

On the theory of Laplace hyperfunctions in several variables

By

NAOFUMI HONDA* and KOHEI UMETA**

Abstract

We survey the theory of Laplace hyperfunctions in several variables in [1, 2, 9]. A Laplace hyperfunction in one variable was first introduced by H. Komatsu ([3]-[8]) to consider the Laplace transform for a hyperfunction. We here construct Laplace hyperfunctions in several variables and their Laplace transform.

§ 1. A vanishing theorem of cohomology groups for the sheaf of holomorphic functions of exponential type

We briefly recall the vanishing theorem of cohomology groups on a Stein open subset with coefficients in holomorphic functions of exponential type and the edge of the wedge theorem for them.

Let n be a natural number, and let M be an n -dimensional $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space. Let E be the complexification of M . We denote by $\mathbb{D}_E$ the radial compactification of E which is defined by

$$\mathbb{D}_E := E \sqcup ((E \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_+) \cup \infty.$$

Let U be an open subset in $\mathbb{D}_E$. A holomorphic function $f(z)$ in $U \cap E$ is said to be of exponential type if, for any compact subset K in U , there exist positive constants C_K and H_K such that

$$(1.1) \quad |f(z)| \leq C_K e^{H_K |z|} \quad (z \in K \cap E).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions of exponential type on $\mathbb{D}_E$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 32A45, 44A10.

Key Words: Laplace transform, hyperfunctions, sheaves.

Supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K04887

*Department of mathematics Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan.

**Department of mathematics Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan.

To recall the vanishing theorem of cohomology groups on a Stein open subset for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}$, we give the definition of the regularity condition at ∞ for an open subset in $\mathbb{D}_E$. We denote by E_∞ the set $\mathbb{D}_E \setminus E$. For a subset V in $\mathbb{D}_E$, we define the set $\text{clos}_\infty^1(V) \subset E_\infty$ as follows. A point $z_\infty \in E_\infty$ belongs to $\text{clos}_\infty^1(V)$ if and only if there exist points $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $V \cap E$ which satisfy $z_k \rightarrow z_\infty$ in $\mathbb{D}_E$ and $|z_{k+1}|/|z_k| \rightarrow 1$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). Set

$$(1.2) \quad N_\infty^1(V) := E_\infty \setminus \text{clos}_\infty^1(E \setminus V).$$

Definition 1.1. An open subset U in $\mathbb{D}_E$ is said to be regular at ∞ if $N_\infty^1(U) = U \cap E_\infty$ is satisfied.

Note that this condition is equivalent to saying $E_\infty \setminus U = \text{clos}_\infty^1(E \setminus U)$. Now we state our vanishing theorem of cohomology groups for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([2], Theorem 3.7). *Let U be an open subset in $\mathbb{D}_E$. Assume that $U \cap E$ is pseudo-convex in E and U is regular at ∞ , then we have*

$$(1.3) \quad H^k(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}) = 0 \quad (k \neq 0).$$

The regularity condition of U at ∞ plays an essential role in our vanishing theorem of cohomology groups for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}$ as the following shows.

Example 1.3 ([2], Example 3.17). We consider the radial compactification $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $(1, 0)_\infty \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} V &:= \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2; |\arg(z_1)| < \frac{\pi}{4}, |z_2| < |z_1| \right\}, \\ U &:= (\overline{V})^\circ \setminus \{(1, 0)_\infty\} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $U \cap E = V$ is pseudo-convex in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and U is not regular at ∞ . In this case, we have $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}) \neq 0$.

Furthermore, by showing a Martineau type theorem for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}$, we have the following theorem, which is a kind of the edge of the wedge type theorem for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}$. Let $\overline{M}$ be the closure of M in $\mathbb{D}_E$.

Theorem 1.4 ([1], Corollary 3.16). *The closed subset $\overline{M} \subset \mathbb{D}_E$ is purely n -codimensional relative to the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}$, i.e.,*

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\overline{M}}^k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}) = 0 \quad (k \neq n).$$

§ 2. Laplace hyperfunctions and their Laplace transform

In this section we construct Laplace transform for Laplace hyperfunctions with support in an $\mathbb{R}_+$ -conic closed convex cone in $\overline{M}$ and their inverse Laplace transforms. We first recall the definition of Laplace hyperfunctions:

Definition 2.1. The sheaf of Laplace hyperfunctions on $\overline{M}$ is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\overline{M}}^{\exp} := \mathcal{H}_{\overline{M}}^n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\exp}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\overline{M}}} \omega_{\overline{M}}.$$

Here $\omega_{\overline{M}}$ is the orientation sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{M}}^n(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{D}_E})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{D}_E}$ is the constant sheaf on $\mathbb{D}_E$ having stalk $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $a \in M$ and K be an $\mathbb{R}_+$ -conic closed convex cone in M . Let us denote by K_a the set $\{z + a; z \in K\}$ and denote by $\overline{K_a}$ the closure of K_a in $\overline{M}$. We first get the representation of $\Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\overline{M}}^{\exp})$ by the relative Čech cohomology groups with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\exp}$.

Let us prepare some notation and the proposition below. For a subset $Z \subset \mathbb{D}_E$, set

$$(2.2) \quad N_{\infty}(Z) := E_{\infty} \setminus \overline{(E \setminus Z)}.$$

For an open subset $U \subset E$, define

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{U} := U \cup N_{\infty}(U).$$

Definition 2.2. Let Ω be an open subset in $\overline{M}$ and Γ an $\mathbb{R}^+$ -conic open cone in M . Let U be an open subset in $\mathbb{D}_E$. We call U a wedge of the type $\Omega \times \sqrt{-1}\Gamma$ if U satisfies the following conditions.

1. $U \subset (\Omega \times \widehat{\sqrt{-1}\Gamma})$,
2. For any open proper subcone Γ' of Γ , there exists an open neighborhood V of Ω in $\mathbb{D}_E$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad (M \times \widehat{\sqrt{-1}\Gamma'}) \cap V \subset U.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. Let K be an $\mathbb{R}_+$ -conic closed cone in M and Γ a proper open cone in M . Assume that Γ is given by the intersection of finite number of half-spaces in M . Then there exist an open neighborhood Ω of $\overline{K}$ in $\overline{M}$ and an open subset U in $\mathbb{D}_E$ such that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. U is a wedge of the type $\Omega \times \sqrt{-1}\Gamma$.
2. U is Stein and regular at ∞ .
3. U is an open neighborhood of $\Omega \setminus \overline{K}$ in $\mathbb{D}_E$.

Now let us consider the representation of $\Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\overline{M}}^{\exp})$ by the relative Čech cohomology with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\exp}$. Choose vectors $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n \in S^{n-1}$. By Proposition 2.3, we can take an open neighborhood Ω of $\overline{K_a}$ in $\overline{M}$ and an open subset $U_j \subset \mathbb{D}_E$ which is the wedge of the type $\Omega \times \sqrt{-1}\gamma_j^\circ$, Stein and regular at ∞ , and furthermore,

an open neighborhood of $\Omega \setminus \overline{K_a}$. Here γ_j° denotes the polar set $\{y \in M; y\gamma_j > 0\}$ of γ_j . We also take a neighborhood U of $\overline{K_a}$ in $\mathbb{D}_E$ which is Stein and regular at ∞ . Then $\mathfrak{U} = \{U, U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ and $\mathfrak{U}' = \{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ give a relative open covering of the pair $(U, U \setminus \overline{K_a})$. Hence we have

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_M^{\text{exp}}) = \frac{\text{Ker}\{\bigoplus_{j=0}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}(\bigcap_{l \neq j} U_l) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}(\bigcap_{l=0}^n U_l)\}}{\text{Im}\{\bigoplus_{j \neq k} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}(\bigcap_{l \neq j, k} U_l) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{\text{exp}}(\bigcap_{l \neq j} U_l)\}}.$$

Let us define the Laplace transform for an element $f = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n F_j$ of the above representation of $\Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_M^{\text{exp}})$. Set, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$,

$$D_j := \{x + \sqrt{-1}y \in E; x \in \Gamma, y = \varphi(x)\gamma\},$$

where we take an appropriate closed cone $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ which contains K and a point $\gamma \in \bigcap_{l \neq j} \gamma_l^\circ$. Further, the continuous function $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ is chosen to satisfy the following conditions: (1) $\varphi(x) = 0$ in $\partial\Gamma$, (2) $\overline{D_j} \cap \overline{K_a} = \emptyset$, (3) $\overline{D_j} \subset U_j$. Note that such Γ, γ and φ always exist for each j .

Definition 2.4. Under the above situation, the Laplace transform of $f = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n F_j \in \Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_M^{\text{exp}})$ is defined by the integral

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{L}(f)(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^n \sigma_j \int_{D_j} F_j(z) e^{-\lambda z} dz,$$

where $\sigma_j := \text{sgn}(\det(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_{j-1}, \omega_{j+1}, \dots, \omega_n))$.

Note that the Laplace transform does not depend on the choice of Γ, γ and φ .

Definition 2.5. Let Ω be an open subset in $\mathbb{D}_E$. The set $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{a, \text{inf}}(\Omega)$ consists of a holomorphic function $f(z)$ on $\Omega \cap E$ such that, for any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $f(z)$ satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad |e^{az} f(z)| \leq C_{K, \epsilon} e^{\epsilon|z|}, \quad z \in K \cap E.$$

with a positive constant $C_{K, \epsilon}$.

Then we find that the Laplace transform gives the following morphism.

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{L} : \Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_M^{\text{exp}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{a, \text{inf}}(N_\infty(K^\circ)).$$

Here K° denotes the dual open cone of K in E . Since the above morphism does not depend on the representation of $\Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_M^{\text{exp}})$, $\mathcal{L}$ is well-defined.

Definition 2.6. Let T be an open subset in E_∞ , and U an open subset in $\mathbb{D}_E$. We say that U has the opening wider than or equal to T at ∞ if $T \subset N_\infty(U)$ is satisfied.

We have the following lemma which plays an important role in establishing the inverse Laplace transform.

Lemma 2.7. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{a, \inf}(N_\infty(K^\circ))$.
2. *There exists an open subset U in E whose opening is wider than or equal to $N_\infty(K^\circ)$ such that f is holomorphic on U and, for any compact subset K in $\hat{U}$, there exists an infra-linear function $\phi_K(s)$ satisfying*

$$|e^{az} f(z)| \leq e^{\phi_K(|z|)}, \quad z \in K \cap E.$$

3. *There exists an infra-linear function $\phi(s)$ and an open subset U in E whose opening is wider than or equal to $N_\infty(K^\circ)$ such that f is holomorphic on U with*

$$|e^{az} f(z)| \leq e^{\phi(|z|)}, \quad z \in U.$$

Let us define the inverse Laplace transform.

Definition 2.8. We define the morphism

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{a, \inf}(N_\infty(K^\circ)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\overline{M}}^{\exp}(\overline{M})$$

by

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sigma_k f_k, \quad f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{a, \inf}(N_\infty(K^\circ)).$$

Here f_k is given by the integral

$$(2.10) \quad f_k(z) := \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n} \int_{T_k} f(\lambda) e^{\lambda z} d\lambda.$$

The path of the integration T_k is given as follows. Set

$$\Sigma_k := \left\{ \eta \in M; \eta = \sum_{j \neq k} t_j \gamma_j, t_j \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Let ψ be an infra-linear function, and let $\hat{\xi}$ be a point in the dual open cone of K in M . Then we put

$$(2.11) \quad T_k := \left\{ \lambda = \xi + \sqrt{-1}\eta \in E; \eta \in \Sigma_k, \quad \xi = \psi(|\eta|)\hat{\xi} \right\}.$$

Note that the integral f_k does not depend on the choice of ψ and $\hat{\xi}$ if ψ is rapidly increasing. We can see that f_k is a holomorphic function of exponential type on $(M \times \sqrt{-1} \bigcap_{j \neq k} \gamma_j^\circ)$ by Lemma 2.7.

Furthermore, we have:

Lemma 2.9. $\text{supp}(\mathcal{S}(f)) \subset \overline{K_a}$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{a, \text{inf}}(N_\infty(K^\circ))$.

Hence we have the inverse Laplace transform, and we can show that it satisfies the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. $\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{L} = \text{id}_{\Gamma_{\overline{K_a}}(\overline{M}, \mathcal{B}_M^{\text{exp}})}$, $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{S} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_E}^{a, \text{inf}}(N_\infty(K^\circ))}$.

References

- [1] Honda, N., Umeta, K., Laplace hyperfunctions in several variables, *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, to appear.
- [2] Honda, N., Umeta, K., On the sheaf of Laplace hyperfunctions with holomorphic parameters, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **19** (2012), 559-586.
- [3] Komatsu, H., Laplace transforms of hyperfunctions: A new foundation of the Heaviside calculus, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, **34** (1987), 805-820.
- [4] Komatsu, H., Laplace transforms of hyperfunctions: another foundation of the Heaviside operational calculus, *Generalized functions, convergence structures, and their applications (Proc. Internat. Conf., Dubrovnik, 1987; B. Stanković, editor)*, Plenum Press, New York (1988), 57-70.
- [5] Komatsu, H., Operational calculus, hyperfunctions and ultradistributions, *Algebraic analysis (M. Sato Sixtieth Birthday Vols.)*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York (1988), 357-372.
- [6] Komatsu, H., Operational calculus and semi-groups of operators, *Functional analysis and related topics (Proc. Internat. Conf. in Memory of K. Yoshida, Kyoto, 1991)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1540, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993), 213-234.
- [7] Komatsu, H., Multipliers for Laplace hyperfunctions - a justification of Heaviside's rules, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, **203** (1994), 323-333.
- [8] Komatsu, H., Solution of differential equations by means of Laplace hyperfunctions, *Structure of Solutions of Differential Equations* (1996), 227-252.
- [9] Umeta, K., A Laplace transform of Laplace hyperfunctions in several variables, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B57** (2016), 085-091.