

On some classes of operators by Fujii and Nakamoto related to p -hyponormal and paranormal operators

東京理科大 理 伊藤 公智 (Masatoshi Ito)
(Faculty of Science, Science University of Tokyo)

This report is based on the following paper:

M.Ito, *On some classes of operators by Fujii and Nakamoto related to p -hyponormal and paranormal operators*, *Scientiae Mathematicae*, **3** (2000), 319–334.

Abstract

Recently, we introduced class A as a new class of operators in [18]. Class A is defined by an operator inequality, and also the definition of class A is similar to that of paranormality defined by a norm inequality. We showed that every log-hyponormal operator belongs to class A and every class A operator is paranormal in [18]. As generalizations of class A and paranormality, class $A(p, r)$ was introduced in [11] and absolute- (p, r) -paranormality was introduced in [30]. Moreover, Fujii-Nakamoto [12] introduced class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality which are further generalizations of these classes.

In this report, we shall show some inclusion relations among the families of class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality, and we shall show the result on powers of class $F(p, r, q)$ operators.

1 Introduction

In this report, a capital letter means a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space H . An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $(Tx, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$, and also an operator T is said to be strictly positive (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible.

As extensions of hyponormal operators, i.e., $T^*T \geq TT^*$, p -hyponormal operators for $p > 0$ defined by $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$ and log-hyponormal operators defined by $\log T^*T \geq \log TT^*$ for an invertible operator T are well known. And also an operator T is p -quasihyponormal for $p > 0$ if T is p -hyponormal on $\overline{R(T)}$. It is easily obtained that every p -hyponormal operator is q -hyponormal for $p > q > 0$ by Löwner-Heinz theorem “ $A \geq B \geq 0$ ensures $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,” and every invertible p -hyponormal operator for $p > 0$ is log-hyponormal since $\log t$ is an operator monotone function. We remark that log-hyponormality is sometimes regarded as 0-hyponormality since $\frac{X^p - I}{p} \rightarrow \log X$ as $p \rightarrow +0$ for $X > 0$.

An operator T is paranormal if $\|T^2x\| \geq \|Tx\|^2$ for every unit vector $x \in H$. It has been studied by many authors, so there are too many to cite their references, for instance, [3][13][17] and [21]. Ando [3] showed that *every p -hyponormal operator for $p > 0$ and log-hyponormal operator is paranormal*.

Recently, in [18], we introduced class A defined by $|T^2| \geq |T|^2$ where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and we showed that every log-hyponormal operator belongs to class A and every class A operator is paranormal. It turns out that these results contain another proof of Ando's result stated above. We remark that class A is defined by an operator inequality and paranormality is defined by a norm inequality, and their definitions appear to be similar forms.

And also we introduced two families of classes of operators based on class A and paranormality in [18] as follows: An operator T belongs to class $A(k)$ for $k > 0$ if $(T^*|T|^{2k}T)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \geq |T|^2$, and also an operator T is absolute- k -paranormal for $k > 0$ if $\||T|^kTx\| \geq \|Tx\|^{k+1}$ for every unit vector $x \in H$. Particularly an operator T is a class A (resp. paranormal) operator if and only if T is a class $A(1)$ (resp. absolute-1-paranormal) operator. It was shown in [18] that the classes of invertible class $A(k)$ operators and absolute- k -paranormal operators constitute parallel and increasing lines, that is, invertible class $A(k) \subseteq$ invertible class $A(l)$ and absolute- k -paranormal $\subseteq$ absolute- l -paranormal for $0 < k \leq l$.

On the other hand, Fujii-Izumino-Nakamoto [7] introduced p -paranormality for $p > 0$ defined by $\||T|^pU|T|^px\| \geq \||T|^px\|^2$ for every unit vector $x \in H$, where $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T . We remark that 1-paranormality equals paranormality. As generalizations of class $A(k)$, absolute- k -paranormality and p -paranormality, Fujii-Jung-S.H.Lee-M.Y.Lee-Nakamoto [11] introduced class $A(p, r)$ and Yamazaki-Yanagida [30] introduced absolute- (p, r) -paranormality as follows:

Definition.

- (1) For each $p > 0$ and $r > 0$, an operator T belongs to class $A(p, r)$ if

$$(|T^*|^r|T|^{2p}|T^*|^r)^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \geq |T^*|^{2r},$$

and let class $AI(p, r)$ be the class of all invertible class $A(p, r)$ operators.

- (2) For each $p > 0$ and $r > 0$, an operator T is absolute- (p, r) -paranormal if

$$\||T|^p|T^*|^rx\|^r \geq \||T^*|^rx\|^{p+r} \quad (1.1)$$

for every unit vector $x \in H$.

It was pointed out that class $A(k, 1)$ equals class $A(k)$ in [28]. And also, in [30], it was shown that absolute- $(k, 1)$ -paranormality equals absolute- k -paranormality and absolute- (p, p) -paranormality equals p -paranormality. Moreover class $AI(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ equals the class

of invertible and w -hyponormal operators ($|\tilde{T}| \geq |T| \geq |(\tilde{T})^*|$ where $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T and $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$) introduced by Aluthge-Wang [2]. We should remark that the families of class $\text{AI}(p, r)$ determined by operator inequalities and absolute- (p, r) -paranormality determined by norm inequalities constitute two increasing lines on $p > 0$ and $r > 0$ whose origin is log-hyponormality (see section 2).

Moreover, as a continuation of the discussion in [11], Fujii-Nakamoto [12] introduced the following classes of operators.

Definition.

- (1) For each $p > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$, an operator T belongs to class $F(p, r, q)$ if

$$(|T^*|^r |T|^{2p} |T^*|^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq |T^*|^{\frac{2(p+r)}{q}}. \quad (1.2)$$

- (2) For each $p > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and $q > 0$, an operator T is (p, r, q) -paranormal if

$$\| |T|^p U |T|^r x \|^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \| |T|^{\frac{p+r}{q}} x \| \quad (1.3)$$

for every unit vector $x \in H$, where $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T .

We remark that class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ equals class $A(p, r)$, and we obtain that $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ -paranormality equals absolute- (p, r) -paranormality in the next section. Thus many researchers have been discussed parallel families of classes of operators which are generalizations of class A and paranormality.

In this report, firstly, we obtain more precise inclusion relations among the families of class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality from the view of monotonicity of class $A(p, r)$ and absolute- (p, r) -paranormality. Secondly, we give a characterization of log-hyponormal operators via class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality. Lastly, we obtain the result on powers of class $F(p, r, q)$ operators.

2 Background and preliminaries

Firstly, we obtain another expression of (p, r, q) -paranormality without using U which appears in the polar decomposition of T , and it causes that $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ -paranormality equals absolute- (p, r) -paranormality.

Proposition 1. For each $p > 0$, $r > 0$ and $q \geq 1$, an operator T is (p, r, q) -paranormal if and only if

$$\| |T|^p |T^*|^r x \|^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \| |T^*|^{\frac{p+r}{q}} x \| \quad (2.1)$$

for every unit vector $x \in H$.

Corollary 2. For each $p > 0$ and $r > 0$, $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ -paranormality equals absolute- (p, r) -paranormality.

Next, to explain the background of the classes of operators discussed in this paper, we have to state the following celebrated order preserving operator inequality.

Theorem F (Furuta inequality [14]).

If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then for each $r \geq 0$,

$$(i) \quad (B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (B^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad (A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

hold for $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with $(1+r)q \geq p+r$.




FIGURE 1

We remark that Theorem F yields Löwner-Heinz theorem when we put $r = 0$ in (i) or (ii) stated above. Alternative proofs of Theorem F were given in [5] and [24] and also an elementary one page proof in [15]. It was shown in [25] that the domain drawn for p, q and r in the Figure 1 is the best possible one for Theorem F.

Fujii-Nakamoto [12] observed that class $F(p, r, q)$ derives from Theorem F and (p, r, q) -paranormality corresponds to class $F(p, r, q)$, and also they showed the following Theorem A.1.

Theorem A.1 ([12]).

- (i) For a fixed $k > 0$, T is k -hyponormal if and only if T belongs to class $F(2kp, 2kr, q)$ for all $p > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with $(1+2r)q \geq 2(p+r)$, i.e., T belongs to class $F(p, r, q)$ for all $p > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with $(k+r)q \geq p+r$.
- (ii) If T belongs to class $F(p_0, r_0, q_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \geq 0$ and $q_0 \geq 1$, then T belongs to class $F(p_0, r, q_0)$ for any $r \geq r_0$.
- (iii) If T belongs to class $F(p_0, r_0, q_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \geq 0$ and $q_0 \geq 1$, then T belongs to class $F(p_0, r_0, q)$ for any $q \geq q_0$.
- (iv) If T belongs to class $F(p, r, q)$ for $p > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$, then T is (p, r, q) -paranormal.
- (v) If T is (p_0, r_0, q_0) -paranormal for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \geq 0$ and $q_0 > 0$, then T is (p_0, r_0, q) -paranormal for any $q \geq q_0$.

- (vi) If T is $(p_0, r_0, 1)$ -paranormal for $p_0 > 0$ and $r_0 \geq 0$, then T is $(p_0, r, 1)$ -paranormal for any $r \geq r_0$.
- (vii) If T is $(p, r, 1)$ -paranormal for $p > 0$ and $r \geq 0$, then T is $\max\{p, r\}$ -paranormal.

On the other hand, chaotic order is defined by $\log A \geq \log B$ for positive and invertible operators A and B . Chaotic order is weaker than usual order $A \geq B$ since $\log t$ is an operator monotone function. As a characterization of chaotic order, the following Theorem B.1 was obtained by using Theorem F.

Theorem B.1 ([6][8][16][26]). *Let A and B be positive invertible operators. Then the following properties are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) $\log A \geq \log B$.
- (ii) $(B^{\frac{p}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq B^p$ for all $p \geq 0$.
- (iii) $(B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \geq B^r$ for all $p \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$.

We remark that the equivalence between (i) and (ii) was shown in [4].

Noting that class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ equals class $A(p, r)$, we can verify that class $A(p, r)$ derives from Theorem B.1. On class $A(p, r)$ and absolute- (p, r) -paranormality, the following Theorem A.2 and Theorem A.3 were shown in [11] and [30], respectively. We remark that Figure 2 expresses the inclusion relations shown in Theorem A.2 and Theorem A.3.

Theorem A.2 ([11]).

- (i) T is log-hyponormal if and only if T belongs to class $AI(p, r)$ for all $p > 0$ and $r > 0$.
- (ii) If T belongs to class $AI(p_0, r_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$, then T belongs to class $AI(p, r)$ for any $p \geq p_0$ and $r \geq r_0$.
- (iii) If T belongs to class $A(p_0, r_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$, then T belongs to class $A(p, r)$ for any $r \geq r_0$.

Theorem A.3 ([30]).

- (i) T is log-hyponormal if and only if T is invertible and absolute- (p, r) -paranormal for all $p > 0$ and $r > 0$.

- (ii) If T is absolute- (p_0, r_0) -paranormal for $p_0 > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$, then T is absolute- (p, r) -paranormal for any $p \geq p_0$ and $r \geq r_0$.
- (iii) If T belongs to class $A(p, r)$ for $p > 0$ and $r > 0$, then T is absolute- (p, r) -paranormal.
- (iv) If T is absolute- (p, r) -paranormal for $p > 0$ and $r > 0$, then T is normaloid, i.e., $\|T\| = r(T)$ where $r(T)$ is the spectral radius of T .




FIGURE 2

Theorem A.2 and Theorem A.3 state that the families of class $AI(p, r)$ determined by operator inequalities and absolute- (p, r) -paranormality determined by norm inequalities have monotonicity on $p > 0$ and $r > 0$, and log-hyponormality regarded as class $AI(0, 0)$ or absolute- $(0, 0)$ -paranormality, namely they constitute two increasing lines whose origin is log-hyponormality.

3 Inclusion relations

In this section, we discuss monotonicity of class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality.

In section 2, we verified that class $A(p, r)$ derives from Theorem B.1, and also we explained that Theorem A.2 and Theorem A.3 state that the families of class $AI(p, r)$ and absolute- (p, r) -paranormality constitute two increasing lines on $p > 0$ and $r > 0$ whose origin is log-hyponormality.

On the other hand, as a parallel result to Theorem B.1, Theorem F also leads to the following Theorem B.2.

Theorem B.2 ([9][10]). *For positive operators A and B , $A^\delta \geq B^\delta$ for a fixed $\delta > 0$ if and only if*

$$(B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} \geq B^{\delta+r}$$

holds for all $p \geq \delta$ and $r \geq 0$.

Considering these matters, it seems natural that we rewrite class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality by class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ and $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ -paranormality when we discuss monotonicity of class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality on p and r . In fact, we obtain the following results on monotonicity of class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ and $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ -paranormality. And also the following Figure 3 represents the inclusion relations shown in this section.

Proposition 3. *The following assertions hold for each $p > 0$ and $r > 0$:*

- (i) *T is p -quasihyponormal if and only if T belongs to class $F(p, r, 1)$ if and only if T is $(p, r, 1)$ -paranormal.*
- (ii) *T is p -quasihyponormal if and only if T is $(p, 0, 1)$ -paranormal.*

Theorem 4. *Let T be a class $F(p_0, r_0, \frac{p_0+r_0}{\delta+r_0})$ operator for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \geq 0$ and $-r_0 < \delta \leq p_0$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *T belongs to class $F(p_0, r, \frac{p_0+r}{\delta+r})$ for any $r \geq r_0$.*
- (ii) *If T is invertible and $0 \leq \delta \leq p_0$, then T belongs to class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ for any $p \geq p_0$ and $r \geq r_0$.*

Theorem 5. *Let T be a $(p_0, r_0, \frac{p_0+r_0}{\delta+r_0})$ -paranormal operator for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \geq 0$ and $\delta > -r_0$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If $-r_0 < \delta \leq p_0$, then T is $(p_0, r, \frac{p_0+r}{\delta+r})$ -paranormal for any $r \geq r_0$.*
- (ii) *If $0 \leq \delta$, then T is $(p, r_0, \frac{p+r_0}{\delta+r_0})$ -paranormal for any $p \geq p_0$.*
- (iii) *If $0 \leq \delta \leq p_0$, then T is $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ -paranormal for any $p \geq p_0$ and $r \geq r_0$.*




FIGURE 3

Proposition 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 assert that invertible class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ and $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ -paranormality for $\delta > 0$ constitute two increasing lines for $p \geq \delta > 0$ and $r \geq r_0 > 0$ which have δ -quasihyponormality as the origin since δ -quasihyponormality equals class $F(\delta, r_0, 1)$ or $(\delta, r_0, 1)$ -paranormality. And also, in case $\delta = 0$, (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4 means (iii) and (ii) of Theorem A.2, respectively, and Theorem 5 means (ii) of Theorem A.3. Therefore monotonicity of invertible class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ and $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ -paranormality for $\delta > 0$ is parallel to monotonicity of class $AI(p, r)$ and absolute- (p, r) -paranormality since invertible δ -quasihyponormality (i.e., δ -hyponormality) approaches log-hyponormality as $\delta \rightarrow +0$.

Remark. We remark that Proposition 1 does not hold for $r = 0$ and $q = 1$ since (2.1) holds for $p > 0$, $r = 0$ and $q = 1$, i.e., $\| |T|^p x \| \geq \| |T^*|^p x \|$ for every unit vector $x \in H$ if and only if T is p -hyponormal, but T is $(p, 0, 1)$ -paranormal for $p > 0$ if and only if T is p -quasihyponormal by (ii) of Proposition 3.

4 Log-hyponormality

As a characterization of log-hyponormal operators, the following Theorem D.1 was obtained.

Theorem D.1 ([11][29][30]). *Let T be an invertible operator. Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) T is log-hyponormal.
- (ii) T belongs to class $A(p, p)$, i.e., class $AI(p, p)$ for all $p > 0$.
- (iii) T belongs to class $A(p, r)$, i.e., class $AI(p, r)$ for all $p > 0$ and $r > 0$.
- (iv) T is p -paranormal for all $p > 0$.
- (v) T is absolute- (p, r) -paranormal for all $p > 0$ and $r > 0$.

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) was obtained in [11], and also (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) and (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) were obtained in [29] and [30], respectively.

As an extension of Theorem D.1 via class $F(p, r, q)$ and (p, r, q) -paranormality, we have the following Theorem 6.

Theorem 6. *Let T be an invertible operator. Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent for any fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1]$:*

- (i) T is log-hyponormal.
- (ii) T belongs to class $F(p, p, \frac{2}{\alpha})$ for all $p > 0$.
- (iii) T belongs to class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r\alpha})$ for all $p > 0$ and $r > 0$.
- (iv) T is $(p, p, \frac{2}{\alpha})$ -paranormal for all $p > 0$.
- (v) T is $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r\alpha})$ -paranormal for all $p > 0$ and $r > 0$.

We remark that Theorem 6 ensures Theorem D.1 by putting $\alpha = 1$.

5 Powers of class $F(p, r, q)$ operators

On powers of p -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators, Aluthge-Wang [1] and Yamazaki [27] showed the following results (see also [19][20][23]).

Theorem E.1 ([1]). *Let T be a p -hyponormal operator for $0 < p \leq 1$. Then T^n is $\frac{p}{n}$ -hyponormal for all positive integer n .*

Theorem E.2 ([27]). *Let T be a log-hyponormal operator. Then T^n is also log-hyponormal for all positive integer n .*

On the other hand, on powers of class $A(p, r)$ operators, Yamazaki [28] showed the following Theorem E.3 (see also [22]).

Theorem E.3 ([28]). *Let T be a class $AI(p, r)$ operator for $0 < p \leq 1$ and $0 < r \leq 1$. Then T^n belongs to class $AI(\frac{p}{n}, \frac{r}{n})$ for all positive integer n .*

In this section, we obtain the following result on powers of class $F(p, r, q)$ operators.

Theorem 7. *Let T be an invertible class $F(p, r, q)$ operator for $0 < p \leq 1$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $q \geq 1$ with $rq \leq p + r$. Then T^n belongs to class $F(\frac{p}{n}, \frac{r}{n}, q)$ for all positive integer n .*

Theorem 7 interpolates Theorem E.1 and Theorem E.3 in case T is invertible. In fact, Theorem 7 yields Theorem E.1 by putting $q = 1$ and $r = 0$, and also Theorem 7 yields Theorem E.3 by putting $q = \frac{p+r}{r}$.

References

- [1] A.Aluthge and D.Wang, *Powers of p -hyponormal operators*, J. Inequal. Appl., **3** (1999), 279–284.
- [2] A.Aluthge and D.Wang, *w -hyponormal operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **36** (2000), 1–10.
- [3] T.Ando, *Operators with a norm condition*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **33** (1972), 169–178.
- [4] T.Ando, *On some operator inequalities*, Math. Ann., **279** (1987), 157–159.

- [5] M.Fujii, *Furuta's inequality and its mean theoretic approach*, J. Operator Theory, **23** (1990), 67–72.
- [6] M.Fujii, T.Furuta and E.Kamei, *Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's theorem*, Linear Algebra Appl., **179** (1993), 161–169.
- [7] M.Fujii, S.Izumino and R.Nakamoto, *Classes of operators determined by the Heinz-Kato-Furuta inequality and the Hölder-McCarthy inequality*, Nihonkai Math. J., **5** (1994), 61–67.
- [8] M.Fujii, J.F.Jiang and E.Kamei, *Characterization of chaotic order and its application to Furuta inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3655–3658.
- [9] M.Fujii, J.F.Jiang and E.Kamei, *A characterization of orders defined by $A^\delta \geq B^\delta$ via Furuta inequality*, Math. Japon., **45** (1997), 519–525.
- [10] M.Fujii, J.F.Jiang, E.Kamei and K.Tanahashi, *A characterization of chaotic order and a problem*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2** (1998), 149–156.
- [11] M.Fujii, D.Jung, S.H.Lee, M.Y.Lee and R.Nakamoto, *Some classes of operators related to paranormal and log-hyponormal operators*, Math. Japon., **51** (2000), 395–402.
- [12] M.Fujii and R.Nakamoto, *Some classes of operators derived from Furuta inequality*, Scientiae Mathematicae, **3** (2000), 87–94.
- [13] T.Furuta, *On the class of paranormal operators*, Proc. Japan Acad., **43** (1967), 594–598.
- [14] T.Furuta, *$A \geq B \geq 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \geq 0$, $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 1$ with $(1+2r)q \geq p+2r$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (1987), 85–88.
- [15] T.Furuta, *An elementary proof of an order preserving inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **65** (1989), 126.
- [16] T.Furuta, *Applications of order preserving operator inequalities*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **59** (1992), 180–190.
- [17] T.Furuta, M.Horie and R.Nakamoto, *A remark on a class of operators*, Proc. Japan Acad., **43** (1967), 607–609.
- [18] T.Furuta, M.Ito and T.Yamazaki, *A subclass of paranormal operators including class of log-hyponormal and several related classes*, Scientiae Mathematicae, **1** (1998), 389–403.

- [19] T.Furuta and M.Yanagida, *On powers of p -hyponormal operators*, Scientiae Mathematicae, **2** (1999), 279–284.
- [20] T.Furuta and M.Yanagida, *On powers of p -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators*, J. Inequal. Appl., **5** (2000), 367–380.
- [21] V.Istrăţescu, T.Saito and T.Yoshino, *On a class of operators*, Tôhoku Math. J., **18** (1966), 410–413.
- [22] M.Ito, *Several properties on class A including p -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **2** (1999), 569–578.
- [23] M.Ito, *Generalizations of the results on powers of p -hyponormal operators*, to appear in J. Inequal. Appl.
- [24] E.Kamei, *A satellite to Furuta's inequality*, Math. Japon., **33** (1988), 883–886.
- [25] K.Tanahashi, *Best possibility of the Furuta inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 141–146.
- [26] M.Uchiyama, *Some exponential operator inequalities*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **2** (1999), 469–471.
- [27] T.Yamazaki, *Extensions of the results on p -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators by Aluthge and Wang*, SUT J. Math., **35** (1999), 139–148.
- [28] T.Yamazaki, *On powers of class $A(k)$ operators including p -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **3** (2000), 97–104.
- [29] T.Yamazaki and M.Yanagida, *A characterization of log-hyponormal operators via p -paranormality*, Scientiae Mathematicae, **3** (2000), 19–21.
- [30] T.Yamazaki and M.Yanagida, *A further generalization of paranormal operators*, Scientiae Mathematicae, **3** (2000), 23–32.