

Properties of q -Gaussian measures related to the isoperimetric and concentration profiles

Asuka Takatsu *

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University

1 Introduction

This note is devoted to properties related to the isoperimetric profile and the concentration profile of a non-Gaussian probability measure, in particular q -Gaussian measures, on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We always assume that any measure and any set are Borel.

On one hand, the isoperimetric profile of a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ describes the relation between the volume $\mu(A)$ and the *boundary measure* $\mu^+(A) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mu[A^\varepsilon \setminus A]/\varepsilon$ of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $A^\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \inf_{a \in A} |x - a| < \varepsilon\}$ denotes the ε -open neighborhood of A with respect to the standard Euclidean metric $|\cdot|$. To be precise, the *isoperimetric profile* $I[\mu]$ is the function on $[0, 1]$ defined by

$$I[\mu](a) := \inf \{ \mu^+(A) \mid A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } \mu(A) = a \}.$$

We sometimes consider $I[\mu]$ only on $[0, 1/2]$ since a given set and its complement may have the same boundary measure under suitable conditions.

On the other hand, the concentration profile of a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ estimates the volume of the r -open neighborhood of sets having measure $1/2$. To be precise, the *concentration profile* $C[\mu]$ is the function on $[0, \infty)$ defined as

$$C[\mu](r) := \sup \{ 1 - \mu(A^r) \mid A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } \mu(A) \geq 1/2 \}.$$

Note that the both profiles can be defined for a probability measure on a metric space since the definition of the both profiles depend on only a probability measure and a distance function, where we do not take advantage of the Euclidean structure.

It is usually difficult to obtain the isoperimetric profile and the concentration profile of a given probability measure, however the both profiles of the Gaussian measure are known. Here the *Gaussian measure* γ_n is an absolutely continuous measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with density

$$\frac{d\gamma_n}{dx}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

*Supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 24740042.

Theorem 1.1 ([3, Theorem 3.1], [11, Corollary 1]) *It holds for any $a \in [0, 1]$ that*

$$I[\gamma_n](a) = I[\gamma_1](a) = G'(\Phi(a)),$$

where Φ is the inverse function of G which is defined for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$G(r) := \int_{-\infty}^r (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{2}\right) ds = \gamma_1(-\infty, r].$$

Theorem 1.1 easily induces

$$C[\gamma_n](r) = C[\gamma_1](r) = 1 - G(r) = \int_r^\infty (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{2}\right) ds \leq \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2}\right).$$

Since the isoperimetric profile and the concentration profile of γ_n are dimension free, we denote $I := I[\gamma_n]$ and $C := C[\gamma_n]$.

We say that a probability measure μ verifies a *Gaussian isoperimetric inequality* if there exists a positive constant c such that

$$I[\mu](a) \geq cI(a)$$

holds for any $a \in [0, 1]$. Similarly, we say that a probability measure verifies a *Gaussian concentration inequality* if there exist positive constants c and λ such that

$$C[\mu](r) \leq c \exp(-\lambda r^2/2)$$

holds for any $r \geq 0$. If a probability measure verifies a Gaussian isoperimetric inequality, then the probability measure also verifies a Gaussian concentration inequality, which follows from Proposition 1.2 below and the fact that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$I(a) \geq ca\sqrt{\log 1/a}$$

holds for $a \in [0, 1/2]$.

Proposition 1.2 ([6, Proposition 1.7]) *For a continuous function $\sigma : [\log 2, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, let α be the inverse function of*

$$r \mapsto \int_{\log 2}^r \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}(s)} ds, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(s) = \begin{cases} \sigma(s) & \text{if } s \geq \log 2, \\ \sigma(-\log(1 - e^{-s})) & \text{if } s < \log 2. \end{cases}$$

If a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ verifies

$$I[\mu](a) \geq a\sigma(\log 1/a)$$

on $[0, 1/2]$, then it holds for $r \geq 0$ that

$$C[\mu](r) \leq \exp(-\alpha(r)).$$

More generally, we have the following implication from an isoperimetric inequality to a concentration inequality since the difference of the volumes between a set and its r -open neighborhood is roughly considered as an integral of the boundary measures of the t -open neighborhoods of the given set on $t \in (0, r)$.

Proposition 1.3 ([4, Corollary 2.2]) *Let μ be an absolutely continuous probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. If there exists a strictly increasing, differentiable function v from an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ to $[0, 1]$ such that $I[\mu] \geq v' \circ u$ holds on $[0, 1]$, where u is the inverse function of v , then it holds for every $r > 0$ that*

$$C[\mu](r) \leq 1 - v(u(1/2) + r).$$

We thus find that a probability measure verifies a Gaussian concentration inequality if the probability measure verifies a Gaussian isoperimetric inequality.

There are several criteria for a probability measure to verify a Gaussian isoperimetric inequality. For example, given an absolutely continuous logarithmic concave probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, namely there exists a convex function $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ such that $d\mu(x)/dx = \exp(-V(x))$ holds on $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following equivalent condition is known.

Theorem 1.4 ([1, Theorem 1.3]) *For an absolutely continuous logarithmic concave probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, the followings are equivalent to each other:*

- μ verifies a Gaussian isoperimetric inequality.
- μ verifies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality, that is there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^2 \log(f^2) d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^2 d\mu \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^2 d\mu \right) \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$$

holds for every locally Lipschitz function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with its distributional gradient ∇f .

- μ verifies a Herbst necessary condition, that is there exists a positive constant ε satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(\varepsilon|x|^2) d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

Moreover, for an absolutely continuous probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, if the Hessian of $-\log(d\mu/dx)$ is uniformly bounded below by some $K \in \mathbb{R}$, then verifying a Gaussian isoperimetric inequality is also equivalent to verifying a Gaussian concentration inequality. This was proved for a more general probability measure on a Riemannian manifold (see [7, Theorems 1.1, 1.2]), where the lower boundedness of the ∞ -Ricci curvature is used instead of the uniform logarithmic concavity of a probability measure.

Definition 1.5 Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold without boundary and fix an arbitrary measure

$$\omega = e^{-f} \text{vol}_g, \quad f \in C^\infty(M),$$

where vol_g denotes the Riemannian volume measure of (M, g) . Given $N \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [n, \infty]$ and $K \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the N -Ricci curvature of ω by

$$\text{Ric}_N^\omega := \begin{cases} \text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f & \text{if } N = \infty, \\ \text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f - \frac{Df \otimes Df}{N - n} & \text{if } N \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (n, \infty), \\ \text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f - \infty \cdot (Df \otimes Df) & \text{if } N = n, \end{cases}$$

where by convention $\infty \cdot 0 = 0$.

We remark that the N -Ricci curvature is originally defined only for $N \in [n, \infty]$ and if $\text{Ric}_N^\omega(v, v) \geq Kg(v, v)$ holds for every tangent vector v to M and for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in [n, \infty)$ then (M, ω) behaves like a Riemannian manifold with dimension bounded above by N and Ricci curvature bounded below by K . We refer to [5], [10] and references therein for the details, and to [9] for the case of $N \in (-\infty, 0)$.

2 Probability measure on an admissible quadruple

It is known that if the ∞ -Ricci curvature of ω is bounded below by some $K > 0$, then ω verifies a Gaussian isoperimetric inequality and hence a Gaussian concentration inequality (for instance, see [8, Theorem 5]). It is then natural to ask what kind of an isoperimetric inequality and a concentration inequality hold for a non-Gaussian probability measure whose ∞ -Ricci curvature is not bounded from below. Moreover, under a suitable condition, are the two inequalities equivalent to each other? To discuss this, we deal with the following condition (see [9, Definition 4.3], where the condition is slightly different).

Definition 2.1 We say that a quadruple $(M, \omega, \varphi, \Psi)$ is *admissible* if all the following conditions hold:

- M is an n -dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 2$.
- φ is a non-decreasing, positive, continuous function on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$\theta_\varphi := \sup_{s>0} \left\{ \frac{s}{\varphi(s)} \cdot \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(s+\varepsilon) - \varphi(s)}{\varepsilon} \right\} \in \left(0, \frac{n+1}{n} \right]$$

and $\theta_\varphi \neq 1, 3/2$ with $\varphi(1) = 1$.

- Ψ is a function on M such that

$$M_\Psi^\varphi := \left\{ x \in M \mid \Psi(x) \in \left(-\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\varphi(s)} ds, -\int_1^0 \frac{1}{\varphi(s)} ds \right) \right\} \neq \emptyset$$

and $\Psi > -L_{\theta_\varphi}$ hold, where we set

$$L_{\theta_\varphi} := \begin{cases} (\theta_\varphi - 1)^{-1} & \text{if } \theta_\varphi > 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } \theta_\varphi \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

- ω is a positive measure on M satisfying $\text{Ric}_N^\omega(v, v) \geq 0$ for $N = (\theta_\varphi - 1)^{-1}$ and for every tangent vector v to M_Ψ^φ .

Note that if φ is differentiable, then θ_φ is the upper bound of the differentiable coefficient of φ . We denote by δ_φ the quantity corresponding to the lower bound of the differentiable coefficient of φ , that is,

$$\delta_\varphi := \inf_{s>0} \left\{ \frac{s}{\varphi(s)} \cdot \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(s+\varepsilon) - \varphi(s)}{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

We also define the φ -exponential function by

$$\exp_\varphi(\tau) := \sup \left\{ t > 0 \mid \int_1^t \frac{1}{\varphi(s)} ds \leq \tau \right\},$$

where we set $\exp_\varphi(\tau) := 0$ for $\tau \leq \int_1^0 1/\varphi(s) ds$ by convention. Take for example, if $\varphi_q(s) = s^q$ with $q \neq 1$, then we have

$$\exp_q(\tau) := \exp_{\varphi_q}(\tau) = (1 + (1 - q)\tau)_+^{1/(1-q)},$$

where we set $[\tau]_+ := \max\{\tau, 0\}$ and by convention $0^a := \infty$ for $a < 0$. Since $\exp_q$ recovers the usual exponential function when $q \rightarrow 1$, we set $\exp_1(\tau) := \exp(\tau)$.

We remark that if Ψ is K -convex for some $K > 0$ on M_Ψ^φ , then we may assume that the measure $\exp_\varphi(-\Psi)\omega$ on an admissible quadruple $(M, \omega, \varphi, \Psi)$ is a probability measure without loss of generality (see [9, Lemma 4.5]). In this case, the probability measure $\exp_\varphi(-\Psi)\omega$ verifies a non-Gaussian concentration inequality. Here the K -convexity of a function is roughly equivalent to that the Hessian of a function along any geodesic is bounded below by K (see [9, Definition 4.1] for the precise definition).

Proposition 2.2 ([9, Theorem 7.9]) *For an admissible quadruple $(M, \omega, \varphi, \Psi)$, we set $\mu := \exp_\varphi(-\Psi)\omega$ and $\cdot_0 := \max\{1, \|\exp_\varphi(-\Psi)\|_\infty\}$. Suppose the K -convexity of Ψ for some $K > 0$ and $\mu[M] = 1$.*

- (i) *If $\theta_\varphi < 1$ and $\delta_\varphi > 0$, then there exists a positive constant c_1 depending only on θ_φ and δ_φ such that we have for any $r > 0$*

$$C[\mu](r) \leq c_1 / \exp_{\delta_\varphi} \left(\frac{K}{4}, {}_{\cdot_0}^{\delta_\varphi-1} r^2 \right).$$

- (ii) *If $\theta_\varphi \in (1, 3/2)$, $\delta_\varphi > 3(\theta_\varphi - 1)$ and if $\omega[M] < \infty$, then there exist positive constants c_2, c_3 depending only on θ_φ and δ_φ such that we have for any $r > 0$*

$$C[\mu](r) \leq c_2 \exp_{2\theta_\varphi - \delta_\varphi} \left(-c_3 \frac{K}{2}, {}_{\cdot_0}^{\delta_\varphi - \theta_\varphi} \omega[M]^{1 - \theta_\varphi} r^2 \right).$$

Moreover, when $\varphi(s) = s^q$ and $\theta_\varphi = \delta_\varphi = q \rightarrow 1$, the two inequalities above recover a Gaussian concentration inequality.

A fundamental and important example of an admissible quadruple is $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) equipped with the Lebesgue measure and $\varphi_q(s) = s^q$ with $q \in (0, (n+1)/n]$ and $q \neq 1, 3/2$, $\Psi(x) = |x|^2/2$. In this case, there exists a constant $c(n, q)$ such that $1 + (1 - q)c(n, q) > 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp_q \left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} + c(n, q) \right) dx = 1$$

(see [12] and Section 3 below for the explicit value of $c(n, q)$). In addition,

$$B_q^n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exp_q \left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} + c(n, q) \right) > 0 \right\}$$

contains the origin and is bounded (resp. unbounded) if $q < 1$ (resp. $q > 1$). An absolutely continuous probability measure γ_n^q on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the density

$$\frac{d\gamma_n^q}{dx} = \exp_q \left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} + c(n, q) \right)$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure is called the *q-Gaussian measure*. According to [9, Theorem 5.7], the *q*-Gaussian measure can be regarded as an extremal element among all the probability measures $\exp_\varphi(-\Psi)\omega$ on an admissible quadruple $(M, \omega, \varphi, \Psi)$ as well as the Gaussian measure among all the probability measures on a Riemannian manifold whose ∞ -Ricci curvature is bounded from below.

In this way, it turns out that a probability measure $\exp_\varphi(-\Psi)\omega$ on an admissible quadruple $(M, \omega, \varphi, \Psi)$ with certain conditions verifies a non-Gaussian isoperimetric inequality characterized by $\exp_{q(\varphi)}$, where $q(\varphi)$ depends on θ_φ and δ_φ . In particular, if $\varphi(s) = s^q$, then $q(\varphi) = q$ holds. However, as far as the author knows, the isoperimetric inequality for such a probability measure is not available in the literature, even for the case of the *q*-Gaussian measure.

3 Properties of φ -Gaussian measure

In this section, we provide some properties of the *q*-Gaussian measure, which are related to the concentration profile and may be useful to investigate the isoperimetric profile. We first discuss the logarithmic concavity of the *q*-Gaussian measure.

Proposition 3.1 *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $q \in (0, (n+1)/n]$ with $q \neq 3/2$, define the function V_q on the open set*

$$B_q^n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exp_q \left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} + c(n, q) \right) > 0 \right\}$$

by

$$V_q(x) := -\log\left(\frac{d\gamma_n^q(x)}{dx}\right) = -\log\left(\exp_q\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} + c(n, q)\right)\right).$$

We moreover set $\lambda_q(n) := 1 + (1 - q)c(n, q) > 0$. Then for the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda(x)$ of the Hessian matrix of V_q at $x \in B_q^n$ satisfies

$$\lambda(x) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_q(n)} & \text{if } q \leq 1, \\ -\frac{1}{8\lambda_q(n)} & \text{if } q > 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Consider the function on B_q^n of the form

$$f_q(x) := 1 + (1 - q)\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} + c(n, q)\right) > 0.$$

We compute $f_q(0) = \lambda_q(n)$ and $\nabla f_q(x) = -(1 - q)x$. It follows from the relation $V_q = -\log(f_q)/(1 - q)$ that

$$\nabla V_q(x) = x/f_q(x),$$

moreover that the (i, j) -component of the Hessian matrix of V_q at x is given by

$$(1 - q)\frac{x_i x_j}{f_q(x)^2} + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{f_q(x)},$$

where $\delta_{ii} = 1$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. It is easy to check that all the eigenvalue of $(H_{ij}(0))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ are $1/f_q(0) = 1/\lambda_q(n)$. In the case of $x \neq 0$, let $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $v_1 = x/|x|$. Then, for $k = 1, \dots, n$, v_k is the eigenvector of $(H_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ whose eigenvalue is

$$(1 - q)\frac{|x|^2 \delta_{1k}}{f_q(x)^2} + \frac{1}{f_q(x)}. \quad (3.2)$$

In the case of $q \leq 1$, it follows from $f_q \in (0, \lambda_q(n)]$ that

$$(1 - q)\frac{|x|^2}{f_q(x)^2} + \frac{1}{f_q(x)} \geq \frac{1}{f_q(x)} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_q(n)}.$$

For $q > 1$, we have $f_q \in [\lambda_q(n), \infty)$ and

$$\frac{1}{f_q(x)} \geq (1 - q)\frac{|x|^2}{f_q(x)^2} + \frac{1}{f_q(x)} = \frac{\lambda_q(n) + (1 - q)|x|^2/2}{(\lambda_q(n) - (1 - q)|x|^2/2)^2} \geq -\frac{1}{8\lambda_q(n)}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Remark 3.2 (1) Note that $\lambda_q(n) \rightarrow \lambda_1(n) = 1$ as $q \rightarrow 1$, and $\lambda(x) = \lambda_1(n) = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. On one hand, (3.1) recovers $\lambda(x) \geq 1$ as $q \nearrow 1$. On the other hand, when $q \searrow 1$, (3.1) does not recover $\lambda(x) \geq 1$, however (3.2) recovers $\lambda(x) = 1$.
 (2) Given any $q \in (0, (n+1)/n]$ with $q \neq 1, 3/2$, let $N_q \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (n, \infty)$ satisfy $1 - q \geq 1/(N_q - n)$. It then holds for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in B_q^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess } V_q(x)(v, v) - \frac{DV_q(x) \otimes DV_q(x)(v, v)}{N_q - n} &= (1 - q) \frac{\langle v, x \rangle^2}{f_q(x)^2} + \frac{|v|^2}{f_q(x)} - \frac{\langle v, x \rangle^2}{(N_q - n)f_q(x)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{|v|^2}{f_q(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, for $q > 1$ (hence N_q is negative), the N_q -Ricci curvature of γ_n^q on $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the standard Euclidean metric is non-negative on the whole of $\mathbb{R}^n$, however little is known concerning a measure having the non-negative N -Ricci curvature for some negative N . For example, although a Poincaré type inequalities for γ_n^q are proved in [2], the condition $\omega(M) < \infty$ in Proposition 2.2(ii) does not hold for $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the Lebesgue measure and then γ_n^q may not verify a concentration inequality in terms of the q -exponential function.

On the other hand, for $q < 1$, the N -Ricci curvature of γ_n^q on $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the standard Euclidean metric is bounded below by K on B_q^n if $N \geq n + (1 - q)^{-1}$ and $K \leq 1/f_q(0)$. There are many study about a measure whose N -Ricci curvature is bounded from below for some positive N , however we usually assume the positivity of a measure and the completeness of a metric space.

We finally estimate the smallest Lipchitz constant $L_q(n)$ of $T_{n,q}$ which pushes forward γ_n to γ_n^q . The existence of such a map $T_{n,q}$ is guaranteed for any $q \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by [13, Section 4]. To do this, set

$$R_q(n) := \sup \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exp_q \left(-\frac{r^2}{2} + c(n, q) \right) > 0 \right\} = \left(\frac{2\lambda_q(n)}{1 - q} \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Proposition 3.3 For any $q \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_q(n)^{n+2/(1-q)} &= \pi^{-n/2} \left(\frac{2}{1 - q} \right)^{1/(1-q)} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2 - q}{1 - q} \right) / \Gamma \left(\frac{2 - q}{1 - q} \right), \\ R_q(n)^2 \cdot \frac{(1 - q)}{(n + 2)(1 - q) + 2} &\leq L_q(n)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where Γ stands for the Gamma function.

Proof. The direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\gamma_n^q(x) = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \int_0^{R_q(n)} \exp_q \left(-\frac{r^2}{2} + c(n, q) \right) r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \lambda_q(n)^{1/(1-q)} R_q(n)^n \int_0^1 (1 - s^2)^{1/(1-q)} s^{n-1} ds \\ &= \pi^{n/2} \lambda_q(n)^{1/(1-q)} R_q(n)^n \Gamma \left(\frac{2 - q}{1 - q} \right) / \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2 - q}{1 - q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first equality. Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} |x|^2 d\gamma_n^q(x) &= \frac{n\pi^{n/2}}{2} \lambda_q(n)^{1/(1-q)} R_q(n)^{n+2} \Gamma\left(\frac{2-q}{1-q}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2-q}{1-q} + 1\right) \\ &= R_q(n)^2 \cdot \frac{n(1-q)}{(n+2)(1-q)+2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the push-forward measure, we have

$$\int_{R^n} |x|^2 d\gamma_n^q(x) = \int_{R^n} |T_{n,q}(x)|^2 d\gamma_n(x) \leq \int_{R^n} L_q(n)^2 |x|^2 d\gamma_n(x) = nL_q(n)^2.$$

Combining the these implies

$$R_q(n)^2 \cdot \frac{(1-q)}{(n+2)(1-q)+2} \leq L_q(n)^2.$$

□

From [13, Theorem 1.2] we deduce the another estimate of $L_q(n)$

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{1/2} L_q(n) &\geq \lambda_q(n)^{-1/n(1-q)} = \left(\frac{1-q}{2} R_q(n)^2\right)^{-1/n(1-q)} \\ &= \pi^{1/2} R_q(n) \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2-q}{1-q}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2-q}{1-q}\right) \right]^{1/n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equalities follow from the equality in Proposition 3.3. This estimate is better than the estimate in Proposition 3.3. For simplicity, let us consider the case of $n = 2k$. We then have

$$\left(k + 1 + \frac{1}{1-q}\right)^k \geq \prod_{j=1}^k \left(k + 1 - j + \frac{1}{1-q}\right) = \Gamma\left(k + \frac{2-q}{1-q}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{2-q}{1-q}\right),$$

which implies

$$\frac{R_q(2k)^2}{2} \frac{1-q}{(k+1)(1-q)+1} \leq \frac{R_q(2k)^2}{2} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2-q}{1-q}\right) / \Gamma\left(k + \frac{2-q}{1-q}\right) \right]^{1/k}.$$

The asymptotic behavior of $L_q(2k)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ is unknown, however we have

$$(2\pi)^{1/2} L_q(2k) \geq \left(\frac{1-q}{2} R_q(2k)^2\right)^{-1/2k(1-q)} = \pi^{1/a_k} \left(\frac{2}{1-q}\right)^{1/a_k} P_k^{-1/a_k} \rightarrow 1$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where we set

$$P_k := \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \left(k - j + \frac{2-q}{1-q}\right) \right]^{1/k} \in \left[1 + \frac{1}{1-q}, \frac{a_k}{2(1-q)} \right], \quad a_k := 2(k(1-q) + 1).$$

It thus is enough to show $P_k^{-1/a_k} \rightarrow 1$, or equivalently $\log P_k^{-1/a_k} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This follows from the observation that

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{a_k} \log \frac{a_k}{2(1-q)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log P_k^{-1/a_k} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{a_k} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{1-q} \right) = 0.$$

This suggests that, for $q \in (0, 1)$, the family $\{\gamma_n^q\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the q -Gaussian measures may not have the Lévy property (for instance, see [4, Section 3.3] about the definition of the Lévy property) and then suggests how difficult and interesting to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the concentration profiles of $\{\gamma_n^q\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

References

- [1] S. G. Bobkov, Isoperimetric and analytic inequalities for log-concave probability measures, *Ann. Probab.* **27**(1999), 1903–1921.
- [2] S. G. Bobkov and M. Ledoux, Cauchy and other convex measures, *Ann. Probab.* **37**(2009), 403–427.
- [3] C. Borell, The Brunn-Minkowski inequality in Gauss space, *Invent. Math.* **30**(1975), 207–216.
- [4] M. Ledoux, The concentration of measure phenomenon, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [5] J. Lott and C. Villani, Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport, *Ann. of Math.* **169**(2009), 903–991.
- [6] E. Milman and S. Sodin, An isoperimetric inequality for uniformly log-concave measures and uniformly convex bodies, *J. Func. Anal* **254**(2008), 1235–1268.
- [7] E. Milman, Isoperimetric and Concentration Inequalities - Equivalence under Curvature Lower Bound, *Duke Math. J.* **154**(2010), 207–239.
- [8] F. Morgan, Manifolds with density, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **52**(2005), 853–858.
- [9] S. Ohta and A. Takatsu, Displacement convexity of generalized relative entropies. II, to appear in *Comm. Anal. Geom.* Available at arXiv:1112.5554.
- [10] K.-T. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I, *Acta Math.* **196**(2006), 65–131.
- [11] V. N. Sudakov and B. S. Tsirel'son, *Extremal properties of half-spaces for spherically invariant measures*, *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov.(LOMI)* **41**(1974), 14–24.

- [12] A. Takatsu, Behaviors of φ -exponential distributions in Wasserstein geometry and an evolution equation, Preprint (2011). Available at [arXiv:1109.6776](#).
- [13] A. Takatsu, Isoperimetric profile of radial probability measures on Euclidean spaces, Preprint (2012). Available at [arXiv:1212.6851](#).

Asuka TAKATSU
Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University
Nagoya 464-8602
JAPAN
E-mail address: takatsu@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp