

Some properties of Julia sets of transcendental entire functions with multiply-connected wandering domains

Masashi KISAKA (木坂 正史)

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies,
Kyoto University, Yoshida Nihonmatsu-Cho, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
(京都大学大学院 人間・環境学研究科 数理科学講座)

e-mail : kisaka@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We study Julia components of transcendental entire functions with multiply-connected wandering domains. Under the assumption that the post singular set is contained in the Fatou set, it is shown that every repelling periodic point p satisfies either

- (1) $C(p) \supset \partial U$, where $C(p)$ is the Julia component containing p and U is an immediate attractive basin.
- (2) $C(p) = \{p\}$ and this is a buried singleton component of $J(f)$.

§1 Introduction

Let f be a transcendental entire function, $F(f)$ its Fatou set and $J(f)$ its Julia set. The following are some fundamental results on the connectivity of $J(f)$:

Proposition 1 If every Fatou component is bounded and simply connected, then $J(f) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is connected.

So it follows that if $J(f) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is disconnected, then either

- (a) f has an unbounded Fatou component or
- (b) f has a multiply-connected Fatou component.

For the case (a), the following holds. Note that an unbounded Fatou component U is always simply connected (see [Ba1]) and so we can consider a Riemann map $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow U$ of U .

Theorem 2 ([K, p.192, Main Theorem]) Suppose there exists an unbounded invariant Fatou component U and let us consider the following conditions:

(A) $\infty \in \partial U$ is accessible in U .

(B) There exist a finite point $q \in \partial U$ with $q \notin P(f)$, $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a continuous curve $C(t) \subset U$ ($0 \leq t < 1$) with $C(1) = q$ which satisfies $f^{m_0}(C) \supset C$, where

$$P(f) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(\text{sing}(f^{-1}))}$$

is the post-singular set of f .

(1) If U is either an attractive basin with (A) and (B), or a parabolic basin with (A) and (B), or a Siegel disk with (A), then the set

$$\Theta_{\infty} := \{e^{i\theta} \mid \varphi(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{r \nearrow 1} \varphi(re^{i\theta}) = \infty\} \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$$

is dense in $\partial \mathbb{D}$. In particular, $J(f) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is disconnected.

(2) If U is a Baker domain with (B) and $f|_U$ is not univalent, then Θ_{∞} is dense in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ or at least its closure $\overline{\Theta_{\infty}}$ contains a certain perfect set in $\partial \mathbb{D}$. In particular, $J(f) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is disconnected.

Next result is a generalization of the above result:

Theorem 3 [BD1, p.439, Theorem 1.1, 1.2, Corollary 1.3] Theorem 2 holds without the assumption (B).

On the other hand, $J(f) \subset \mathbb{C}$ can be connected nevertheless f has an unbounded Fatou component. For example,

$$f(z) = 2 - \log 2 + 2z - e^z$$

has a Baker domain but $J(f)$ is connected ([K, p.194, Theorem 4]).

For the case (b), it is known that if f has a multiply-connected Fatou component U , then U is a wandering domain and bounded (see, [Ba2, Theorem 3.1]) and therefore $J(f) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is always disconnected. Furthermore $J(f) \cup \{\infty\} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is also disconnected and actually this is the only case where $J(f) \cup \{\infty\} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ can be disconnected as follows:

Proposition 4 ([K, p.191, Theorem 1]) $J(f) \cup \{\infty\} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is disconnected if and only if f has a multiply-connected wandering domain.

In what follows, we will concentrate on the case (b), that is, the case where f has a multiply-connected wandering domain U and investigate some properties of connected components of the Julia set, which we call *Julia components*. We note the following fact (see, [Ba2, p.565, Theorem 3.1]):

Proposition 5 If U is a multiply-connected wandering domain, then $f^n|_U \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 6 (1) We call a connected component of $J(f)$ a *Julia component*.

(2) $z \in J(f)$ is called a *buried point* if $z \notin \partial U$ for any Fatou component U .

(3) We call the set

$$J_0(f) := \{z \in J(f) \mid z \text{ is a buried point}\}$$

the *residual Julia set* of f .

(4) A Julia component C is called a *buried component* if $C \subset J_0(f)$.

For rational cases, the following are known:

Example 7 ([Mc]) Let $f(z) = z^2 + \frac{\lambda}{z^3}$, where $\lambda > 0$ is small. Then $J(f)$ is a Cantor set of nested quasi-circles. So there are buried components. In particular, $J_0(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 8 ([Mo, p.208, Theorem 3]) Let f be a hyperbolic rational function. Then $J_0(f) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

- (1) $F(f)$ has a completely invariant component, or
- (2) $F(f)$ consists of only two components.

Example 9 ([Mo, p.209]) Let $f(z) = \frac{-2z+1}{(z-1)^2}$, then the following hold:

- (1) The set $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ is a super-attracting cycle.
- (2) f is hyperbolic.
- (3) Any Fatou component is a preimage of the super-attractive basin above.
- (4) $J(f)$ is connected.

So by Theorem 8, we have $J_0(f) \neq \emptyset$. But since $J(f)$ is connected, there is no buried component.

Example 10 ([U]) There exists a rational function f whose Julia set is homeomorphic to a Sierpinski gasket. So $J_0(f) \neq \emptyset$, but again there is no buried component.

Here are some fundamental properties for buried points and residual Julia sets. Note that f need not be rational and these hold also for transcendental entire functions and even for meromorphic functions.

- Proposition 11** (1) If $F(f)$ has a completely invariant component, then $J_0(f) = \emptyset$.
- (2) If there exists a buried component of $J(f)$, then $J(f)$ is disconnected.
- (3) If $J_0(f) \neq \emptyset$, then $J_0(f)$ is completely invariant, dense in $J(f)$, and uncountable.

More information on residual Julia sets, see [DF].

§2 Results

Main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem A Let f be a transcendental entire function. Assume that

$$(a) \quad P(f) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(\text{sing}(f^{-1}))} \subset F(f),$$

(b) f has a multiply-connected wandering domain.

Then every repelling periodic point p satisfies either one of the following:

- (1) $C(p) \supset \partial U$, where $C(p)$ is the Julia component containing p and U is an immediate attractive basin.
- (2) $\{p\}$ is a buried singleton component of $J(f)$.

Corollary B Let f be a transcendental entire function. Assume the above conditions (a), (b) and also

$$(c) \quad f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty \text{ for any } z \in F(f).$$

Then every repelling periodic point p is a buried singleton component of $J(f)$.

Remark f is called *hyperbolic* if

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbb{C}}(P(f), J(f)) > 0,$$

where $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Euclidean distance on $\mathbb{C}$. So the condition (a) in Theorem A is slightly weaker than hyperbolicity.

(Outline of the Proof): Let p be a repelling periodic point. For simplicity, we assume that p is a fixed point. Suppose that p does not satisfy (1). Let $C(p) \subset J(f)$ be the Julia component containing p . Then $f(C(p)) = C(p)$ and we can show that $C(p)$ is bounded. If there exists a Fatou component $U \subset F(f)$ such that $C(p) \cap \partial U \neq \emptyset$, then it follows that U is a wandering domain which satisfies $f^n(U) \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Then this contradicts the fact that $C(p)$ is bounded. Hence $C(p)$ is a buried component.

Next we can show that the complement of $C(p)$ has no bounded component. Then since $P(f) \subset F(f)$ and $C(p)$ is bounded, we have

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbb{C}}(C(p), P(f)) > 0.$$

Then there exists a simply connected domain W such that $C(p) \subset W$ and there exists a branch g_n of f^{-n} which satisfies $g_n(p) = p$. It is well-known that $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a normal family and hence there exists a subsequence g_{n_i} converging to a constant function which must be the point p . On the other hand, we have $g_n(C(p)) = C(p)$, so we conclude that $C(p) = \{p\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem A. Corollary B is an immediate consequence of Theorem A. $\square$

§3 Examples

Example 12 ([BD2, p.375, Theorem G]) There exists an $f(z)$ with the following form

$$f(z) = k \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{r_n}\right), \quad 0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots, \quad k > 0$$

such that for every repelling periodic point p is a buried singleton component of $J(f)$.

Example 13 ([KS]) There exists a transcendental entire function f with doubly-connected wandering domains, which satisfies the following: Every critical point c satisfies $f^2(c) = 0$ and 0 is a super-attracting fixed point. This implies that this f satisfies the assumptions of Theorem A. Therefore every repelling periodic point p satisfies either $C(p) \supset \partial U$ for the immediate attractive basin U of the super-attractive fixed point 0 or $\{p\}$ is a buried singleton component of $J(f)$.

Example 14 ([Be]) By using the similar method as in Example 13, Bergweiler constructed an example of transcendental entire function f which has both a simply connected and a multiply connected wandering domain. Critical points of f satisfy the following:

- (1) $c_0 = 0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$,
- (2) $f(0) = 0$, $f(c_i) = c_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$
- (3) c_i is contained in a simply connected wandering domain U_i which satisfies

$$f(U_i) = U_{i+1}, \quad f^n|_{U_i} \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

So this f also satisfies the assumptions (a) and (b) of Theorem A.

Example C We can construct an f which satisfies the assumptions (a), (b) and (c) by using the similar method as in Example 13. Hence every repelling periodic point p is a buried singleton component of $J(f)$ from Corollary B. We omit the details.

References

- [Ba1] I. N. Baker, *The domains of normality of an entire functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 1 (1975), 277–283.
- [Ba2] I. N. Baker, *Wandering domains in the iteration of entire functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 49 (1984), 563–576.
- [BD1] I. N. Baker and P. Domínguez, *Boundaries of unbounded Fatou components of entire functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 24 (1999), no. 2, 437–464.

- [BD2] I. N. Baker and P. Domínguez, *Some connectedness properties of Julia sets*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 41 (2000), no. 4, 371–389.
- [Be] W. Bergweiler, *An entire function with simply and multiply connected wandering domains*, Preprint.
- [DF] P. Domínguez and N. Fagella, *Residual Julia sets of rational and transcendental functions*, to appear in “Transcendental Dynamics and Complex Analysis”, Cambridge University Press, (2008).
- [K] M. Kisaka, *On the connectivity of Julia sets of transcendental entire functions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 18 (1998), no. 1, 189–205.
- [KS] M. Kisaka and M. Shishikura, *On multiply connected wandering domains of entire functions*, to appear in “Transcendental Dynamics and Complex Analysis”, Cambridge University Press, (2008).
- [Mc] C. T. McMullen, *Automorphisms of rational maps*, Holomorphic functions and moduli, Vol. I, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 10, Springer, New York, (1988), 31–60.
- [Mo] S. Morosawa, *On the residual Julia sets of rational functions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 17 (1997), no. 1, 205–210.
- [U] S. Ushiki, *Julia sets with polyhedral symmetries*, Dynamical systems and related topics (Nagoya, 1990), 515–538, Adv. Ser. Dynam. Systems, 9, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991.