

On outer components of the homology group of the homological Goldman Lie algebra

By

Kazuki TODA*

Abstract

The group ring of the first homology group of an oriented surface has a natural structure of a Lie algebra. We call it the homological Goldman Lie algebra. The homology group of the homological Goldman Lie algebra is graded by the first homology group of the surface. We conjecture that some components of the homology group, which we call outer components, vanish. We prove that it is affirmative if the degree is smaller than five.

§ 1. Introduction

Let H be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, i.e., an abelian group, which is not necessarily finitely generated, and $\langle -, - \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $(x, y) \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$, an alternating $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form. For example, we consider that H is the first homology group of an oriented surface, and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the intersection form of the surface. We define a $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map $\mu : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\mu(x)(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$. Denote by $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space with basis the set H ;

$$\mathbb{Q}[H] := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i [x_i] \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, c_i \in \mathbb{Q}, x_i \in H \right\},$$

where $[-] : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[H]$ is the embedding as basis. Here, we remark that $c[x] \neq [cx]$ for any $c \neq 1$ and $x \in H$. We define a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bilinear map $[-, -] : \mathbb{Q}[H] \times \mathbb{Q}[H] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[H]$ by $[[x], [y]] := \langle x, y \rangle [x + y]$ for $x, y \in H$. It is easy to see that this bilinear map is skew and satisfies the Jacobi identity [G, p.295-p.297]. The Lie algebra $(\mathbb{Q}[H], [-, -])$

Received January 8, 2013. Revised November 13, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 57N05, 17B65.

Key Words: Goldman Lie algebra, homological Goldman Lie algebra, homology of Lie algebras.

*Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.

e-mail: ktoda@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Current Address: Tono Frontier High School, 1127-8 Izumi-cho Kawai Toki-shi, Gifu 509-5101, Japan.

© 2014 Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

is called the *homological Goldman Lie algebra of $(H, \langle -, - \rangle)$* . The Lie algebra $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ is introduced by Goldman to study the moduli space of $GL_1(\mathbb{R})$ -flat bundles over the surface [G, p.295-p.297]. Goldman introduced a more geometric Lie algebra. It is a Lie algebra structure on the free module with basis the set of homotopy classes of free loops on the surface. Goldman used the Lie algebra for study of the space of representations on the fundamental group of the surface [G].

Our purpose is to study the algebraic structure of the homological Goldman Lie algebra. We already determined the ideals [T1], the minimal number of generators [K-K-T] and the second homology group [T2] of the homological Goldman Lie algebra. In general, the homology and cohomology groups of a Lie algebra reflect the algebraic structure of the Lie algebra. For example, a finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra is characterized by the Lie algebra cohomology group.

The Lie algebra $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ is a graded Lie algebra of type H [B, Chapter II §11]. Namely, we have $\mathbb{Q}[H] = \bigoplus_{x \in H} \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and $[\mathbb{Q}[x], \mathbb{Q}[y]] \subset \mathbb{Q}[x + y]$. Here $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is the one-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector subspace of $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ generated by an element $[x] \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$. Therefore, the homology group of $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ is also graded by the abelian group H . We call $H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ an *inner component* if $z \in \ker \mu$, and $H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ an *outer component* if $z \in H \setminus \ker \mu$. Our main conjecture in this paper is that outer components of the homology group of the homological Goldman Lie algebra vanish. That is,

Conjecture 1.1. For any $p > 0$ and $z \in H \setminus \ker \mu$, we have $H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} = 0$.

In general, suppose a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has an element $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposed into the direct sum of eigenspaces $\mathfrak{g}_{(\lambda)}$ of the action $ad(X_0)$. Here we denote $\mathfrak{g}_{(\lambda)} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [X_0, X] = \lambda X\}$. Then the standard cochain complex $C^*(\mathfrak{g})$ is decomposed into the completed direct sum of eigenspaces $C^p(\mathfrak{g})_{(\lambda)}$ of X_0 , $C^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \prod C^*(\mathfrak{g})_{(\lambda)}$. Here we define $C^p(\mathfrak{g})_{(\lambda)} := \{\omega \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \omega(X_1, \dots, X_p) = 0 \text{ for } X_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{(\lambda_i)}, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_p \neq \lambda\}$. It is easy to see that the inclusion $C^*(\mathfrak{g})_{(0)} \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{g})$ induces an isomorphism in the cohomology groups [F, p.44-p.46]. Our conjecture is an analogy of this fact. We will prove that it is affirmative if the degree is smaller than 5. In the paper [T2], we already determine not only outer components but also inner components if the degree is smaller than 3. In the present paper, we prove the conjecture in the case when the degree $p = 3$ and $p = 4$.

§ 2. Decomposition of the homology group

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. We define the Lie algebra homology group. Set $C_p(\mathfrak{g}) := \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}$, the p th exterior power of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map $\partial = \partial_p : C_p(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C_{p-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ by setting $\partial_p(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_p) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (-1)^{i+j} [X_i, X_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{X}_j \wedge \dots \wedge X_p$ for $X_1, \dots, X_p \in \mathfrak{g}$. The pair $(C_*(\mathfrak{g}), \partial)$ is a chain complex, that is, $\partial \circ \partial = 0$.

We denote $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}) = \ker \partial_p$ and $B_p(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{im} \partial_{p+1}$. Then we define the p th homology group of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $H_p(\mathfrak{g}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{g})/B_p(\mathfrak{g})$. The graded homology group $H_*(\mathfrak{g}) = H_*(C_*(\mathfrak{g}), \partial)$ is called the *Lie algebra homology group of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$* . See for example [F][H-S].

Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a graded Lie algebra of type a commutative monoid G . That is, there exist submodules $\mathfrak{g}_{(g)}$ for $g \in G$ with $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{g}_{(g)}$ and $[\mathfrak{g}_{(g)}, \mathfrak{g}_{(h)}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{(g+h)}$. For $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\}$, we say X is homogeneous if there exists $g \in G$ with $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{(g)}$, and denote $\deg X = g$. We decompose the homology of the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Define a subspace $C_p(\mathfrak{g})_{(g)}$ of $C_p(\mathfrak{g})$ by the subspace generated by the set $\{X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_p \in C_p(\mathfrak{g}) \mid X_i \text{ is homogeneous and } \deg X_1 + \cdots + \deg X_p = g\}$. This is well-defined since the condition $\deg X_1 + \cdots + \deg X_p = g$ is independent of permutation of $X_1, \dots, X_p$. It is clear that $C_*(\mathfrak{g})_{(g)}$ is a subcomplex of $C_p(\mathfrak{g})$, that is, $\partial_p(C_p(\mathfrak{g})_{(g)}) \subset C_{p-1}(\mathfrak{g})_{(g)}$, and $C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H]) = \bigoplus_{z \in H} C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ as chain complex. We define $H_*(\mathfrak{g})_{(g)} = H_*(C_*(\mathfrak{g})_{(g)}, \partial)$. Then we have $H_*(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} H_*(\mathfrak{g})_{(g)}$.

We consider the homological Goldman Lie algebra $\mathbb{Q}[H]$. The Lie algebra $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ is a graded Lie algebra of type H . By the definition of the grading, we have $\deg[u] = u$ for $u \in H$. Hence we have that $C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector subspace of $C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H]) = \wedge^p(\mathbb{Q}[H])$ generated by the set

$$\{[u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p] \in \wedge^p \mathbb{Q}[H] \mid u_i \in H, u_1 + \cdots + u_p = z\}.$$

§ 3. For the proof of the conjecture

Let $z \in H \setminus \ker \mu$. Then there exists $y \in H$ with $\langle y, z \rangle \neq 0$. Fix $y \in H$ as above throughout this paper. We try to construct a chain homotopy $\Phi : C_*(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_*(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ joining the identity with the zero map. We explain our plan to construct Φ step by step. We define I_k by the $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal of $\wedge \mathbb{Q}[H] = C_*(\mathbb{Q}[H])$ generated by the set

$$\{[a_1 y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [a_k y] \in \wedge^k \mathbb{Q}[H] \mid a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ for } k > 0,$$

and by $I_k = \wedge \mathbb{Q}[H]$ for $k \leq 0$. We introduce the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector subspace $I_{p,k} := C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \cap I_k$ and the quotient map $q_{p,k} : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} / I_{p,k}$. Then the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $I_{p,k}$ is generated by the set

$$\{[a_1 y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [a_k y] \wedge X \in C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, X \in C_{p-k}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z-a_1 y - \cdots - a_k y)}\}.$$

We have $C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} = I_{p,0} \supset I_{p,1} \supset \cdots \supset I_{p,p} = 0$. Our goal is to get a chain homotopy, that is, we want to construct $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear maps $\Phi_p : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_{p+1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ with $\partial_{p+1} \circ \Phi_p + \Phi_{p-1} \circ \partial_p = \text{id}_{C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}}$. In order to approach the goal step by step, we try to construct $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear maps $\Phi_{p,k} : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_{p+1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ with $q_{p,k+1} \circ (\partial_{p+1} \circ \Phi_{p,k} + \Phi_{p-1,k} \circ \partial_p) = q_{p,k+1}$. In other words, we construct a “homotopy

up to ignoring terms of the form $[a_1 y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [a_{k+1} y] \wedge X$. If we could construct $\Phi_{p,p-1}$, then it is a desired homotopy since $I_{p,p} = 0$. We want to construct $\Phi_{p,k}$ inductively on k . Namely, we want to construct $\Psi_{p,k} : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_{p+1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ and define $\Phi_{p,k} = \sum_{r=0}^k \Psi_{p,r}$ so that they satisfy the following.

- (1) $q_{p,k+1} \circ \Psi_{p,k} = 0$,
i.e., the image of $\Psi_{p,k}$ consists of terms of the form $[a_1 y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [a_{k+1} y] \wedge X$.
- (2) $q_{p,k+1} \circ \partial_{p+1} \circ \Psi_{p,k} = -q_{p,k+1} \circ (\partial_{p+1} \circ \Phi_{p,k-1} + \Phi_{p-1,k-1} \circ \partial_p - id)$, where $\Phi_{p,-1} = 0$.

By the two conditions, we have $q_{p,k+1} \circ (\partial_{p+1} \circ \Phi_{p,k} + \Phi_{p-1,k} \circ \partial_p) = q_{p,k+1}$. That is, we achieve the step k if we could construct $\Psi_{p,k}$ with the above conditions. The condition (2) may be inductively computable.

We have already obtained $\Psi_{p,0}$ as follows. We denote by S_p the symmetric group of degree p , the set of bijections on $\{1, \dots, p\}$. For $k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, we define a map $f_k : \{(u_1, \dots, u_p) \in H \times \cdots \times H \mid u_1 + \cdots + u_p = z\} \rightarrow C_{p+1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ by

$$f_k(u_1, \dots, u_p) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sgn}(\sigma) [ky] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{\sigma(k)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(k+1)}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{\sigma(p)}],$$

This induces $f_k : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_{p+1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ since the map f_k satisfies $f_k(u_{\tau(1)}, \dots, u_{\tau(p)}) = \text{sgn}(\tau) f_k(u_1, \dots, u_p)$ for $\tau \in S_p$. We define $\Psi_{p,0} : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_{p+1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ by $\Psi_{p,0} := \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{(-1)^k f_k}{k \langle y, z \rangle k! (p-k)!}$. Then the condition (1) is clear. The map $\Psi_{p,0}$ is a desired one, that is, we have

Proposition 3.1. $q_{p,1} \circ \partial_{p+1} \circ \Psi_{p,0} = q_{p,1}$.

Proof. We prove the proposition together with some heuristic calculation. Take $u_1, \dots, u_p \in H$ with $u_1 + \cdots + u_p = z$. We remark that the term $[u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]$ appears in

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \partial_{p+1}([y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]).$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^p \partial_{p+1}([y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]) \\ & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^j \langle y, u_j \rangle [u_j + y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\hat{u}_j] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \\ & \quad \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p] \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i \langle y, u_i \rangle [u_i] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\hat{u}_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=i+1}^p (-1)^j \langle y, u_j \rangle [u_j + y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \\
& \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\hat{u}_j] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i \langle y, u_i \rangle [u_i] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\hat{u}_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p] \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^p \langle y, u_i \rangle [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p] = - \langle y, z \rangle [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p],
\end{aligned}$$

where we ignore the terms in $I_{p,1}$. The map $S_p \rightarrow \{1, \dots, p\}$, $\sigma \mapsto i = \sigma(1)$, induces the equality $\sum_{i=1}^p [y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p] = \frac{(-1)^1 f_1(u_1, \dots, u_p)}{1 \cdot 1! (p-1)!}$, where the right hand side is the first term in $\Psi_{p,0}$. The terms of the form

$$\langle y, u_j \rangle [u_j + y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\hat{u}_j] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]$$

still remain, and appear in

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \partial[2y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{j-1}] \wedge [u_j - y] \wedge [u_{j+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p].$$

The terms involved with the bracket of $[2y]$ and $[u_i - y]$, or $[2y]$ and $[u_j - y]$, are desired ones. The element

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} [2y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{i-1}] \wedge [u_i - y] \wedge [u_{i+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{j-1}] \wedge [u_j - y] \wedge [u_{j+1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]$$

is the second term in $\Psi_{p,0}$. Inductively, we can construct a desired map $\Psi_{p,0}$. $\square$

Next, we want to construct $\Psi_{p,1}$. We can calculate the right hand side of the condition (2). That is, we have

Proposition 3.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{p+1} \circ \Psi_{p,0} + \Psi_{p-1,0} \circ \partial_p - id)([u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]) \\
& = \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{k=m}^{p+m-2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{k \langle y, z \rangle 2! (k-m)! (p-k+m-2)!} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - my] \\
& \wedge [ky] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{\sigma(k-m+2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(k-m+3)}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{\sigma(p)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the proposition only for $p = 3$. We have

$$\Psi_{2,0} \circ \partial_3([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3])$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Psi_{2,0} \left(\frac{-1}{2!1!} \sum_{\tau \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\tau) \langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle [u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)}] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\tau \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\tau) \frac{\langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle}{\langle y, z \rangle} (\\
&\quad [y] \wedge [u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)}] + [y] \wedge [u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)} - y] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} [2y] \wedge [u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)} - y])
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_4 \circ \Psi_{3,0}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3]) \\
&= \partial_4 \left(- \frac{1}{\langle y, z \rangle 1!2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\langle y, z \rangle 2!1!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{3\langle y, z \rangle} [3y] \wedge [u_1 - y] \wedge [u_2 - y] \wedge [u_3 - y] \right) \\
&= - \frac{1}{\langle y, z \rangle 2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \left(- \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \right. \\
&\quad + \langle y, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(2)} + y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
&\quad - \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(3)} + y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \\
&\quad - \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
&\quad + \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \\
&\quad \left. - \langle u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\langle y, z \rangle 2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \left(- 2\langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \right. \\
&\quad + 2\langle y, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(2)} + y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
&\quad - 2\langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(3)} + 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \\
&\quad \left. - \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) + \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \\
& \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right) - \langle u_{\sigma(2)} - y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} - y] \\
& + \frac{1}{\langle y, z \rangle 2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& + \frac{1}{3 \langle y, z \rangle 2!} \sum_{\tau \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\tau) \langle u_{\tau(1)} - y, u_{\tau(2)} - y \rangle \\
& \quad [u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - 2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)} - y] \\
& = [u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3] \\
& + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle + \langle u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle 2!} \\
& \quad [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle}{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle 2!} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\text{sgn}(\sigma) 2 \langle y, z \rangle 2!} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle + \langle u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\text{sgn}(\sigma) 2 \langle y, z \rangle 2!} \\
& \quad [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& + \sum_{\tau \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\tau(1)} - y, u_{\tau(2)} - y \rangle}{\text{sgn}(\tau) 3 \langle y, z \rangle 2!} [u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - 2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)} - y].
\end{aligned}$$

Here each $\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ and $\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ means a tag for the attached term, and we replace σ by $\sigma \circ \theta$ at the term attached tag $\theta \in S_3$ to obtain another similar term. We remark that the correspondence $S_3 \ni \sigma \mapsto \sigma \circ \theta \in S_3$ is a bijection. Since $\langle u - y, v \rangle + \langle u, v - y \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle = \langle u - y, v - y \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_4 \circ \Psi_{3,0} + \Psi_{2,0} \circ \partial_3)([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3]) \\
& = [u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3] \\
& + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle 2!} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\text{sgn}(\sigma) 2 \langle y, z \rangle 2!} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) 2 \langle y, z \rangle 2!} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) 3 \langle y, z \rangle 2!} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the proposition for $p = 3$. We can prove the proposition similarly by direct calculation even if $p \neq 3$. $\square$

Our next goal is to construct $\Psi_{p,1}$. However, for $p \geq 5$, we have not yet succeeded. For example, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{p,1}([u_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_p]) \\
& = \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{1 \leq \ell < k \leq p} \sum_{a=0}^1 \sum_{b=0}^{\ell-a} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \\
& \quad \frac{(-1)^{\ell+k+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - y, u_{\sigma(2)} - y \rangle}{\ell k \langle y, z \rangle^2 2! (k-m-b)! (\ell-b-a)! (p-k+m-2-\ell+b+a)!} \\
& \quad [\ell y] \wedge [ky] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - (m+a)y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{\sigma(\ell+2)} - 2y] \\
& \quad \wedge [u_{\sigma(\ell+3)} - y] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{\sigma(k+\ell-m-b-a+2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(k+\ell-m-b-a+3)}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [u_{\sigma(p)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Then many terms vanish, but the terms of the form $[u_i - (n-2)y] \wedge [ny] \wedge X$ and $[u_i - ny] \wedge [ny] \wedge X$ remain. We do not know whether we should correct the scalar, add some terms or calculate a totally different expression. For $p < 5$, we succeeded in constructing $\Psi_{p,k}$. We describe it in the next section. In the rest of this section, we describe related matters.

We have

$$\ker(q_{p+1,k-1} \circ \partial_p : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{p-1,k-1}) \supset I_{p,k} = \ker q_{p,k}.$$

Hence we have the induced map $\partial_p : C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{p,k} \rightarrow C_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{p-1,k-1}$. This means that we have chain complexes $(C_*(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{*,k-*}, \partial)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This chain complex is bounded since $I_{p,k} = C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ for $k \leq 0$. The natural projection $C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{p,k+1} \rightarrow C_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{p,k}$ induces the chain map $(C_*(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{*,k+1-*}, \partial) \rightarrow (C_*(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}/I_{*,k-*}, \partial)$.

We have the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathbb{Q}[H]) = \mathbb{Q}[\ker \mu]$ and the derived Lie subalgebra $[\mathbb{Q}[H], \mathbb{Q}[H]] = \mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu]$ [T1]. Hence we have that the projection

$$\varpi : \mathbb{Q}[H] = \mathbb{Q}[\ker \mu] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu]$$

is a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism. The inclusion $\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[H]$ is a section of ϖ . In particular, the induced map $H_p(\varpi) : H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H]) \rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])$

is a surjective homomorphism. By restriction, we have a surjective homomorphism $H_p(\varpi)_{(v)} : H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(v)} \rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(v)}$. Hence $H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(v)} = 0$ implies $H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(v)} = 0$.

Proposition 3.3. *If $H_q(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(u)} = 0$ for $u \in H \setminus \ker \mu$ and $q < p$, then the homomorphism $H_p(\varpi)_{(v)} : H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(v)} \rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(v)}$ is an isomorphism for $v \in H \setminus \ker \mu$.*

Proof. By the Künneth formula, we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(v)} &\rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{Q}[\ker \mu])_{(v)} \oplus H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(v)} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{q=1}^{p-1} \bigoplus_{u \in H} H_{p-q}(\mathbb{Q}[\ker \mu])_{(v-u)} \otimes H_q(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(u)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in \ker \mu$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $H_r(\mathbb{Q}[\ker \mu])_{(v-u)} = 0$ since $v - u \in H \setminus \ker \mu$. If $u \in H \setminus \ker \mu$, then $H_q(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(u)} = 0$ for $q < p$ by the assumption of the proposition. Hence we have the isomorphism $H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(v)} \rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(v)}$. This map is $H_p(\varpi)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

We already have $H_q(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(u)} = 0$ for $u \in H \setminus \ker \mu$ and $q < 5$ in the next section, then we have $H_5(\varpi)_{(v)} : H_5(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(v)} \rightarrow H_5(\mathbb{Q}[H \setminus \ker \mu])_{(v)}$ is an isomorphism for $v \in H \setminus \ker \mu$.

§ 4. Main theorem

We define a two-cochain $\eta_y \in C^2(\mathbb{Q}[H])$ by $\eta_y([u] \wedge [v]) = \langle u - y, v - y \rangle$ for $u, v \in H$.

Theorem 4.1. *For $p < 5$ and $z \in H \setminus \ker \mu$, we have $H_p(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} = 0$.*

Proof. Take $y \in H$ with $\langle y, z \rangle \neq 0$. First we prove the theorem for $p = 1, 2$. We already defined $\Phi_1 = \Psi_{1,0} : C_1(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} = \mathbb{Q}[z] \rightarrow C_2(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ by $\Phi_1([z]) = \frac{-1}{\langle y, z \rangle} [y] \wedge [z - y]$. Then we have $\partial_2 \circ \Phi_1 = id_{C_3(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}}$ by Proposition 3.1 since $I_{1,1} = 0$. We define $\Psi_{2,k} : C_2(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_3(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{2,0}([u_1] \wedge [u_2]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\langle y, z \rangle} [y] \wedge ([u_1 - y] \wedge [u_2] + [u_1] \wedge [u_2 - y]) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\langle y, z \rangle} [2y] \wedge [u_1] \wedge [u_2] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Psi_{2,1}([u_1] \wedge [u_2]) = \frac{\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2])}{2\langle y, z \rangle} [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [z - 3y].$$

Then we have $\partial_3 \circ \Phi_2 + \Phi_1 \circ \partial_2 = id_{C_2(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}}$, where we define $\Phi_2 = \Psi_{2,0} + \Psi_{2,1}$. This is the proof in the paper [T2].

Next we prove the theorem for $p = 3$. We define $\Psi_{3,k} : C_3(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_4(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{3,0}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3]) \\ = & -\frac{1}{\langle y, z \rangle} [y] \wedge ([u_1 - y] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3] \\ & + [u_1] \wedge [u_2 - y] \wedge [u_3] + [u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3 - y]) \\ & + \frac{1}{2\langle y, z \rangle} [2y] \wedge ([u_1 - y] \wedge [u_2 - y] \wedge [u_3] \\ & + [u_1 - y] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3 - y] + [u_1] \wedge [u_2 - y] \wedge [u_3 - y]) \\ & - \frac{1}{3\langle y, z \rangle} [3y] \wedge [u_1 - y] \wedge [u_2 - y] \wedge [u_3 - y], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{3,1}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3]) \\ = & \frac{-1}{3 \cdot 1\langle y, z \rangle^2 2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) (\\ & [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\ & + [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y]) \\ & + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2\langle y, z \rangle^2 2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) (\\ & [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \\ & + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1\langle y, z \rangle^2 2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) (\\ & [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\ & + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\ & + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y]), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Psi_{3,2}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3])$$

$$= \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3])[y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 6y].$$

We define $\Phi_3 : C_3(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_4(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ by $\Phi_3 = \Psi_{3,0} + \Psi_{3,1} + \Psi_{3,2}$. Then we have

$$(*) \quad \partial_4 \circ \Phi_3 + \Phi_2 \circ \partial_3 = id_{C_3(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}}.$$

Proof of ().* For $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in H$, the value

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\tau) \langle u_{\tau(1)} - ay, u_{\tau(2)} - ay \rangle \langle u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - by, u_{\tau(3)} - cy \rangle$$

often appears at the coefficient. We remark that $\text{sgn}(\tau) \langle u_{\tau(1)} - ay, u_{\tau(2)} - ay \rangle \langle u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - by, u_{\tau(3)} - cy \rangle$ does not change if we replace τ by $\tau \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u_{\tau(1)} - ay, u_{\tau(2)} - ay \rangle \langle u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - by, u_{\tau(3)} - cy \rangle \\ &= (\langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle \langle u_{\tau(2)}, u_{\tau(3)} \rangle - \langle u_{\tau(3)}, u_{\tau(1)} \rangle) \\ & \quad + ac(\langle y, u_{\tau(1)} \rangle^2 - \langle y, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle^2) + ab(\langle y, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle \langle y, u_{\tau(3)} \rangle - \langle y, u_{\tau(3)} \rangle \langle y, u_{\tau(1)} \rangle) \\ & \quad + \langle y, u_{\tau(1)} \rangle (c \langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle + a \langle u_{\tau(2)}, u_{\tau(3)} \rangle - a \langle u_{\tau(3)}, u_{\tau(1)} \rangle) \\ & \quad + \langle y, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle (c \langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle - a \langle u_{\tau(2)}, u_{\tau(3)} \rangle + a \langle u_{\tau(3)}, u_{\tau(1)} \rangle) \\ & \quad + \langle y, u_{\tau(3)} \rangle (-b \langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\tau) \langle u_{\tau(1)} - ay, u_{\tau(2)} - ay \rangle \langle u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - by, u_{\tau(3)} - cy \rangle \\ &= 2 \langle y, u_1 \rangle ((2a - b) \langle u_2, u_3 \rangle + (c - a) \langle u_3, u_1 \rangle + (c - a) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle) \\ & \quad + 2 \langle y, u_2 \rangle ((2a - b) \langle u_3, u_1 \rangle + (c - a) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle + (c - a) \langle u_2, u_3 \rangle) \\ & \quad + 2 \langle y, u_3 \rangle ((2a - b) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle + (c - a) \langle u_2, u_3 \rangle + (c - a) \langle u_3, u_1 \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

In particular, we can calculate $-d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3])$ in the case $a = 0$ and $b = c = 1$. If $2a - b = -(c - a)$, i.e., $a - b + c = 0$, then the value equals $(2a - b)d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3])$.

In particular, we have $d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3]) = d\eta_y([u_1 - y] \wedge [u_2 - y] \wedge [u_3 - y])$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{p,2} \circ \partial_4 \circ \Psi_{3,1}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3]) \\ &= - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])}{3 \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} (\\ & \quad \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& - 3 \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& - 3 \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + 2y] \\
& + \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \\
& + \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& - 3 \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \\
& - 3 \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + y] \\
& + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])}{3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} (\\
& \quad 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \\
& \quad + 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& \quad - 3 \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \\
& \quad - 3 \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + y]) \\
& + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])}{2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} (\\
& \quad \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& \quad + \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + y] \\
& \quad - 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& \quad - 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + 2y] \\
& \quad + \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& \quad + \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& \quad - 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& \quad - 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + y] \\
& \quad + \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \\
& \quad + \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& \quad - 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \\
& \quad - 2 \langle y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}]) \\
& = - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \eta_u([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])}{3 \langle y, z \rangle^2} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \\
& \quad + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \eta_u([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])}{2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} (\\
& \quad [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] + [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 1y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y])
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma) \eta_u([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])}{\langle y, z \rangle 2} [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \\
& = -q_{3,2} \circ (\partial_4 \circ \Phi_{3,0} + \Phi_{2,0} \circ \partial_3 - id)([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_2]).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows from Proposition 3.2. If we calculate the ignored terms by the formula (**), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_4 \circ \Phi_{3,0} + \Phi_{2,0} \circ \partial_3 - id + \partial_4 \circ \Psi_{3,1})([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_2]) \\
& = \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y, u_{\sigma(3)} - y \rangle}{3 \cdot 1 \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 4y] \\
& + \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y, u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y \rangle}{3 \cdot 1 \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 4y] \\
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y, u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y \rangle}{3 \cdot 2 \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 4y] \\
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle}{2 \cdot 1 \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [z - 4y] \\
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y, u_{\sigma(3)} - y \rangle}{2 \cdot 1 \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [z - 4y] \\
& - \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y, u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y \rangle}{2 \cdot 1 \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, z \rangle^2 2} [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [z - 4y] \\
& = \frac{d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_2])}{\langle y, z \rangle} \left(-\frac{1}{3} [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 4y] + \frac{1}{6} [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 5y] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{2,1} \circ \partial_3([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3]) \\
& = \Psi_{2,1} \left(\frac{-1}{2!1!} \sum_{\tau \in S_3} \text{sgn}(\tau) \langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle [u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)}] \right) \\
& = - \sum_{\tau \in S_3} \frac{\langle u_{\tau(1)}, u_{\tau(2)} \rangle \eta_y([u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)}])}{2 \text{sgn}(\tau) \langle y, z \rangle 2} [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [z - 3y] \\
& = \frac{d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3])}{2 \langle y, z \rangle} [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [z - 3y].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_4 \circ \Phi_{3,1} + \Phi_{2,1} \circ \partial_3 - id)([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_2]) \\
& = \frac{d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_2])}{\langle y, z \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{2} [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [z - 3y] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{3}[y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 4y] + \frac{1}{6}[2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 5y]) \\
& = -\frac{d\eta_y([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_2])}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \partial_4([y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [z - 6y]) \\
& = -\partial_4 \circ \Psi_{3,2}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_2]).
\end{aligned}$$

This is the condition (2) at page 3 for $p = 3$ and $k = 2$. Therefore, we have $\partial_4 \circ \Phi_3 + \Phi_2 \circ \partial_3 = id_{C_3(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}}$. $\square$

The proposition follows from this when $p = 3$.

Last we prove the theorem for $p = 4$. Define $\Phi_4 : C_4(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} \rightarrow C_5(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}$ by $\Phi_4 = \Psi_{4,0} + \Psi_{4,1} + \Psi_{4,2} + \Psi_{4,3}$, where we define

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{4,1}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3] \wedge [u_4]) \\
& = \frac{1}{4 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,0,2}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& \quad [y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& \quad [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2,0}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& \quad [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 2y] \\
& + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& \quad [y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2,0}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& \quad [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 2y] \\
& - \frac{1}{3 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& \quad [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}] \\
& - \frac{1}{3 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& \quad [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}] \\
& - \frac{2}{3 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& - \frac{1}{3 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}] \\
& + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 2y] \\
& + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}] \\
& + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}] \\
& + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,1,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}] \\
& + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \\
& [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{4,2}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3] \wedge [u_4]) \\
& = \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) d\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}]) (\\
& [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 6y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 2y]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 5y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 3y]) \\
& - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3,1}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) d\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}]) (\\
& \quad [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 6y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 3y] \\
& + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \eta_{2y}([u_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}]) (\\
& \quad [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 5y] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 4y]) \\
& - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \eta_{2y}([u_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}]) \\
& \quad [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 5y] \\
& - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3,1}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) d\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}]) (\\
& \quad [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 6y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 5y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 2y] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 3y]) \\
& - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \eta_{2y}([u_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}]) (\\
& \quad [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 3y] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 4y] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 5y]) \\
& + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3,1}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) d\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}]) (\\
& \quad [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 6y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 5y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - y] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 2y] \\
& \quad + [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)} - 3y]) \\
& + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}]) \eta_{2y}([u_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}]) (\\
& \quad [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 4y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 2y] \\
& \quad [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 3y] \\
& \quad [y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 2y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 4y]
\end{aligned}$$

$$[y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - y] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 5y],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{4,3}([u_1] \wedge [u_2] \wedge [u_3] \wedge [u_4]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3,1}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} + u_{\sigma(3)} - 6y, u_{\sigma(4)} - 3y \rangle \\ & \quad d\eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(3)}])[y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [z - 10y] \\ & \quad -\frac{1}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \langle y, z \rangle^4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \langle u_{\sigma(1)} + u_{\sigma(2)} - 4y, u_{\sigma(3)} + u_{\sigma(4)} - 5y \rangle \\ & \quad \eta_y([u_{\sigma(1)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(2)}])\eta_{2y}([u_{\sigma(3)}] \wedge [u_{\sigma(4)}])[y] \wedge [2y] \wedge [3y] \wedge [4y] \wedge [z - 10y] \\ & (=0). \end{aligned}$$

The way we check the condition (2) for $p = 4$ and $k \leq 2$ is similar to that for $p = 3$. When we check the condition (2) for $p = 3$ and $k = 3$, the following values appear in our computation. For $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau \in S_{3,1}} \text{sgn}(\tau) d\eta_y([u_{\tau(1)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(2)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(3)}]) \\ & \quad \langle u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} + u_{\tau(3)} - ay, u_{\tau(4)} - by \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{1,1,2}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle \langle u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle \\ & \quad (\langle u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle - \langle u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle - \langle u_{\sigma(3)}, u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle) \\ & \quad + b \sum_{\sigma \in S_{1,3}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle^2 (\langle u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle + \langle u_{\sigma(3)}, u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle + \langle u_{\sigma(4)}, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle) \\ & \quad + a \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle \langle y, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)} - u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau \in S_{2,2}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \eta_y([u_{\tau(1)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(2)}]) \eta_{2y}([u_{\tau(3)}] \wedge [u_{\tau(4)}]) \\ & \quad \langle u_{\tau(1)} + u_{\tau(2)} - a'y, u_{\tau(3)} + u_{\tau(4)} - b'y \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{1,1,2}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle \langle u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle \\ & \quad (\langle u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle - \langle u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle + (a' - b') \langle u_{\sigma(3)}, u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle) \\ & \quad + (b' - 2a') \sum_{\sigma \in S_{1,3}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\langle u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)} \rangle + \langle u_{\sigma(3)}, u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle + \langle u_{\sigma(4)}, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle) \\
& + (a' - 2b') \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2,2}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle y, u_{\sigma(1)} \rangle \langle y, u_{\sigma(2)} \rangle \langle u_{\sigma(1)} - u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)} - u_{\sigma(4)} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\partial_5 \circ \Phi_4 + \Phi_3 \circ \partial_4 = id_{C_4(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)}}$. Hence we have $H_4(\mathbb{Q}[H])_{(z)} = 0$. $\square$

References

- [B] N. Bourbaki, Elements of Mathematics, Algebra I, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts (1974).
- [F] D. B. Fuks, Cohomology of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras, Plenum Publ., New York, (1986)
- [G] W. M. Goldman, Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface groups representations, Invent. Math. **85**, 263-302 (1986).
- [H-S] G. P. Hochschild and J. P. Serre, Cohomology of Lie algebras, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), 591-603.
- [K-K-T] N. Kawazumi, Y. Kuno and K. Toda, Generators of the homological Goldman Lie algebra, to appear in Osaka J. Math., also available at arXiv:1207.4572 (2012).
- [T1] K. Toda, The ideals of the homological Goldman Lie algebra, to appear in Kodai Mathematical Journal, also available at arXiv:1112.1213 (2011).
- [T2] K. Toda, The second homology group of the homological Goldman Lie algebra, preprint, arXiv:1207.3286 (2012).