

Clifford theory for association schemes

Yasuaki Miyazaki

Shinshu University

1 Introduction

Association schemes are regarded as generalizations of finite groups. So it is natural to consider the generalization to association schemes of the theory of representation of finite groups.

Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let G be a finite group, N a normal subgroup of G . The usual Clifford theory for finite groups shows that

- (CF1) the restriction of an irreducible KG -module to KN is a direct sum of G -conjugates of an irreducible KN -module L with the same multiplicities;
- (CF2) there exists a natural bijection between the set of irreducible KG -modules over L and the set of KT -modules over L , where T is the stabilizer of L in G ;
- (CF3) and there exists a natural bijection between the set of irreducible KT -modules over L and the set of irreducible modules of a generalized group algebra of T/N .

We will generalize them to association schemes. But we only consider module over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

2 Adjacency algebras of association schemes

We fix some notations for association schemes.

Let (X, S) be an association scheme. We denote by σ_s the adjacency matrix of $s \in S$. The intersection number is denoted by p_{st}^u for $s, t, u \in S$, namely $\sigma_s \sigma_t = \sum_{u \in S} p_{st}^u \sigma_u$. The valency is denoted by n_s for $s \in S$. An elements in the quotient scheme $S//T$ is denoted by s^T .

2.1 Generalized adjacency algebras

In this section we define generalized adjacency algebras based on a definition of generalized group algebra. Details for factor sets and generalized group algebra (ring) are available in the literature [6, Chapter 2, Section 7].

Let G be a group and let K be a field. We say that $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow K^\times$ is a *factor set* if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\alpha(xy, z)\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(y, z)\alpha(x, yz) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in G.$$

Note that in general we can consider the action of G on K , but to simplify our arguments, we suppose that the action is trivial. Two factor sets α and β are *cohomologous* if there exists a map $\gamma : G \rightarrow K^\times$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y) = \beta(x, y)\gamma(x)\gamma(y)\gamma(xy)^{-1}$$

and we write $\alpha \sim \beta$ in this case. The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on the set of factor sets. A factor set α is said to be *normalized* if $\alpha(x, 1) = \alpha(1, x) = 1$ for all $x \in G$. For a normalized factor set α , $\alpha(x, x^{-1}) = \alpha(x^{-1}, x)$ also holds. For an arbitrary factor set α , there exists a normalized factor set β such that $\beta \sim \alpha$.

Let (X, S) be an association scheme and let T be a strongly normal closed subset of S . Then the quotient $S//T$ can be regarded as a finite group. Let $\alpha : S//T \times S//T \rightarrow K^\times$ be a factor set. We define a K -algebra $K^{(\alpha)}S = \bigoplus_{u \in S} K\sigma_u^{(\alpha)}$ with formal basis $\{\sigma_u^{(\alpha)} \mid u \in S\}$ and multiplication

$$\sigma_u^{(\alpha)}\sigma_v^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{w \in S} p_{uv}^w \alpha(u^T, v^T) \sigma_w^{(\alpha)}.$$

The algebra $K^{(\alpha)}S$ is called the *generalized adjacency algebra* of (X, S) over K with factor set α . If the strongly normal closed subset T is trivial, then the scheme is thin and the generalized adjacency algebra is just a generalized group algebra.

2.2 Graded modules and simple modules

Let K be a field. Let (X, S) be a scheme and T a strongly normal closed subset of S . Then $S//T$ is thin and we can regard it as a finite group. Then

$$KS = \bigoplus_{s^T \in S//T} K(TsT)$$

is an $S//T$ -graded K -algebra, where $K(TsT) = \bigoplus_{u \in TsT} K\sigma_u$. Obviously $(KS)_{1^T} = KT$. We can apply Dade's theory for KS , but we restrict our attention to the case $K = \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.1. [4, Theorem 3.6] For any simple $\mathbb{C}T$ -module L and $s \in S$, $L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TsT)$ is a simple $\mathbb{C}T$ -module or 0.

For any simple $\mathbb{C}T$ -module L , the set of $S//T$ -conjugates is $\{L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TsT) \mid s \in S, L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TsT) \neq 0\}$. We remark that there exist examples such that L and L' are $S//T$ -conjugate simple $\mathbb{C}T$ -modules but their dimensions are different.

3 Clifford Theory

First we define some notations. Let A a finite-dimensional K -algebra and let B be a subalgebra of A . For a right B -module L , the induction $L \otimes_B A$ of L to A is denoted by $L \uparrow^A$. For a right A -module M , we write $M \downarrow_B$ if M is considered as a B -module. We denote by $\text{IRR}(A)$ the complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple A -modules. Suppose that both A and B are semisimple. For a simple B -module L , we define $\text{IRR}(A \mid L) = \{M \in \text{IRR}(A) \mid \text{Hom}_A(L \uparrow^A, M) \neq 0\}$.

Let (X, S) be an association scheme and let T be a closed subset of S . For a right $\mathbb{C}T$ -module L and a right $\mathbb{C}S$ -module M , we write $L \uparrow^S$ and $M \downarrow_T$ instead of $L \uparrow^{\mathbb{C}S}$ and $M \downarrow_{\mathbb{C}T}$, respectively.

In the rest of this section, we fix a scheme (X, S) and its strongly normal closed subset T .

Let $M \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}S)$. Then $M \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}S \mid L)$ for some $L \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}T)$. Since M is a direct summand of $L \uparrow^S$, any simple submodule of $M \downarrow_T$ is an $S//T$ -conjugate of L . If L and L' are $S//T$ -conjugate, then $L \uparrow^S \cong L' \uparrow^S$ as $\mathbb{C}S$ -modules. So

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}T}(L, M \downarrow_T) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}T}(L', M \downarrow_T).$$

This shows the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. [4, Theorem 4.1] Let $M \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}S)$. There exists $L \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}T)$ such that $M \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}S \mid L)$. Then there exists a positive integer e such that

$$M \downarrow_T \cong e \left(\bigoplus_{L' \in C} L' \right),$$

where $C = \{L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TsT) \mid s \in S, L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TsT) \neq 0\}$.

Fix a simple $\mathbb{C}T$ -module L . Put $U//T$ the stabilizer of L in $S//T$. Then

$$\bigoplus_{s^T \in S//T} L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TsT) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}T} \mathbb{C}S \supset L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}T} \mathbb{C}U = \bigoplus_{u^T \in U//T} L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TuT)$$

and, by Theorem 2.1,

$$\bigoplus_{u^T \in U//T} L \otimes \mathbb{C}(TuT) \cong n_{U//T} L$$

as a $\mathbb{C}T$ -module. So $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}U}(L \uparrow^U, L \uparrow^U) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}T}(L, L \uparrow^U \downarrow_T) = n_{U//T}$. On the other hand, by the Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}S}(L \uparrow^S, L \uparrow^S) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}T}(L, L \uparrow^S \downarrow_T) = n_{U//T}.$$

So $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}S}(L \uparrow^S, L \uparrow^S) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}U}(L \uparrow^U, L \uparrow^U)$. Let $L \uparrow^U \cong \bigoplus_i m_i M_i$ be the irreducible decomposition of $L \uparrow^U$, with the property that $M_i \cong M_j$ if and only if $i = j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}U}(L \uparrow^U, L \uparrow^U) &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}U}\left(\bigoplus_i m_i M_i, \bigoplus_i m_i M_i\right) \\ &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}S}\left(\bigoplus_i m_i M_i \uparrow^S, \bigoplus_i m_i M_i \uparrow^S\right) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}S}(L \uparrow^S, L \uparrow^S) \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}S}(M_i \uparrow^S, M_i \uparrow^S) = 1$ and $M_i \uparrow^S$ is a simple $\mathbb{C}S$ -module for every i . Also $M_i \uparrow^S \cong M_j \uparrow^S$ if and only if $i = j$. Obviously $M_i \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}U|L)$ and $M_i \uparrow^S \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}S|L)$.

Conversely, let $N \in \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}S|L)$. Then N is a direct summand of $L \uparrow^S$. So there exists some M_i such that N is a direct summand of $M_i \uparrow^S$. Since $M_i \uparrow^S$ is simple, such M_i is uniquely determined. This shows the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. [4, Theorem 4.2] Fix a simple $\mathbb{C}T$ -module L . Put $U//T$ the stabilizer of L in $S//T$. Then there exists a bijection $\tau : \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}U|L) \rightarrow \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}S|L)$ such that $\tau(M) = M \uparrow^S$ and $\tau^{-1}(N)$ is the unique direct summand of $N \downarrow_U$ contained in $\text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}U|L)$.

We consider $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}U}(L \uparrow^U)$. For $u^T \in U//T$, we define $\rho_{u^T} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}U}(L \uparrow^U)$ by $(\rho_{u^T}(\ell))_{v^T} = \ell_{u^T v^T}$. Then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}U}(L \uparrow^U) = \bigoplus_{u^T \in U//T} \mathbb{C} \rho_{u^T}$ and this is a $U//T$ -graded algebra ([3, Section 4]). The multiplication is $\rho_{u^T} \rho_{v^T} = \alpha(u^T, v^T) \rho_{u^T v^T}$ and this defines a factor set α . Now $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}U}(L \uparrow^U) \cong \mathbb{C}^{(\alpha)}(U//T)$ is a generalized group algebra with factor set α .

Proposition 3.3. [5, Theorem 3.1] Under the above assumptions, the irreducible $\mathbb{C}T$ -module L is extensible to a $\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha^{-1})}U$ -module ($\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha^{-1})}U$ is the generalized adjacency algebra with factor set α^{-1}). The action is given by $\ell \sigma_u^{(\alpha^{-1})} = \rho_{(u^T)^{-1}}(\ell \sigma_u)$ for $\ell \in L$ and $u \in U$.

We denote by $\tilde{L}$ the extension of L to $\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha^{-1})}U$. Since L is a simple $\mathbb{C}T$ -module, $\tilde{L}$ is a simple $\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha^{-1})}U$ -module.

If M is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha)}(U//T)$ -module, then $\tilde{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}U$ -module and is in $\text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}U|L)$. So we can define a map $\mu : \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha)}(U//T)) \rightarrow \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}U|L)$ by

$$\mu(M) = \tilde{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M.$$

Then μ is a bijection. This shows the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. [5, Theorem 3.6] Let (X, S) be an association scheme, let T be a strongly normal closed subset, and let L be an irreducible $\mathbb{C}T$ -module. Let $U//T$ be the stabilizer of L in $S//T$. Then L is extensible to a $\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha^{-1})}U$ -module $\tilde{L}$ and the map $\mu : \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha)}(U//T)) \rightarrow \text{IRR}(\mathbb{C}U|L)$ defined by $\mu(M) = \tilde{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M$ is a bijection.

References

- [1] E.C. Dade, Clifford theory for group-graded rings, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 369 (1986) 40–86.
- [2] A. Hanaki, Character products of association schemes, *J. Algebra* 283 (2005) 596–603.
- [3] A. Hanaki, Clifford theory for association schemes, *J. Algebra* 321 (2009) 1686–1695.
- [4] A. Hanaki, Representations of finite association schemes, *Eur. J. Combin.* 30 (2009) 1477–1496.
- [5] A. Hanaki, Y. Miyazaki, Clifford theory for association schemes II, *J. Algebra*, vol408, pp.109-114, 2014.
- [6] H. Nagao, Y. Tsushima, *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [7] P.-H. Zieschang, *An Algebraic Approach to Association Schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1628, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.