

Vortex State of d-Wave Superconductors in the Ginzburg-Landau Energy

FangHua Lin[†] and Tai-Chia Lin[‡]

[†] Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, USA,

[‡] Department of Mathematics, Chung-Cheng U., Chia-Yi, Taiwan

Abstract

We find a minimizer of a reduced form of the Ginzburg-Landau free energy for d -wave superconductors having distinct degree-one vortices. For a single vortex in the vortex core, we analytically recover the vortex structure with fourfold symmetry.

1 Introduction

In the 1910's, low-temperature superconductivity was observed on metals and alloys (cf. [9]). Recently, high-temperature superconductivity has been found on some copper-oxide superconductors (cf. [12]). The vortex state of high-temperature superconductors is different from the vortex state of low-temperature superconductors. When the applied magnetic field is close to the lower critical field H_{c1} , the single vortex is expected to be symmetric in low-temperature superconductors but it may be asymmetric (fourfold symmetric) in high-temperature superconductors (cf. [8], [31]). Moreover, as the applied magnetic field is close to the upper critical field H_{c2} , Abrikosov type vortex lattices are expected to be triangular in low-temperature superconductors but they may be rectangular in high-temperature superconductors (cf. [1], [8], [27], [30], [31] etc).

To distinguish low-temperature and high-temperature superconductivity, an s -wave and a d -wave order parameter were introduced (cf. [13], [21]). Soininen et al. (cf. [3], [28]) introduced the Ginzburg-Landau free energy with an s -wave and a d -wave order parameter. Ren et al. (cf. [24], [25]) present a microscopic derivation of the Ginzburg-Landau equations from the Gor'kov equations by using the finite temperature Green's-function approximation method. From [31], we learned the two fields Ginzburg-Landau free energy is given by:

$$G(\Psi_s, \Psi_d, A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \kappa^2 |\operatorname{curl} A - H|^2 + \alpha_s(T) |\Psi_s|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \frac{4}{3} |\Psi_s|^4 + \frac{8}{3} |\Psi_s|^2 |\Psi_d|^2 + \frac{2}{3} (\Psi_s^2 \Psi_d^{*2} + \Psi_d^2 \Psi_s^{*2}) + 2 |\Pi \Psi_s|^2 + |\Pi \Psi_d|^2 + \{ \Pi_x \Psi_s \Pi_x^* \Psi_d^* - \Pi_y \Psi_s \Pi_y^* \Psi_d^* + \text{H.C.} \}, \quad (1.1)$$

where Ψ_s is the s -wave order parameter, Ψ_d is the d -wave order parameter and A is the vector-valued magnetic potential, $\Pi = i\nabla - A$, H is a constant applied magnetic field, κ is the Ginzburg-Landau parameter and

$$\alpha_s(T) = C_s/(1 - T/T_c). \quad (1.2)$$

Here C_s is a positive constant, T is the current temperature and T_c is the d -wave transition temperature.

As the current temperature T is close to T_c , Franz et al. [8] observed that in a predominantly d -wave superconductor, the s -wave component is generically very small. They also provided approximation formulas for the order parameters Ψ_d and Ψ_s as follows:

$$|\Psi_s| \ll |\Psi_d|, \quad |\nabla \Psi_s| \ll |\nabla \Psi_d| \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow T_c. \quad (1.3)$$

Affleck et al. [1] obtained the leading order in $(1 - T/T_c)$ as

$$\Psi_s = \xi (\prod_x^2 - \prod_y^2) \Psi_d, \quad (1.4)$$

where ξ is a parameter satisfying that $\xi \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow T_c$. In [7], Du derived (1.4) by the formal asymptotic analysis.

We learned from [5] and [6] that it is reasonable to ignore the magnetic field in strongly type II superconductors when the applied magnetic field is close to H_{c1} and $T \rightarrow T_c$. Hence it is valuable to study the two fields Ginzburg-Landau model (1.1) without the magnetic field (i.e. $A, H \equiv 0$). Moreover, Rosenstein et al. [6] took (1.3) and (1.4) into (1.1) and modified the free energy (1.1) as follows:

$$G(\Psi_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \beta |\square \Psi_d|^2 dx dy, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\square = \partial_x^2 - \partial_y^2$ and β is a parameter satisfying that $\beta \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow T_c$. Here we have ignored the magnetic field (i.e. $A, H \equiv 0$) for strongly type II superconductors.

It is hard to find the minimizer of (1.5) by the standard direct method. Suppose that $\Psi_d \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ is a minimizer of (1.5) over $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$. Then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Psi_d + v) = & G(\Psi_d) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 - (1 - |\Psi_d|^2)|v|^2 + 2(\Psi_d \cdot v)^2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2|v|^2(\Psi_d \cdot v) + \frac{1}{2}|v|^4 + \beta |\square v|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

for any test function $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Hereafter, $z_1 \cdot z_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}_1 z_2 + z_1 \bar{z}_2)$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $v_n(z) = \delta_n v_0(z) \sin[\delta_n^{-2/3}(x + y)]$ for $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, where v_0 is a test function with a nonempty compact support and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here we use the fact that the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$. Now, we replace v in (1.6) by v_n and we obtain that $G(\Psi_d + v_n) \rightarrow G(\Psi_d)$ but $\|\Psi_d + v_n\|_{H^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\Psi_d + v_n$'s form a minimizing sequence but $\Psi_d + v_n$'s have no converging subsequence

even weakly converging subsequences in $H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$. Thus the free energy (1.5) has a defect on minimization.

From [30], we learned a Ginzburg-Landau energy functional (without the magnetic field) as follows:

$$E(\Psi_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \eta(|\partial_x^2 \Psi_d|^2 + |\partial_y^2 \Psi_d|^2) dx dy, \quad (1.7)$$

where η is a constant depending on the current temperature T . The term $|\partial_x^2 \Psi_d|^2 + |\partial_y^2 \Psi_d|^2$ breaks the circular symmetry and accounts for the square symmetry. Furthermore, Park and Huse [22] introduced a more generalized Ginzburg-Landau free energy (without the magnetic field) for d -wave superconductors as follows:

$$F(\Psi_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \gamma_1 |\Delta \Psi_d|^2 + \beta_1 (|\square \Psi_d|^2 - 4|\partial_x \partial_y \Psi_d|^2) dx dy, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ and β_1, γ_1 are parameters tending to zero as $T \rightarrow T_c$.

Hereafter, we assume that $|\Psi_d| \rightarrow 1$ and all the derivatives of Ψ_d decay fast as $|(x, y)| \rightarrow \infty$. Such an assumption is consistent with the results in [8] and [31]. Using integration by part, we may transform (1.8) into

$$\tilde{G}(\Psi_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \beta |\square \Psi_d|^2 + \gamma |\Delta \Psi_d|^2 dx dy, \quad (1.9)$$

where β, γ are parameters tending to zero as $T \rightarrow T_c$. In this paper, we assume that $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and $\beta, \gamma \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow T_c$. In particular, such an assumption includes the case that $0 < \gamma \ll \beta$ i.e. (1.9) is a small perturbation of (1.5).

In Section 2, we approximate (1.9) by

$$G_\epsilon(\Psi_d) = \int_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \beta |\square \Psi_d|^2 + \gamma |\Delta \Psi_d|^2 dx dy, \quad (1.10)$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter, Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ having an interior point at the origin and $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega = \{(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, \frac{y}{\epsilon}) : (x, y) \in \Omega\}$. In the rest of this paper, we prove that the minimizer of (1.10) has distinct degree-one vortices in Section 3. In Section 4, we replace $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega$ in (1.10) by B_{R_0} , where B_{R_0} is a disk with radius R_0 and center at the origin. Here $R_0 > 0$ is a large constant satisfying $1 \ll R_0 \leq 1/\epsilon$. Then (1.10) becomes

$$\hat{G}(\Psi_d) = \int_{B_{R_0}} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \beta |\square \Psi_d|^2 + \gamma |\Delta \Psi_d|^2 dx dy, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a small parameter as $T \rightarrow T_c$, $\gamma = C\beta$, and C is a positive constant independent of β . We study then the critical point of (1.11) and find out its single vortex structure with fourfold symmetry. The single vortex structure of d -wave superconductors having fourfold symmetry is well known in physics (cf. [5], [6], [8], [27] and [31]). Here we give a mathematical proof of such a vortex structure.

2 Preliminaries

To investigate vortices in d -wave superconductors, we assume that the order parameter Ψ_d satisfies $|\Psi_d| \rightarrow 1$ and all the derivatives of Ψ_d decay fast as $|(x, y)| \rightarrow \infty$. Such an assumption is consistent with the results in [8] and [31]. Hence we may approximate (1.9) by

$$G_\epsilon(\Psi_d) = \int_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \beta |\square \Psi_d|^2 + \gamma |\Delta \Psi_d|^2 dx dy, \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter, Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ having an interior point at the origin and $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega = \{(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, \frac{y}{\epsilon}) : (x, y) \in \Omega\}$. Rescaling the spatial variables x, y by ϵ , (2.1) becomes

$$\hat{G}_\epsilon(\Psi_d) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \delta_\epsilon |\square \Psi_d|^2 + \gamma_\epsilon |\Delta \Psi_d|^2 dx dy, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\delta_\epsilon = \beta \epsilon^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_\epsilon = \gamma \epsilon^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Of course, (2.3) implies that $0 < \delta_\epsilon, \gamma_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^2)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$. In Section 2 and 3, we study (2.2) with an assumption that $0 < \delta_\epsilon, \gamma_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^2)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$.

This kind of approximation can also be found in s -wave superconductors. The conventional s -wave Ginzburg-Landau free energy (cf. [9]) without the magnetic field is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - |u|^2)^2,$$

where $u \in \mathbb{C}$ is the s -wave order parameter. Under the hypothesis that $|u| \rightarrow 1$ and all the derivatives of u decay fast at $|(x, y)| \rightarrow \infty$, we may approximate the s -wave Ginzburg-Landau free energy by

$$\int_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - |u|^2)^2,$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter and Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ having an interior point at the origin. Then we rescale the spatial variables by ϵ and obtain the energy functional as follows:

$$E_\epsilon(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the s -wave order parameter. There are many investigations on the free energy (2.4). For the readers who are interested in these works, please refer to [2], [15], [17], [23] and [29] etc.

In [2] and [29], we learn the minimizer of E_ϵ over $H_g^1(\Omega)$ having n degree-one vortices in Ω , where

$$H_g^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) : u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega\},$$

and $g : \partial\Omega \rightarrow S^1$ is smooth with degree $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, the minimizer u_ϵ of (2.4) satisfies

- (1) $E_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = n\pi \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + W_g(a_1, \dots, a_n) + o_\epsilon(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$,
- (2) u_ϵ converges to u_* (up to a subsequence) in $C_{loc}^2(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$,
- (3) $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega^n$ is a global minimizer of the renormalized energy W_g defined in [2],
- where $o_\epsilon(1)$ is a small quantity which tends to zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$,

$$u_*(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z - a_j}{|z - a_j|} e^{i h(z)}, \quad \forall z \in \Omega, \quad (2.5)$$

and h is a real-valued harmonic function. Since $\mathbb{R}^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, we may consider $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Note that the domain Ω is assumed star-shaped in [2]. However, Struwe [29] generalized results of [2] for all bounded smooth domains.

For the minimizer of (2.2), we prove:

Theorem I. *Suppose $0 < \delta_\epsilon, \gamma_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^2)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$. Then there exists a minimizer u_ϵ of (2.2) over $H_g^1(\Omega)$ such that*

- (i) $u_\epsilon \in H^2(\Omega)$ has n degree-one vortices in Ω ,
- (ii) $\hat{G}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = 2n\pi \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$,
- (iii) u_ϵ converges to u_* (up to a subsequence) strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and weakly in $H_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$,
- (iv) $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Omega^n$ is a global minimizer of the renormalized energy W_g in [2].

Remark. We may consider the energy functional (2.2) with $0 < \delta_\epsilon, \gamma_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^2)$ as a small perturbation of (2.4). However, the perturbation terms are of higher order derivatives. Hence the Euler-Lagrange equation of (2.2) is a singular perturbation problem and the perturbation terms are of the 4-th order derivatives. Until now, there is no general theorem on such a singular perturbation problem.

3 Proof of Theorem I

To prove the existence of a minimizer, we define a comparison map as follows:

$$U_\epsilon(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n U_0\left(\frac{z - b_j}{\epsilon}\right) e^{i H_\epsilon(z)}, \quad (3.1)$$

for $z \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, where b_j 's are n distinct points in Ω and H_ϵ is a real-valued smooth function in Ω such that

$$U_\epsilon = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad \|H_\epsilon\|_{C^2(\Omega)} = O(1).$$

Hereafter, U_0 is the symmetric vortex solution (cf. [4], [10], [11]) defined by

$$U_0(z) = f(R) e^{i\theta} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $R = |z|$ and (R, θ) is the polar coordinate in $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $f(R)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} f'' + \frac{1}{R} f' - \frac{1}{R^2} f + (1 - f^2)f = 0 & \text{for } R > 0, \\ f(0) = 0, f(\infty) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

From [4] and [11], the symmetric vortex solution U_0 satisfies

Lemma I.

- (i) $f(R) = \alpha_0 R + \alpha_1 R^3 + O(R^5)$ as $R \rightarrow 0+$, where $\alpha_0 > 0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants,
- (ii) $f(R) = 1 - \frac{1}{2R^2} + O(R^{-4})$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (iii) $U_0 = f(R) e^{i\theta}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}$.

Hence it is easy to check that

$$\hat{G}_\epsilon(U_\epsilon) = 2\pi n \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1) \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0+. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, fix $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. We claim that $\inf_{u \in H_g^1(\Omega)} \hat{G}_\epsilon(u)$ attains a minimizer $u_\epsilon \in H^2(\Omega)$. Let $\{u_k\}$ be a minimizing sequence such that

$$\hat{G}_\epsilon(u_k) \rightarrow \inf_{u \in H_g^1(\Omega)} \hat{G}_\epsilon(u). \quad (3.5)$$

Then by (2.2), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 + |\square u_k|^2 + |\Delta u_k|^2 dx dy < +\infty.$$

Hence there exists a subsequence $\{u_{k_j}\}$ such that

$$\|u_{k_j}\|_{H^2} \leq K_\epsilon, \quad \forall j \geq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

where $K_\epsilon > 0$ is a constant independent of j . Thus (3.6) implies

$$u_{k_j} \rightarrow u_\epsilon \quad \text{weakly in } H^2(\Omega) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore by Fatou's lemma, u_ϵ is a minimizer of $\hat{G}_\epsilon$ over $H_g^1(\Omega)$.

From (2.2), (2.4), (3.4) and u_ϵ is a minimizer of $\inf_{u \in H_g^1(\Omega)} \hat{G}_\epsilon(u)$, we obtain

$$E_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq \pi n \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1). \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, by (3.8) and [29], we have

$$E_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = \pi n \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1). \quad (3.9)$$

Hence (3.4) and (3.9) imply that

$$\delta_\epsilon \int_\Omega |\square u_\epsilon|^2 dx dy = O(1), \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\gamma_\epsilon \int_\Omega |\Delta u_\epsilon|^2 dx dy = O(1). \quad (3.11)$$

Thus we complete the proof of (ii).

By (3.9), Proposition 1.1 and 1.2 in [16], we complete the proof of (i). Furthermore, we obtain that u_ϵ converges to u_* (up to a subsequence) strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and weakly in $H_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \Omega$, $u_*(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z - a_j}{|z - a_j|} e^{i h(z)}$, $\forall z \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and h is a real-valued function. Now we show that h is a harmonic function as follows: Consider the Euler-Lagrange equation of $\hat{G}_\epsilon$ with respect to the minimizer u_ϵ . Then u_ϵ satisfies

$$\Delta u_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(1 - |u_\epsilon|^2)u_\epsilon - \delta_\epsilon \square^2 u_\epsilon - \gamma_\epsilon \Delta^2 u_\epsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.12)$$

Perform the wedge product with u_ϵ and (3.12). This is a standard trick to erase the cubic nonlinear term in (3.12) (cf. [26] and [29]). Then we have

$$u_\epsilon \wedge \Delta u_\epsilon - \delta_\epsilon u_\epsilon \wedge \square^2 u_\epsilon - \gamma_\epsilon u_\epsilon \wedge \Delta^2 u_\epsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.13)$$

Let $p \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be a test function. Multiply (3.13) by p and integrate it on Ω . Then using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_\Omega (u_\epsilon \wedge \partial_x u_\epsilon) p_x + (u_\epsilon \wedge \partial_y u_\epsilon) p_y \\ & = \delta_\epsilon \int_\Omega (u_\epsilon \wedge \square u_\epsilon) \square p + 2(\partial_x u_\epsilon \wedge \square u_\epsilon) p_x - 2(\partial_y u_\epsilon \wedge \square u_\epsilon) p_y \\ & \quad + \gamma_\epsilon \int_\Omega (u_\epsilon \wedge \Delta u_\epsilon) \Delta p + 2(\partial_x u_\epsilon \wedge \Delta u_\epsilon) p_x + 2(\partial_y u_\epsilon \wedge \Delta u_\epsilon) p_y \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Here we have used the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} u \wedge \Delta u &= \partial_x (u \wedge \partial_x u) + \partial_y (u \wedge \partial_y u), \\ u \wedge \square^2 u &= \square(u \wedge \square u) - 2(u_x \wedge \square u_x - u_y \wedge \square u_y), \\ u \wedge \Delta^2 u &= \Delta(u \wedge \Delta u) - 2(u_x \wedge \Delta u_x + u_y \wedge \Delta u_y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by $0 < \gamma_\epsilon, \delta_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^2)$, (3.9)-(3.11), (3.14) and Holder inequality, the limit map u_* satisfies

$$u_* \wedge \Delta u_* = 0 \quad \text{in distribution sense.} \quad (3.15)$$

Thus u_* is a canonical harmonic map i.e. h is a harmonic function. Therefore we complete the proof of (iii).

Now we prove (iv) as follows: Let $(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) \in \Omega^n$ be a global minimizer of the renormalized energy W_g . The definition of W_g can be found in [2]. Then we define another comparison map as follows:

$$V_\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} u_\epsilon(z - \tilde{a}_j + a_j) & \text{if } z \in B_{\epsilon^\alpha}(\tilde{a}_j), j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \tilde{U}_\epsilon(z) & \text{if } z \in \Omega_{\epsilon^\alpha} \equiv \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_{\epsilon^\alpha}(\tilde{a}_j), \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ is a constant and $\tilde{U}_\epsilon$ is a minimizer of E_ϵ over $H_g^1(\Omega_{\epsilon^\alpha})$. Here the boundary condition $\tilde{g}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{g} = \begin{cases} g & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u_\epsilon(\cdot - \tilde{a}_j + a_j) & \text{on } \partial B_{\epsilon^\alpha}(\tilde{a}_j), j = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

Hence by (iii), [2] and [29], $\tilde{U}_\epsilon$ satisfies

$$\tilde{U}_\epsilon \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z - \tilde{a}_j}{|z - \tilde{a}_j|} e^{i\tilde{h}(z)} \quad \text{in } C^2(\Omega_{\epsilon^\alpha}) \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0+, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\tilde{h}$ is a harmonic function. The convergence of (3.18) may be up to a subsequence. However, this does not effect the following argument. Thus by (3.18) and [2], it is easy to check that

$$\hat{G}_\epsilon(V_\epsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B_{\epsilon^\alpha}(a_j)} \hat{g}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) + 2\pi n \alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2W_g(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) + o_\epsilon(1), \quad (3.19)$$

where $\hat{g}_\epsilon(u) = |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2)^2 + \delta_\epsilon |\square u|^2 + \gamma_\epsilon |\Delta u|^2$ is the energy density of $\hat{G}_\epsilon$ and $o_\epsilon(1)$ is a small quantity which tends to zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$. On the other hand, by (iii) and [2], we have

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}_{\epsilon^\alpha}} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} (1 - |u_\epsilon|^2)^2 \geq \pi n \alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + W_g(a_1, \dots, a_n) + o_\epsilon(1), \quad (3.20)$$

where $\hat{\Omega}_{\epsilon^\alpha} = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_{\epsilon^\alpha}(a_j)$. Hence (3.20) implies that

$$\hat{G}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B_{\epsilon^\alpha}(a_j)} \hat{g}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) + 2\pi n \alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2W_g(a_1, \dots, a_n) + o_\epsilon(1), \quad (3.21)$$

Thus by (3.19) and (3.21), we obtain

$$W_g(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq W_g(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) + o_\epsilon(1) \quad (3.22)$$

Since $(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n)$ is a global minimizer of W_g , then we complete the proof of (iv) by (3.22).

4 Single Vortex Structure in the Vortex Core

In this section, we assume that the single vortex structure is in the vortex core B_{R_0} , where $R_0 > 0$ is a large constant satisfying $1 \ll R_0 \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Hereafter, we denote B_{R_0} as a disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with radius R_0 and center at the origin. To study the vortex structure in the vortex core, we restrict (1.9) in the vortex core B_{R_0} as follows:

$$\hat{G}(\Psi_d) = \int_{B_{R_0}} |\nabla \Psi_d|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\Psi_d|^2)^2 + \beta |\square \Psi_d|^2 + \gamma |\Delta \Psi_d|^2 dx dy, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\gamma = C\beta$, $C > 0$ is a constant independent of β , and $\beta > 0$ is a small parameter tending to zero as $T \rightarrow T_c$. We investigate (4.1) with $\beta > 0$ a small parameter to see the phase transition of d -wave superconductors.

The Euler-Lagrange equation of (4.1) is

$$\Delta \Psi_d + (1 - |\Psi_d|^2)\Psi_d - \beta(\square^2 + C\Delta^2)\Psi_d = 0 \quad \text{in } B_{R_0}. \quad (4.2)$$

Note that $E \equiv \square^2 + C\Delta^2$ is an elliptic operator as $C > 0$. Moreover, by the Lax-Milgram theorem, $E : H_0^2(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{-2}(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ is invertible and we denote E^{-1} as its inverse. Hence the standard elliptic regularity theorem (cf. [20]) can be applied in (4.2).

We state the main result on (4.2) as follows:

Theorem II. *There exists a solution Ψ_d of (4.2) satisfying*

$$\Psi_d(z, \beta) = f(R) e^{i\theta} + \beta(a(R) e^{-4i\theta} + b(R) e^{4i\theta} + c(R)) e^{i\theta} + O(\beta^2) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where a, b and c are smooth real-valued functions.

The equation (4.3) implies that the d -wave order parameter Ψ_d is fourfold symmetric in the vortex core. In [27], we learn a well approximated solution of (4.2) with fourfold symmetry. Here we find an exact solution of (4.2) with the fourfold symmetry.

Proof of Theorem II.

To solve (4.2), we set

$$\Psi_d(z, \beta) = U_0(z) + \beta w_1(z) + \beta^2 w_2(z) + \beta^3 w(z, \beta), \quad (4.4)$$

where U_0 is the symmetric vortex solution defined in (3.2) and (3.3). Here w_1 satisfies

$$L w_1 - E U_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } B_{R_0}, \quad w_1 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{R_0}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $Lv = \Delta v + (1 - |U_0|^2)v - 2(U_0 \cdot v)U_0$ is the linearized operator of the equation (4.2) with respect to a trivial solution $(\Psi_d, \beta) = (U_0, 0)$. In addition, w_2 satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} L w_2 &= 2(U_0 \cdot w_1)w_1 + |w_1|^2 U_0 + E w_1 \quad \text{in } B_{R_0}, \\ w_2 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{R_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

It is easy to check that

$$EU_0 = h_{-3}(R) e^{-3i\theta} + h_1(R) e^{i\theta} + h_5(R) e^{5i\theta}, \quad (4.7)$$

where h_{-3}, h_1 and h_5 are real-valued smooth functions. By [14], [18], [19] and [23], L is a bijection from $H_0^1(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ onto $H^{-1}(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$. Hence by (4.5)-(4.7), we have

$$w_1 = a(R) e^{-3i\theta} + b(R) e^{5i\theta} + c(R) e^{i\theta}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$w_2 = \sum_{k=0}^2 a_{1-4k}(R) e^{i(1-4k)\theta} + a_{1+4k}(R) e^{i(1+4k)\theta}, \quad (4.9)$$

where a, b, c and $a_{1\pm 4k}$'s are smooth real-valued functions.

Taking (4.4) into (4.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Lw = & 2[(U_0 \cdot (w_2 + \beta w))w_1 + (U_0 \cdot w_1)(w_2 + \beta w)] + \beta|w_2 + \beta w|^2 U_0 \\ & + \beta(U_0 \cdot (w_2 + \beta w))(w_2 + \beta w) + 2(w_1 \cdot (w_2 + \beta w))U_0 \\ & + |w_1 + \beta(w_2 + \beta w)|^2(w_1 + \beta(w_2 + \beta w)) + Ew_2 + \beta Ew \quad \text{in } B_{R_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Hence (4.10) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} E^{-1}Lw = & E^{-1}\{2[(U_0 \cdot (w_2 + \beta w))w_1 + (U_0 \cdot w_1)(w_2 + \beta w)] + \beta|w_2 + \beta w|^2 U_0 \\ & + \beta(U_0 \cdot (w_2 + \beta w))(w_2 + \beta w) + 2(w_1 \cdot (w_2 + \beta w))U_0 \\ & + |w_1 + \beta(w_2 + \beta w)|^2(w_1 + \beta(w_2 + \beta w))\} + w_2 + \beta w \quad \text{in } B_{R_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Note that (4.11) has a trivial solution $(w, \beta) = (w_3, 0)$, where w_3 satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} Lw_3 &= 2[(U_0 \cdot w_2)w_1 + (U_0 \cdot w_1)w_2] + 2(w_1 \cdot w_2)U_0 + |w_1|^2 w_1 + Ew_2 \quad \text{in } B_{R_0}, \\ w_3 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{R_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Since U_0, w_1, w_2 are smooth functions and L is bijective from $H_0^1(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ onto $H^{-1}(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$, then the standard elliptic regularity theorem implies that w_3 is also a smooth function. Furthermore, since E is bijective from $H_0^2(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ onto $H^{-2}(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ and $H^{-1}(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ is embedded in $H^{-2}(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$, then E is a bijection from $H_0^2(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C}) \cap H^3(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ onto $H^{-1}(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$. We denote E^{-1} as the inverse of E . Hence $E^{-1}L$ is a bijection from $H_0^1(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ onto $H_0^2(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C}) \cap H^3(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$. Thus by the implicit function theorem, (4.11) has a unique solution $w \in H_0^1(B_{R_0}; \mathbb{C})$ as $|\beta|$ is sufficiently small. Moreover, the standard elliptic regularity theorem may imply the smoothness of w . Therefore (4.2) has a solution Ψ_d satisfying (4.4) as $|\beta|$ is sufficiently small. By (4.4), (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain (4.3) and complete the proof of Theorem II.

Final Remark: By (1.4) with $A \equiv 0$ and (4.3), we have

$$\Psi_s(z) = \xi \square [U_0 + \beta(a(R) e^{-4i\theta} + b(R) e^{4i\theta} + c(R)) e^{i\theta} + O(\beta^2)] \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Since $U_0(z) = f(R) e^{i\theta}$, then

$$\square U_0(z) = \frac{1}{2}(f' + \frac{1}{R}f)' e^{-i\theta} + \frac{1}{2}[(f' - \frac{1}{R}f)' - \frac{2}{R}(f' - \frac{1}{R}f)] e^{3i\theta}. \quad (4.14)$$

Hence by (i), (ii) of Lemma I and (4.14), $\square U_0$ satisfies

$$\square U_0(z) = 4\alpha_1 R e^{-i\theta} + O(R^3) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0+, \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\square U_0(z) = -\frac{1}{2R^2} e^{-i\theta} + \frac{3}{2R^2} e^{3i\theta} + O(R^{-4}) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.16)$$

By (4.15) and (4.16), the degree of $\square U_0$ is minus one in B_{r_1} and three in B_{R_1} as $0 < r_1 \ll 1$ and $R_1 \gg 1$. Moreover, by [4] and [11], it is easy to check that

$$\frac{d}{dz} \square U_0(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{if } \square U_0(z) = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Hence (iii) of Lemma I and (4.17) imply that $\square U_0$ has only simple zeros in $\mathbb{C}$. Thus $\square U_0$ has a single zero with degree minus one at the origin and another four zeros with degree one away from the origin. Therefore as $|\beta|$ is sufficiently small, Ψ_s has a single zero with degree minus one near the origin and another four zeros with degree one away from the origin. This indicates the four-lobe structure of Ψ_s in the vortex core. The numerical simulation can be found in [7], [8] and [31].

Acknowledgement.

The second author wishes to express his sincere thanks to B. Rosenstein for helpful discussions. He also sincerely thanks the referees for their suggestions.

References

- [1] I. Affleck, M. Franz, M. H. S. Amin, Generalized London free energy for high- T_c vortex lattices, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, R704 (1997).
- [2] F. Bethuel, H. Brezis, F. Helein, Ginzburg-Landau vortices, *Birkhauser*, 1994.
- [3] A. J. Berlinsky, A. L. Fetter, M. Franz, C. Kallin, P. I. Soininen, Ginzburg-Landau theory of vortices in d -wave superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 2200.
- [4] X. Chen, C. M. Elliott, T. Qi, Shooting method for vortex solutions of a complex-valued Ginzburg-Landau equation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **124A**, pp. 1075-1088, 1994.
- [5] D. Chang, C.Y. Mu, B. Rosenstein, C. L. Wu, The effect of anisotropy on vortex lattice structure and flux flow in d -wave superconductors, *Chinese J. Phys.* **36**(1998), no. 2, p. 238-244.
- [6] D. Chang, C.Y. Mu, B. Rosenstein, C. L. Wu, Static and dynamical anisotropy effects in mixed state of d -wave superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**(1998), no. 13, p. 7955-7969.

- [7] Q. Du, Studies of a Ginzburg-Landau model for d-wave superconductors, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **59** (1999), no. 4, p. 1225-1250.
- [8] M. Franz, C. Kallin, P. I. Soininen, A. J. Berlinsky, A. L. Fetter, Vortex state in a d-wave superconductor, *Phys. Rev. B* **53** (9), p. 5795, 1996.
- [9] P. G. de Gennes, Superconductivity of metals and alloys, *Addison-Wesley Pub. Com. INC.* 1989.
- [10] P. Hagan, Spiral Waves in Reaction Diffusion Equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **42** (1982) no. 4, 762-786.
- [11] R. M. Hervé, M. Hervé, Étude qualitative des solutions réelles d'une équation différentielle liée à l'équation de Ginzburg-Landau, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, Vol. 11, no. 4, 1994, p. 427-440.
- [12] J. D. Jorgensen, et al., Structural features that optimize high temperature superconductivity, in recent developments in high temperature superconductivity: *proceedings of the 1st Polish US conference*, B. W. Veal, B. M. Dabrowski, P. W. Klamut, eds., Springer, 1996, pp.1-15.
- [13] G. Kotliar, Resonating valence bonds and d-wave superconductivity, *Phys. Rev. B* **37** (1988) 3664.
- [14] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, Symmetry of the Ginzburg-Landau Minimizer in a disc, *Math. Res. Lett.* **1**(1994), no. 6, 701-715.
- [15] F. H. Lin, Static and moving vortices in Ginzburg-Landau theories, *Progress in non-linear differential equations and their applications*, vol. 29 (1997), Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/ Switzerland.
- [16] F. H. Lin, Vortex dynamics for the nonlinear wave equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. LII, no. 6, 737-761 (1999).
- [17] F. H. Lin, T. C. Lin, Minimax solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations, *Sel. Math. New Ser.* **3**(1997) 99-113.
- [18] T. C. Lin, The stability of the radial solution to the Ginzburg-Landau equation, *Comm. in PDE* **22** (1997), 619-632.
- [19] P. Mironescu, On the stability of radial solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **130**(1995), no. 2, 334-344.
- [20] C. B. Morrey, Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations, *Springer-Verlag New York Inc.* 1966.

- [21] K. A. Müller, Possible coexistence of s - and d -wave condensates in copper oxide superconductors, *Nature* **377** (1995) 133-135.
- [22] K. Park, D. A. Huse, The phase transition to a square vortex lattice in type-II superconductors with fourfold anisotropy, *Phys. Rev. B* **58** (1998), no. 14, p. 9427-9432.
- [23] F. Pacard, T. Riviere, Construction of solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equation having regular zero set, preprint.
- [24] Y. Ren, J. H. Xu, C. S. Ting, Ginzburg-Landau equations and vortex structure of a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 3680.
- [25] Y. Ren, J. H. Xu, C. S. Ting, Ginzburg-Landau equations for mixed $s + d$ symmetry superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **53** (5) (1996) 2249.
- [26] J. Shatah, M. Struwe, Geometric wave equations, *Courant lecture notes* 1998.
- [27] J. Shiraishi, M. Kohmoto, K. Maki, Vortex lattice transition in d -wave superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **59** (1999), no. 6, p. 4497-4503.
- [28] P. I. Soininen, C. Kallin, A. J. Berlinsky, *Phys. Rev. B* **50** (1994) 13883.
- [29] M. Struwe, On the asymptotic behavior of minimizers of the Ginzburg-Landau model in 2 dimensions, *J. Diff. Integ. Eqn.* **7** (1994) p. 1613-1624, Erratum, **8** (1995) p. 224.
- [30] Y. De Wilde, et al., Scanning tunneling microscopy observation of a square Abrikosov lattice in $\text{LuNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 4273.
- [31] J. H. Xu, Y. Ren, C. S. Ting, Structures of single vortex and vortex lattice in a d -wave superconductor, *Phys. Rev. B* **53** (6) (1996) 2991.