

THE SINGULARITIES IN A TWO-DIMENSIONAL UNSTABLE FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM

JOHN ANDERSSON, HENRIK SHAHGOLIAN, AND GEORG S. WEISS

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new method for the analysis of singularities in the unstable problem

$$\Delta u = -\chi_{\{u>0\}},$$

which arises in solid combustion as well as the composite membrane problem. Our study is confined to points of “*supercharacteristic*” growth of the solution, i.e. points at which the solution grows faster than the characteristic/invariant scaling of the equation would suggest. At such points the classical theory is doomed to fail, due to incompatibility of the invariant scaling of the equation and the scaling of the solution.

In the case of a second-order non-degenerate solution in two dimensions our result shows that in a neighborhood of the set at which the second derivatives of u are unbounded, the level set $\{u = 0\}$ consists of two C^1 -curves meeting at right angles. Our estimates hold uniformly when considering a class of solutions. It is important that our result is not confined to the minimal solution of the equation but holds for *all* solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper contains an announcement and heuristics of results to be published elsewhere concerning the unstable obstacle problem

$$(1) \quad \Delta u = -\chi_{\{u>0\}} \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n,$$

related to traveling wave solutions in solid combustion with ignition temperature (see the introduction of [16] for more details), to the composite membrane problem (see [9], [8], [3], [17], [10], [11]) as well as

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35R35, Secondary 35B40, 35J60.

H. Shahgholian has been supported in part by the Swedish Research Council. G.S. Weiss has been partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid 18740086 of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. He also thanks the Knut och Alice Wallenberg foundation for a visiting appointment to KTH. J. Andersson thanks the Göran Gustafsson Foundation for visiting appointments to KTH.

The present result is part of the ESF-program GLOBAL.

the shape of self-gravitating rotating fluids describing stars (see [6, equation (1.26)]). Solutions of equation (1) may exhibit “*supercharacteristic*” growth of order

$$r^2 |\log r|$$

not suggested by the invariant/characteristic scaling $u(rx)/r^2$ of the equation.

In this paper we introduce a new method to analyze the fine structure of singular sets close to points of supercharacteristic growth of the solution.

Equation (1) has been investigated by R. Monneau-G.S. Weiss in [16]. They establish partial regularity for *second order non-degenerate* solutions of (1). More precisely they show that the singular set has Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $n - 2$, and that in two dimensions the free boundary of the *minimal solution* consists close to singular points of four Lipschitz graphs meeting at right angles. They also show that energy-minimising solutions are in the two-dimensional case of class $C^{1,1}$ and that their free boundaries are locally analytic.

J. Andersson-G.S. Weiss have constructed a cross-shaped counter-example proving that the solution need not be of class $C^{1,1}$ (see [1]). In [16] it has been shown that the second variation of the energy at that particular solution takes the value $-\infty$. In this sense the cross-solution is completely unstable. Moreover, it cannot be obtained by naive numerical schemes.

In this paper we analyze the behavior of solutions at points at which the second derivatives are unbounded. Difficulties in the analysis are:

(i) At cross-like singular points the solution has the “wrong scaling”, i.e. $u(rx)$ scales like $r^2 |\log(r)|$ which is different from the characteristic scaling r^2 of the equation. The lack of a suitable local Lyapunov functional/monotonicity formula implies that methods like the Łojasiewicz inequality (see for example [19], [20]) would be hard to apply even at isolated singularities.

(ii) The cross-like singularities are unstable.

(iii) The comparison principle does not hold.

Instead we use knowledge about the Newtonian potential of the right-hand side to derive a quantitative estimate for the projection of the solution onto the homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree 2. This leads to an estimate of order

$$\int_0^r \frac{\sqrt{|\log |\log s||}}{s |\log s|^{3/2}} ds$$

for how much the projection of $u(x + s \cdot)$ and also the approximate tangent space of the singular set can turn as s moves from r to 0 (see

Theorem 5.2). Our main result Theorem 5.2 shows that close to a non-degenerate singular point, the level set $\{u = 0\}$ consists of two C^1 -curves meeting at right angles. The result holds uniformly when considering a class of solutions. Both uniformity and the fact that our result is not confined to the minimal solution are important differences to the results in [16].

We also prove a growth estimate at the highest-dimensional part of the non-degenerate singular set which holds in any dimension (Remark 3.4).

2. A NEWTONIAN POTENTIAL AND ITS PROJECTION

Let us recall the definition of the spaces P and $P_{2-\dim}$ of Definition A:

Definition 2.1. *Let us first define in each dimension $n \geq 2$ the space P of 2-homogeneous harmonic polynomials, i.e. harmonic polynomials of degree 2. In dimension 2 we define $P_{2-\dim}$ as the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree 2. In dimension $n > 2$ we define $P_{2-\dim} := \{p : (p \circ Q)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = q(x_1, x_2) \text{ for some } q \in P_{2-\dim} \text{ and some orthogonal } (n, n) \text{ matrix } Q\}$.*

Definition 2.2. (i) *Let us define the projection*

$$\Pi : W^{2,2}(B_1) \rightarrow P$$

as follows: for $v \in W^{2,2}(B_1)$, let $\Pi(v)$ be the by Lemma 2.3 unique minimizer of

$$p \mapsto \int_{B_1} |D^2 v - D^2 p|^2$$

on P , where $|A| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}$ is the Frobenius norm of the matrix A .

(ii) *Let us also define $\tau(v) \geq 0$ by*

$$\Pi(v) = \tau(v)p, \quad p \in P, \quad \sup_{B_1} |p| = 1.$$

Lemma 2.3. (i) *For each $v \in W^{2,2}(B_1)$ the minimizer of Definition 2.2 exists and is unique. Thus $\Pi : W^{2,2}(B_1) \rightarrow P$ is well-defined.*

(ii) *Π is a linear operator.*

(iii) *If $h \in W^{2,2}(B_1)$ is harmonic in B_1 then $\Pi(h(x)) = \Pi(h(rx)/r^2)$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$.*

(iv) *For every $v, w \in W^{2,2}(B_1)$*

$$\sup_{B_1} |\Pi(v + w)| \leq \sup_{B_1} |\Pi(v)| + \sup_{B_1} |\Pi(w)|.$$

Lemma 2.4. Define $v : (0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $v(x_1, x_2) :=$

$$-4x_1x_2 \log(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + 2(x_1^2 - x_2^2) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \arctan \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right) \right) - \pi(x_1^2 + x_2^2).$$

Moreover let

$$w(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} v(x_1, x_2), & x_1x_2 \geq 0, x_1 \neq 0, \\ -v(-x_1, x_2), & x_1 < 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ -v(x_1, -x_2), & x_1 > 0, x_2 \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$z(x_1, x_2) := \frac{w(x_1, x_2) - \pi(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + 8x_1x_2}{8\pi}.$$

Then

(i) $\Delta z = -\chi_{\{x_1x_2 > 0\}}$ in $\mathbf{R}^2$.

(ii) $z(0) = |\nabla z(0)| = 0$.

(iii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z(x)}{|x|^3} = 0$.

(iv) $\Pi(z) = 0$.

(v) $\Pi(z_{1/2}) = \log(2)x_1x_2/\pi$, $\tau(z_{1/2}) = \log(2)/(2\pi)$.

3. A QUANTITATIVE RESULT FOR THE PROJECTION

Lemma 3.1. If $(u_\eta)_{\eta \in I}$ is a family of solutions of (1) such that $\eta \in [0, 1/4]$, $x^\eta \in B_{1/4}$, u_η solves in $B_{2\eta}(x^\eta)$ and satisfies $\sup_{B_{2\eta}(x^\eta)} |u_\eta| \leq M$, $u_\eta(x^\eta) = |\nabla u_\eta(x^\eta)| = 0$ for every $\eta \in I$, then for each $\alpha \in [1, +\infty)$ and each $\beta \in (0, 1)$

$$\left\{ d_\eta(\cdot) := \frac{u_\eta(x^\eta + r_\eta \cdot)}{r_\eta^2} - \Pi\left(\frac{u_\eta(x^\eta + r_\eta \cdot)}{r_\eta^2}\right) : \eta \in I \right\}$$

is bounded in $W^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$ and relatively compact in $C^{1,\beta}(\overline{B_1})$.

Lemma 3.2. For each $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $d > 0$, $M < +\infty$, $\alpha \in [1, +\infty)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\delta > 0$ with the following property: Suppose that $0 < r \leq \delta$, $x \in \Omega_d$ and that u is a solution of (1) in Ω satisfying $\sup_{\Omega_d} |u| \leq M$, $u(x) = |\nabla u(x)| = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{L}^n((\{u(x + r \cdot) > 0\} \Delta \{x_1x_2 > 0\}) \cap B_1) \leq \delta.$$

Then

$$\left\| \frac{u(x + r \cdot)}{r^2} - \Pi\left(\frac{u(x + r \cdot)}{r^2}\right) - z \right\|_{C^{1,\beta}(\overline{B_1})} \leq \epsilon.$$

Lemma 3.3. For each $\gamma \in (0, \log(2)/(2\pi))$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $d > 0$ and $M < +\infty$ there is $\delta > 0$ with the following property:

Suppose that $0 < r \leq \delta$, $x \in \Omega_d$ and that u is a solution of (1) in Ω satisfying $\sup_{\Omega_d} |u| \leq M$, $u(x) = |\nabla u(x)| = 0$ and

$$\text{dist}_{W^{2,2}(B_1)}\left(\frac{u(x+r\cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x+ry)|}, P_{2-\dim}\right) \leq \delta.$$

Then $\tau(4u(x+r\cdot/2)/r^2) \geq \tau(u(x+r\cdot)/r^2) + \gamma$.

Remark 3.4. Note that if the hypothesis in Lemma 3.3 is satisfied for $r \in (0, \delta)$, then Lemma 3.3 implies

$$\tau(u(x+r\cdot)/r^2) \geq c|\log(r/\delta)|$$

and thereby logarithmic growth of the norm of $u(x+r\cdot)/r^2$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

4. CONTROLLING THE MOVEMENT OF $\Pi(u(x+r\cdot))$

Lemma 4.1. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d > 0$ and $M < +\infty$ there is $\delta > 0$ with the following property:

Suppose that $0 < r_0 \leq \delta$, $x \in \Omega_d$ and that u is a solution of (1) in Ω satisfying $\sup_{\Omega_d} |u| \leq M$, $u(x) = |\nabla u(x)| = 0$ and for all $r \in (\rho, r_0)$

$$\text{dist}_{W^{2,2}(B_1)}\left(\frac{u(x+r\cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x+ry)|}, P_{2-\dim}\right) \leq \delta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^n(\{u(x+r\cdot) > 0\} \Delta \{\Pi(u(x+r\cdot)) > 0\}) \cap B_1 \\ & \leq C(n) \frac{|\log(|\log(r/r_0)|)|}{|\log(r/r_0)|} \text{ for } r \in (\rho, r_0). \end{aligned}$$

The above lemma gives some control on how much the solution can “turn” when passing to a smaller scale. In two dimensions the estimate leads to unique tangent cones:

Proposition 4.2. In the case $n = 2$ there is $\delta > 0$ with the following property:

Suppose that $0 < r_0 \leq \delta$, $x \in \Omega_d$ and that u is a solution of (1) in Ω satisfying $\sup_{\Omega_d} |u| \leq M$, $u(x) = |\nabla u(x)| = 0$ and for all $r \in (\rho, r_0)$

$$\text{dist}_{W^{2,2}(B_1)}\left(\frac{u(x+r\cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x+ry)|}, P\right) \leq \delta.$$

Then for $r \in (\rho, r_0)$,

$$\sup_{B_1} \left| \frac{\Pi(u(x+r\cdot))}{|\Pi(u(x+r\cdot))|} - \frac{\Pi(u(x+r\cdot/2))}{|\Pi(u(x+r\cdot/2))|} \right| \leq C \frac{\sqrt{|\log(|\log(r/r_0)|)|}}{|\log(r/r_0)| \sqrt{|\log(r/r_0)|}}.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n = 2$. Then for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ there is $\theta_\delta > 0$ with the following property:*

Suppose that $0 < r_0 \leq \delta_0$, $x \in \Omega_d$ and that u is a solution of (1) in Ω satisfying $\sup_{\Omega_d} |u| \leq M$, $u(x) = |\nabla u(x)| = 0$,

$$r_0^{-n-4} \int_{B_{r_0}(x)} u^2 \geq 1/\theta_\delta$$

$$\text{and } \text{dist}_{W^{2,2}(B_1)} \left(\frac{u(x + r_0 \cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x + r_0 y)|}, P \right) \leq \theta_\delta.$$

Then for all $r \in (0, r_0)$

$$\sup_{B_1} \left| \frac{\Pi(u(x + r \cdot))}{|\Pi(u(x + r \cdot))|} - \frac{\Pi(u(x + r \cdot / 2))}{|\Pi(u(x + r \cdot / 2))|} \right|$$

$$\leq C(n) \frac{\sqrt{|\log(|\log(r/r_0)|)|}}{|\log(r/r_0)| \sqrt{|\log(r/r_0)|}},$$

$$\sup_{B_1} \left| \frac{\Pi(u(x + r_0 \cdot))}{|\Pi(u(x + r_0 \cdot))|} - \frac{\Pi(u(x + r \cdot))}{|\Pi(u(x + r \cdot))|} \right| \leq \delta,$$

$$\text{dist}_{W^{2,2}(B_1)} \left(\frac{u(x + r \cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x + ry)|}, P \right) \leq \delta/2 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{dist}_{W^{2,\alpha}(B_1)} \left(\frac{u(x + r \cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x + ry)|}, P \right) \leq C(n, \alpha) \min(\delta/4, \frac{1}{|\log(r/r_0)|}).$$

5. UNIFORM ESTIMATES CLOSE TO THE SINGULAR SET

Definition 5.1. *Let u be a solution of (1) in $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ satisfying $\sup_{\Omega_d} |u| \leq M$. We define for $r_0 \in (0, \delta_0)$ the set*

$$\Sigma_{\delta, r_0}^u := \{x : x \in \Omega_d, u(x) = |\nabla u(x)| = 0, r_0^{-6} \int_{B_{r_0}(x)} u^2 \geq 1/\theta_\delta,$$

$$\text{dist}_{W^{2,2}(B_1)} \left(\frac{u(x + r_0 \cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x + r_0 y)|}, P \right) \leq \theta_\delta/2\};$$

in what follows $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\theta_\delta > 0$ will be the constants of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 5.2. *Let u be a solution of (1) in $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ satisfying $\sup_{\Omega_d} |u| \leq M$. Then Σ_{δ, r_0}^u consists of isolated points in Ω . Moreover $\{u = 0\}$ is in $\overline{B_{c(n, r_0)}(\Sigma_{\delta, r_0}^u)}$ the union of two C^1 -curves intersecting each other at right angles. For a family of solutions as above the family of C^1 -curves above is relatively compact in C^1 . The estimate*

$$\left\| \frac{u(x + r \cdot)}{\sup_{y \in B_1} |u(x + ry)|} - p^{x, u}(\cdot) \right\|_{C^{1, \beta}(\bar{B}_1)} \leq C(\beta, r_0) \int_0^r \frac{\sqrt{|\log |\log s||}}{s |\log s|^{3/2}} ds$$

holds for $x \in \Sigma_{\delta, r_0}^u$, some $p^{x,u} \in P$ and $r \leq r_0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Andersson and G. S. Weiss. Cross-shaped and degenerate singularities in an unstable elliptic free boundary problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 228(2):633–640, 2006.
- [2] I. Athanassopoulos, L. A. Caffarelli, and S. Salsa. Phase transition problems of parabolic type: flat free boundaries are smooth. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51(1):77–112, 1998.
- [3] Ivan Blank. Eliminating mixed asymptotics in obstacle type free boundary problems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 29(7-8):1167–1186, 2004.
- [4] L. A. Caffarelli. The obstacle problem revisited. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 4(4-5):383–402, 1998.
- [5] L. A. Caffarelli and H. Shahgholian. The structure of the singular set of a free boundary in potential theory. *Izv. Nats. Akad. Nauk Armenii Mat.*, 39(2):43–58, 2004.
- [6] Luis A. Caffarelli and Avner Friedman. The shape of axisymmetric rotating fluid. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 35(1):109–142, 1980.
- [7] Luis A. Caffarelli, Lavi Karp, and Henrik Shahgholian. Regularity of a free boundary with application to the Pompeiu problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(1):269–292, 2000.
- [8] S. Chanillo, D. Grieser, M. Imai, K. Kurata, and I. Ohnishi. Symmetry breaking and other phenomena in the optimization of eigenvalues for composite membranes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 214(2):315–337, 2000.
- [9] S. Chanillo, D. Grieser, and K. Kurata. The free boundary problem in the optimization of composite membranes. In *Differential geometric methods in the control of partial differential equations (Boulder, CO, 1999)*, volume 268 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 61–81. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [10] Sagun Chanillo and Carlos E. Kenig. Weak uniqueness and partial regularity for the composite membrane problem. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2007.
- [11] Sagun Chanillo, Carlos E. Kenig, and Tong Tu. Regularity of the minimizers in the composite membrane problem in $\mathbf{R}^2$. *Preprint*.
- [12] Martin Fuchs. The smoothness of the free boundary for a class of vector-valued problems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 14(8-9):1027–1041, 1989.
- [13] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, volume 224 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.
- [14] Enrico Giusti. *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, volume 80 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
- [15] Lavi Karp and Avmir S. Margulis. Newtonian potential theory for unbounded sources and applications to free boundary problems. *J. Anal. Math.*, 70:1–63, 1996.
- [16] R. Monneau and G. S. Weiss. An unstable elliptic free boundary problem arising in solid combustion. *Duke Math. J.*, 136(2):321–341, 2007.
- [17] Henrik Shahgholian. The singular set for the composite membrane problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 271(1):93–101, 2007.

- [18] Henrik Shahgholian, Nina Uraltseva, and Georg S. Weiss. The two-phase membrane problem—regularity of the free boundaries in higher dimensions. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (8):Art. ID rnm026, 16, 2007.
- [19] Leon Simon. Asymptotics for a class of nonlinear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(3):525–571, 1983.
- [20] Leon Simon. *Theorems on regularity and singularity of energy minimizing maps*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996. Based on lecture notes by Norbert Hungerbühler.
- [21] Elias M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.
- [22] Georg S. Weiss. Partial regularity for weak solutions of an elliptic free boundary problem. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 23(3-4):439–455, 1998.
- [23] Georg Sebastian Weiss. Partial regularity for a minimum problem with free boundary. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 9(2):317–326, 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ,
FINLAND

E-mail address: johnande@jyu.fi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 100 44
STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN

E-mail address: henriksh@math.kth.se

URL: <http://www.math.kth.se/~henriksh/>

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,
3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO-TO, 153-8914 JAPAN,

E-mail address: gw@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

URL: <http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~gw/>