

A topology of vector lattices

日本大学工学部 川崎敏治 (Toshiharu Kawasaki)
College of Engineering, Nihon University
E-mail: toshiharu.kawasaki@nifty.ne.jp

Abstract

In [3] we define and study the Denjoy and Henstock-Kurzweil integrals in a vector lattice. Moreover in [5, 6, 7] we show some fixed point theorems in a vector lattice. In those theories we need a topology in a vector lattice and some assumptions. The purpose of this paper is to show criteria for the assumptions.

1 Introduction

There are many fixed point theorems in a topological vector space, for instance, Kirk's fixed point theorem in a Banach space, and so on; see for example [11].

We consider a derivative and fixed point theorems in a vector lattice. As known well every topological vector space has a linear topology. On the other hand, although every vector lattice does not have a topology, it has two lattice operators, which are the supremum $\vee$ and the infimum $\wedge$, and also an order is introduced from these operators; see also [9, 12] about vector lattices. There are some methods how to introduce a topology to a vector lattice. One method is to assume that the vector lattice has a linear topology [1]. On the other hand, there is another method to make up a topology in a vector lattice, for instance, in [3] one method is introduced in case of the vector lattice with unit.

In [3] we define and study the Denjoy and Henstock-Kurzweil integrals in a vector lattice. Moreover in [5, 6, 7] we show some fixed point theorems in a vector lattice. In those theories we need a topology in a vector lattice and some assumptions. The purpose of this paper is to show criteria for the assumptions.

2 Topology in a vector lattice

First we introduce a topology in a vector lattice introduced by [3]; see also [6, 7].

Let X be a vector lattice. $e \in X$ is said to be an unit if $e \wedge x > 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$. Let $\mathcal{K}_X$ be the class of units of X . In the case where X is the set of real numbers $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the set of positive real numbers. Let X be a vector lattice with unit and let Y be a subset of X . Y is said to be open if for any $x \in Y$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists

$\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $[x - \varepsilon e, x + \varepsilon e] \subset Y$. Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the class of open subsets of X . For $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ and for an interval $[a, b]$ we consider the following subset

$$[a, b]^e = \{x \mid \text{there exists some } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}} \text{ such that } x - a \geq \varepsilon e \text{ and } b - x \geq \varepsilon e\}.$$

By the definition of $[a, b]^e$ it is easy to see that $[a, b]^e \subset [a, b]$. Every mapping from $X \times \mathcal{K}_X$ into $(0, \infty)$ is said to be a gauge. Let Δ_X be the class of gauges in X . For $x \in X$ and $\delta \in \Delta_X$, $O(x, \delta)$ is defined by

$$O(x, \delta) = \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{K}_X} [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e.$$

$O(x, \delta)$ is said to be a δ -neighborhood of x . Suppose that for any $x \in X$ and for any $\delta \in \Delta_X$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $x \in U \subset O(x, \delta)$.

Let X be a vector lattice with unit and Y a vector lattice. Let $\mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ be the class of $\{v_e \mid e \in \mathcal{K}_X\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(U1) $v_e \in Y$ with $v_e > 0$;

(U2)^d $v_{e_1} \geq v_{e_2}$ if $e_1 \geq e_2$;

(U3)^s For any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $\theta(e) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $v_{\theta(e)e} \leq \frac{1}{2}v_e$.

Let $x_0 \in Z \subset X$ and $f : Z \rightarrow Y$. f is said to be continuous at x_0 if there exists $\{v_e\} \in \mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ such that for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $\delta \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that for any $x \in Z$ if $|x - x_0| \leq \delta e$, then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq v_e$.

Let X and Y be vector lattices with unit, $Z \subset X$ and $f : Z \rightarrow Y$. Suppose that there exists $P \subset Y$ satisfying the following conditions:

(P1) P is open and convex;

(P2) If $x \in P$ and $x \leq y$, then $y \in P$;

(P3) $0 \notin P$;

(P4) $\{x \mid x > 0\} \subset P$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_Y$ be the class of the above P 's. f is said to be upper semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if $\{x \mid y - f(x) \in P\} \in \mathcal{O}_X \cap Z$ for any $y \in Y$. f is said to be lower semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if $\{x \mid f(x) - y \in P\} \in \mathcal{O}_X \cap Z$ for any $y \in Y$. f is said to be semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if it is upper and lower semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$.

A vector lattice is said to be Archimedean if it holds that $x = 0$ whenever there exists $y \in X$ with $y \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq rx \leq y$ for any $r \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a positive homomorphism f from X into $\mathbf{R}$, that is, f satisfies the following conditions:

(H1) $f(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$ and for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$;

(H2) $f(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x \geq 0$;

see [7]*Example 3.1. Suppose that there exists a homomorphism f from X into $\mathbf{R}$ satisfying the following condition instead of (H2):

(H2)^s $f(x) > 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$.

The following hold under the topology above; see [6, 7].

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice with unit and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ a subset of X . Then $\text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is homeomorphic to a compact and convex subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice with unit, Y a vector lattice with unit, $Z \subset X$ and f a mapping from Z into Y . Suppose that there exists a homomorphism from X into $\mathbf{R}$ satisfying condition (H2)^s and that $\mathcal{P}_Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Then f is semi-continuous with respect to any $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if it is continuous at any $x \in Z$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice with unit, Y a vector lattice with unit, $x_0 \in Z \subset X$ and f a mapping from Z into Y . Suppose that there exists a homomorphism from X into $\mathbf{R}$ satisfying condition (H2)^s.*

Then f is continuous at x_0 in the sense of topology if it is continuous at x_0 .

3 Criteria for the condition (H2)^s

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be an Archimedean vector lattices with unit.*

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) X satisfies the condition (H2)^s;
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_X \neq \emptyset$;
- (3) There exists $O \in \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $O \neq \emptyset$ and $\{x \mid x > 0\} \subset \text{co}(O) \neq X$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\delta(x, e) = \frac{\beta f(x)}{f(e)}$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Put $P = \bigcup_{x \in X \text{ with } x > 0} \text{int}(O(x, \delta))$. Then P is open and $\{x \mid x > 0\} \subset P$. Note that by condition (H2)^s for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $x_1, x_2 > 0$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$, $\frac{x_1}{f(x_1)}$ and $\frac{x_2}{f(x_2)}$ are incomparable mutually. Therefore $x - \delta(x, e)e \not\leq 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Assume that $0 \in P$. Then there exist $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ such that $0 \in [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e$. It is a contradiction. Therefore $0 \notin P$. Note that $x \in \text{int}(A)$ if and only if there exists $\delta_x \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x, \delta_x) \subset A$. Let $x \in P$ and $x \leq y$. Then there exist $z \in X$ with $z > 0$ and $\delta_x \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x, \delta_x) \subset O(z, \delta)$. Let $\delta_y(u, e) = \delta_x(u - y + x, e)$.

Since $\delta(x_2, e) \leq \delta(x_1 + x_2, e)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $x_1, x_2 > 0$, it holds that $x_1 + O(x_2, \delta) \subset O(x_1 + x_2, \delta)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} O(y, \delta_y) = y - x + O(x, \delta_x) &\subset y - x + O(z, \delta) \\ &\subset O(z + y - x, \delta), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $y \in \text{int}(O(z + y - x, \delta)) \subset P$. Let $x_0, x_1 \in P$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then for $i = 0, 1$ there exist $y_i \in X$ with $y_i > 0$ and $\delta_i \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x_i, \delta_i) \subset O(y_i, \delta)$. Let $\delta_\alpha(z, e) = (1 - \alpha)\delta_0(x_0, e) + \alpha\delta_1(x_1, e)$. Take $z \in O((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, \delta_\alpha)$ arbitrary. Then there exists $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z &\in [(1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1 - \delta_\alpha((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, e)e, \\ &\quad (1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1 + \delta_\alpha((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, e)e]^e \\ &= (1 - \alpha)[x_0 - \delta_0(x_0, e)e, x_0 + \delta_0(x_0, e)e]^e \\ &\quad + \alpha[x_1 - \delta_1(x_1, e)e, x_1 + \delta_1(x_1, e)e]^e. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta(\alpha x, e) = \alpha\delta(x, e)$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$, it holds that $O(\alpha x, \delta) = \alpha O(x, \delta)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(z_0, e_0)e_0 + \delta(z_1, e_1)e_1 \\ &= \delta\left(z_0 + z_1, \frac{f(z_0)}{f(e_0)}e_0 + \frac{f(z_1)}{f(e_1)}e_1\right)\left(\frac{f(z_0)}{f(e_0)}e_0 + \frac{f(z_1)}{f(e_1)}e_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $z_0, z_1 \in X$ with $z_0, z_1 > 0$, it holds that $O(z_0, \delta) + O(z_1, \delta) \subset O(z_0 + z_1, \delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z &\in (1 - \alpha)O(x_0, \delta_0) + \alpha O(x_1, \delta_1) \\ &\subset (1 - \alpha)O(y_0, \delta) + \alpha O(y_1, \delta) \\ &= O((1 - \alpha)y_0, \delta) + O(\alpha y_1, \delta) \\ &\subset O((1 - \alpha)y_0 + \alpha y_1, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $O((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, \delta_\alpha) \subset O((1 - \alpha)y_0 + \alpha y_1, \delta)$, that is, $(1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1 \in \text{int}(O((1 - \alpha)y_0 + \alpha y_1, \delta)) \subset P$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): $P \in \mathcal{P}_X$ satisfies $P \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $P \neq \emptyset$ and $\{x \mid x > 0\} \subset \text{co}(P) \neq X$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Take $x_0 \in \text{co}(O)$. Let p be a mapping from X into $[0, \infty]$ defined by $p(x) = \inf\{r \mid r > 0, \frac{1}{r}x \in \text{co}(O) - x_0\}$. p satisfies the following:

- (1) $p(x) < \infty$;
- (2) $\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\alpha > 0$, $p(\alpha x) = \alpha p(x)$;
- (3) $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$;
- (4) $\{x \mid p(x) < 1\} = \text{co}(O) - x_0$.

Since $0 \notin \text{co}(O)$, $p(-x_0) \geq 1$. Let $Y = \{\lambda x_0 \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$ and g a mapping from Y into $\mathbf{R}$ defined by $g(\lambda x_0) = -\lambda$. g is linear and $g(\lambda x_0) \leq p(\lambda x_0)$. By Hahn-Banach theorem there exists a mapping f from X into $\mathbf{R}$ satisfying $f \leq p$ and $f|_Y = g$. $-f$ is an answer of the proposition. $\square$

4 Criteria for the Hausdorffness

Let X be a vector lattice with unit. Let $|\mathcal{K}_X|$ be the class of x satisfying $|x| \in \mathcal{K}_X$. For any $x \in |\mathcal{K}_X|$ let $x_+^\perp = \{0 \vee x\}^\perp$, $x_-^\perp = \{0 \vee (-x)\}^\perp$,

$$Q(x) = \{x_1 \mid x_1 \in |\mathcal{K}_X|, (x_1)_+^\perp = x_+^\perp, (x_1)_-^\perp = x_-^\perp\}$$

and

$$\overline{Q}(x) = \left(\bigcup_{x_1, x_2 \in Q(x)} [0 \wedge x_1, 0 \vee x_2] \right) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a complete vector lattice with unit and satisfying $\mathcal{P}_X \neq \emptyset$. Then X is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $x_1 \neq x_2$. It holds that for any $y \in X$ there exists $x \in |\mathcal{K}_X|$ such that $y \in \overline{Q}(x)$. Let $y = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{2}$. Let R_x be a mapping from X into X defined by $R_x(y_1 + y_2) = -y_1 + y_2$ for any $y_1 \in x_+^\perp$ and for any $y_2 \in x_-^\perp$. Let $O_1 = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - R_x^{-1}(P)\right)$ and $O_2 = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + R_x^{-1}(P)\right)$. Then O_1 and O_2 are answers of the proposition. $\square$

Acknowledgement. The author was taught from Professor Tomonari Suzuki that the proof of Theorem 4.1 can be more shortly. The author is grateful to Professor Tamaki Tanaka for his suggestions and comments. Moreover the author got a lot of useful advice from Professor Wataru Takahashi, Professor Masashi Toyoda and Professor Toshikazu Watanabe.

References

- [1] R. Cristescu, Topological Vector Spaces, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1977.
- [2] T. Kawasaki, A criterion for the Saks-Henstock lemma in a locally convex space, Integration: Mathematical Theory and Applications, **1** No. 2 (2008), 183–188.
- [3] T. Kawasaki, Denjoy integral and Henstock-Kurzweil integral in vector lattices, I, II, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **59** No. 2 (2009), 381–399, 401–417.
- [4] T. Kawasaki, A criterion for the Saks-Henstock lemma in a topological vector space, Integration: Mathematical Theory and Applications, to appear.
- [5] T. Kawasaki, Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings in a vector lattice, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, to appear.
- [6] T. Kawasaki, M. Toyoda and T. Watanabe, Takahashi's and Fan-Browder's fixed point theorems in a vector lattice, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, **10** No. 3 (2009), 455–461.

- [7] T. Kawasaki, M. Toyoda and T. Watanabe, Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point theorems in a vector lattice, *Fixed Point Theory*, **11** No. 1 (2009), 37–44.
- [8] W. A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, **72** No. 9 (1965), 1004–1006.
- [9] W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [10] W. Takahashi, Fixed point, minimax, and Hahn-Banach theorems, *Proceedings of the Symposium on Pure Mathematics*, **45** No.2 (1986), 419–427.
- [11] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Fixed Points Theory and its Applications*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [12] B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1967.