

1 **Long-term variations of the nighttime electron density enhancement**
2 **during the ionospheric mid-latitude summer**

3 C. H. Chen¹, A. Saito¹, C. H. Lin², J. Y. Liu^{3,4,5}

4 ¹Department of Geophysics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto,
5 Japan

6 ²Department of Earth Science, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

7 ³Institute of Space Science, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

8 ⁴Center for Space and Remote Sensing Research, National Central University,
9 Chung-Li, Taiwan

10 ⁵National Space Organization, Taiwan

11 **Abstract**

12 This study, for the first time, presented the long-term variations of Mid-latitude
13 Summer Nighttime Anomaly (MSNA) in the two hemispheres by using 66
14 ground-based ionosonde observations from 1957 to 2010. MSNA is characterized by
15 the feature of higher nighttime electron density than daytime density in the
16 mid-latitude region during local summer months. Observations from 66 ionosonde
17 stations were used to calculate the MSNA index which is defined by the difference
18 between nighttime and noontime $NmF2$ values. The MSNA occurrence is determined
19 by positive value of the MSNA index. The global distribution map of the MSNA
20 index shows that there are three regions of intense MSNA. Three ionosonde stations
21 in each of active MSNA regions were chosen to study the long-term variation of
22 MSNA covering longer than one solar cycle. One station in the southern hemisphere
23 is AIJ6N (Argentina IS; 65.2°S, 64.3°W geographic) and two stations in the northern
24 hemisphere are LN047 (Lannion; 48.8°N, -3.4°E geographic) and MG560 (Magadan;
25 60.0°N, 151.0°E geographic). Results show that there is a clear solar activity negative
26 dependence of the MSNA index, high MSNA in the low solar activity condition and
27 low MSNA in the high solar activity condition. The seasonal and solar activity
28 variations of the MSNA index are explained by the combined effects of the vertical
29 plasma drift induced by the neutral wind and photoionization during the nighttime.

1. Introduction

The Weddell Sea Anomaly (WSA) of the Earth's ionosphere is characterized by the greater electron density in the nighttime than that in the daytime near the Weddell Sea region during the summer period. This nighttime electron density enhancement feature was firstly discovered by an ionosonde located nearby the region in 1950s [Bellchambers and Piggott, 1958; Penndorf, 1965; Dudeney and Piggott, 1978]. Recently, this feature was further observed by TOPEX/Poseidon [Horvath and Essex, 2003; Horvath, 2006; Jee et al., 2009], FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC [Burns et al., 2008; He et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2009, 2010], and CHAMP [Liu et al., 2010] satellites, indicating that the WSA extends over a much larger region between the South America and Antarctica. The global distribution maps of $NmF2$ and $hmF2$ calculated by FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC radio occultation were utilized to investigate the WSA [Burns et al., 2008]. Their results showed the enhanced electron densities accompanied by the increased height of the $F2$ peak around WSA region during southern hemisphere summer. They suggested that the electron density enhancement of the WSA is associated with the $F2$ peak altitude increasing. Using the observations of FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC measurements, Lin et al. [2009] constructed monthly global three-dimensional maps of the ionospheric electron density structures of the

48 WSA and reported that the WSA spans in a large region and is most significantly seen
49 at around 300 km altitude.

50 Regarding the occurrence of WSA during different solar activities, the total
51 electron content (TEC) observation by the TOPEX/Poseidon altimeter was used to
52 observe the spatial extent of the WSA over the ocean near the Antarctic Peninsula
53 during the high solar activity period in 1998 and 1999 [Horvath and Essex, 2003] and
54 the low solar activity period in 1996 and 1997 [Horvath, 2006]. Results showed that
55 the WSA occurred on both solar activity conditions. Jee et al. [2009] analyzed more
56 than 13-year global TOPEX TEC maps to further study the seasonal and solar cycle
57 variations of the WSA in the southern hemisphere. They presented that the WSA
58 appears only in the summer when F10.7 is low, but it appears in all seasons (except
59 for winter) when F10.7 is high. However, Liu et al. [2010] analyzed 6-year *in situ*
60 electron density measurements at 400 km from the CHAMP satellite and found that
61 the feature of WSA is more pronounced at solar minimum than at the solar maximum.
62 They suggested that the difference between their results and those in Jee et al. [2009]
63 may be due to different behavior between TEC and electron density at 400 km.

64 Not only in the southern hemisphere, recently, the nighttime electron density
65 enhancement was also observed in the northern hemisphere. Lin et al. [2009]
66 presented a WSA-like anomalous nighttime electron density enhancement in the

67 northern summer in June 2007 by the FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC data and the global
68 ionospheric map (GIM-TEC). Thampi et al. [2009] used tomographic observations
69 over Japan to investigate the northern electron density anomaly around the East Asian
70 region during July-August 2008. They found that the anomaly in the northern
71 hemisphere are absent at lower altitudes (< 275 km) and appear at higher altitudes ($\sim$
72 $275 - 550$ km). Both WSA in the southern hemisphere and the similar anomalies in the
73 northern hemisphere appear around magnetic mid-latitude regions and local summer
74 nighttime, these anomalies are, therefore, named as the Mid-latitude Summer
75 Nighttime Anomaly (MSNA) [Thampi et al., 2009]. Later, Liu et al. [2010] reported
76 that global distribution of nighttime electron enhancements at East Asian (around
77 geographic 53°N and 150°E), Northern Atlantic (around geographic 45°N and -50°E),
78 and South Pacific (around geographic -60°N and -110°E) regions by using CHAMP
79 satellite data. Lin et al. [2010] presented similar electron density anomalous feature in
80 the Northeast Asia and Europe/Africa longitudes of the northern hemisphere by using
81 the electron density at 300 km altitude from FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC observations.
82 Liu et al. [2010] and Lin et al. [2010] also suggested that this nighttime electron
83 density enhancement is a general mid-latitude feature that exists at longitudes where
84 the magnetic equator is apart from the geographic equator.

Regarding to the solar cycle variation of MSNA in the northern hemisphere, Liu et al. [2010] used 6 years of *Ne* data at 400 km by CHAMP satellite and found that the MSNA is more pronounced at solar minimum than at solar maximum. However, they used only 6-year data, which is hardly to discuss the solar activity dependent. Also, a quantitatively analytical method is needed for the long-term variations of MSNA in place of observation by naked eye. In this paper, we defined a MSNA strength index (MSNA index) from ratio difference between the nighttime and noontime electron densities to investigate the long-term variations of the MSNA quantitatively in the two hemispheres. According to previous studies [Horvath 2006; He et al., 2009; Thampi et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011], the neutral wind effect may play a critical role in the nighttime electron density enhancement. The neutral wind effect along the magnetic field line and the associated seasonal and solar activity dependence of the MSNA were investigated by using the model estimations based on the Horizontal Wind Model 93 (HWM93; Hedin et al., 1996), the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF-10; Maus et al., 2005), and SAMI2 (Sami2 is Another Model of the Ionosphere; Huba et al., 2000) model.

2. Data

In order to study the occurrence and the amplitude of MSNA, a MSNA index is defined in this study. The MSNA index is defined as ratio difference between the

nighttime daily maximum value of $NmF2$ and the noontime (12:00 LT) one divided by the daily minimum $NmF2$. The MSNA index denotes the percentage of nighttime electron density enhancement compared with the daytime electron density.

$$\text{MSNA index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Max}(NmF2)_{\text{night}} - NmF2_{12LT}}{\text{Min}(NmF2)_{\text{all}}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The nighttime is defined by 19:00 LT - 04:00 LT during the local summer and is defined by the time when the solar zenith angle at 300 km is greater than 90° during other seasons. We further exclude the data when the decrease curve of $NmF2$ value occurs during the nighttime. The positive MSNA index indicates occurrence of the nighttime electron density anomaly/enhancement and the greater MSNA index amplitude indicates a stronger MSNA feature. The MSNA index can be a proxy for the long-term study of the MSNA quantitatively. The $NmF2$ data of 66 ionosonde stations around mid-latitude region were used to calculate the distribution map of the MSNA index during 1957 - 2010. Figure 1 shows the locations of 66 ionosonde stations and their median value of MSNA index during local summer months (May-Jul. for northern hemisphere; Nov.-Jan. for southern hemisphere). It can be seen that the positive MSNA indexes appear between South America and Antarctica region (a typical Weddell Sea Anomaly feature), West European and East America region (Atlantic Ocean), and Northeast Asian regions. The occurrence regions of the MSNA are consistent with previous studies [Liu et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2010]. In Figure 1, the

maximum value of MSNA index is about 53% in the southern hemisphere, which is larger than that in the northern hemisphere (about 36% around Northeast Asian region). The values of MSNA index around Northeast Asian region are larger than that around West European region. We choose three most representative ionosonde stations at each MSNA region to study the long-term variations of the MSNA feature. Data from three ionosonde located around geomagnetic mid-latitude region with station codes listed as AIJ6N (in the southern hemisphere), LN047 and MG560 (both are in the northern hemisphere) are analyzed. Table 1 shows the IGRF-10 geographic and geomagnetic coordinates of the three ionosondes.

Figure 2 shows daily variations of the solar activity F10.7 index (top panel) and the ionospheric $NmF2$ values measured by AIJ6N (Figure 2a), LN047 (Figure 2b), and MG560 (Figure 2c) ionosonde stations. The data period, 1974-1988, was chosen because all these three stations had continuous data during that period. The solar activity dependence of the overall $NmF2$ is clearly seen in Figures 2a-2c. Figure 2a shows the feature of higher nighttime $NmF2$ value than daytime $NmF2$ value around December solstice (the local summer) in the southern hemisphere. The similar feature is also found in Figures 2b and 2c around June solstice in the northern hemisphere but less discernible than that of the southern hemisphere.

Subdividing data into various seasons during the period (listed in Table 1), the seasonal variations of $NmF2$ at the three stations in M-month (Feb.-Apr.; in blue lines), J-month (May-Jul.; in black-dot lines), S-month (Aug.-Oct.; in red dash lines), and D-month (Nov.-Jan.; in pink-dot lines) are shown in Figure 3. Results show that the MSNA appears in the southern hemisphere during D-month and lasts for couple hours after 24:00 LT (Figure 3a). In Figures 3b and 3c, the stations in the northern hemisphere, the MSNAs appear during the J-month and reach their maximum electron density around 20:00 LT and 22:00 LT, respectively. The local time dependence of MSNA in the southern hemisphere (Figure 3a) is different from those in the northern hemisphere (Figures 3b and 3c). The more detailed daily variation of $NmF2$ during the local summer months and its average value at low solar activity and high solar activity at these three stations are shown in Appendix A.

The daily variations of MSNA index at the three stations from 1971 to 1989 are shown in Figure 4. It can be found that the MSNA indices are positive during the local summer months in the both hemispheres. Comparing with the F10.7 index (top panel in Figure 2), the values of MSNA index at AIJ6N (Figure 4a) show a negative correlation to the solar activity, high/low MSNA index during low/high solar activity period. This feature is also seen in LN047 (Figure 4b) and MG560 (Figure 4c) stations.

160 The monthly median MSNA index values and MSNA occurrence rates are shown
161 in Figure 5 during the high ($F_{10.7} \geq 120$; in black bar) and the low ($F_{10.7} < 120$; in
162 gray bar) solar activity periods. It is noted that we excluded days when the sum of Kp
163 index is larger than 240 per day to avoid the magnetic storm effects. The seasonal and
164 solar activity variations of MSNA index amplitudes are shown in Figures 5a-5c. They
165 show that the MSNAs appear during November to February at AIJ6N station (Figure
166 5a), during May to July at LN047 station (Figure 5b), and during May to August at
167 MG560 station (Figure 5c). The results in Figures 5a-5c indicate that the MSNA index
168 amplitudes and the MSNA periods in the low solar activity are larger and longer than
169 that in the high solar activity. It also shows that the maximum MSNA indexes in the
170 northern hemisphere are weaker/smaller than that in the southern hemisphere. The
171 monthly MSNA occurrence day (the day of positive MSNA index) was counted
172 during the high and low solar activity periods to study the seasonal and solar activity
173 dependences of the MSNA occurrence rate (Figures 5d-5f). We can see that there are
174 high MSNA occurrence rate during the local summer months at the three stations. It
175 also shows the negative solar activity dependence of MSNA occurrence rate, high
176 occurrence rate during the low solar activity period. The maximum occurrence rate
177 during low solar activity period can reach around 40%, 35%, and 40% at AIJ6N,
178 LN047, and MG560 stations, respectively. However, during high solar activity period,

the maximum occurrence rates are reduced by around 5% - 10%.

3. Discussion

Although the WSA in the southern hemisphere was discovered in 1950s and the associated physical mechanisms were discussed in later literatures [c.f. Burns et al., 2008 and references therein], recent renewed observations give wider extended coverage of the anomaly and prompt us to investigate the physical mechanism based on these new observations. According to the previous studies, the possible physical mechanisms of MSNA are photoionization of solar ultraviolet radiation [Sojka et al., 1985; Horvath and Essex, 2003], equatorward neutral winds [Park, 1971; Dudeney and Piggott, 1978; Su et al., 1994; Horvath and Essex, 2003; He et al., 2009; Thampi et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011], the magnetic field configuration and offset effect [Khol and King, 1967; Dudeney and Piggott, 1978; Horvath, 2006; Lin et al., 2009; 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011], and the downward diffusion of the plasmaspheric plasma [Park, 1971; Bailey et al., 1991; Burns et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011]. Recently, Chen et al. [2011] used a theoretical model, SAMI2 model [Huba et al., 2000], to reproduce the integrated three-dimensional WSA electron density structure and examine the causal mechanisms of WSA formation. Comparing with different neutral wind conditions, their results found that the equatorward neutral wind in the nighttime is the most

important driver for the WSA formation. The equatorward neutral wind can sustain the ionospheric layer at higher altitude to maintain a longer lifetime for the electron density. On the other hand, the most important plasma source in the ionosphere is the photoionization rate. Around the latitude of the WSA region, the longer time of photoionization due to later sunset can provide the plasma source in the nighttime ionosphere. As a result, the combined effects of the geomagnetically equatorward neutral wind and the longer time of photoionization rate result in the intensity of the WSA density structure in the mid-latitude nighttime. According to the parameters by Chen et al. [2011], Figure 6 shows the global distribution of MSNA index by SAMI2 model in 2007 on Jun. 16 in the northern hemisphere and Dec. 16 in the southern hemisphere. Similar with the ionosonde $NmF2$ observation data, three MSNA regions are seen around South American, West European, and Northeast Asian. However, SAMI2 model results show high MSNA index around West European then Northeast Asian and also the feature of MSNA is not clear around Northeast Asian region, which are different with the observation results.

3.1 Neutral wind and photoionization rate effects

The dominant feature of the mid-latitude neutral wind at 300 km altitude is equatorward flow in the nighttime and poleward flow in the daytime [Kawamura et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2003; Kil et al., 2006]. The nighttime equatorward neutral wind flow

217 can transport ionospheric plasma to higher altitudes along the geomagnetic field lines,
 218 which will preserve plasma for a long time by the lower recombination rate. The
 219 poleward neutral wind flow will transport ionospheric plasma to lower altitudes and
 220 increase the plasma loss by the high recombination rate. In order to discuss the neutral
 221 wind effect for the variations of MSNA, the configuration of the neutral wind and the
 222 geomagnetic field line, such as declination and inclination angles, should be
 223 considered. The vertical component of the neutral wind along the magnetic field line
 224 at 300 km altitude can be calculated by

$$225 \quad V_{vert} = \mp (W_m^{geo} \cos \theta + W_z^{geo} \sin \theta) \cos I \sin I \quad (2)$$

226 Where

227 V_{vert} = vertical component of the plasma drift (positive for upward);

228 $\mp$ = magnetic northern/southern hemisphere;

229 W_m^{geo} = the geographically meridional neutral wind velocity (positive for northward);

230 W_z^{geo} = the geographically zonal neutral wind velocity (positive for eastward);

231 θ = declination angle of the geomagnetic field (positive for eastward);

232 I = inclination angle of the geomagnetic field (positive for northern hemisphere;

233 negative for southern hemisphere).

234 The photoionization rate at 300 km altitude was calculated by the SAMI2 model.

235 The positive value of photoionization rate can provide new plasma in the ionosphere.

Figure 7 shows the local time variations of vertical component of plasma drift induced by the neutral wind (HWM-93; Hedin et al., 1996) along the magnetic field line (black-dot line) as well as the photoionization rate (blue-dot line) at AIJ6N (Figures 7a and 7d), LN047 (Figures 7b and 7e), and MG560 (Figures 7c and 7f) in June (Figures 7a-7c) and December (Figures 7d-7f) in 1985. It can be seen that in Figures 7a and 7d, the vertical plasma drifts are upward after 16:00 LT in June and December and reach their maximum values around 20:00 LT before the midnight. During December month of AIJ6N station, the photoionization rate remains positive during the entire day. However, the photoionization rates in June month are positive value only during the daytime, 08:00 - 16:00 LT. The stations in the northern hemisphere show a long time of photoionization and upward plasma drift during the nighttime in June month. Combining these two effects, we suggest that MSNAs prefer to occur at the condition with the upward plasma drift and the positive photoionization rate during the nighttime period. We defined the effective period with positive vertical plasma drift and positive photoionization rate during the nighttime period to study these effects for the long-term variation of MSNA. This effective period are called as the ionization-uplift effect in this study and shown by gray color in Figure 7.

$$\text{ionization-uplift effect} = \sum_{t=19}^{04} V_{\text{vert}} \cdot \Delta t \cdot \delta_t / 1000 \quad (3)$$

Where, δ_t is equal 0 at all the time but is equal 1 when the photoionization rate

larger than 0 at the time, t . The dimension of ionization-uplift effect is distance (km).

The larger ionization-uplift effect indicates the variation of plasma density affected by both stronger plasma drift and photoionization rate.

3.2 Seasonal variation

The ionosonde results in the southern hemisphere in Figures 3a, 5a, and 5d reveal that the nighttime electron density enhancements prefer to appear during summer months than winter months, which are consistent with the previous studies [Bellchambers and Piggott, 1958; Penndorf, 1965; Dudeney and Piggott, 1978; Burns et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010]. Liu et al. [2010] and Chen et al. [2011] reported that the neutral wind is an important driver for the MSNA formation. In December month, the large upward plasma drift induced by the neutral wind and positive photoionization rate (large ionization-uplift effect) during the nighttime (Figure 7d) resulted in the formation of MSNA (Figures 3a and 5a). In June month, although there is a large upward plasma drift appearing during the nighttime (Figure 7a) but there is no photoionization. As a result, no MSNA appeared during this month (Figures 3a and 5a). It seems that the longer time of the photoionization rate, which can prove electron density source around 300 km altitude in the nighttime, is another important factor for the MSNA formation.

Not only in the southern hemisphere, but also in the northern hemisphere the

274 features of nighttime electron density enhancement were seen around West European
275 and Northeast Asian regions. Lin et al. [2009] used FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC data to
276 construct the electron density slices at various altitudes and longitudes at 22:00 LT in
277 June 2007. They also compared with global ionospheric maps (GIM) data and found
278 that the feature of the anomalous electron density enhancement appear around
279 Northeast part of the Asian region. It is worth to note that in the Figure 4 of Lin et al.
280 [2009], there is a clearly but weak MSNA phenomenon around West European area,
281 although it was not mentioned by the authors. Liu et al. [2010] calculated the density
282 differences between the daytime (12:00 LT) and the nighttime (22:00 LT) at 400 km
283 altitude by CHAMP satellite data in the December and June solstices. They found that
284 there are two anomalous regions appearing in the northern hemisphere in East Asia
285 (EA) sector and North Atlantic (NA). In this study, we calculated the distribution of
286 the MSNA index and found two high value regions of the MSNA index in the
287 northern hemisphere around West European and Northeast Asian regions as shown in
288 Figure 1. The seasonal variation of $NmF2$ in Figure 3, the seasonal variation of the
289 MSNA index in Figures 5a-5c, and the occurrence rate of MSNA in Figures 5d-5f are
290 consistent with the MSNA distributions revealed in the previous studies. The value of
291 ionization-uplift effect at LN047 (Figures 7b and 7e) and MG560 (Figures 7c and 7f)
292 are high in June month (Figures 7b and 7c) and zero in December month (Figures 7e

and 7f), similar seasonal variation with AIJ6N station. The monthly variation of the MSNA index (Figures 5b and 5c) and the MSNA occurrence (Figure 5e and 5f) also show seasonal variation, high values during summer months and low values during winter months. This implies that the evolution of combined effect by the vertical plasma drift induced by the neutral wind and the solar photoionization rate can potentially explain the seasonal variation of MSNA.

3.3 Solar activity variation

The solar cycle variation of WSA in the southern hemisphere had been studied by Jee et al. [2009] with TOPEX TEC observation data. Their results showed that WSA occurs more frequently during high solar activity period than low solar activity period. However, using electron density data at 400 km by CHAMP satellite, Liu et al. [2010] found that WSA in the southern hemisphere occurred around August-March during high and low solar activity conditions, nearly independent of solar activity. Their results further showed that the occurrence period of MSNA in the northern hemisphere was longer in the low solar activity condition than in the high solar activity condition. In this study, the occurrence rate of MSNA at the two hemisphere stations (Figures 5d-5f) show a clear solar activity dependence, high occurrence rate during the low solar activity period and low occurrence rate during the high solar activity period. Also, the strength of MSNA shows the solar activity dependence

(Figures 5a-5c), high value during the low solar activity period than that during the high solar activity period, except for MG560 station (Figure 5c).

In order to discuss the solar activity dependence of MSNA, the MSNA index at each ionosonde station during several years (listed in Table 1) were used to examine their relationship to the solar activity F10.7 index. Figure 8 presents the linear regressions of the F10.7 index versus the MSNA index and the ionization-uplift effect in the two hemispheres. Figures 8a, 8d, and 8g show the relationships between the F10.7 index and the MSNA index. Results show that the correlation coefficients are negatively high values at AIJ6N and LN047 stations but negatively moderate value at MG560 station. This solar activity dependence also can be seen in Figure 5 and generally has a good agreement with previous study [Liu et al., 2010].

Figures 8b, 8e, and 8h present the relationships between the F10.7 index and the ionization-uplift effect. It is shown that the negatively high correlation coefficients at AIJ6N and LN047 stations and negatively moderate correlation coefficient at MG560 station. Similar with the MSNA index, the ionization-uplift effect has the negative solar activity dependence. This is due to that the neutral wind velocity in the mid-latitude nighttime is high during the low solar activity period and low during the high solar activity period [Kawamura et al., 2000]. Faster neutral wind could induce

330 faster vertical plasma drift and then result in higher electron density in the
331 mid-latitude ionosphere.

332 Figures 8c, 8f, and 8i show relationship between the MSNA index and the
333 ionization-uplift effect. It shows that the MSNA index is proportional to the
334 ionization-uplift effect, except for MG560 station. The high correlation coefficients
335 show that a larger ionization-uplift effect results in a larger MSNA index, suggesting
336 that the combined effect by the plasma drift and the photoionization rate play an
337 important role for the generation of MSNA in different solar activity conditions. On
338 the other hand, the correlation coefficient is high at LN047 station but low at MG560
339 station. This different feature between LN047 and MG560 implies that the mechanism
340 of ionization-uplift effect cannot fully explain the solar activity variation of MSNA in
341 the northern hemisphere. It is possibly due to the accuracy of the neutral wind model
342 or the effect of the plasma downward diffusion from the plasmasphere during the
343 nighttime in the mid-latitude ionosphere. The recombination rate in the nighttime may
344 also affect the variation of MSNA in the ionosphere. However, it needs a further study
345 by using a theoretical model together with the plasmaspheric data by satellite
346 observations.

347 The feature of MSNA seen in Figures 1, 4, and 5 show a hemispheric difference,
348 large MSNA index in southern hemisphere summer than that in northern hemisphere

summer. Results presented by Lin et al. [2009; 2010], He et al. [2009], and Liu et al. [2010] also showed this hemispheric asymmetry. The occurrence rates of MSNA (Figures 5d-5f) in the southern hemisphere are larger than that in the northern hemisphere. The amplitudes of the MSNA index (Figure 5a-5c) also show the high value in the southern hemisphere, except for MG560 at the high solar activity condition. The similar feature can be seen in Figure 7. It is shown that the value of ionization-uplift effect during the southern hemisphere December month is larger than that during the northern hemisphere June month. This implies that there is more upward plasma induced by the neutral wind and more photoionization in the WSA region than the regions in the northern hemisphere resulting in the more distinct anomaly seen in the WSA region. The hemispheric difference of the MSNA index seems can be directly explained by the strength of the combined effect by the plasma drift and the photoionization rate.

Regarding to the longitudinal variations of MSNA in the northern hemisphere, the MSNA index shown in Figures 1, 4, and 5 reveal that the MSNA index around Northeast Asia region (MG560) is larger than that around West European region (LN047) during May and August in high and low solar activity conditions. The occurrence rate of MSNA at MG560 (Figure 5f) is also larger than that at LN047 (Figure 5e) during May and August. These results reveal the longitudinal variation of

368 MSNA in the northern hemisphere. Since the meridional neutral wind is the most
369 important factor to control the occurrence of MSNA [Chen et al., 2011], the
370 longitudinal variation of MSNA may be caused by the declination and inclination of
371 the magnetic field [He et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010]. On the other hand, the
372 longitudinal feature (differences value in the MSNA index and the occurrence of
373 MSNA between West European and Northeast Asian) is more distinct during the high
374 solar activity period than during the low solar activity period (Figure 5). Burns et al.
375 [2008] suggested that the evening downward flux of plasma from the plasmasphere
376 may also affect the formation of WSA. According to the results in Figure 5, the solar
377 activity dependence of the MSNA longitudinal structure may result from the
378 plasmaspheric downward diffusion in the mid-latitude ionosphere. Figure 6 shows the
379 quickly results of MSNA global distribution by SAMI2 model. Comparing with the
380 ionosonde $NmF2$ results (Figure 1), the model result shows similar longitudinal
381 structure of MSNA but the MSNA amplitude around the Northeast Asian region is
382 smaller than the West European region. Figure 9 further shows the correlated plasma
383 diffusion velocities at the West European (50°N, -5°E, black-dot line) and the
384 Northeast Asian (60°N, 150°E, gray-dot line) locations. It is clearly shown that there
385 is a large downward velocity at the West European location during the nighttime,
386 which can provide the plasma source in the nighttime to maintain the longer time of

plasma. The feature of downward plasma diffusion is not clearly at the Northeast Asian location, which is the possible reason to cause the weak MSNA feature here. However, it needs further theoretical studies using by plasmasphere-ionosphere models.

4. Summary

This paper utilized the long-term ionosonde *NmF2* data to study the features of ionospheric mid-latitude summer nighttime anomalies (MSNAs) in the two hemispheres and their seasonal and solar activity dependences. MSNA index was defined to study the long-term variations of MSNA quantitatively. Results show clearly seasonal and solar cycle variations of MSNA in the two hemispheres. The quasi-linear relationships between the MSNA index and the F10.7 index present the clear solar activity dependence of MSNA, negatively high correlation at AIJ6N and LN047 station but negatively moderate correlation at MG560 station. These long-term variations of MSNA can be explained by the combined effect of the plasma drift induced by the neutral wind and the photoionization rate. However, the different correlation coefficients between West European region and Northeast Asian region indicate that the formation of MSNA in the northern hemisphere cannot fully be explained by the combined effect. The solar activity and season dependences of downward diffusion of plasma from the plasmasphere during the nighttime may affect

the variation of MSNA and caused the longitudinal structure of MSNA in the northern ionosphere. The nighttime recombination rate around F-region may also cause the variation of MSNA in different solar activity conditions. Thus, it may be the possible mechanisms responsible to the smaller correlation coefficient around the Northeast Asian region. A comprehensive study taking into account of these possible effects by the observation and/or model simulation is needed in the future work.

Acknowledgments

C. H. Chen is supported by Interchange Association, Japan (IAJ). The authors are grateful to Dr. T. Maruyama and Dr. T. Tsugawa of National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT), Japan, for the helpful comments for this paper. The F10.7 data are downloaded from the Space Physics Interactive Data Resource (SPIDR) web: <http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov/>. The ionosonde data are provided by SPIDR and NICT.

Reference

- Bailey, G. J., R. Sellek, N. Balan (1991), The effects of interhemispheric coupling on night-time enhancements in ionospheric total electron content during winter at solar minimum, *Ann. Geophys.*, 9, 738-747.
- Bellchambers, W. H., and W. R. Piggott (1958), Ionospheric measurements made at Halley Bay, *Nature*, 182, 1596-1597.
- Burns, A. G., Z. Zeng, W. Wang, J. Lei, S. C. Solomon, A. D. Richmond, T. L. Killen, and Y.-H. Kuo (2008), The behavior of the F2 peak ionosphere over the South Pacific at dusk during quiet summer condition from COSMIC data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, A12305, doi:10.1029/2008JA013308.
- Chen, C. H., J. D. Huba, A. Saito, C. H. Lin, and J. Y. Liu (2011), Theoretical study of the ionospheric Weddell Sea Anomaly using SAMI2, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, A04305, doi:10.1029/2010JA015573.
- Dudeney, J. R., and W. R. Piggott (1978), Antarctic ionospheric research, in *Upper Atmosphere Research in Antarctica*, *Ant. Res. Ser.*, edited by L. J. Lanzerotti and C. G. Park, pp. 200-235, AGU, Washington, D.C.

436 He, M., L. Liu, W. Wan, B. Ning, B. Zhao, J. Wen, X. Yue, and H. Le (2009), A
 437 study of the Weddell Sea Anomaly observed by FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC, *J.*
 438 *Geophys. Res.*, 114, A12309, doi:10.1029/2009JA01417.
 439 Hedin, A. E., E. L. Fleming, A. H. Manson, F. J. Schmidin, S. K. Avery, R. R. Clark,
 440 S. J. Franke, G. J. Fraser, T. Tsuda, F. Vial, and R. A. Vincent (1996), Empirical
 441 wind model for the upper, middle and lower atmosphere, *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.*,
 442 58, 1421-1427.
 443 Horvath, I. and E. A. Essex (2003), The Weddell sea anomaly observed with the
 444 Topex satellite data, *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.*, 65, 693-706.
 445 Horvath, I. (2006), A total electron content space weather study of the nighttime
 446 Weddell Sea Anomaly of 1996/1997 southern summer with TOPEX/Poseidon
 447 radar altimetry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, A12317, doi:10.1029/2006JA011679.
 448 Huba, J. D., G. Joyce, and J. A. Fedder (2000), Sami2 is Another Model of the
 449 Ionosphere (SAMI2): A new low-latitude ionosphere model, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 450 105, 23035-23053.
 451 Jee, G., A. G. Burns, Y.-H. Kim, and W. Wang (2009), Seasonal and solar activity
 452 variations of the Weddell Sea Anomaly observed in the TOPEX total electron
 453 content measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, A04307,
 454 doi:10.1029/2008JA013801.
 455 Kawamura, S., Y. Otsuka, S.-R. Zhang, and S. Fukao (2000), A climatology of
 456 middle and upper atmosphere radar observations of thermospheric winds, *J.*
 457 *Geophys. Res.*, 105, 12777-12788.
 458 Kil, H., R. DeMajistre, L. J. Paxton, and Y. Zhang (2006), Nighttime F region
 459 morphology in the low and middle latitudes seen from DMSP F15 and
 460 TIMED/GUVI, *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.*, 68, 1672-1681,
 461 doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2006.05.024.
 462 Kohl, H., J. W. King (1967), Atmospheric winds between 100 and 700 km and their
 463 effects on the ionosphere, *J. Atmos. and Terr. Phys.*, 29, 1045-1062.
 464 Lin, C. H., J. Y. Liu, C. Z. Cheng, C. H. Chen, C. H. Liu, W. Wang, A. G. Burns, and
 465 J. Lei (2009), Three-dimensional ionospheric electron density structure of the
 466 Weddell Sea Anomaly, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, A02312,
 467 doi:10.1029/2008JA013455.
 468 Lin, C. H., C. H. Liu, J. Y. Liu, C. H. Chen, A. G. Burns, and W. Wang (2010),
 469 Mid-Latitude Summer Nighttime Anomaly of the Ionospheric Electron Density
 470 Observed by FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, A033038,
 471 doi:10.1029/2009JA014084.

- Liu, L., X. Luan, W. Wan, B. Ning, and J. Lei (2003), A new approach to the derivation of dynamic information from ionosonde measurements, *Ann. Geophys.*, 21, 2185-2191.
- Liu, H., S. V. Thampi, and M. Yamamoto (2010), Phase reversal of the diurnal cycle in the midlatitude ionosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, A01305, doi:10.1029/2009JA014689.
- Maus, S., S. Macmillan, T. Chernova, S. Choi, D. Dater, V. Golovkov, V. Lesur, F. Lowes, H. Lühr, W. Mai, S. McLean, N. Olsen, M. Rother, T. Sabaka, A. Thomson, T. Zvereva (2005), The 10th generation international geomagnetic reference field, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 151, 320-322.
- Park, C. G. (1971), Westward electric fields as the cause of night-time enhancements in electron concentrations in mid-latitude F region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 76, 4560-4568.
- Penndorf, R. (1965), The average ionospheric conditions over the Antarctic, in *Geomagnetism and Aeronomy*, *Ant. Res. Ser.*, vol. 4, edited by A. H. Waynick, pp. 1-45, AGU, Washington, D.C.
- Sojka, J. J., W. J. Raitt, R. W. Schunk, and J. L. Parish (1985), Diurnal variations of the dayside, ionospheric, mid-latitude trough in the southern hemisphere at 800 km: Model and measurement comparison, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 33, 1375-1382.
- Su, Y. Z., G. J. Bailey, N. Balan (1994), Night-time enhancements in TEC at equatorial anomaly latitudes, *J. Atmos. and Terr. Phys.* 56, 1619-1628.
- Thampi, S., C. H. Lin, H. Liu, and M. Yamamoto (2009), First tomographic observations of the mid-latitudes summer night anomaly (MSNA) over Japan, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, A10318, doi:10.1029/2009JA014439.

Caption

Figure 1. Location of 66 ionosondes and their median value of MSNA index during local summer months.

Figure 2. The daily variations of the 10.7 cm solar radio flux, F10.7 index (in unit of $10^{-22} \text{Wm}^{-2}\text{Hz}^{-1}$), and the NmF2 at (a)AIJ6N, (b)LN047, and (c)MG560 stations during 1971 to 1989.

Figure 3. Monthly variation of diurnal NmF2 at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations in M-month (blue line), J-month (black-dot line), S-month (red dash line), and D-month (pink-dot line).

Figure 4. Daily variations of the positive MSNA index at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations during 1971 to 1989.

Figure 5. Monthly variation of MSNA index values and MSNA occurrence rates. The monthly variation of MSNA indexes are shown at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations in different solar activity conditions. Black bars indicate the high solar activity ($F_{10.7} \geq 120$) data and gray bars indicate the low solar activity ($F_{10.7} < 120$) data. The monthly occurrence rates of MSNA are shown at (d) AIJ6N, (e) LN047, and (f) MG560 stations in different solar activity conditions. Black bars indicate the high solar activity ($F_{10.7} \geq 120$) data and gray bars indicate the low solar activity ($F_{10.7} < 120$) data.

Figure 6. Global MSNA index during local summer days (Jun.16 for the northern hemisphere and Dec. 16 for the southern hemisphere) simulated by SAMI2 model. The black line indicates the geomagnetic equator.

Figure 7. Hourly variation of vertical neutral wind and photoionization rate. Top panels show the results at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations in June month. Bottom panels show the results at (d) AIJ6N, (e) LN047, and (f) MG560 stations in December month. Black-dot lines and associated left-side y-axis indicate the vertical neutral wind. Blue-dot lines and associated right-side y-axis indicate the photoionization rate. Gray region indicate the ionization-uplift effect with upward neutral wind and positive photoionization rate.

Figure 8. Solar activity variations of the MSNA index and the ionization-uplift effect. The top three panels indicate the results at AIJ6N station (a-c). The middle three panels indicate the results at LN047 station (d-f). The bottom three panels indicate the results at MG560 station (g-i). The solar activity dependences of the MSNA index and the ionization-uplift effect are shown in the left three panels and the middle three panels, respectively. The relationship between the MSNA index and the ionization-uplift effect are shown in the right three panels. Red dash-lines indicate the linear regression lines and CC indicates the correlation coefficient.

Figure 9. Plasma velocities at 500 km altitude at West European and Northeast Asian locations on Jun. 16 in 2007 by SAMI2 model. The black-dot and gray-dot lines indicate the data at (50°N , -5°E) and (60°N , 150°E), respectively. Positive/negative value means the up/downward velocity.

548 **Figure A1.** The daily variation of $NmF2$ (in gray lines) during the local summer
549 months and its average value (black-dot lines) at low solar activity (F10.7 index
550 smaller than 120, top three panels) and high solar activity (F10.7 index larger than
551 120, bottom three panels) at AIJ6N, LN047, and MG560 stations.

552 Table1. The locations of ionosonde stations used in this paper and those magnetic file
553 line parameters at 300 km altitude by IGRF-10 model in 1980.

Code	Name	GLat	GLong	MLat.	inc.	dec.*	Data period
AIJ6N	Argentine IS	-65.2°N	-64.3°E	48.99°S	-58.90°	16.06°	1958-1959,1962-1995
LN047	Lannion	48.8°N	-3.4°E	45.30°N	63.98°	-7.28°	1971-1989
MG560	Magadan	60.0°N	151.0°E	52.96°N	70.94°	-8.37°	1968-2006,2009

554 * Positive value for east ward and negative value for west ward.

555 **Appendix A**

556 In this appendix, we analyze the daily variation of $NmF2$ during the local summer
557 months as well as its average value and the solar activity variation of $NmF2$ at AIJ6N,
558 LN047, and MG560 stations. It is clearly show that the averages $NmF2$ have similar
559 variation during high and low solar activity conditions and the MSNA features occur
560 during the both solar activity conditions.




Figure 1. Location of 66 ionosondes and their median value of MSNA index during local summer months.




Figure 2. The daily variations of the 10.7 cm solar radio flux, F10.7 index (in unit of $10^{-22}\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{Hz}^{-1}$), and the NmF2 at (a)AIJ6N, (b)LN047, and (c)MG560 stations during 1971 to 1989.




Figure 3. Monthly variation of diurnal NmF2 at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations in M-month (blue line), J-month (black-dot line), S-month (red dash line), and D-month (pink-dot line).




Figure 4. Daily variations of the positive MSNA index at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations during 1971 to 1989.




Figure 5. Monthly variation of MSNA index values and MSNA occurrence rates. The monthly variation of MSNA indexes are shown at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations in different solar activity conditions. Black bars indicate the high solar activity ($F_{10.7} \geq 120$) data and gray bars indicate the low solar activity ($F_{10.7} < 120$) data. The monthly occurrence rates of MSNA are shown at (d) AIJ6N, (e) LN047, and (f) MG560 stations in different solar activity conditions. Black bars indicate the high solar activity ($F_{10.7} \geq 120$) data and gray bars indicate the low solar activity ($F_{10.7} < 120$) data.




Figure 6. Global MSNA index during local summer days (Jun.16 for the northern hemisphere and Dec. 16 for the southern hemisphere) simulated by SAMI2 model. The black line indicates the geomagnetic equator.




Figure 7. Hourly variation of vertical neutral wind and photoionization rate. Top panels show the results at (a) AIJ6N, (b) LN047, and (c) MG560 stations in June month. Bottom panels show the results at (d) AIJ6N, (e) LN047, and (f) MG560 stations in December month. Black-dot lines and associated left-side y-axis indicate the vertical neutral wind. Blue-dot lines and associated right-side y-axis indicate the photoionization rate. Gray region indicate the ionization-uplift effect with upward neutral wind and positive photoionization rate.




Figure 8. Solar activity variations of the MSNA index and the ionization-uplift effect. The top three panels indicate the results at AIJ6N station (a-c). The middle three panels indicate the results at LN047 station (d-f). The bottom three panels indicate the results at MG560 station (g-i). The solar activity dependences of the MSNA index and the ionization-uplift effect are shown in the left three panels and the middle three panels, respectively. The relationship between the MSNA index and the ionization-uplift effect are shown in the right three panels. Red dash-lines indicate the linear regression lines and CC indicates the correlation coefficient.




Figure 9. Plasma velocities at 500 km altitude at European and Northeast Asian locations on Jun. 16 in 2007 by SAMI2 model. The black-dot and gray-dot lines indicate the data at (50°N, -5°E) and (60°N, 150°E), respectively. Positive/negative value means the up/downward velocity.




Figure A1. The daily variation of $NmF2$ (in gray lines) during the local summer months and its average value (black-dot lines) at low solar activity (F10.7 index smaller than 120, top three panels) and high solar activity (F10.7 index larger than 120, bottom three panels) at AIJ6N, LN047, and MG560 stations.