

**A Quantitative Version
of the Schneider-Lang Theorem**

By Noriko HIRATA (平田 典子)

Ochanomizu Univ.

§1. Introduction

We begin with the result of Hermite and Lindemann which implies the transcendence of e and π . Secondly, we refer to the Gel'fond-Schneider theorem, that is the solution of the seventh problem of Hilbert, settled in 1934. Next, we state the Schneider-Lang theorem, and we show how this result contains the Hermite-Lindemann theorem and the Gel'fond-Schneider theorem. Finally, here, we give a quantitative version of the theorem of Schneider and Lang.

Let us recall the above theorems.

Theorem (Hermite-Lindemann). *Let α be a non-zero algebraic number, then e^α is a transcendental number.*

Theorem (Gel'fond-Schneider). *Let α and β be algebraic numbers. Assume that $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$, and that β is not rational.*

Let $\log \alpha$ be any determination of the logarithm of α . Then $\alpha^\beta = \exp(\beta \log \alpha)$ is a transcendental number.

Important examples of numbers whose transcendence follows from these theorems are e^π and $2^{\sqrt{2}}$. Gel'fond's proof was based on the following ideas. If we suppose $\log \alpha_2 = \beta \log \alpha_1$ with an irrational algebraic number β and algebraic numbers α_1, α_2 ($\neq 0, 1$), then the two functions $\alpha_1^z = e^{z \log \alpha_1}$ and $\alpha_2^z = e^{z \log \alpha_2} = e^{z\beta \log \alpha_1}$ take algebraic values at all integer points. Moreover, their derivatives with respect to the derivation operator $\frac{1}{\log \alpha_1} \frac{d}{dz}$ at all integer points are also algebraic.

We can construct a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$ such that the function $F(z) = P(\alpha_1^z, \alpha_2^z)$ vanishes at several integer points with a high order, and after that we show that F has more and more zeroes, finally, $F \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction to the algebraic independence of the two functions α_1^z and α_2^z because β is not rational.

We now mention the following general result.

Theorem (Schneider-Lang). Let K be a number field and let $f_1, \dots, f_h$ be meromorphic functions. We assume that f_1 and f_2 are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and of order at most

ρ_1, ρ_2 respectively. We assume further that the ring $K[f_1, \dots, f_h]$ is stable under the derivation $\frac{d}{dz}$. Then the set of complex numbers w , which are not poles of $f_1, \dots, f_h$ and such that $f_j(w) \in K$ for $1 \leq j \leq h$ is finite with at most $(\rho_1 + \rho_2)[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ elements.

The order of an entire function f is

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log |f|_R}{\log R}$$

and if a meromorphic function can be written as quotient of two entire functions of order $\leq \rho$, then it is called a function of order $\leq \rho$.

We obtain the theorem of Hermite-Lindemann as a corollary to this theorem by setting $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, e^\alpha)$, $h = 2$, $f_1(z) = z$, $f_2(z) = e^z$, $\rho_1 = 0$, $\rho_2 = 1$. Secondly, when $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta)$, $h = 2$, $f_1(z) = e^z$, $f_2(z) = e^{\beta z}$, $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 1$, we deduce Gel'fond-Schneider theorem from this theorem immediately.

This upper bound $(\rho_1 + \rho_2)[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ is sometimes the best possible (the functions z and $\exp(z(z-1)\cdots(z-k+1))$ take integer values at k points).

The Gel'fond-Schneider theorem shows that if we take any distinct complex numbers $w_1, \dots, w_m$ with $m > (\rho_1 + \rho_2)[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ then at least one value $f_i(w_\mu)$ ($1 \leq i \leq h$, $1 \leq \mu \leq m$) doesn't belong to K . We deduce from this property that the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\mu=1}^m |f_i(w_\mu) - \alpha_{i\mu}|$$

is not zero where $\alpha_{i\mu}$ are any algebraic numbers in K . Here, we give a lower estimate to this sum in terms of heights $H(\alpha_{i\mu})$ of $\alpha_{i\mu}$.

§2. Statement of result

Theorem. Let K be a number field and let $f_1, \dots, f_h$ be meromorphic functions. We assume that f_1 and f_2 are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and of order at most ρ_1, ρ_2 respectively. We assume further that the ring $K[f_1, \dots, f_h]$ is stable under the derivation $\frac{d}{dz}$. We take any distinct complex numbers $w_1, \dots, w_m$ which are not poles of $f_1, \dots, f_h$ with $m > (\rho_1 + \rho_2)[k:\mathbb{Q}]$ and $w_1 = 0$. Suppose also that $f_i(0) \in K$ ($1 \leq i \leq h$). We denote by d the maximum of the total degrees of A_i where $\frac{d}{dz} f_i = A_i(f_1, \dots, f_h)$, $A_i \in K[X_1, \dots, X_h]$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$. Put $\delta = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$ and

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{2^h(d-1)(\delta-1)(\rho_1+\rho_2)}{m-\delta(\rho_1+\rho_2)}.$$

Then for all $\kappa > \kappa_0$, there exists an explicit number H_0 such that if we take any algebraic numbers $\alpha_{i\mu}$ ($1 \leq i \leq h$, $1 \leq \mu \leq m$) in K , then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\mu=1}^m |f_i(w_\mu) - \alpha_{i\mu}| \geq \exp(-H^\kappa)$$

where $H = \max(H(\alpha_{i\mu}), H_0)$.

Remark. We deduce from this theorem the following result which is mentioned by D.W.Masser in [M]: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $m_0(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $m > m_0(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\mu=1}^m |f_i(w_\mu) - \alpha_{i\mu}| \geq \exp(-H^\varepsilon).$$

The above theorem gives to Masser's $m_0(\varepsilon)$ an explicit value, namely

$$m_0(\varepsilon) = \frac{2^{h(d-1)(\delta-1)(\rho_1+\rho_2)}}{\varepsilon} + \delta(\rho_1 + \rho_2).$$

§3. Outline of the proof

We assume

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{\mu=1}^m |f_i(w_\mu) - \alpha_{i\mu}| < \exp(-H^K)$$

and we shall get a contradiction. Let ℓ be a sufficiently large integer. Without loss of generality we may assume $H \gg H_0$ where H_0 is sufficiently large with respect to ℓ .

Put

$$U = H^K, \quad T = H^K/2^h, \quad L_1 = \ell T^{\rho_2/(\rho_1+\rho_2)}, \quad L_2 = \ell T^{\rho_1/(\rho_1+\rho_2)}.$$

Step 1. Construction of algebraic numbers $\alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t, \mu)$

We can write

$$\frac{d^t}{dz^t} f_1^{\lambda_1} f_2^{\lambda_2} = Q_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t}(f_1, \dots, f_h)$$

where $Q_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t}$ is a polynomial with coefficients in K ($0 \leq \lambda_1 < L_1$, $0 \leq \lambda_2 < L_2$, $0 \leq t$), using the differential equations of f_i ($1 \leq i \leq h$). Define

$$\alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t, \mu) = Q_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t}(\alpha_{1\mu}, \dots, \alpha_{h\mu}).$$

Then for $0 \leq t < T$ we get

$$\left| \frac{d^t}{dz^t} f_1^{\lambda_1} f_2^{\lambda_2}(w_\mu) - \alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t, \mu) \right| < e^{-\frac{1}{2}U}$$

by the hypothesis (1).

Step 2. Construction of an auxiliary function F

We consider the linear system

$$\sum_{\lambda_1} \sum_{\lambda_2} p_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t, \mu) = 0$$

for $0 \leq \lambda_1 < L_1$, $0 \leq \lambda_2 < L_2$, $0 \leq t < T$, $1 \leq \mu \leq m$ of Tm equations in $L_1 L_2$ unknowns $p_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$. This system has coefficients

in K and we get a non-trivial solution by the choice of L_1 and L_2 . Siegel's lemma gives that

$$\max_{\substack{0 \leq \lambda_1 < L_1 \\ 0 \leq \lambda_2 < L_2}} \log |p_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}| < \frac{1}{\ell} T \log T + O(T).$$

Put

$$F = \sum_{\lambda_1} \sum_{\lambda_2} p_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} f_1^{\lambda_1} f_2^{\lambda_2}(z).$$

Step 3. *Upper bound of the order of zeroes at the origin*

Liouville's theorem implies that $f_i(0) = \alpha_{i1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$ because $f_i(0)$ is algebraic. From this, we have

$$\frac{d^t}{dz^t} F(0) = \sum_{\lambda_1} \sum_{\lambda_2} p_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, t, 1) = 0$$

for $0 \leq t < T$, that means $\text{ord}_{z=0} F \geq T$.

Let T_1 be the smallest integer such that there exists $1 \leq \mu_0 \leq m$ with

$$\sum_{\lambda_1} \sum_{\lambda_2} p_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, T_1, \mu_0) \neq 0.$$

By the algebraic independence of f_1 and f_2 , we can deduce from the theorem of Brownawell and Masser ([B-M])

$$\text{ord}_{z=0} F \leq (d\ell^2 T)^{2^{h-1}},$$

so we have

$$T_1 \leq (d\ell^2 T)^{2^{h-1}}.$$

Step 4. *Contradiction*

We can estimate the derivatives of F :

$$\log \left| \frac{d^t}{dz^t} F(w_\mu) \right| < -\frac{1}{3}U$$

for $0 \leq t < T_1$, $1 \leq \mu \leq m$.

Then using the residue formula, we have

$$\log |F|_r \leq \left(\frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{m}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) T_1 \log T_1 + O(T_1)$$

for $r = 1 + \max_{1 \leq \mu \leq m} |w_\mu|$.

Put

$$\gamma = \sum_{\lambda_1} \sum_{\lambda_2} p_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \alpha(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, T_1, \mu_0)$$

which is not zero. As above we can estimate the T_1 th derivative of f :

$$\log \left| \frac{d^{T_1}}{dz^{T_1}} F(w_{\mu_0}) - \gamma \right| < -\frac{1}{3}U.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma| &\leq \left| \frac{d^{T_1}}{dz^{T_1}} F(w_{\mu_0}) \right| + \left| \frac{d^{T_1}}{dz^{T_1}} F(w_{\mu_0}) - \gamma \right| \\ &\leq T_1^{T_1} |F|_r + e^{-\frac{1}{3}U}, \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain

$$\log |\gamma| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{m}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}\right) T_1 \log T_1 + O(T_1).$$

However we get by the size inequality

$$\log |\gamma| \geq -(\delta - 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\ell} + \frac{2^h(d-1)}{\kappa}\right) T_1 \log T_1 + O(T_1)$$

then we arrive at the contradiction:

$$\kappa \leq \kappa_0 = \frac{2^h(d-1)(\delta-1)(\rho_1+\rho_2)}{m-\delta(\rho_1+\rho_2)}.$$

(Q.E.D.)

References

- [B-M] W. D. Brownawell and D. W. Masser, Multiplicity estimates for analytic functions II, *Duke Math. J.*, **47** (1980), 273-295.
- [M] D. W. Masser, Some recent results in transcendence theory, *Astérisque* 61 (1979), Soc. Math. France, 145-154.
- [W] M. Waldschmidt, *Nombres transcendants*, Lecture Notes in Math., 402, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1974.