, D’Esposito M. Temporal isolation of the neural correlates of spatial mnemonic
996 processing with fMRI. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* 7: 255–268, 1999.

997

998 **Figure Captions**

999

1000 Figure 1:

1001 **(a)** Task configuration. Schematic illustration of the two tasks. In the ICT, the monkey
1002 was required to make a memory-guided saccade toward the cued location. In the FCT,
1003 the monkey needed to choose one of two cued locations before making a saccade. **(b)**
1004 Preference indices (bars) and response times (lines) of each monkey averaged across
1005 eight bins of absolute directions. Preference index was expected to be 0.25 if the
1006 monkey does not exhibit directional preference. **(c)** Choice proportions and response
1007 times in the FCT for rotated cue locations relative to the receptive field of the neurons.
1008 Proportion of T_{in} choice (left) was calculated for each of the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} , T_{in} vs T_{contra} ,
1009 and T_{in} vs T_{opp} pair condition, along with the total proportion calculated by collapsing
1010 the pair conditions. Response times (middle) and normalized response times (right)
1011 were averaged for each response direction, along with the grand average by collapsing
1012 all the directions.

1013

1014 Figure 2:

1015 Activity of two representative neurons. Neuronal firing in each condition was plotted
 1016 separately for the ICT (top row) and three pair conditions that included T_{in} as one of
 1017 the two cues in the FCT (bottom three rows; T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} , T_{in} vs T_{contra} , and T_{in} vs
 1018 T_{opp} conditions). The left half of each panel is aligned to the time from cue onset and
 1019 the right half is aligned to saccade offset. Different colors in the histograms and
 1020 rastergrams correspond to different directions of saccades. Both neurons exhibited
 1021 directionally selective transient activation to presentation of the cue in the ICT. In each
 1022 pair condition of the FCT, the left neuron (**a**) exhibited nearly equivalent activation to
 1023 the presented cues regardless of which cue location was chosen later in that trial. In the
 1024 right neuron (**b**), the strength of the transient response to the cues in the FCT was
 1025 significantly larger when it was followed by the animal choosing the neuron's
 1026 preferred direction, even though the T_{in} cue was presented along with the T_{out} cue in
 1027 all three pair conditions.

1028

1029 Figure 3:

1030 Population histograms and the change in ROC values in directionally selective
 1031 cue-period neurons. (**a, c**) Averaged histograms and the ROC transition in the ICT.
 1032 Fifty-nine neurons exhibited a significant directionally selective transient response to
 1033 the cue in the ICT. Different colors indicate different directions of saccades. In the ICT,
 1034 neuronal activity decreased with presentation of the cue at a location other than the

neuron's preferred direction (T_{in}), but the strength of the suppression was equivalent in the three T_{out} conditions. **(b, d)** Averaged histograms and the ROC transition in the FCT. In the FCT, the neurons exhibited differential activation that predicted the animal's subsequent choice of saccade direction. Different colors indicate the three pair conditions under investigation in the FCT. Solid and dotted lines in the histograms indicate the choice of the T_{in} and T_{out} directions later in that trial, respectively. The difference between solid and dotted lines with the same color (choice-predictive activity) was evident in the cue period, but actually started to appear before cue onset. An ROC analysis showed the same result. The increase in the ROC values from 0.5 started 750 ms before the start of the cue period.

1045

1046 Figure 4:

Population histograms of neurons with and without choice-predictive activity in the pre-cue and cue periods. Conventions for the histograms are the same as those in Fig. 2. Activity for T_{in} (solid) and T_{out} (dotted) choice trials in the three FCT pair conditions were plotted separately for choice-predictive (**a**, $n = 38$) and unpredictable (**b**, $n = 21$) neurons. Choice-predictive neurons also exhibited directionally selective activity during the delay period.

1053

1054 Figure 5:

1055 Characteristics of firing properties of choice-predictive neurons. **(a)** Correlation
1056 between persistent directionally selective activity and choice-predictive activity. The
1057 strength of directionally selective activity in the delay period of the ICT (transverse
1058 axis, ROC values between T_{in} and T_{out} trials in 1000–1500 ms after the start of the
1059 delay period) was closely correlated with the strength of choice-predictive activity in
1060 the pre-cue and cue periods of the FCT (vertical axis, ROC values between T_{in} and
1061 T_{out} trials in -1000 to 500 ms from cue onset). **(b)** Comparison of baseline
1062 sustainability between choice-predictive (black) and choice-unpredictive (gray)
1063 neurons using different lengths of intervals. Choice-predictive neurons were
1064 characterized by a greater sustainability of activation even when the two bins were
1065 separated by a long interval. **(c–i)** Comparison of firing properties and animal's
1066 behavior between choice-predictive and unpredictable neurons. **(c)** Strength of
1067 persistent delay-period activity in the ICT. **(d)** Baseline sustainability for 400-ms
1068 interval. **(e)** Serial correlation of ISI. **(f)** Absolute direction of the receptive field (μ).
1069 Dots indicate the center of the receptive field and error bars indicate the size. Zero
1070 corresponds to horizontal direction contralateral to the recorded hemisphere, and
1071 positive and negative values indicate upper and lower direction from the horizontal
1072 meridian, respectively. **(g)** Size of the receptive field ($1/\sqrt{\beta}$). **(h)** Proportion of T_{in}
1073 choice in each pair condition and total proportion of T_{in} choice by collapsing pair

1074 conditions. **(i)** Difference of response times between T_{in} choice and T_{out} choice trials.
1075 Choice-predictive neurons were characterized by their high persistence of activation
1076 **(c–e)** compared to choice-unpredictive neurons, without differences in absolute
1077 direction and size of the receptive fields **(f, g)** and the animal's behavior **(h, i)**.

1078

1079 Figure 6:

1080 Dynamics of neuronal activation using the state space based on a principal component
1081 analysis. **(a, b)** The activity of PFC neurons in each of the ICT **(a)** and FCT **(b)**
1082 conditions are shown as trajectories inside a 3-D principal component space. The
1083 activity around the cue and delay periods (from the start of the fixation period to 1500
1084 ms after the start of the delay period) in both the ICT and FCT was collectively used to
1085 construct a state space. The letters in the panels show the start of the pre-cue (P), cue
1086 (C) and delay (D) periods, respectively. In the ICT **(a)**, the activity of PFC neurons
1087 were indistinguishable at the start of the cue period. The trajectory in T_{in} cue condition
1088 then started to diverge from that in T_{out} cue conditions. In the FCT **(b)**, the trajectories
1089 for T_{in} choice trials took similar courses to those for T_{in} cue condition in the ICT,
1090 while those for T_{out} choice trials resembled those for T_{out} cue conditions in the ICT.
1091 However, there was little separation between the trajectories for the T_{in} and T_{out}
1092 choice trials in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition compared to the other pair conditions. **(c)**

1093 The distance between the trajectories for T_{in} choice and T_{out} choice trials in the state
1094 space. The trajectories for trials with different choices immediately diverged from each
1095 other in the cue period in the T_{in} vs T_{contra}/T_{opp} conditions, but not in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi}
1096 condition.

1097


1098 Figure 7:

1099 Construction of spatial representation in the FCT. **(a, b)** Each line shows the
1100 correlation coefficients between the neuronal activation pattern at each time bin in a
1101 given FCT condition and that of the pre-response period in a corresponding ICT
1102 condition in which the monkey made the same response. Data are separately plotted
1103 for T_{in} choice **(a)** and T_{out} choice **(b)** trials. Different colors indicate different pair
1104 conditions in the FCT. Thick solid lines show ranges of significant correlation in each
1105 task condition. Triangles at the top show the onset of significant correlation that lasted
1106 through the delay period. In the T_{in} vs T_{contra}/T_{opp} pair conditions, significant
1107 correlation began around the start of the cue period. In the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition,
1108 significant correlation was observed at the end of the cue period in T_{in} choice trials
1109 and was not observed in T_{out} choice trials. **(c)** Result of a similar correlation analysis
1110 calculated between T_{out} choice trials in the FCT and T_{in} cue trials in the ICT. The
1111 neuronal activation pattern in FCT trials with T_{out} choice started to diverge from that


1112 in ICT trials with a T_{in} cue before the start of the cue period in the T_{in} vs T_{contra}/T_{opp}
1113 conditions. However, a significant negative correlation was not observed until the
1114 delay period in the T_{in} vs T_{ipsi} pair condition.
1115

Fig. 1

(a) Behavioral tasks



(b) Task performance for absolute directions



(c) Task performance for relative directions in the FCT




Fig. 2




Fig. 3





Fig. 4

(a) Choice-predictive neurons



(b) Choice-unpredictive neurons




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

