

On some properties of the universal  
power series for Jacobi sums

Yasutaka Ihara (伊原康隆) 執筆★

In our previous work [PGC], we associated to each element  $\rho$  of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  an  $\ell$ -adic power series  $F_\rho(u, v)$  in two variables and studied its connection with Jacobi sums, Coleman power series etc., as a first step in the study of the Galois representation in  $\text{Aut } \pi_1^{\text{pro-}\ell}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$ . In this paper, we shall prove some symmetricity properties of the power series  $F_\rho$  (for  $\rho \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell^\infty))$ ), in particular,  $\mathbb{S}_4$ -symmetricity of the amalgamated product

$$F_\rho(u, v) F_\rho(u', v') \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, v, u', v']] / [(1+u)(1+v)(1+u')(1+v') - 1].$$

This is based on the corresponding  $\mathbb{S}_4$ -symmetricity of Jacobi sums on 4 parameters  $a, b, a', b' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$  with  $a+b+a'+b'=0$  ( $n \geq 1$ ); cf. Theorem A<sub>1</sub> below. As a consequence, we conclude that, although there are  $m+1$  coefficients of  $F_\rho(u, v)$  in degree  $m$ , they are "essentially the same" for each  $m$  (Theorem A<sub>2</sub>).

This study was motivated by a recent communication with P. Deligne, who explained me his idea to use amalgamation of two copies of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$  along  $\pi_1(S^1)$  (in the context of algebraic geometry) to obtain a similar type of restriction to the Galois image in  $\text{Aut } \pi_1^{\text{pro-}\ell}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$ .\*) In the present situation, it is carried out by arithmetical means.

[A]

The author learned that G. Anderson has also obtained various results on  $F_\rho$ , including similar symmetricity, by a different method.

\*) The author wishes to thank P. Deligne for this valuable communication.

★) この論文は、その後行成 敦・金子昌信両氏(いずれも執筆)により得られた結果を加えて三人の共著として出版する予定です。

We shall present our main results in §1, and their proofs in §2. In §3, we discuss some open questions related to the image of  $\rho \rightarrow F_\rho \pmod{\ell}$ .

### 1 The main statements

Let  $\ell$  be a fixed rational prime,  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  be the ring of  $\ell$ -adic integers, and  $A$  be the commutative  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -algebra of formal power series:

$$(1) \quad A = \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u,v]] = \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u,v,w]] / [(1+u)(1+v)(1+w)-1],$$

equipped with the Krull topology. An element of  $A$  will be denoted by  $F = F(u,v)$ , and also as  $F(u,v,w)$  (a representative modulo the ideal  $[(1+u)(1+v)(1+w)-1]$ ). Let  $G_Q = \text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q)$  be the absolute Galois group over  $Q$ ,  $\chi: G_Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$  be the  $\ell$ -cyclotomic character describing the action of  $G_Q$  on the group  $\mu_{\ell^\infty}$  of  $\ell$ -power roots of unity in  $\overline{Q}$ , and let  $G_Q$  act on  $A$  via

$$J_\rho : 1+u \rightarrow (1+u)^{\chi(\rho)}, \quad 1+v \rightarrow (1+v)^{\chi(\rho)}, \quad 1+w \rightarrow (1+w)^{\chi(\rho)}$$

( $\rho \in G_Q$ ). In [PGC], we constructed a continuous 1-cocycle

$$(2) \quad G_Q \longrightarrow A^\times \quad (\rho \rightarrow F_\rho = F_\rho(u,v,w)).$$

It is unramified outside  $\ell$ , and is "universal" for Jacobi sums on 3 parameters  $a,b,c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$  with  $a+b+c=0$ . This 1-cocycle depends on the choice of a "coordinate system  $\iota$ " related to

$$\pi_1^{\text{pro-}\ell}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}) \quad (\text{loc.cit I}\S 2), \text{ but its restriction to } G_Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})$$

$= \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^\infty}))$ , which is a continuous homomorphism

$$(3) \quad G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mu_{\ell^\infty}) \rightarrow 1 + uvwA \subset A^\times,$$

depends only on the choice of a basis  $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of  $T_{\ell}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \varprojlim_n \mu_{\ell^n}$  (which is subject to  $\ell$ ).

For each  $F = F(u, v) \in A$ , define  $F * F$  to be the element of

$$(4) \quad A * A = \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[[u, v, u', v']] / [(1+u)(1+v)(1+u')(1+v') - 1]$$

represented by the product  $F(u, v)F(u', v')$ . (This algebra  $A * A$

is a sort of "completed amalgamated free product  $A \hat{*}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[[w]]} A$  ;

but we denote it simply as  $A * A$ , for brevity of notations.)

The first formulation of our theorem is as follows.

Theorem A<sub>1</sub>. Let  $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mu_{\ell^\infty})$ . Then  $F_{\rho} \in A$  is symmetric in  $u, v, w$ , and  $F_{\rho} * F_{\rho} \in A * A$  is symmetric in  $u, v, u', v'$ .

We shall show that these symmetries w.r.t.  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  follow from corresponding symmetries of Jacobi sums (§2). The first symmetry also allows a direct proof based on the definition of  $F_{\rho}$ . As for the second, the author learned that G. Anderson recently obtained it independently by a totally different method.

Further symmetries of Jacobi sums ( $\mathfrak{S}_{r+1}$ -symmetry of the Jacobi sum on  $r+1$  parameters  $a_0, \dots, a_r \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$  for  $r \geq 4$ ) do not give any more new functional equations for  $F_{\rho}$ .

To state the second formulation of the theorem, change

variables as

$$(4) \quad 1+u=\exp U, \quad 1+v=\exp V, \quad 1+w=\exp W \quad (U+V+W=0).$$

Then

Theorem A<sub>2</sub> Let  $\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$ . Then  $F_\rho$  has an expansion of the form

$$(5) \quad F_\rho(u, v, w) = \exp \sum_{\substack{m \geq 3 \\ \text{odd}}} \frac{\beta_m(\rho)}{m!} (U^m + V^m + W^m)$$

with  $\beta_m(\rho) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  ( $m \geq 3, \text{ odd}$ ).

This is in accordance with the results of [PGC] IV (Theorem 10 and its Corollary). Combining this with a formula of Deligne[D] (cf. also [PGC] IV) which, in our terminology, determines the coefficients of  $U^{m-1}V$  and  $UV^{m-1}$  in  $\log F_\rho$  (at least for  $m < \ell$ ), we conclude that

$$(6) \quad \beta_m(\rho) = (1 - \ell^{m-1})^{-1} \chi_m(\rho)$$

for  $m \geq 3, \text{ odd}$  (and at least for  $m < \ell$ ). Here,  $\chi_m$  is a Kummer character w.r.t. some system of circular  $\ell$ -units of  $Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})$  ([PGC] IV). From this follows in particular that the Vandiver conjecture for  $\ell$  ("the class number of  $Q(\cos \frac{2\pi}{\ell})$  is not divisible by  $\ell$ ") is valid if and only if  $\beta_m : G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is surjective for all  $m = 3, 5, \dots, \ell-2; (\ell > 3)$ .

2 Proofs.

Proof of Theorem A<sub>1</sub>. Let  $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the basis of  $T_\ell(G_m)$  which determines the homomorphism (3) of §1. (Each  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive element of  $\mu_{\ell^n}$ , and  $\zeta_{n+1}^\ell = \zeta_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ).) For each  $n \geq 1$ , denote by  $\mathcal{L}_n$  the set of all ordered triples  $(a, b, c)$  such that  $a, b, c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \setminus (0)$ ,  $a+b+c=0$ , and such that at least one of  $a, b, c$  belongs to  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)^\times$ . For  $F = F(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{L}_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), the special value

$$(1) \quad F(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1, \zeta_n^c - 1)$$

is well-defined, because  $a+b+c=0$  (and the series obviously converge).

We shall first prove the following two statements (I), (II) for

any  $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$  and  $n \geq 1$ :

(I)  $F_\rho(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1, \zeta_n^c - 1)$ , for  $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{L}_n$ , is symmetric in  $a, b, c$ .

(II) Let  $a, a', b, b' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$  be such that

$$a + a' + b + b' = 0,$$

$$b, b' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell},$$

$$a, a' \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}, \text{ but } a, a' \neq 0;$$

(hence necessarily  $n \geq 2$ ). Then

$$(2) \quad F_\rho(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'} - 1, \zeta_n^{b'} - 1) = F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'} - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^{b'} - 1).$$

In fact, for each fixed  $n \geq 1$ , we shall prove the statements of (I) (II) for all  $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})}$  (resp.  $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^{n+1}})}$  when  $\ell = 2$ ).

By continuity, it suffices to prove them when  $\rho$  is a Frobenius element of a prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell$ . But

for such  $\rho$ ,  $F_\rho(\zeta_n^a-1, \zeta_n^b-1, \zeta_n^c-1)$   $((a,b,c) \in \mathcal{L}_n)$  is, by Theorem 7 of [PGC] II § 6, the Jacobi sum:

$$(3) \quad F_\rho(\zeta_n^a-1, \zeta_n^b-1, \zeta_n^c-1) = - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+1=0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \\ = \frac{-1}{q-1} \sum_{\substack{x,y,z \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+z=0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \chi_n(z)^c,$$

where  $q = N(\rho)$ ,  $F_q$  is the finite field  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]/\rho$ , and  $\chi_n: F_q^\times \rightarrow \mu_{\ell^n}$  is the Teichmüller character determined by

$$\chi_n(x) \equiv x^{\frac{q-1}{\ell^n}} \pmod{\rho} \quad (x \in F_q^\times).$$

Note that  $\chi_n(-1)=1$ , because when  $\ell=2$ , we assumed  $\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^{n+1}})}$  and hence  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell^{n+1}}$ . Since the right side of (3) is symmetric in  $a,b,c$ , (I) follows.

Now, to prove (II) when  $\rho$  is a Frobenius element of  $\mathcal{P}$ , let  $a,b,a',b'$  be as in (II). Then all the 4 triples

$$(a,b,-a-b), (a',b',-a'-b'), (a',b,-a'-b), (a,b',-a-b')$$

belong to  $\mathcal{L}_n$ , because  $a+b, a'+b', a'+b, a+b' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ ; hence in particular  $\neq 0$ . Therefore, the formula

$$(4) \quad F_\rho(\zeta_n^\alpha-1, \zeta_n^\beta-1) = - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+1=0}} \chi_n(x)^\alpha \chi_n(y)^\beta$$

is valid for  $(\alpha, \beta) = (a,b), (a',b'), (a',b), (a,b')$ . On the other hand,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\substack{x,y,x',y' \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+x'+y'=0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \chi_n(x')^{a'} \chi_n(y')^{b'} \\ = \sum_{z \in F_q} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{x+y=z \\ x,y \neq 0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \cdot \sum_{\substack{x'+y'=-z \\ x',y' \neq 0}} \chi_n(x')^{a'} \chi_n(y')^{b'} \right\}.$$

Since  $\chi_n$  is surjective and  $a+b, a'+b' \neq 0$ , the summand for  $z=0$

vanishes; hence (5) is equal to the sum over  $z \in F_q^\times$ . The summand for each  $z \in F_q^\times$  may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\substack{x+y=-1 \\ x,y \neq 0}} \chi_n(-xz)^a \chi_n(-yz)^b \cdot \sum_{\substack{x'+y'=-1 \\ x',y' \neq 0}} \chi_n(x'z)^{a'} \chi_n(y'z)^{b'},$$

which is independent of  $z$ , as  $a+b+a'+b'=0$ . And since  $\chi_n(-1)=1$ ,

(5) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (5') \quad & (q-1) \sum_{\substack{x+y=-1 \\ x,y \neq 0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \cdot \sum_{\substack{x'+y'=-1 \\ x',y' \neq 0}} \chi_n(x')^{a'} \chi_n(y')^{b'} \\ & = (q-1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a-1}, \zeta_n^{b-1}) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'-1}, \zeta_n^{b'-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since (5) is a priori symmetric in  $a, b, a', b'$ , and (4) holds for

$(\alpha, \beta) = (a', b), (a, b')$ , we deduce that (5') is also equal to

$$(5'') \quad (q-1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'-1}, \zeta_n^{b-1}) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a-1}, \zeta_n^{b'-1}).$$

This gives the proof of (II).

$G_3$ -symmetricity. In [PGC] II, we studied the ideals

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{O}_m = \left\{ F = F(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{A} ; F(\zeta-1, \zeta'-1, \zeta''-1) = 0, \text{ for all } \zeta, \zeta', \zeta'' \right. \\ \left. \in \mu_{q^m} \setminus \{1\} \text{ with } \zeta \zeta' \zeta'' = 1 \right\}$$

( $m \geq 1$ ) of  $\mathcal{A}$  and in particular proved that  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_m = (0)$

(cf. II §4(14), §1(16)). Now the property (I) proved above for all

$n \leq m$  implies that if  $\rho \in G_Q(\mu_{q^\infty})$  and  $\sigma$  is any substitution of three letters  $u, v, w$ , then

$$F_\rho(u, v, w) - F_\rho(\sigma u, \sigma v, \sigma w)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_m$ . Since  $m \geq 1$  is arbitrary, this must vanish.

Therefore,  $F_\rho(u, v, w)$ , as an element of  $\mathcal{A}$ , is symmetric in  $u, v, w$ .

$G_4$ -symmetricity. Let  $u, u', v$  be 3 independent variables,

and define  $v' \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, u', v]]$  by the equality

$$(1+u)(1+u')(1+v)(1+v')=1.$$

(Note that  $v'$  has no constant term.) To prove the  $\mathcal{G}_4$ -symmetricity of  $F_\rho * F_\rho$  (for  $\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$ ), it suffices to prove that

$$(7) \quad F_\rho(u, v) F_\rho(u', v') = F_\rho(u', v) F_\rho(u, v') \quad (\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})})$$

holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, u', v]]$ , because  $\mathcal{G}_4$  (on  $u, u', v, v'$ ) is generated by 3 transpositions  $u \leftrightarrow v$ ,  $u' \leftrightarrow v'$ , and  $u \leftrightarrow u'$ . (These transpositions generate a transitive subgroup containing " $\mathcal{G}_3$  on  $u, u', v$ ", the full stabilizer of  $v'$ .) Now, to prove (7), fix  $\rho$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, u', v) &= F_\rho(u, v) F_\rho(u', v') - F_\rho(u', v) F_\rho(u, v') \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i(u, u') v^i, \end{aligned}$$

with  $H_i(u, u') \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, u']]$ . Then, by (II),

$$G(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^{a'} - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1) = 0$$

holds as long as  $a, a' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \setminus (0)$ ,  $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)^\times$  and  $a, a' \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ . (Note that  $b' = -a - a' - b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ .) So, if we fix  $m \geq 1$  and  $\alpha, \alpha' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^m) \setminus (0)$ , and take  $n = m + k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $a = \ell^k \alpha$ ,  $a' = \ell^k \alpha'$  (the image of  $\alpha, \alpha'$  by the  $\ell^k$ -multiplication map  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^m) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$ ), then

$$G(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1, \zeta_{m+k}^b - 1) = 0$$

for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{m+k})^\times$ . But then,  $G(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1, v)$  vanishes at  $v = \zeta - 1$  for infinitely many distinct values of  $\zeta \in \mu_{\ell^\infty}$ . By lemma 1 below, this implies that  $G(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1, v) = 0$ , i.e.,  $H_i(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1) = 0$  for each  $i \geq 0$ . This implies in particular that  $H_i \in \mathcal{O}_m$ . Since  $m \geq 1$  is arbitrary, this gives  $H_i \in \bigcap_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_m = (0)$ , all  $i$ . Therefore,  $G = 0$ . This gives (7), and hence completes the proof of Theorem  $A_1$ .



lemma 1. Let  $k$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers of  $k$ , and  $G(u) \in \mathcal{O}[[u]]$  be a formal power series of one variable over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Suppose  $G(\xi - 1) = 0$  for infinitely many distinct elements  $\xi$  of  $\mu_\ell^\infty$ . Then  $G = 0$ .

Proof This is well-known, and can be verified immediately as follows. Suppose on the contrary that  $G(u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i u^i \neq 0$  ( $a_i \in \mathcal{O}$ ),

and let  $i_0$  be the smallest integer  $\geq 0$  such that  $\text{ord}_k(a_{i_0}) = \min_i \text{ord}_k(a_i)$  ( $\text{ord}_k$ : the normalized additive valuation of  $k$ ).

Take  $n (\geq 1)$  so large that  $\ell^{n-1} > i_0(\ell-1)^{-1} \text{ord}_k \ell$ , and let  $\xi \in \mu_{\ell^\infty}$  be of order exactly  $\ell^n$ . Then  $\text{ord}_k(\xi-1)^{i_0} = i_0(\ell^n - \ell^{n-1})^{-1} \text{ord}_k \ell < 1$ . But then, it is easy to see that

$$(8) \quad \text{ord}_k(a_{i_0}(\xi-1)^{i_0}) < \text{ord}_k(a_i(\xi-1)^i), \quad \text{all } i \neq i_0.$$

Therefore,  $G(\xi-1) \neq 0$  for all such  $\xi$ , a contradiction. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem A<sub>2</sub>. For each  $F = F(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $F(0, 0) = 1$ , define its logarithm by  $\log F = \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} (F-1)^m / m$ , and

consider it as an element of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[[U, V]]$ , where  $U = \log(1+u)$ ,  $V = \log(1+v)$ . The involutive automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by  $1+u \rightarrow (1+u)^{-1}$ ,  $1+v \rightarrow (1+v)^{-1}$  (i.e.,  $U \rightarrow -U$ ,  $V \rightarrow -V$ ) is denoted by the bar sign  $* \rightarrow \bar{*}$ . We shall reduce Theorem A<sub>1</sub> to:

Proposition 1 Let  $F = F(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then the following conditions (i) (ii) are equivalent;

$$(i) \quad F \equiv 1 \pmod{uvw},$$

$$F \cdot \overline{F} = 1,$$

$F$  is symmetric in  $u, v, w$ ,

$F \cdot F$  is symmetric in  $u, v, u', v'$ ;

(ii)  $\log F$  is of the form

$$(9) \quad \log F = \sum_{\substack{m \geq 3 \\ \text{odd}}} \frac{\beta_m}{m!} (U^m + V^m + W^m),$$

where  $W = -(U+V)$ ,  $\beta_m \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Remark. As the following proof shows, (i) is also equivalent to an apparently weaker condition:

$$(i)' \quad F \equiv 1 \pmod{uv},$$

$$F(u, v)F(u', v') \equiv F(u', v)F(u, v') \pmod{[(1+u)(1+v)(1+u')(1+v')-1]}.$$

When  $F = F_\rho$  ( $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{2^\infty})}$ ), the first two properties in (i) are proved in [PGC], and the last two are given by Theorem  $A_1$ .

Thus, Theorem  $A_2$  is reduced to Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. We shall only prove the implication  $(i)' \rightarrow (ii)$  (the implication  $(ii) \rightarrow (i)'$  is obvious). From the first congruence of  $(i)'$  follows that  $\log F$  is divisible by  $UV$ . Hence  $\log F$  is of the form

$$(10) \quad - \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{\beta_{ij}}{i!j!} u^i v^j,$$

with  $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . (That  $\beta_{ij}$  is integral follows automatically from the integrality of the coefficients of  $F(u,v)$ ; cf. [PGC] IV§2.)

So, it remains to show, from the second congruence of (i)', that

$\beta_{ij}$  depends only on  $m = i+j$  and vanishes when  $m$  is even.

This is immediately reduced to the following

lemma 2 Let  $m$  be a positive integer, and  $g(x,y)$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$  over a field of characteristic 0. Then, if  $m$  is odd, the following two conditions (i)(ii) are equivalent;

(i)  $g(x,y)$  satisfies

$$(*) \quad g(x,0) = g(0,y) = 0,$$

$$(**) \quad g(x,y) + g(x',y') \equiv g(x',y) + g(x,y') \pmod{(x+x'+y+y')};$$

(ii)  $g(x,y)$  is a constant multiple of  $(x+y)^m - x^m - y^m$ .

If  $m$  is even, the condition (i) implies  $g(x,y) = 0$ .

Proof The implication (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) (for  $m$ :odd) is straightforward. To prove the rest, let  $g(x,y)$  satisfy (i), and write

$$(11) \quad g(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0 \\ i+j=m}} b_j x^i y^j, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j = i!j!b_j.$$

Then  $b_0 = b_m = 0$ , by (\*). The congruence (\*\*) says that the polynomial

$$(12) \quad g(x, y) + g(x', -x-x'-y)$$

is symmetric in  $x, x'$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $y^j$  in (12) for each  $j$ , given by the formula below, is symmetric in  $x, x'$ .

$$(13) \quad b_j x^i + \sum_{j \leq \ell \leq m} b_\ell (-1)^\ell \binom{\ell}{j} (x+x')^{\ell-j} x^{m-\ell} \\ = b_j x^i + \sum_{0 \leq p \leq i} \left\{ b_{j+p} (-1)^{j+p} \binom{j+p}{j} \cdot \sum_{\mu=0}^p \binom{p}{\mu} x^\mu x'^{i-\mu} \right\}$$

(put  $\ell = j+p$ ). For  $\mu, \nu \geq 0$ ,  $\mu + \nu = i$ , the coefficient of  $x^\mu x'^\nu$  in the second term of (13) is given by

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\mu \leq p \leq i} (-1)^{j+p} \binom{j+p}{j} \binom{p}{\mu} b_{j+p} \quad (\text{put } q = i-p) \\ = \sum_{0 \leq q \leq \nu} (-1)^{m-q} \binom{m-q}{j} \binom{i-q}{\mu} b_{m-q} = \frac{(-1)^m}{j! \mu! \nu!} \gamma_\nu,$$

with  
(15)

$$\gamma_\nu = \sum_{0 \leq q \leq \nu} (-1)^q \binom{\nu}{q} \beta_{m-q}$$

( $\beta_{m-q}$ , as in (11).) But since (13) is symmetric in  $x, x'$ , (14) must be symmetric in  $\mu, \nu$ , unless  $\mu$  or  $\nu = 0$  (this exception, as we have not yet taken the first term  $b_j x^i$  in (13) into account). Therefore,  $\gamma_\nu = \gamma_{i-\nu}$  for all  $\nu$ , with  $0 < \nu < i$ . Therefore,

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_{i-1} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq m; \text{ hence}$$

$$(16) \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_{m-1} \stackrel{\text{put}}{=} \gamma.$$

Moreover, the coefficients of  $x^i$  and of  $x'^i$  in (13) must be equal; hence we obtain (noting that  $b_0 = b_m = 0$ ):

$$b_j = \frac{(-1)^m}{i! j!} \gamma_i \quad (i, j > 0, i+j=m).$$

Therefore,

$$(17) \quad \beta_j = (-1)^m \gamma_i \quad (0 < i < m).$$

Therefore, (16) gives

$$(18) \quad \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_{m-1}^{\text{put}} = \beta.$$

Since  $\beta_0 = \beta_m = 0$ , we obtain

$$g(x, y) = \beta \cdot \sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 1 \\ i+j=m}} \frac{x^i y^j}{i! j!} = \frac{\beta}{m!} ((x+y)^m - x^m - y^m).$$

On the other hand, (15) and (18) gives  $\gamma = -\beta$ , and (17) gives

$\beta = (-1)^m \gamma$ . Therefore,  $\beta = 0$  when  $m$  is even. q.e.d.

### 3 Some open questions

We have thus proved that  $F_p$  ( $p \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$ ) satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1. It is natural to ask whether these conditions characterize the image of  $G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$  in  $A^X$ . More plausible would be a similar characterization of the image modulo  $\ell$ . As we have seen above, it is closely connected with the Vandiver conjecture at  $\ell$ . It also seems to be an interesting question to construct all power series in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[[u,v]]$  satisfying the conditions analogous to those of Proposition 1 (i). Here, we meet with the study of the power series  $h(u) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[[u]]$  satisfying the differential equations of the form

$$D^{\ell-1}(h) - D^{\ell-1}(h)_{u=0} = h - h^{\ell},$$

where  $D = (u+1) \frac{d}{du}$ . (Such  $h(u)$  appears in the  $v$ -adic expansion of  $F(u,v)$  as

$$F(u,v) = 1 + h(u)v + \dots \quad .)$$

Is there a totally different approach (e.g. from topology) to construct such power series in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[[u,v]]$  ?

#### [References]

- [A] G.Anderson, Letters, August-September, 1985.
- [D] P.Deligne, A letter to S.Bloch, February, 1984.
- [PGC] Y.Ihara, Profinite braid groups, Galois representations, and complex multiplications, (to appear in Annals of Math.)